

# Cost Function Design & Markov Chains with PERT

*Agentic Congress Planner (ACP) - Architecture Document*  
*Prepared by Claudia | Sunrise Gen AI | Feb 2026*

## Cost Function Design & Markov Chains with PERT

### Part A: Cost Function Design

#### 1. Multi-Objective Cost Function

The planner optimizes across competing objectives. The composite cost function:

$$C_{\text{total}} = w1 * C_{\text{schedule}} + w2 * C_{\text{resource}} + w3 * C_{\text{risk}} + w4 * C_{\text{quality}} + w5 * C_{\text{disruption}}$$

Where weights  $w1..w5$  are configurable per congress priority (tuned via historical outcomes).

#### 2. Individual Cost Components

##### ***C\_schedule -- Schedule Cost***

$C_{\text{schedule}} = \text{SUM over all tasks [}$   
     $\alpha * \max(0, \text{actual\_end} - \text{due\_date})^2$       // Tardiness penalty (quadratic)  
     $+ \beta * \max(0, \text{due\_date} - \text{actual\_end})$       // Earliness bonus (linear, diminishing)  
     $+ \gamma * (\text{is\_critical\_path} * \text{tardiness\_penalty})$  // Critical path multiplier  
 $]$

- Quadratic tardiness: Small delays are tolerable, large delays are catastrophic (non-linear penalty)
- Critical path multiplier (gamma): Delays on CP tasks penalized 3-5x more
- MS Planner mapping: dueDateTime vs simulated completedDateTime

##### ***C\_resource -- Resource Utilization Cost***

$C_{\text{resource}} = \text{SUM over all assignees [}$   
     $\delta * \max(0, \text{utilization} - U_{\text{max}})^2$       // Over-allocation penalty  
     $+ \epsilon * \max(0, U_{\text{min}} - \text{utilization})$       // Under-utilization waste  
     $+ \zeta * \text{context\_switch\_count}$       // Multi-tasking penalty  
 $]$

- Derived from: assignments field -- count concurrent tasks per person per time window
- Historical calibration: Compute each person's  $U_{\text{max}}$  from past congresses
- Context switch cost: Each additional parallel task adds ~20% overhead

##### ***C\_risk -- Risk Exposure Cost***

$C_{\text{risk}} = \text{SUM over all tasks [}$   
     $\eta * P(\text{delay} > \text{threshold}) * \text{impact\_magnitude}$   
 $]$

- $P(\text{delay})$ : From Monte Carlo simulation output

- Impact magnitude: Derived from priority field + downstream dependency count in DAG
- High fan-out tasks (many dependents) get higher impact scores

### ***C\_quality -- KOL & Speaker Alignment Quality***

C\_quality = SUM over all speaker assignments [

theta \* topic\_mismatch\_penalty  
 + iota \* preference\_violation\_penalty  
 + kappa \* audience\_overlap\_penalty

]

- Topic mismatch: NLP similarity between speaker expertise and assigned topic
- Preference violations: Soft constraint from taskDetail.description
- Audience overlap: If two sessions share >40% target audience, penalize parallel scheduling

### ***C\_disruption -- Reactive Replanning Cost***

C\_disruption = SUM over all replan events [

lambda \* cascade\_depth  
 + mu \* human\_approval\_latency  
 + nu \* plan\_delta

]

- Stability premium: Users prefer plans that don't change drastically
- Cascade depth: From dependency DAG traversal

## **3. Cost Function Calibration from Historical Data**

1. Compute actual costs from historical congresses (delays, overruns, speaker issues)
2. Regression: fit weights w1..w5 to minimize |predicted\_cost - actual\_outcome\_cost|
3. Output: Validated weight vector for current year planning

## **Part B: Markov Chains + PERT Integration**

### **1. Why PERT Alone Is Insufficient**

Standard PERT assumes:

- Beta distribution for task durations (optimistic, most likely, pessimistic)
- Independence between tasks (only structural dependency via DAG)
- Static estimates -- no state transitions during execution

Problems for congress planning:

- Task states evolve -- a task "in progress" for too long signals trouble
- External events change the probability landscape
- Resource states matter -- overloaded person affects ALL their tasks

### **2. Markov Chain Enhancement: State-Based Task Modeling**

Each task is modeled as a Markov chain with states:

States S = {Not Started, Planning, In Progress, Blocked, Under Review, Completed, Cancelled}

Mapping to MS Planner:

- Not Started: percentComplete = 0, no assignedDateTime

- Planning: percentComplete = 0, has assignedDateTime
- In Progress: percentComplete = 50
- Blocked: percentComplete = 50 + no progress for > T\_block threshold
- Under Review: percentComplete = 50 + checklist mostly done
- Completed: percentComplete = 100
- Cancelled: task deleted or marked in description

### 3. Transition Probability Matrix (from Historical Data)

Each cell  $P[i,j]$  represents probability of transitioning from state  $i$  to state  $j$  per time period.

Calibrated per task category, assignee historical performance, and congress phase.

Example transitions:

- Not Started -> Planning: 0.7
- Planning -> In Progress: 0.8
- In Progress -> Under Review: 0.4
- In Progress -> Blocked: 0.15
- Under Review -> Completed: 0.7
- Blocked -> In Progress: 0.6
- Completed and Cancelled are absorbing states

### 4. PERT-Markov Hybrid Model

Standard PERT:

Duration  $\sim$  Beta( $a, m, b$ ) where  $a$ =optimistic,  $m$ =most\_likely,  $b$ =pessimistic

Enhanced PERT-Markov:

At each time step  $t$ :

1. Current state  $s_t$  of each task (from Markov chain)
2. Time spent in current state:  $\tau$
3. Conditional duration estimate:

$D_{\text{remaining}} | s_t, \tau \sim \text{Updated\_Beta}(a', m', b')$

where  $a', m', b'$  are adjusted based on:

- Current state (blocked tasks get inflated pessimistic)
- Dwell time (longer in IP -> right-shift distribution)
- Resource state (assignee overloaded -> inflate)

### 5. Absorbing Markov Chain Analysis

Fundamental Matrix:  $N = (I - Q)^{-1}$

Where  $Q$  = transient state transition submatrix

$N[i,j]$  = expected number of times process visits state  $j$ , starting from state  $i$

Expected time to completion from state  $i = \text{SUM}(N[i,:])$

This gives us:

- Expected task duration accounting for blocked/review loops
- Probability of cancellation vs completion from any state
- Variance of completion time (from  $N$  matrix properties)

### 6. Markov-Modulated PERT Network

Traditional PERT Network:

Task A (duration\_A) -> Task B (duration\_B) -> Task C (duration\_C)

Markov-Modulated:

Task A [MC\_A state] -> Task B [MC\_B state] -> Task C [MC\_C state]

Each task's duration is conditioned on:

1. Its own Markov chain state
2. Predecessor's terminal state (completed normally vs rushed vs partial)
3. Shared resource Markov chains (person availability state)

Dependency types become richer:

- Finish-to-Start (FS): Standard -- B starts when A completes
- State-conditional FS: B's duration changes based on HOW A completed
- Resource-coupled: A and B share assignee -> joint Markov chain

## 7. Combined Simulation Algorithm

Initialize:

For each task: set state = Not Started

For each task: fit PERT params (a,m,b) from historical data

Build transition matrices per task category

For each simulation run (1..10000):

t = 0

While not all tasks absorbed:

t += 1

For each active task:

new\_state = sample(transition\_matrix[current\_state])

If entering "In Progress" and not duration\_sampled:

duration = sample(Beta(a, m, b))

If assignee.state == Overloaded:

duration \*= resource\_penalty\_factor

If new\_state == Blocked:

block\_time = sample(Exponential(lambda\_block))

duration += block\_time

Check completion: if time\_in\_progress >= duration -> transition

Inject external disruptions at time t

Record: total\_time, critical\_path, cost\_function\_value

Output: distributions, percentiles, risk metrics

## 8. When to Use What

- Pure PERT: Quick estimates, well-understood tasks (no state awareness)
- Pure Monte Carlo: Duration uncertainty exploration (no state transitions)
- Markov Chain: State-based progression modeling (memoryless assumption)
- PERT + Markov + MC: Full system -- state-aware, uncertainty-modeled, dependency-coupled

## 9. Addressing the Memoryless Limitation

Standard Markov chains are memoryless. For congress planning, dwell time matters. Solutions:

- Semi-Markov Process: Transition probabilities depend on time spent in current state
- Phase-type distributions: Represent non-exponential durations as sequences of exponential phases
  - Practically: Discretize time into periods (e.g., daily). Transition matrix changes based on days\_in\_state -- this is a time-inhomogeneous Markov chain