

# Monte Carlo Simulation

## MS Planner Field Alignment

*Agentic Congress Planner (ACP) - Architecture Document*  
*Prepared by Claudia | Sunrise Gen AI | Feb 2026*

## Monte Carlo Simulation - MS Planner Field Alignment

### 1. Duration Distributions (Core Random Variables)

MS Planner Fields -> Simulation Variables:

- `startDateTime` -> Task Start Time: Anchor point for scheduling
- `dueDateTime` -> Planned Deadline: Target completion constraint
- `completedDateTime` -> Actual Completion: Historical actual - due = delay distribution
- `createdDateTime` -> Task Creation: Lead time analysis start - created = planning buffer

Monte Carlo Mapping:

- For each task type, compute from historical data:
  - $P(\text{duration})$  = distribution fitted from `completedDateTime` - `startDateTime` across past congresses
  - $P(\text{delay})$  = `completedDateTime` - `dueDateTime` -> fit to log-normal or triangular distribution
- These become the random variables sampled in each simulation run

### 2. Dependency Graph Construction

- `orderHint` -> Implicit sequencing within a bucket
- `bucketId` / Bucket name -> Task grouping -> parallel vs sequential inference
- checklist items -> Sub-task decomposition -> micro-dependencies
- references (links) -> Cross-task references -> explicit dependency edges

Monte Carlo Mapping:

- Build a DAG where edges = dependencies
- Each node's start is  $\max(\text{predecessor completion times})$
- Simulation samples each node's duration from its fitted distribution
- Run  $N=10,000$  iterations -> output completion time distribution for full congress

### 3. Resource & Assignment Modeling

- `assignments (userId -> assignment)` -> Resource allocation: who owns the task
- `assignments.assignedDateTime` -> Response time: `assignedDateTime` - `createdDateTime`
- `assignments.orderHint` -> Priority among assignee's tasks
- `appliedCategories (labels)` -> Task classification -> category-specific distributions

Monte Carlo Mapping:

- Resource contention modeling: If person X has 5 parallel tasks, simulate queuing delays
- From historical data: compute per-person  $P(\text{throughput})$  -- how many tasks/day they actually complete
- Simulation enforces: a person can only work on K tasks concurrently (K derived from historical data)
- KOL-specific: Speakers assigned via assignments -> model their response/confirmation latency

## 4. Progress & Risk Signals

- percentComplete (0, 50, 100) -> Current state for live re-simulation
- priority (0-10) -> Task criticality weight
- taskDetail.description -> NLP extraction -> risk keywords, complexity signals
- taskDetail.previewType -> Attachment presence -> documentation completeness proxy

Monte Carlo Mapping:

- Priority -> weighting in objective function (high-priority task delays penalized more)
- percentComplete at time T vs historical pattern -> predict if task is ahead/behind
- Tasks at 50% longer than historical median at 50% -> inject additional delay probability

## 5. Bucket-Level Simulation (Workstreams)

Venue & Logistics: Physical setup -> Long-tail risk distribution (vendor delays)

Speaker Management: KOL coordination -> High variance (external dependency)

Content & Agenda: Program design -> Medium variance, internal dependency

Travel & Accommodation: Flight/hotel booking -> Event-driven (flight cancellation injection)

Registration: Attendee management -> Low variance, predictable

Monte Carlo Mapping:

- Each bucket gets its own category-specific distribution fitted from historical data
- Cross-bucket dependencies modeled as inter-workstream edges in the DAG
- Simulation can inject disruption events with configurable probability (e.g.,  $P(\text{flight\_cancel}) = 0.03$  per speaker)

## 6. Simulation Output -> Decision Support

Each of the 10,000 runs produces a complete congress timeline. Aggregate to get:

- P95 completion date: 95% confident congress prep completes by date X
- Critical path probability: which tasks appear on critical path most frequently
- Bottleneck ranking: tasks/people that cause delays in most runs
- Risk heatmap: buckets with highest variance
- Buffer recommendation: optimal slack to add per task/workstream

## 7. Sample Simulation Pseudocode

for run in 1..10000:

  for task in topological\_order(DAG):

    # Sample duration from historical distribution

    duration = sample(task.duration\_distribution)

    # Resource contention delay

    assignee\_load = current\_tasks(task.assignee)

    if assignee\_load > historical\_K:

      duration += sample(queueing\_delay\_dist)

    # External event injection

    if task.bucket == "Travel":

      if random() < P\_FLIGHT\_CANCEL:

        duration += sample(rebooking\_delay\_dist)

```
task.simulated_start = max(pred.simulated_end for pred in predecessors)
task.simulated_end = task.simulated_start + duration

record(congress_completion_time, critical_path_tasks)

output(percentiles, bottlenecks, risk_heatmap)
```