

## Assignment 2: CS 754, Advanced Image Processing

Due: 16th Feb before 11:55 pm

**Remember the honor code while submitting this (and every other) assignment. All members of the group should work on and understand all parts of the assignment. We will adopt a zero-tolerance policy against any violation.**

**Submission instructions:** You should ideally type out all the answers in Word (with the equation editor) or using LaTeX. In either case, prepare a pdf file. Create a single zip or rar file containing the report, code and sample outputs and name it as follows: A2-IdNumberOfFirstStudent-IdNumberOfSecondStudent.zip. (If you are doing the assignment alone, the name of the zip file is A2-IdNumber.zip). Upload the file on moodle BEFORE 11:55 pm on 16th Feb. Late assignments will be assessed a penalty of 50% per day late. Note that only one student per group should upload their work on moodle. Please preserve a copy of all your work until the end of the semester. If you have difficulties, please do not hesitate to seek help from me.

1. Compressive sensing reconstructions involve estimating a sparse signal  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, n \gg 2$  from a vector  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m, m \ll n$  of compressed measurements of the form  $\mathbf{y} = \Phi \mathbf{x}$  where  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is the measurement matrix (assume there is no noise). Now answer the following questions, from first principles. Do not merely quote theorems or algorithms.
  - (a) If  $\mathbf{x}$  has only 1 non-zero element and the other elements are zero, can you uniquely estimate  $\mathbf{x}$  if  $m = 1$ ? If yes, how? If not, why not? Now further suppose, you knew beforehand the index (but not the value) of the non-zero element of  $\mathbf{x}$ ? Does this help you any further? If yes, how? If not, why not?
  - (b) If  $\mathbf{x}$  has only 1 non-zero element and the other elements are zero, can you uniquely estimate  $\mathbf{x}$  if  $m = 2$ ? If yes, how? If not, why not?
  - (c) If  $\mathbf{x}$  has only 2 non-zero elements and the other elements are zero, can you uniquely estimate  $\mathbf{x}$  if  $m = 3$ ? If yes, describe an algorithm to estimate it. If not, why not, and are there any special instances of  $\Phi$  for which unique estimation is possible?
  - (d) Repeat part (c) with  $m = 4$ . [30 points]
2. There exists a well-known architecture for cameras to acquire videos in a compressive fashion. This architecture, as we shall see in class, was developed in a paper ‘Video from a single exposure coded snapshot’ published in ICCV 2011 (See [http://www.cs.columbia.edu/CAVE/projects/single\\_shot\\_video/](http://www.cs.columbia.edu/CAVE/projects/single_shot_video/)). Such a video camera acquires a ‘coded snapshot’  $E_u$  in a single exposure time interval  $u$ . This coded snapshot is the superposition of the form  $E_u = \sum_{t=1}^T C_t \cdot F_t$  where  $F_t$  is the image of the scene at instant  $t$  within the interval  $u$  and  $C_t$  is a randomly generated binary code at that time instant, which modulates  $F_t$ . Note that  $E_u, F_t$  and  $C_t$  are all 2D arrays. Also, the binary code generation as well as the final summation all occur within the hardware of the camera. Your task here is as follows:
  - (a) Read the video in the homework folder in MATLAB using the ‘mmread’ function which has been provided in the homework folder and convert it to grayscale. Extract the first  $T = 3$  frames of the video.
  - (b) Generate a  $H \times W \times T$  random code pattern whose elements lie in  $\{0, 1\}$ . Compute a coded snapshot using the formula mentioned and add zero mean Gaussian random noise of standard deviation 2 to it. Display the coded snapshot in your report.

- (c) Given the coded snapshot and assuming full knowledge of  $C_t$  for all  $t$  from 1 to  $T$ , your task is to estimate the original video sequence  $F_t$ . For this you should rewrite the aforementioned equation in the form  $Ax = b$  where  $x$  is an unknown vector (vectorized form of the video sequence). Mention clearly what  $A$  and  $b$  are, in your report.
  - (d) You should perform the reconstruction using Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (OMP), an algorithm we shall see in class very soon. For computational efficiency, we will do this reconstruction patchwise. Write an equation of the form  $Ax = b$  where  $x$  represents the  $i^{th}$  patch from the video and having size (say)  $8 \times 8 \times T$  and mention in your report what  $A$  and  $b$  stand for. For perform the reconstruction, assume that each  $8 \times 8$  slice in the patch is sparse or compressible in the 2D-DCT basis. Carefully work out the error term in the OMP algorithm!
  - (e) Repeat the reconstruction for all overlapping patches and average across the overlapping pixels to yield the final reconstruction. Display the reconstruction and mention the relative mean squared error between reconstructed and original data, in your report as well as in the code.
  - (f) Repeat this exercise for  $T = 4$  and mention the mention the relative mean squared error between reconstructed and original data again.
  - (g) **Note: To save time, extract a portion of about  $120 \times 240$  around the lowermost car in the cars video and work entirely with it. In fact, you can show all your results just on this part.** [40 points]
3. We will prove why the value of the coherence between  $m \times n$  measurement matrix  $\Phi$  (with all rows normalized to unit magnitude) and  $n \times n$  orthonormal representation matrix  $\Psi$  must lie within the range  $(1, \sqrt{n})$ . Recall that the coherence is given by the formula  $\mu(\Phi, \Psi) = \sqrt{n} \max_{i,j \in \{0,1,\dots,n-1\}} |\Phi^{i^t} \Psi_j|$ . Proving the upper bound should be very easy for you. To prove the lower bound, proceed as follows. Consider a unit vector  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We know that it can be expressed as  $\mathbf{g} = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \Psi_k$  as  $\Psi$  is an orthonormal basis. Now prove that  $\mu(\mathbf{g}, \Psi) = \sqrt{n} \max_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,n-1\}} \frac{|\alpha_i|}{\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^2}$ . Exploiting the fact that  $\mathbf{g}$  is a unit vector, prove that the minimal value of coherence is given by  $\mathbf{g} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n \Psi_k$  and hence the minimal value of coherence is 1. [15 points]
4. Prove the following relationship between the restricted isometry constant of order  $s$  of a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  (denoted as  $\delta_s$ ) and the mutual coherence  $\mu$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ :  $\delta_s \leq (s-1)\mu$ . Assume all columns of  $\mathbf{A}$  are unit-normalized. [15 points]