

Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Linear-Time Selection

An $\Omega(n \log n)$ Sorting Lower Bound

A Sorting Lower Bound

Theorem: every "comparison-based" sorting algorithm has worstcase running time $\Omega(n \log n)$

[assume deterministic, but lower bound extends to randomized]

Comparison-Based Sort: accesses input array elements only via comparisons ~ "general purpose sorting method"

Examples: Merge Sort, Quick Sort, Heap Sort

Good for data good for small integers

From distributions good for mediatributions

Non Examples: Bucket Sort, Counting Sort, Radix Sort size integers

Tim Roughgarden

Proof Idea

Fix a comparison-based sorting method and an array length n

 \Rightarrow Consider input arrays containing $\{1,2,3,...,n\}$ in some order.

 \Rightarrow n! such inputs

Suppose algorithm always makes <= k comparisons to correctly sort these n! inputs.

=> Across all n! possible inputs, algorithm
exhibits <= 2^k distinct executions i.e., resolution of the comparisons

Proof Idea (con'd)

By the Pigeonhole Principle: if 2^k < n!, execute identically on two distinct inputs => must get one of them incorrect.

So : Since method is correct,
$$2^k \ge n!$$
 $\ge (\frac{n}{2})^{\frac{n}{2}}$ $\ge k \ge \frac{n}{2} \cdot \log_2 \frac{n}{2} = \Omega(n \log n)$