

# Exploring the Relationship Between Trader Behavior and Market Sentiment

By Sudhanshu Ayer – sudhanshuayyar@gmail.com

---

## Objective

Explore how trader behavior and results vary across market-sentiment regimes (Fear, Neutral, Greed) and around regime switches. The analysis uses Hyperliquid trade logs and a daily crypto Fear–Greed index.

## Data

- Trades: per-fill records with price, size (tokens and USD), side, timestamp, fees, and IDs.
- Sentiment: daily index with classes collapsed to {Fear, Neutral, Greed} and forward-filled intraday.

## Method

1. Timestamps normalized to UTC; sentiment forward-filled from midnight.
2. Trades cleaned (duplicates removed, missing data dropped, columns standardized).
3. Average-cost inventory rebuilt per account–coin pair; sells realize PnL against prior cost.
4. Fees treated in USD; net rebates clamped at zero for KPI stability.
5. Each trade inherits current daily regime; transition flags mark first day after regime changes.
6. KPIs per regime include Win Rate, PnL per Trade, PnL per Notional, Fees %, and Trades per Hour.

## Behavior

- Activity is regime-dependent: Fear days show concentrated bursts late UTC ( $\approx 21:00$ – $22:00$ ), while Greed occurs earlier. Neutral shows

low activity.

- Scale-in behavior appears in Fear, consistent with higher volatility.

## Performance

- Win Rate: Fear and Greed  $\approx 9\text{--}10\%$ ; Neutral  $\approx 5\text{--}6\%$ .
- PnL per Trade: all regimes negative; Fear worst ( $\sim -1\text{k USD}$ ), Greed less negative ( $\sim -350\text{ USD}$ ).
- PnL per Notional: mirrors PnL/trade; least bad in Greed, worst in Fear.

## Costs and Execution

- Fees %: small (basis points) and consistent across regimes.
- Negative fees from maker rebates excluded from percentage KPI.

## Transitions

Trades after sentiment flips show higher outcome variance. Volatility spikes and unstable order flow explain this pattern.

## Implications

1. Avoid Neutral periods for active risk-taking; returns are lowest.
2. Prefer Greed for controlled exposure—best relative efficiency.
3. Reduce size during Fear; treat trades as hedging rather than profit attempts.
4. After regime flips, pause trading or size down until volatility stabilizes.

## Limitations

- No quote data, so execution slippage is only proxied.
- Leverage/liquidation data unavailable; risk limited to realized outcomes.
- One-desk data; results not universal.

## Actionable Playbooks

- Halve per-burst notional size in Fear; restore in Greed.
- After regime flips, cap trade size and enforce cooldown.
- Stop trading for the day if cumulative Fear loss exceeds threshold.

- Route orders strategically to minimize fees while maintaining liquidity access.

#### Reproducibility Checklist

- Use realized-only sells for Win Rate and PnL metrics.
- Use 'size\_usd' for notional or compute as  $\text{qty} \times \text{price}$  if missing.
- Clamp negative fees to zero for fee%.
- Keep timestamps in UTC and forward-fill sentiment daily.