

Department of Data Science, IIT Palakkad
DS5618: Multi-agent systems (Aug-Dec 2025)

08:00 AM-08:50 AM

Test 2 (30 October 2025)

Marks : 20

Instructions

1. Write your answers neatly in Blue/Black ink. Make sure your answers are legible.
2. If you have to make any assumptions about unspecified things, write them clearly with justification.
3. Write the question number clearly for each answer.
4. There will be partial markings for the questions, so even if you cannot solve the entire problem be sincere with the steps.
5. Be precise. Best strategy is to not to waste time in writing what is not required.

1. Condorcet consistency in single-peaked domain (2+2+6=10 marks)

1. A Condorcet winner is the alternative who beats all other alternatives in pairwise elections. Answer *yes* or *no* for the following questions.
 - (a) Does a Condorcet winner always exists for any profile of single-peaked preferences?
 - (b) Is the median voter rule Condorcet consistent for single-peaked domain? In other words, if there exists a Condorcet winner in single-peaked domain, does median voter rule guarantee to choose that alternative as the winner.

2. Explain your answer for the question (b) above.

2. Individual Rationality (IR) and the second-Price auction (4+6=10 marks)

Consider a mechanism $M = (f, p)$ which consists of allocation function $f(\cdot)$ and payment functions $p_i(\cdot) \forall i \in N$.

A mechanism M is *individually rational* if no agent ends up worse off by participating than by abstaining from it. That is, $\forall i \in N$, utility of i when i participates \geq utility of i when i does not participate.

In other words, if we allow the agents to opt-out of the individually rational mechanism, each agent prefers to participate than to opt-out. In single indivisible goods allocation,

- if an agent does not participate in the mechanism, then it does not get the object and has to pay nothing, hence gets 0 utility.
- a mechanism is individually rational, whenever an agent i participate in the mechanism, it guarantees that i 's utility is never less than 0 (which is the utility it gets by opting out).

Note that, Utility of an agent i = the true valuation i gets - the price i pays.

1. Answer *yes* or *no* for the following question. For single indivisible goods allocation, does the second-Price auction mechanism, guarantees *individual rationality*?
2. Explain your answer.

END