

# Signals & Systems

Irvin Avalos

[irvin.l@berkeley.edu](mailto:irvin.l@berkeley.edu)

# CONTENTS

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Discrete Time Systems</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Introduction . . . . .	2
1.2	LTI Systems & Impulse Response . . . . .	3
1.3	BIBO Stability, Causality, & Periodicity . . . . .	6
1.4	Discrete Time Difference Equations . . . . .	7
1.5	Discrete Time State Space Representation . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Continuous Time Systems</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Continuous Time Convolution . . . . .	8
2.2	Continuous Time BIBO Stability & Causality . . . . .	8
2.3	Continuous Time State Space Representation & Feedback . . . . .	8
<b>3</b>	<b>Frequency Analysis</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	Discrete Time Fourier Series . . . . .	9
3.2	Continuous Time Fourier Series . . . . .	15
3.3	Discrete Time Fourier Transform . . . . .	16
3.4	Continuous Time Fourier Transform . . . . .	16
<b>4</b>	<b>Sampling and Modulation</b>	<b>17</b>
4.1	Modulation . . . . .	17
4.2	Sampling Theorem . . . . .	17
4.3	Z-Transform . . . . .	17
4.4	Laplace Transform . . . . .	17

# CHAPTER 1

## DISCRETE TIME SYSTEMS

---

### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

**Definition 1.1** (Signal).

A signal is a function. That is, for some signal  $x$  we take as input an element from  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$  and output either a  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  number.

- Put simply, signals follow the function rule that tells us what mapping the function in question should follow.
- From this, we abide by the convention that whenever the domain of our signal is  $\mathbb{Z}$  we are working in discrete time. Conversely, if the domain is  $\mathbb{R}$  we will be in continuous time.

**Definition 1.2** (Kronecker Delta a.k.a. Discrete Time Impulse).

$$\delta(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } n \neq 0 \end{cases}.$$

**Definition 1.3** (Discrete Time Unit Step).

$$u(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } n < 0 \end{cases}.$$

**Definition 1.4** (Continuous Time Unit Step).

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } t < 0 \end{cases}.$$

**Theorem 1.1.** Any discrete time signal can be written into a linear combination of shifted impulses. It follows that, the unit step function can be reinterpreted as

$$u(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta(n - k).$$

**Theorem 1.2.** Immediately from above, we say that any discrete time signal can be expressed as a linear combination of shifted impulses.

$$u(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta(n - k) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^n \delta(m).$$

- In discrete time, the unit step is the cumulative sum of Kronecker deltas. A similar concept to that of the integral.
- We can represent  $\delta(n)$  in terms of shifted  $u(n)$ 's as

$$\delta(n) = u(n) - u(n-1).$$

Note that

$$\delta(n) = \frac{u(n) - u(n-1)}{n - (n-1)} = u(n) - u(n-1).$$

It suffices to say that this is similar to the derivative.

- Therefore, in discrete time  $\delta(n)$  is known as the discrete time derivative of the impulse signal and  $u(n)$  is its integral.

## 1.2 LTI SYSTEMS & IMPULSE RESPONSE

**Definition 1.5** (Systems).

Similar to signals we say that systems are functions. That is,  $x \rightarrow H \rightarrow y$  where  $x$  is our input signal and  $y$  is the corresponding output signal after  $x$  "passes" through the system  $H$ .

More formally, we define  $\mathbb{X}$  to be the input signal space and  $\mathbb{Y}$  to be the output signal space. Thus,  $y = H(x)$  where  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{Y}$ .

- **Systems Properties:** (1) Linearity (or Superposition) and (2) Time Invariance.
- **Linearity:** System  $H$  is said to be linear if it satisfies both the scaling and additivity property.
  1. **Scaling:** The output of a weighted input must be scaled by the weight of that input, i.e.,  $\alpha x \rightarrow H \rightarrow \alpha y$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{C}$ .
  2. **Additivity:** The system is additive if it satisfies the below,

$$x_1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow y_1 \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 \rightarrow H \rightarrow y_2 \quad \text{then} \quad x_1 + x_2 \rightarrow H \rightarrow y_1 + y_2$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{X}$ .

The notion of **superposition** occurs when the scaling and additive properties are combined in one step, i.e.,

$$\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2 \rightarrow H \rightarrow \alpha y_1 + \beta y_2$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{C}$  and for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{X}$ .

- **Time Invariance:** If input  $\hat{x}(n) = x(n-N)$  for  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then if  $\hat{y}(n) = y(n-N)$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}$  and  $\forall N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the system  $H$  is time invariant.
- **Zero Input - Zero Output (ZIZO):** If our input is zero then the output must be zero, and if this does not hold then the system is nonlinear.
- **LTI Systems:** Are systems that possess both linearity and time invariance.

- If the input signal  $x(n) = \delta(n)$ , then  $y(n) \triangleq h(n)$  where  $h(n)$  is called the **impulse response** of the system.

**Theorem 1.3.** Given that we now the impulse response of an LTI system, we then know the system response to any arbitrary input  $x$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(n) &\longrightarrow H \longrightarrow h(n) \\ \delta(n-k) &\longrightarrow H \longrightarrow h(n-k) \\ x(k)\delta(n-k) &\longrightarrow H \longrightarrow x(k)h(n-k) \\ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x(k)\delta(n-k) &\longrightarrow H \longrightarrow \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x(k)h(n-k)\end{aligned}$$

where  $x(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x(k)\delta(n-k)$  and  $y(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x(k)h(n-k)$ . ■

- **DT-LTI Systems:** Are a result of the above proof,

$$x(n) \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow y(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x(k)h(n-k)$$

where we define  $y$  to be the convolution between  $x$  and  $h$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad y(n) = (x * h)(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x(k)h(n-k).$$

- Convolution is commutative:

$$\begin{aligned}y(n) &= (x * h)(n) \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x(k)h(n-k) \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} x(n-m)h(m) \\ &= (h * x)(n)\end{aligned}$$

- If  $x(n) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow y(n)$ , then we define an intermediate signal  $q(n) = (x * f)(n)$ . Then,  $y(n) = (q * g)(n) = (x * f * g)(n) = (x * h)(n)$  where  $h(n) = (f * g)(n)$  is the impulse response of a "bigger" system  $H$ .
- Two systems in series (cascaded) is an LTI system.
- $\delta(n)$  also referred as the identity element in discrete time, and  $(\delta * h)(n) = (h * \delta)(n) = h(n)$ .
- **Euler's Formula:**  $e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$ .

- **Inverse Euler's Formula:**

$$(1.2) \quad \cos \theta = \frac{e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}}{2}$$

$$(1.3) \quad \sin \theta = \frac{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}}{2i}$$

- **Frequency Response of an LTI System:** From previous observations we know the following two facts:

$$x(n) = \delta(n) \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow y(n) = h(n)$$

where  $x(n)$  is "activated" only when  $n = 0$  and more generally,

$$x(n) \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow y(n) = (x * h)(n).$$

If  $x(n)$  were to be "active" for every time value of  $n$ , then

$$x(n) = e^{i\omega n} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow y(n)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y(n) &= (x * h)(n) \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega k} h(n-k) \\ &= e^{i\omega n} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} h(m) e^{-i\omega m}. \end{aligned}$$

The term  $\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} h(m) e^{-i\omega m}$  is a constant that only depends on  $\omega$ . Thus, the frequency response of the system is,

$$(1.4) \quad H(\omega) \triangleq \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} h(m) e^{-i\omega m}.$$

- Similar idea to eigenvalues,  $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ , where  $A$  is the system response,  $H(\omega)$  is the eigenvalue, and our complex exponential is  $\vec{x}$ .
- Think of  $H(\omega)$  as a scaling object.
- **Eigenfunction Property:** Denote our input signal as the complex exponential  $e^{i\omega n}$  which is the eigenfunction with respect to the DT-LTI system. It follows that,

$$e^{i\omega n} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H(\omega) e^{i\omega n}.$$

- Note that a large class of signals of interest can be written as a linear combination of complex exponentials (Fourier Analysis).

- The frequency response of a DT-LTI system is  $2\pi$  periodic,

$$H(\omega + 2\pi) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} h(n)e^{-i(\omega+2\pi)n} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} h(n)e^{-i\omega n}.$$

When analyzing the frequency response of a system only focus on  $\omega \in (0, 2\pi)$  or  $\omega \in (-\pi, \pi)$ .

- More generally,  $H(\omega)$  is  $2\pi n$  periodic  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- From above,  $H(\omega)$  has fundamental period  $2\pi$ .

### 1.3 BIBO STABILITY, CAUSALITY, & PERIODICITY

- **Periodicity of Complex Exponentials:** For a given continuous time signal  $e^{i\omega t}$ , it experiences  $\frac{2\pi}{\omega}$  revolutions per second, then  $\omega$  denotes the radians per second of the signal.

**Theorem 1.4** (Continuous Time Periodicity).

We say that,  $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $T$  periodic if  $\exists T$  s.t.  $x(t + T) = x(t)$ ,  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- Smallest such positive  $t$  is called the **fundamental period** in continuous time.
- The **fundamental frequency** is given by  $f_0 = \frac{1}{T}$  and  $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{T}$  has units of fundamental frequency, i.e.,  $\omega_0 = 2\pi f_0$ .
- $e^{i\omega t}$  is periodic in  $t$  with fundamental period  $T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega}$ , thus it is not periodic in  $\omega$ .

**Theorem 1.5** (Discrete Time Periodicity).

Say that  $x : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $N$  periodic if  $\exists N$  s.t.  $x(n + N) = x(n)$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- Small such positive  $N$  is the fundamental period in discrete time.
- $f_0 = \frac{1}{N}$  is the fundamental frequency in discrete time and  $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{N}$  has units of fundamental frequency, i.e.,  $\omega_0 = 2\pi f_0$ .
- $e^{i\omega n}$  is periodic in  $\omega$  with fundamental period  $2\pi$ , i.e., it is only periodic in  $n$  if  $\omega$  is a rational multiple of  $\pi$ :

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\omega(n+N)} &= e^{i\omega n} \\ e^{i\omega N} &= 1 \\ \omega N &= 2\pi k \\ \omega &= \frac{2\pi k}{N} \\ &= \left(\frac{2\pi}{N}\right)k \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $\omega$  must be a rational multiple.

## 1.4 DISCRETE TIME DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

- **Finite Impulse Response:** Example is the two point moving average filter,

$$y(n) = \frac{1}{2}x(n) + \frac{1}{2}x(n-1)$$

with corresponding impulse response  $h(n) = \frac{\delta(n) + \delta(n-1)}{2}$ .

- **Infinite Impulse Response:** Example is the exponentially weighted average filter,

$$y(n) = x(n) + \alpha y(n-1)$$

with impulse response  $h(n) = \alpha^n u(n)$ .

## 1.5 DISCRETE TIME STATE SPACE REPRESENTATION



# CHAPTER 2

## CONTINUOUS TIME SYSTEMS

---

### 2.1 CONTINUOUS TIME CONVOLUTION

### 2.2 CONTINUOUS TIME BIBO STABILITY & CAUSALITY

### 2.3 CONTINUOUS TIME STATE SPACE REPRESENTATION & FEEDBACK

# CHAPTER 3

## FREQUENCY ANALYSIS

---

### 3.1 DISCRETE TIME FOURIER SERIES

- Start by representing signals  $x(n)$  as vectors, e.g.,

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x(0) & x(1) & x(2) & \dots & x(p-1) \end{pmatrix}^T$$

where  $p$  is the period of  $x(n)$ .

- Consider the case when  $p = 2$ , then  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x(0) & x(1) \end{pmatrix}^T$ . But, we can further decompose  $\mathbf{x}$  into a linear combination of weighted basis vectors. The basis vectors for when  $p = 2$  are

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\varphi}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that,  $\mathbf{x} = \alpha_0 \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \alpha_1 \boldsymbol{\varphi}_1$  for some  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- In Fourier analysis, instead of using the standard basis to represent the signal  $x(n)$ , we use another basis (i.e., change of basis) with respect to the Fourier basis vectors  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_0, \boldsymbol{\psi}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{p-1}$ .
- Define  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_0(n) = e^{i0n}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_1(n) = e^{i\pi n}$ , then a 2-periodic signal can be written as

$$x(n) = X_0 \boldsymbol{\psi}_0(n) + X_1 \boldsymbol{\psi}_1(n) = X_0 e^{i0n} + X_1 e^{i\pi n}$$

with respect to the Fourier coefficients  $X_0, X_1$ .

- **Note:** Only need 2 frequencies to characterize  $x(n)$ , both of which are integer multiples of  $\pi$ .
- More generally, if a signal is  $p$ -periodic, the only contributing frequencies are

$$\{0, \omega_0, 2\omega_0, \dots, (p-1)\omega_0\}.$$

- As a consequence, if  $x(n+p) = x(n)$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists p \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , then

$$(3.1) \quad x(n) = X_0 e^{i0\omega_0 n} + X_1 e^{i1\omega_0 n} + \dots + X_{p-1} e^{i(p-1)\omega_0 n}.$$

- **Note:** Only need  $(p-1)$  Fourier coefficients since,  $e^{ip\omega_0 n} = e^{ip\frac{2\pi}{p}n} = e^{i2\pi n} = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Going back to the case when  $p = 2$ , we have that

$$\mathbf{x} = X_0 \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 + X_1 \boldsymbol{\psi}_1.$$

In order to change bases, from the standard basis of  $x(n)$  to the Fourier basis, we project  $\mathbf{x}$  onto the

Fourier basis vectors in order to find the Fourier coefficients. Projecting  $\mathbf{x}$  onto  $\psi_0$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x} \cdot \psi_0 &= (X_0\psi_0 + X_1\psi_1) \cdot \psi_0 \\ &= X_0(\psi_0 \cdot \psi_0) + X_1(\psi_1 \cdot \psi_0) \\ &= X_0(\psi_0 \cdot \psi_0).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$X_0 = \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \psi_0}{\psi_0 \cdot \psi_0} \quad \text{and} \quad X_1 = \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \psi_1}{\psi_1 \cdot \psi_1}.$$

- **Note:** Our signals are complex exponentials (i.e., need not be only real-valued) so the dot product does not generalize to complex vectors. Replace the dot product with the inner product to bring geometry back into the signal space.
- Define our inner product as

$$\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle = \mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{g}^* = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f_k g_k^*.$$

- We do this because we have knowledge about vector spaces and their properties, which allows us to incorporate our vector space with an inner product to develop the notion of **norms** and **orthogonality**.
- Recall that an inner product over a vector space  $\mathbb{E}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  is defined as  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with the following properties:
  1.  $\langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \rangle$
  2.  $\langle \alpha \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \alpha \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ ;  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \alpha \mathbf{y} \rangle = \alpha^* \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$
  3.  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle^* = \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$
  4.  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq 0$  and  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0 \iff \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$
- The **norm** of a vector is defined as  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}$ , with the properties:
  1.  $\|\mathbf{x}\| \geq 0$
  2.  $\|\alpha \mathbf{x}\| = |\alpha| \|\mathbf{x}\|$
  3.  $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|$
- Two vectors are **orthogonal** to each other if  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$ .
- With all this in mind we can formally define an inner product over a vector space of  $p$ -periodic signals, that is

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, f(n+p) = f(n) \text{ and } g(n+p) = g(n)$$

such that  $\exists$  a smallest  $p \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$

$$(3.2) \quad \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} f(n) g^*(n).$$

- Given a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  we define a basis  $\{\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{p-1}\}$  such that  $\psi_k \perp \psi_l$  for  $k \neq l$ . Then

$$\mathbf{x} = X_0\psi_0 + X_1\psi_1 + \dots + X_{p-1}\psi_{p-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} X_k\psi_k$$

and to find  $X_l$  we project  $\mathbf{x}$  onto  $\psi_l$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{x}, \psi_l \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} X_k\psi_k, \psi_l \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} X_k \langle \psi_k, \psi_l \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and we know that there exists two cases for this equation, either  $k = l$  or  $k \neq l$ . When  $k = l$ ,  $\langle \psi_k, \psi_l \rangle \neq 0$  otherwise when  $k \neq l$  then  $\langle \psi_k, \psi_l \rangle = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{x}, \psi_l \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} X_k \langle \psi_k, \psi_l \rangle \\ &= X_l \langle \psi_l, \psi_l \rangle \end{aligned}$$

from which we can establish that

$$X_l = \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \psi_l \rangle}{\langle \psi_l, \psi_l \rangle}.$$

- We have then derived two equations:

$$(3.3) \quad (\text{Synthesis}) \quad \mathbf{x} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} X_k\psi_k \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{Analysis}) \quad X_l = \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \psi_l \rangle}{\langle \psi_l, \psi_l \rangle}.$$

- Under this perspective

$$\psi_k = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_k(0) & \psi_k(1) & \dots & \psi_k(p-1) \end{bmatrix}^T$$

and each entry  $\psi_k(n) = e^{ik\omega_0 n}$  where  $\omega_0 = \frac{2\pi}{p}$ . Observing the two different cases:

1.  $k = l$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_k, \psi_k \rangle &= \psi_k^T \psi_k^* \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} e^{ik\omega_0 n} e^{-ik\omega_0 n} \\ &= p \end{aligned}$$

2.  $k \neq l$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \psi_k, \psi_l \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} e^{ik\omega_0 n} e^{il\omega_0 n} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} e^{i(k-l)\omega_0 n} \\
 &= \frac{1 - e^{i(k-l)\omega_0 p}}{1 - e^{i(k-l)\omega_0}} \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\omega_0 p = \frac{2\pi}{p} p = 2\pi$  and  $e^{i2\pi} = 1$ .

- Note that the only frequencies that contribute come from the set  $\{0, \omega_0, 2\omega_0, \dots, (p-1)\omega_0\}$ .
- A very important fact is that the Fourier basis is  $p$ -periodic in frequency as shown below,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_{k+p}(n) &= e^{i(k+p)\frac{2\pi}{p}n} \\
 &= e^{ik\frac{2\pi}{p}n} \\
 &= \psi_k(n).
 \end{aligned}$$

- More generally, we do not confine ourselves to a fixed interval from 0 to  $p-1$ . Instead we use the contiguous set  $\langle p \rangle$ , since  $\psi_k(n)$  is  $p$ -periodic in both the time index  $n$  and frequency index  $k$ .
- Putting everything together we can then formulate another pair for the Synthesis and Analysis equations of which are more computational,

$$(3.4) \quad x(n) = \sum_{k \in \langle p \rangle} X_k e^{ik\omega_0 n} \quad (\text{Synthesis})$$

$$(3.5) \quad X_k = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{n \in \langle p \rangle} x(n) e^{-ik\omega_0 n} \quad (\text{Analysis})$$

**Example 1.** Consider the case when  $x(n) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(n - lp)$ , i.e.,  $x(n)$  is a running impulse train. Finding the Fourier basis coefficient  $X_k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_k &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{n \in \langle p \rangle} x(n) e^{-ik\omega_0 n} \\
 &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \delta(n) e^{-ik\omega_0 n} \\
 &= \frac{1}{p}
 \end{aligned}$$

then  $X_k = \frac{1}{p}$ ,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- This result is akin to the **Uncertainty Principle** in physics where the signals analog is: Assuming the universe is confined to a period (e.g., 0 to  $p - 1$ ) and if there is "little" support in the universe, then the spectrum will be everywhere.

Additionally, we can establish that

$$x(n) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \in \langle p \rangle} e^{ik\omega_0 n}$$

for when  $X_k = \frac{1}{p}$  for all  $k$ . Using this fact we have the following relation

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(n - lp) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \in \langle p \rangle} e^{ik\omega_0 n}$$

known as **Poisson's Identity**. On a last note, we can explore two particular cases:

1. For all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $n = mp$  such that  $n \bmod p = 0$ .

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \in \langle p \rangle} e^{ik\omega_0 n} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \in \langle p \rangle} e^{ik \frac{2\pi}{p} mp} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \in \langle p \rangle} e^{ik2\pi m} = 1.$$

2. For all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $n = mp$  such that  $n \bmod p \neq 0$ .

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \in \langle p \rangle} e^{ik\omega_0 n} = \frac{1 - e^{ik\omega_0 p}}{1 - e^{ik\omega_0}} = 0.$$

- **LTI Filtering of periodic DT signals:** given a signal  $x$  that passes through some filter with frequency response  $H(\omega)$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} x(n) &\longrightarrow y(n) \\ e^{ik\omega_0 n} &\longrightarrow H(k\omega_0) e^{ik\omega_0 n} \\ X_k e^{ik\omega_0 n} &\longrightarrow H(k\omega_0) X_k e^{ik\omega_0 n} \\ \sum_{k \in \langle p \rangle} X_k e^{ik\omega_0 n} &\longrightarrow \sum_{k \in \langle p \rangle} H(k\omega_0) X_k e^{ik\omega_0 n} \end{aligned}$$

where  $Y_k = H(k\omega_0) X_k$  such that  $y(n) = \sum_{k \in \langle p \rangle} Y_k e^{ik\omega_0 n}$

- Recall that if  $x(n) = x(n + lp)$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z} \implies x(n)$  is  $p$ -periodic. and if,  $X_k = X_{k+lp} \implies X_k$  is also  $p$ -periodic. Therefore, it is redundant to keep an infinite number of copies of  $x(n)$  and  $X_k$ .
- Keeping one copy of  $\{x(n)\}_{n=0}^{p-1}$  and  $\{X_k\}_{k=0}^{p-1}$  gives rise to the **Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT)**.
- **Matrix-Vector Formation of DTFS (DFT):** We know that  $x = \sum_{k \in \langle p \rangle} X_k \psi_k$ , which can be represented in terms of matrices as

$$\begin{bmatrix} x(0) \\ x(1) \\ \vdots \\ x(p-1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_0(0) & \psi_1(0) & \cdots & \psi_{p-1}(0) \\ \psi_0(1) & \psi_1(1) & \cdots & \psi_{p-1}(1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi_0(p-1) & \psi_1(p-1) & \cdots & \psi_{p-1}(p-1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_0 \\ X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_{p-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

From here we denote the matrix containing all Fourier basis functions as

$$\Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow & \uparrow & \cdots & \uparrow \\ \psi_0 & \psi_1 & \cdots & \psi_{p-1} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \cdots & \downarrow \end{bmatrix}.$$

From all of this, we can now write the Synthesis and Analysis equations in matrix-vector form as:

$$\mathbf{x} = \Psi \mathbf{X} \quad (\text{Synthesis})$$

$$\mathbf{X} = \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{x} \quad (\text{Analysis})$$

- Note that we know that the columns of  $\Psi$  are orthogonal, then

$$\Psi^T \Psi^* = \begin{bmatrix} \leftarrow & \psi_0 & \rightarrow \\ \leftarrow & \psi_1 & \rightarrow \\ & \vdots & \\ \leftarrow & \psi_{p-1} & \rightarrow \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow & \uparrow & \cdots & \uparrow \\ \psi_0^* & \psi_1^* & \cdots & \psi_{p-1}^* \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \cdots & \downarrow \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & p & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & p \end{bmatrix} = p\mathbf{I}$$

since  $\langle \psi_k, \psi_l \rangle = 0$  when  $k \neq l$ .

- Through further analysis, we now have that

$$\Psi^T \Psi^* = p\mathbf{I} \implies (\Psi^T)^* \Psi = p\mathbf{I} \implies \frac{1}{p} \Psi^H \Psi = \mathbf{I} \implies \Psi^{-1} = \frac{1}{p} \Psi^H$$

where  $\Psi^H = (\Psi^T)^*$ .

- Consequently, the Synthesis and Analysis equations derived earlier can be rewritten as

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbf{x} = \Psi \mathbf{X} \quad (\text{Synthesis})$$

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbf{X} = \frac{1}{p} \Psi^H \mathbf{x} \quad (\text{Analysis})$$

- As an aside,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \Psi^H \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \Psi \right) = \mathbf{I}$$

and we define  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \Psi$  to be the **unitary matrix** (i.e., a generalization of orthonormality to deal with complex valued elements).

**Theorem 3.1** (Parsevals' Theorem).

$$\sum_{n \in \langle p \rangle} |x(n)|^2 = p \sum_{k \in \langle p \rangle} |X_k|^2.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x(n)\|^2 &= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \\
 &= \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}^* \\
 &= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{\Psi}^T) (\mathbf{\Psi}^* \mathbf{X}) \\
 &= \mathbf{X}^T p \mathbf{I} \mathbf{X} \\
 &= p \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \\
 &= p \langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \rangle \\
 &= p \|\mathbf{X}\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

■

### 3.2 CONTINUOUS TIME FOURIER SERIES

- Note that from here on out we will use much of the geometric intuition developed in the last section regarding a signals representation as vectors in both the time and frequency domain.
- We will now discuss signals  $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  that are  $p$ -periodic, i.e.,  $x(t + p) = x(t)$ ,  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $\exists$  a smallest positive real number  $p$ .
- Similarly to a discrete time signal the Synthesis equation of a continuous time signal is

$$x(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} X_k \psi_k(t)$$

where  $\psi_k(t) = e^{ik\omega_0 t}$ .

- Notice that we are now only periodic in the time index and not in the frequency index.
- It should be obvious that the only contributing frequencies are  $\{\dots, -2\omega_0, -\omega_0, 0, \omega_0, 2\omega_0, \dots\}$ .
- Thus, unlike in discrete time, in continuous time we have an **uncountably infinite** set of points  $x(t)$  that can be decomposed into a **countably** infinite set of frequency components.

*Proof. (Periodic in the time index).*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_k(t + p) &= e^{ik\omega_0(t+p)} \\
 &= e^{ik\omega_0 t} e^{ik\omega_0 p} \\
 &= e^{ik\omega_0 t} \\
 &= \psi_k(t)
 \end{aligned}$$

■

*Proof. (Not periodic in the frequency index).*

$$\omega_{k+p}(t) = e^{i(k+p)\omega_0 t} = e^{ik\omega_0 t} e^{ip\omega_0 t}$$

where  $e^{ip\omega_0 t} = e^{i2\pi t} \neq 1 \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ , since  $e^{i2\pi t} = \cos(2\pi t) + i \sin(2\pi t) \neq 1 \forall t$ .

$\therefore \psi_{k+p}(t) \neq \psi_k(t)$ .

■



### 3.3 DISCRETE TIME FOURIER TRANSFORM

### 3.4 CONTINUOUS TIME FOURIER TRANSFORM

# CHAPTER 4

## SAMPLING AND MODULATION

---

4.1 MODULATION

4.2 SAMPLING THEOREM

4.3 Z-TRANSFORM

4.4 LAPLACE TRANSFORM