

Scan Report

May 30, 2023

Summary

This document reports on the results of an automatic security scan. All dates are displayed using the timezone “Coordinated Universal Time”, which is abbreviated “UTC”. The task was “Immediate scan of IP 172.16.1.0/24”. The scan started at Tue May 30 22:15:08 2023 UTC and ended at Tue May 30 22:36:49 2023 UTC. The report first summarises the results found. Then, for each host, the report describes every issue found. Please consider the advice given in each description, in order to rectify the issue.

Contents

1	Result Overview	2
2	Results per Host	2
2.1	172.16.1.158	2
2.1.1	High 445/tcp	3
2.1.2	Medium 135/tcp	4
2.1.3	Medium 3389/tcp	8
2.1.4	Low general/tcp	15
2.2	172.16.1.37	16
2.2.1	Medium 22/tcp	16
2.2.2	Low general/tcp	18
2.2.3	Low general/icmp	20
2.3	172.16.1.191	21
2.3.1	Medium 22/tcp	21
2.3.2	Low general/tcp	23
2.3.3	Low general/icmp	24
2.4	172.16.1.16	25
2.4.1	Medium 3389/tcp	25
2.4.2	Medium 135/tcp	29
2.5	172.16.1.192	31
2.5.1	Medium 3389/tcp	31
2.5.2	Medium 135/tcp	37

2.5.3	Low general/tcp	40
2.6	172.16.1.174	41
2.6.1	Low general/icmp	42
2.6.2	Low general/tcp	43
2.7	172.16.1.156	44
2.7.1	Low general/icmp	44
2.7.2	Low general/tcp	45
2.8	172.16.1.2	46
2.8.1	Low general/tcp	46
2.8.2	Low general/icmp	47
2.9	172.16.1.159	49
2.9.1	Low general/icmp	49
2.10	172.16.1.84	50
2.10.1	Low general/icmp	50
2.11	172.16.1.1	51
2.11.1	Low general/icmp	51
2.12	172.16.1.237	52
2.12.1	Low general/icmp	52

1 Result Overview

Host	High	Medium	Low	Log	False Positive
172.16.1.158	1	3	1	0	0
172.16.1.37	0	2	2	0	0
172.16.1.191	0	2	2	0	0
172.16.1.16	0	2	0	0	0
172.16.1.192	0	3	1	0	0
172.16.1.174	0	0	2	0	0
172.16.1.156	0	0	2	0	0
172.16.1.2	0	0	2	0	0
172.16.1.159	0	0	1	0	0
172.16.1.84	0	0	1	0	0
172.16.1.1	0	0	1	0	0
172.16.1.237	0	0	1	0	0
Total: 12	1	12	16	0	0

Vendor security updates are not trusted.

Overrides are off. Even when a result has an override, this report uses the actual threat of the result.

Information on overrides is included in the report.

Notes are included in the report.

This report might not show details of all issues that were found.

Issues with the threat level “Log” are not shown.

Issues with the threat level “Debug” are not shown.

Issues with the threat level “False Positive” are not shown.

Only results with a minimum QoD of 70 are shown.

This report contains all 29 results selected by the filtering described above. Before filtering there were 239 results.

2 Results per Host

2.1 172.16.1.158

Host scan start Tue May 30 22:15:48 2023 UTC

Host scan end Tue May 30 22:36:44 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
445/tcp	High
135/tcp	Medium
3389/tcp	Medium
general/tcp	Low

2.1.1 High 445/tcp

High (CVSS: 8.1) NVT: Microsoft Windows SMB Server Multiple Vulnerabilities-Remote (4013389)
Summary This host is missing a critical security update according to Microsoft Bulletin MS17-010.
Vulnerability Detection Result Vulnerability was detected according to the Vulnerability Detection Method.
Impact Successful exploitation will allow remote attackers to gain the ability to execute code on the target server, also could lead to information disclosure from the server.
Solution: Solution type: VendorFix The vendor has released updates. Please see the references for more information.
Affected Software/OS - Microsoft Windows 10 x32/x64 - Microsoft Windows Server 2012 - Microsoft Windows Server 2016 - Microsoft Windows 8.1 x32/x64 - Microsoft Windows Server 2012 R2 - Microsoft Windows 7 x32/x64 Service Pack 1 - Microsoft Windows Vista x32/x64 Service Pack 2 - Microsoft Windows Server 2008 R2 x64 Service Pack 1 - Microsoft Windows Server 2008 x32/x64 Service Pack 2
Vulnerability Insight Multiple flaws exist due to the way that the Microsoft Server Message Block 1.0 (SMBv1) server handles certain requests.
Vulnerability Detection Method Send the crafted SMB transaction request with fid = 0 and check the response to confirm the vulnerability. Details: Microsoft Windows SMB Server Multiple Vulnerabilities-Remote (4013389) OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.810676 Version used: 2022-08-09T10:11:17Z
References cve: CVE-2017-0143 cve: CVE-2017-0144 cve: CVE-2017-0145 cve: CVE-2017-0146
... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

```

cve: CVE-2017-0147
cve: CVE-2017-0148
cisa: Known Exploited Vulnerability (KEV) catalog
url: https://www.cisa.gov/known-exploited-vulnerabilities-catalog
url: https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/kb/4013078
url: http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96703
url: http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96704
url: http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96705
url: http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96707
url: http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96709
url: http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/96706
url: https://technet.microsoft.com/library/security/MS17-010
url: https://github.com/rapid7/metasploit-framework/pull/8167/files
cert-bund: CB-K17/0435
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-0448

```

[\[return to 172.16.1.158 \]](#)

2.1.2 Medium 135/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.0)

NVT: DCE/RPC and MSRPC Services Enumeration Reporting

Summary

Distributed Computing Environment / Remote Procedure Calls (DCE/RPC) or MSRPC services running on the remote host can be enumerated by connecting on port 135 and doing the appropriate queries.

Vulnerability Detection Result

Here is the list of DCE/RPC or MSRPC services running on this host via the TCP protocol:

Port: 49664/tcp

UUID: d95afe70-a6d5-4259-822e-2c84da1ddb0d, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49664]

Port: 49665/tcp

UUID: f6beaff7-1e19-4fbb-9f8f-b89e2018337c, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49665]

Annotation: Event log TCPIP

Port: 49666/tcp

UUID: 0d3c7f20-1c8d-4654-a1b3-51563b298bda, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]

Annotation: UserMgrCli

UUID: 1ff70682-0a51-30e8-076d-740be8cee98b, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]

Named pipe : atsvc

Win32 service or process : mstask.exe

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page...	
Description : Scheduler service	
UUID: 201ef99a-7fa0-444c-9399-19ba84f12a1a, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
Annotation: AppInfo	
UUID: 29770a8f-829b-4158-90a2-78cd488501f7, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
UUID: 2e6035b2-e8f1-41a7-a044-656b439c4c34, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
Annotation: Proxy Manager provider server endpoint	
UUID: 33d84484-3626-47ee-8c6f-e7e98b113be1, version 2	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
UUID: 378e52b0-c0a9-11cf-822d-00aa0051e40f, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
UUID: 3a9ef155-691d-4449-8d05-09ad57031823, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
UUID: 552d076a-cb29-4e44-8b6a-d15e59e2c0af, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
Annotation: IP Transition Configuration endpoint	
UUID: 58e604e8-9adb-4d2e-a464-3b0683fb1480, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
Annotation: AppInfo	
UUID: 5f54ce7d-5b79-4175-8584-cb65313a0e98, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
Annotation: AppInfo	
UUID: 86d35949-83c9-4044-b424-db363231fd0c, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
UUID: b18fbab6-56f8-4702-84e0-41053293a869, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
Annotation: UserMgrCli	
UUID: c36be077-e14b-4fe9-8abc-e856ef4f048b, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
Annotation: Proxy Manager client server endpoint	
UUID: c49a5a70-8a7f-4e70-ba16-1e8f1f193ef1, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
Annotation: Adh APIs	
UUID: d09bdeb5-6171-4a34-bfe2-06fa82652568, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
UUID: fb9a3757-cff0-4db0-b9fc-bd6c131612fd, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
Annotation: AppInfo	
UUID: fd7a0523-dc70-43dd-9b2e-9c5ed48225b1, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49666]	
Annotation: AppInfo	
Port: 49667/tcp	
UUID: 0b1c2170-5732-4e0e-8cd3-d9b16f3b84d7, version 0	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49667]	
Annotation: RemoteAccessCheck	
...continues on next page...	

...continued from previous page...

```

UUID: 12345678-1234-abcd-ef00-01234567cffb, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49667]
Named pipe : lsass
Win32 service or process : Netlogon
Description : Net Logon service
UUID: 12345778-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ab, version 0
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49667]
Named pipe : lsass
Win32 service or process : lsass.exe
Description : LSA access
UUID: 12345778-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ac, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49667]
Named pipe : lsass
Win32 service or process : lsass.exe
Description : SAM access
UUID: 51a227ae-825b-41f2-b4a9-1ac9557a1018, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49667]
Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service
UUID: 8fb74744-b2ff-4c00-be0d-9ef9a191fe1b, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49667]
Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service
UUID: b25a52bf-e5dd-4f4a-aea6-8ca7272a0e86, version 2
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49667]
Annotation: KeyIso
UUID: c9ac6db5-82b7-4e55-ae8a-e464ed7b4277, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49667]
Annotation: Impl friendly name
UUID: e3514235-4b06-11d1-ab04-00c04fc2dcd2, version 4
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49667]
Annotation: MS NT Directory DRS Interface
Port: 49669/tcp
UUID: 0b1c2170-5732-4e0e-8cd3-d9b16f3b84d7, version 0
Endpoint: ncacn_http:172.16.1.158[49669]
Annotation: RemoteAccessCheck
UUID: 12345678-1234-abcd-ef00-01234567cffb, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_http:172.16.1.158[49669]
Named pipe : lsass
Win32 service or process : Netlogon
Description : Net Logon service
UUID: 12345778-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ab, version 0
Endpoint: ncacn_http:172.16.1.158[49669]
Named pipe : lsass
Win32 service or process : lsass.exe
Description : LSA access
UUID: 12345778-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ac, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_http:172.16.1.158[49669]
Named pipe : lsass

```

...continues on next page...

...continued from previous page ...

```

Win32 service or process : lsass.exe
Description : SAM access
UUID: 51a227ae-825b-41f2-b4a9-1ac9557a1018, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_http:172.16.1.158[49669]
Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service
UUID: 8fb74744-b2ff-4c00-be0d-9ef9a191fe1b, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_http:172.16.1.158[49669]
Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service
UUID: b25a52bf-e5dd-4f4a-aea6-8ca7272a0e86, version 2
Endpoint: ncacn_http:172.16.1.158[49669]
Annotation: KeyIso
UUID: e3514235-4b06-11d1-ab04-00c04fc2dcd2, version 4
Endpoint: ncacn_http:172.16.1.158[49669]
Annotation: MS NT Directory DRS Interface
Port: 49670/tcp
UUID: 0b1c2170-5732-4e0e-8cd3-d9b16f3b84d7, version 0
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49670]
Annotation: RemoteAccessCheck
UUID: 12345678-1234-abcd-ef00-01234567cffb, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49670]
Named pipe : lsass
Win32 service or process : Netlogon
Description : Net Logon service
UUID: 12345778-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ab, version 0
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49670]
Named pipe : lsass
Win32 service or process : lsass.exe
Description : LSA access
UUID: 12345778-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ac, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49670]
Named pipe : lsass
Win32 service or process : lsass.exe
Description : SAM access
UUID: 51a227ae-825b-41f2-b4a9-1ac9557a1018, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49670]
Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service
UUID: 8fb74744-b2ff-4c00-be0d-9ef9a191fe1b, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49670]
Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service
UUID: b25a52bf-e5dd-4f4a-aea6-8ca7272a0e86, version 2
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49670]
Annotation: KeyIso
Port: 49672/tcp
UUID: 0b6edbf-a4a24-4fc6-8a23-942b1eca65d1, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49672]
UUID: 12345678-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ab, version 1
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49672]

```

...continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page...	
<p>Named pipe : spoolss Win32 service or process : spoolsv.exe Description : Spooler service UUID: 4a452661-8290-4b36-8fbe-7f4093a94978, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49672] UUID: 76f03f96-cdfd-44fc-a22c-64950a001209, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49672] UUID: ae33069b-a2a8-46ee-a235-ddfd339be281, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49672] Port: 49675/tcp UUID: 367abb81-9844-35f1-ad32-98f038001003, version 2 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49675] Port: 49690/tcp UUID: 50abc2a4-574d-40b3-9d66-ee4fd5fba076, version 5 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[49690] Named pipe : dnsserver Win32 service or process : dns.exe Description : DNS Server Port: 53931/tcp UUID: 897e2e5f-93f3-4376-9c9c-fd2277495c27, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.158[53931] Annotation: Frs2 Service Note: DCE/RPC or MSRPC services running on this host locally were identified. Reporting this list is not enabled by default due to the possible large size of this list. See the script preferences to enable this reporting.</p>	
Impact	An attacker may use this fact to gain more knowledge about the remote host.
Solution:	
Solution type: Mitigation	Filter incoming traffic to this ports.
Vulnerability Detection Method	
Details: DCE/RPC and MSRPC Services Enumeration Reporting	
OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.10736	
Version used: 2022-06-03T10:17:07Z	

[\[return to 172.16.1.158 \]](#)

2.1.3 Medium 3389/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.0) NVT: SSL/TLS: Report Weak Cipher Suites
<p>Summary</p> <p>This routine reports all Weak SSL/TLS cipher suites accepted by a service. NOTE: No severity for SMTP services with 'Opportunistic TLS' and weak cipher suites on port 25/tcp is reported. If too strong cipher suites are configured for this service the alternative would be to fall back to an even more insecure cleartext communication.</p>
<p>Vulnerability Detection Result</p> <p>'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.0 protocol: TLS_RSA_WITH_RC4_128_MD5 TLS_RSA_WITH_RC4_128_SHA 'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.1 protocol: TLS_RSA_WITH_RC4_128_MD5 TLS_RSA_WITH_RC4_128_SHA 'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol: TLS_RSA_WITH_RC4_128_MD5 TLS_RSA_WITH_RC4_128_SHA</p>
<p>Solution:</p> <p>Solution type: Mitigation</p> <p>The configuration of this services should be changed so that it does not accept the listed weak cipher suites anymore. Please see the references for more resources supporting you with this task.</p>
<p>Vulnerability Insight</p> <p>These rules are applied for the evaluation of the cryptographic strength:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - RC4 is considered to be weak (CVE-2013-2566, CVE-2015-2808) - Ciphers using 64 bit or less are considered to be vulnerable to brute force methods and therefore considered as weak (CVE-2015-4000) - 1024 bit RSA authentication is considered to be insecure and therefore as weak - Any cipher considered to be secure for only the next 10 years is considered as medium - Any other cipher is considered as strong
<p>Vulnerability Detection Method</p> <p>Details: SSL/TLS: Report Weak Cipher Suites OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103440 Version used: 2021-12-01T13:10:37Z</p>
<p>References</p> <p>cve: CVE-2013-2566 cve: CVE-2015-2808 cve: CVE-2015-4000 url: https://www.bsi.bund.de/SharedDocs/Warntmeldungen/DE/CB/warntmeldung_cb-k16-1-465_update_6.html url: https://bettercrypto.org/</p>
... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

url: <https://mozilla.github.io/server-side-tls/ssl-config-generator/>

cert-bund: CB-K21/0067

cert-bund: CB-K19/0812

cert-bund: CB-K17/1750

cert-bund: CB-K16/1593

cert-bund: CB-K16/1552

cert-bund: CB-K16/1102

cert-bund: CB-K16/0617

cert-bund: CB-K16/0599

cert-bund: CB-K16/0168

cert-bund: CB-K16/0121

cert-bund: CB-K16/0090

cert-bund: CB-K16/0030

cert-bund: CB-K15/1751

cert-bund: CB-K15/1591

cert-bund: CB-K15/1550

cert-bund: CB-K15/1517

cert-bund: CB-K15/1514

cert-bund: CB-K15/1464

cert-bund: CB-K15/1442

cert-bund: CB-K15/1334

cert-bund: CB-K15/1269

cert-bund: CB-K15/1136

cert-bund: CB-K15/1090

cert-bund: CB-K15/1059

cert-bund: CB-K15/1022

cert-bund: CB-K15/1015

cert-bund: CB-K15/0986

cert-bund: CB-K15/0964

cert-bund: CB-K15/0962

cert-bund: CB-K15/0932

cert-bund: CB-K15/0927

cert-bund: CB-K15/0926

cert-bund: CB-K15/0907

cert-bund: CB-K15/0901

cert-bund: CB-K15/0896

cert-bund: CB-K15/0889

cert-bund: CB-K15/0877

cert-bund: CB-K15/0850

cert-bund: CB-K15/0849

cert-bund: CB-K15/0834

cert-bund: CB-K15/0827

cert-bund: CB-K15/0802

cert-bund: CB-K15/0764

cert-bund: CB-K15/0733

cert-bund: CB-K15/0667

cert-bund: CB-K14/0935

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

cert-bund: CB-K13/0942
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2021-0775
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2020-1561
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2020-1276
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-1821
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1692
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1648
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1168
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0665
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0642
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0184
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0135
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0101
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0035
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1853
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1679
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1632
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1608
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1542
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1518
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1406
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1341
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1194
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1144
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1113
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1078
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1067
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1038
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1016
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1012
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0980
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0977
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0976
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0960
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0956
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0944
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0937
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0925
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0884
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0881
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0879
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0866
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0844
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0800
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0737
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0696
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0977

Medium (CVSS: 4.3) NVT: SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection
Summary It was possible to detect the usage of the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocol on this system.
Vulnerability Detection Result In addition to TLSv1.2+ the service is also providing the deprecated TLSv1.0 and ↪ TLSv1.1 protocols and supports one or more ciphers. Those supported ciphers c ↪an be found in the 'SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites' (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1 ↪.25623.1.0.802067) VT.
Impact An attacker might be able to use the known cryptographic flaws to eavesdrop the connection between clients and the service to get access to sensitive data transferred within the secured connection. Furthermore newly uncovered vulnerabilities in this protocols won't receive security updates anymore.
Solution: Solution type: Mitigation It is recommended to disable the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocols in favor of the TLSv1.2+ protocols. Please see the references for more information.
Affected Software/OS All services providing an encrypted communication using the TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocols.
Vulnerability Insight The TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 protocols contain known cryptographic flaws like: - CVE-2011-3389: Browser Exploit Against SSL/TLS (BEAST) - CVE-2015-0204: Factoring Attack on RSA-EXPORT Keys Padding Oracle On Downgraded Legacy Encryption (FREAK)
Vulnerability Detection Method Check the used TLS protocols of the services provided by this system. Details: SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117274 Version used: 2021-07-19T08:11:48Z
References cve: CVE-2011-3389 cve: CVE-2015-0204 url: https://ssl-config.mozilla.org/ url: https://bettercrypto.org/ url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc8996/ url: https://vnhacker.blogspot.com/2011/09/beast.html
... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

```

url: https://web.archive.org/web/20201108095603/https://censys.io/blog/freak
url: https://www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/algorithms-key-size-and-parameters
↔-report-2014
cert-bund: CB-K18/0799
cert-bund: CB-K16/1289
cert-bund: CB-K16/1096
cert-bund: CB-K15/1751
cert-bund: CB-K15/1266
cert-bund: CB-K15/0850
cert-bund: CB-K15/0764
cert-bund: CB-K15/0720
cert-bund: CB-K15/0548
cert-bund: CB-K15/0526
cert-bund: CB-K15/0509
cert-bund: CB-K15/0493
cert-bund: CB-K15/0384
cert-bund: CB-K15/0365
cert-bund: CB-K15/0364
cert-bund: CB-K15/0302
cert-bund: CB-K15/0192
cert-bund: CB-K15/0079
cert-bund: CB-K15/0016
cert-bund: CB-K14/1342
cert-bund: CB-K14/0231
cert-bund: CB-K13/0845
cert-bund: CB-K13/0796
cert-bund: CB-K13/0790
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2020-0177
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2020-0111
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2019-0068
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2018-1441
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2018-1408
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1372
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1164
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0388
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1853
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1332
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0884
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0800
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0758
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0567
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0544
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0530
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0396
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0375
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0374
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0305

```

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0199
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0079
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0021
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-1414
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1847
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1792
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1979
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1829
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1530
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1380
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1377
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1292
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1214
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1213
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1180
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1156
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1155
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1039
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0956
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0908
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0868
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0867
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0848
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0838
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0776
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0722
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0638
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0627
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0451
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0418
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0354
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0234
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0221
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0177
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0170
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0146
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0142
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0126
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0123
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0095
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0051
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0047
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0021
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1953
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1946
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1844
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1826

...continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

```
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1774
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1743
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1738
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1706
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1628
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1627
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1619
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1482
```

[\[return to 172.16.1.158 \]](#)**2.1.4 Low general/tcp**

Low (CVSS: 2.6)

NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure

Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

Vulnerability Detection Result

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.

The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:

Packet 1: 3034206852

Packet 2: 3034207956

Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

Solution:

Solution type: Mitigation

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.

To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled'

Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled.

The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment.

See the references for more information.

Affected Software/OS

TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.

Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

Vulnerability Detection Method

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.

Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091

Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z

References

url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323>

url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323>

url: <https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152>

[\[return to 172.16.1.158 \]](#)

2.2 172.16.1.37

Host scan start Tue May 30 22:15:48 2023 UTC

Host scan end Tue May 30 22:27:45 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
22/tcp	Medium
general/tcp	Low
general/icmp	Low

2.2.1 Medium 22/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.3)

NVT: Weak Key Exchange (KEX) Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)

Summary

The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak key exchange (KEX) algorithm(s).

Vulnerability Detection Result

The remote SSH server supports the following weak KEX algorithm(s):

KEX algorithm	Reason

diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha1	Using SHA-1
diffie-hellman-group1-sha1	Using Oakley Group 2 (a 1024-bit MODP group
↳) and SHA-1	

diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha1 | Using SHA-1

diffie-hellman-group1-sha1 | Using Oakley Group 2 (a 1024-bit MODP group
↳) and SHA-1

Impact

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...
An attacker can quickly break individual connections.
Solution: Solution type: Mitigation Disable the reported weak KEX algorithm(s) - 1024-bit MODP group / prime KEX algorithms: Alternatively use elliptic-curve Diffie-Hellman in general, e.g. Curve 25519.
Vulnerability Insight - 1024-bit MODP group / prime KEX algorithms: Millions of HTTPS, SSH, and VPN servers all use the same prime numbers for Diffie-Hellman key exchange. Practitioners believed this was safe as long as new key exchange messages were generated for every connection. However, the first step in the number field sieve-the most efficient algorithm for breaking a Diffie-Hellman connection-is dependent only on this prime. A nation-state can break a 1024-bit prime.
Vulnerability Detection Method Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server. Currently weak KEX algorithms are defined as the following: - non-elliptic-curve Diffie-Hellmann (DH) KEX algorithms with 1024-bit MODP group / prime - ephemeral generated key exchange groups uses SHA-1 - using RSA 1024-bit modulus key Details: Weak Key Exchange (KEX) Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH) OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.150713 Version used: 2022-12-08T10:12:32Z
References url: https://weakdh.org/sysadmin.html url: https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9142.html url: https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9142.html#name-summary-guidance-for-imple ↪m url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc6194
Medium (CVSS: 4.3) NVT: Weak Encryption Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)
Summary The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak encryption algorithm(s).
Vulnerability Detection Result The remote SSH server supports the following weak client-to-server encryption al ↪gorithm(s): 3des-cbc aes128-cbc aes192-cbc
... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page...	
<pre> aes256-cbc blowfish-cbc cast128-cbc The remote SSH server supports the following weak server-to-client encryption al gorithms(s): 3des-cbc aes128-cbc aes192-cbc aes256-cbc blowfish-cbc cast128-cbc </pre>	
Solution:	
Solution type: Mitigation	
Disable the reported weak encryption algorithm(s).	
Vulnerability Insight	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The 'arcfour' cipher is the Arcfour stream cipher with 128-bit keys. The Arcfour cipher is believed to be compatible with the RC4 cipher [SCHNEIER]. Arcfour (and RC4) has problems with weak keys, and should not be used anymore. - The 'none' algorithm specifies that no encryption is to be done. Note that this method provides no confidentiality protection, and it is NOT RECOMMENDED to use it. - A vulnerability exists in SSH messages that employ CBC mode that may allow an attacker to recover plaintext from a block of ciphertext. 	
Vulnerability Detection Method	
<p>Checks the supported encryption algorithms (client-to-server and server-to-client) of the remote SSH server.</p> <p>Currently weak encryption algorithms are defined as the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Arcfour (RC4) cipher based algorithms - none algorithm - CBC mode cipher based algorithms <p>Details: Weak Encryption Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)</p> <p>OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105611</p> <p>Version used: 2022-12-09T10:11:04Z</p>	
References	
url: https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.3	
url: https://www.kb.cert.org/vuls/id/958563	

[\[return to 172.16.1.37 \]](#)

2.2.2 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6) NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure
Summary The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.
Vulnerability Detection Result It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 3379532511 Packet 2: 3379533603
Impact A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.
Solution: Solution type: Mitigation To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.
Affected Software/OS TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.
Vulnerability Insight The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.
Vulnerability Detection Method Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported. Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091 Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z
References url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323 url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323 url: https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

[[return to 172.16.1.37](#)]

2.2.3 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1) NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
Summary The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.
Vulnerability Detection Result The following response / ICMP packet has been received: - ICMP Type: 14 - ICMP Code: 0
Impact This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.
Solution: Solution type: Mitigation Various mitigations are possible: - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)
Vulnerability Insight The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.
Vulnerability Detection Method Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received. Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190 Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z
References cve: CVE-1999-0524 url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792 url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780 cert-bund: CB-K15/1514 cert-bund: CB-K14/0632 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[\[return to 172.16.1.37 \]](#)

2.3 172.16.1.191

Host scan start Tue May 30 22:15:48 2023 UTC
 Host scan end Tue May 30 22:28:36 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
22/tcp	Medium
general/tcp	Low
general/icmp	Low

2.3.1 Medium 22/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.3) NVT: Weak Key Exchange (KEX) Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)										
Summary The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak key exchange (KEX) algorithm(s).										
Vulnerability Detection Result The remote SSH server supports the following weak KEX algorithm(s): <table><tr><th>KEX algorithm</th><th>Reason</th></tr><tr><td colspan="2">-----</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">↪-----</td></tr><tr><td>diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha1</td><td>Using SHA-1</td></tr><tr><td>diffie-hellman-group1-sha1</td><td>Using Oakley Group 2 (a 1024-bit MODP group ↪) and SHA-1</td></tr></table>	KEX algorithm	Reason	-----		↪-----		diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha1	Using SHA-1	diffie-hellman-group1-sha1	Using Oakley Group 2 (a 1024-bit MODP group ↪) and SHA-1
KEX algorithm	Reason									

↪-----										
diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha1	Using SHA-1									
diffie-hellman-group1-sha1	Using Oakley Group 2 (a 1024-bit MODP group ↪) and SHA-1									
Impact An attacker can quickly break individual connections.										
Solution: Solution type: Mitigation Disable the reported weak KEX algorithm(s) - 1024-bit MODP group / prime KEX algorithms: Alternatively use elliptic-curve Diffie-Hellmann in general, e.g. Curve 25519.										
Vulnerability Insight - 1024-bit MODP group / prime KEX algorithms: Millions of HTTPS, SSH, and VPN servers all use the same prime numbers for Diffie-Hellman key exchange. Practitioners believed this was safe as long as new key exchange messages were generated for every connection. However, the first step in the number field sieve-the most efficient algorithm for breaking a Diffie-Hellman connection-is dependent only on this prime. A nation-state can break a 1024-bit prime.										
Vulnerability Detection Method ... continues on next page ...										

...continued from previous page ...
<p>Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server. Currently weak KEX algorithms are defined as the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - non-elliptic-curve Diffie-Hellmann (DH) KEX algorithms with 1024-bit MODP group / prime - ephemeral generated key exchange groups uses SHA-1 - using RSA 1024-bit modulus key <p>Details: Weak Key Exchange (KEX) Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH) OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.150713 Version used: 2022-12-08T10:12:32Z</p>
<p>References</p> <p>url: https://weakdh.org/sysadmin.html url: https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9142.html url: https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9142.html#name-summary-guidance-for-imple \hookrightarrowm url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc6194</p>

<p>Medium (CVSS: 4.3) NVT: Weak Encryption Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)</p>
<p>Summary</p> <p>The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak encryption algorithm(s).</p>
<p>Vulnerability Detection Result</p> <p>The remote SSH server supports the following weak client-to-server encryption algorithm(s):</p> <p>3des-cbc aes128-cbc aes192-cbc aes256-cbc blowfish-cbc cast128-cbc</p> <p>The remote SSH server supports the following weak server-to-client encryption algorithm(s):</p> <p>3des-cbc aes128-cbc aes192-cbc aes256-cbc blowfish-cbc cast128-cbc</p>
<p>Solution: Solution type: Mitigation Disable the reported weak encryption algorithm(s).</p>
<p>Vulnerability Insight</p> <p>... continues on next page ...</p>

...continued from previous page ...
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The 'arcfour' cipher is the Arcfour stream cipher with 128-bit keys. The Arcfour cipher is believed to be compatible with the RC4 cipher [SCHNEIER]. Arcfour (and RC4) has problems with weak keys, and should not be used anymore. - The 'none' algorithm specifies that no encryption is to be done. Note that this method provides no confidentiality protection, and it is NOT RECOMMENDED to use it. - A vulnerability exists in SSH messages that employ CBC mode that may allow an attacker to recover plaintext from a block of ciphertext.
<p>Vulnerability Detection Method</p> <p>Checks the supported encryption algorithms (client-to-server and server-to-client) of the remote SSH server.</p> <p>Currently weak encryption algorithms are defined as the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Arcfour (RC4) cipher based algorithms - none algorithm - CBC mode cipher based algorithms <p>Details: Weak Encryption Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)</p> <p>OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105611</p> <p>Version used: 2022-12-09T10:11:04Z</p>
<p>References</p> <p>url: https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4253#section-6.3</p> <p>url: https://www.kb.cert.org/vuls/id/958563</p>

[\[return to 172.16.1.191 \]](#)

2.3.2 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6)
NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure
<p>Summary</p> <p>The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.</p>
<p>Vulnerability Detection Result</p> <p>It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.</p> <p>The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:</p> <p>Packet 1: 3375672524</p> <p>Packet 2: 3375673587</p>
<p>Impact</p> <p>A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.</p>
<p>Solution:</p> <p>Solution type: Mitigation</p> <p>To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.</p>
...continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...
<p>To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.</p>
<p>Affected Software/OS TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.</p>
<p>Vulnerability Insight The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.</p>
<p>Vulnerability Detection Method Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported. Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091 Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z</p>
<p>References url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323 url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323 url: https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152</p>

[[return to 172.16.1.191](#)]

2.3.3 Low general/icmp

<p>Low (CVSS: 2.1) NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure</p>
<p>Summary The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.</p>
<p>Vulnerability Detection Result The following response / ICMP packet has been received: - ICMP Type: 14 - ICMP Code: 0</p>
<p>Impact This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.</p>
... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page...

Solution:**Solution type:** Mitigation

Various mitigations are possible:

- Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely
- Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)

Vulnerability Insight

The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.

Vulnerability Detection Method

Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received.

Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190

Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z

References

cve: CVE-1999-0524

url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792>url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780>

cert-bund: CB-K15/1514

cert-bund: CB-K14/0632

dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[\[return to 172.16.1.191 \]](#)**2.4 172.16.1.16**

Host scan start Tue May 30 22:15:48 2023 UTC

Host scan end Tue May 30 22:35:24 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
3389/tcp	Medium
135/tcp	Medium

2.4.1 Medium 3389/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 4.3) NVT: SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection
Summary It was possible to detect the usage of the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocol on this system.
Vulnerability Detection Result In addition to TLSv1.2+ the service is also providing the deprecated TLSv1.0 and ↪ TLSv1.1 protocols and supports one or more ciphers. Those supported ciphers c ↪an be found in the 'SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites' (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1 ↪.25623.1.0.802067) VT.
Impact An attacker might be able to use the known cryptographic flaws to eavesdrop the connection between clients and the service to get access to sensitive data transferred within the secured connection. Furthermore newly uncovered vulnerabilities in this protocols won't receive security updates anymore.
Solution: Solution type: Mitigation It is recommended to disable the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocols in favor of the TLSv1.2+ protocols. Please see the references for more information.
Affected Software/OS All services providing an encrypted communication using the TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocols.
Vulnerability Insight The TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 protocols contain known cryptographic flaws like: - CVE-2011-3389: Browser Exploit Against SSL/TLS (BEAST) - CVE-2015-0204: Factoring Attack on RSA-EXPORT Keys Padding Oracle On Downgraded Legacy Encryption (FREAK)
Vulnerability Detection Method Check the used TLS protocols of the services provided by this system. Details: SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117274 Version used: 2021-07-19T08:11:48Z
References cve: CVE-2011-3389 cve: CVE-2015-0204 url: https://ssl-config.mozilla.org/ url: https://bettercrypto.org/ url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc8996/ url: https://vnhacker.blogspot.com/2011/09/beast.html
... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

```

url: https://web.archive.org/web/20201108095603/https://censys.io/blog/freak
url: https://www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/algorithms-key-size-and-parameters
↔-report-2014
cert-bund: CB-K18/0799
cert-bund: CB-K16/1289
cert-bund: CB-K16/1096
cert-bund: CB-K15/1751
cert-bund: CB-K15/1266
cert-bund: CB-K15/0850
cert-bund: CB-K15/0764
cert-bund: CB-K15/0720
cert-bund: CB-K15/0548
cert-bund: CB-K15/0526
cert-bund: CB-K15/0509
cert-bund: CB-K15/0493
cert-bund: CB-K15/0384
cert-bund: CB-K15/0365
cert-bund: CB-K15/0364
cert-bund: CB-K15/0302
cert-bund: CB-K15/0192
cert-bund: CB-K15/0079
cert-bund: CB-K15/0016
cert-bund: CB-K14/1342
cert-bund: CB-K14/0231
cert-bund: CB-K13/0845
cert-bund: CB-K13/0796
cert-bund: CB-K13/0790
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2020-0177
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2020-0111
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2019-0068
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2018-1441
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2018-1408
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1372
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1164
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0388
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1853
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1332
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0884
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0800
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0758
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0567
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0544
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0530
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0396
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0375
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0374
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0305

```

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0199
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0079
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0021
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-1414
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1847
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1792
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1979
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1829
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1530
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1380
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1377
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1292
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1214
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1213
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1180
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1156
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1155
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1039
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0956
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0908
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0868
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0867
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0848
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0838
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0776
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0722
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0638
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0627
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0451
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0418
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0354
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0234
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0221
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0177
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0170
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0146
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0142
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0126
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0123
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0095
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0051
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0047
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0021
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1953
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1946
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1844
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1826

...continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

```
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1774
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1743
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1738
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1706
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1628
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1627
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1619
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1482
```

[\[return to 172.16.1.16 \]](#)

2.4.2 Medium 135/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.0)

NVT: DCE/RPC and MSRPC Services Enumeration Reporting

Summary

Distributed Computing Environment / Remote Procedure Calls (DCE/RPC) or MSRPC services running on the remote host can be enumerated by connecting on port 135 and doing the appropriate queries.

Vulnerability Detection Result

Here is the list of DCE/RPC or MSRPC services running on this host via the TCP protocol:

Port: 49664/tcp

UUID: 0b1c2170-5732-4e0e-8cd3-d9b16f3b84d7, version 0

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49664]

Annotation: RemoteAccessCheck

UUID: 12345778-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ac, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49664]

Named pipe : lsass

Win32 service or process : lsass.exe

Description : SAM access

UUID: 51a227ae-825b-41f2-b4a9-1ac9557a1018, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49664]

Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service

UUID: 8fb74744-b2ff-4c00-be0d-9ef9a191fe1b, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49664]

Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service

UUID: b25a52bf-e5dd-4f4a-aea6-8ca7272a0e86, version 2

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49664]

Annotation: KeyIso

Port: 49665/tcp

UUID: d95afe70-a6d5-4259-822e-2c84da1ddb0d, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49665]

Port: 49666/tcp

...continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page...	
<p> UUID: f6beaff7-1e19-4fbb-9f8f-b89e2018337c, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49666] Annotation: Event log TCPIP Port: 49667/tcp UUID: 3a9ef155-691d-4449-8d05-09ad57031823, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49667] UUID: 86d35949-83c9-4044-b424-db363231fd0c, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49667] Port: 49668/tcp UUID: 29770a8f-829b-4158-90a2-78cd488501f7, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49668] Port: 49669/tcp UUID: 0b6edbf8-4a24-4fc6-8a23-942b1eca65d1, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49669] UUID: 12345678-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ab, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49669] Named pipe : spoolss Win32 service or process : spoolsv.exe Description : Spooler service UUID: 4a452661-8290-4b36-8fbe-7f4093a94978, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49669] UUID: 76f03f96-cdfd-44fc-a22c-64950a001209, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49669] UUID: ae33069b-a2a8-46ee-a235-ddfd339be281, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49669] Port: 49670/tcp UUID: 0b1c2170-5732-4e0e-8cd3-d9b16f3b84d7, version 0 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49670] Annotation: RemoteAccessCheck UUID: 51a227ae-825b-41f2-b4a9-1ac9557a1018, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49670] Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service UUID: 8fb74744-b2ff-4c00-be0d-9ef9a191fe1b, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49670] Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service UUID: b25a52bf-e5dd-4f4a-aea6-8ca7272a0e86, version 2 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49670] Annotation: KeyIso Port: 49671/tcp UUID: 367abb81-9844-35f1-ad32-98f038001003, version 2 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.16[49671] Note: DCE/RPC or MSRPC services running on this host locally were identified. Re- porting this list is not enabled by default due to the possible large size of this list. See the script preferences to enable this reporting. </p>	
Impact An attacker may use this fact to gain more knowledge about the remote host.	
...continues on next page...	

...continued from previous page ...

Solution:**Solution type:** Mitigation

Filter incoming traffic to this ports.

Vulnerability Detection Method

Details: DCE/RPC and MSRPC Services Enumeration Reporting

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.10736

Version used: 2022-06-03T10:17:07Z

[\[return to 172.16.1.16 \]](#)**2.5 172.16.1.192**

Host scan start Tue May 30 22:15:48 2023 UTC

Host scan end Tue May 30 22:36:20 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
3389/tcp	Medium
135/tcp	Medium
general/tcp	Low

2.5.1 Medium 3389/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.0)

NVT: SSL/TLS: Report Weak Cipher Suites

Summary

This routine reports all Weak SSL/TLS cipher suites accepted by a service.

NOTE: No severity for SMTP services with 'Opportunistic TLS' and weak cipher suites on port 25/tcp is reported. If too strong cipher suites are configured for this service the alternative would be to fall back to an even more insecure cleartext communication.

Vulnerability Detection Result

'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.0 protocol:

TLS_RSA_WITH_RC4_128_MD5

TLS_RSA_WITH_RC4_128_SHA

'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.1 protocol:

TLS_RSA_WITH_RC4_128_MD5

TLS_RSA_WITH_RC4_128_SHA

'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:

TLS_RSA_WITH_RC4_128_MD5

TLS_RSA_WITH_RC4_128_SHA

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

Solution:**Solution type:** Mitigation

The configuration of this services should be changed so that it does not accept the listed weak cipher suites anymore.

Please see the references for more resources supporting you with this task.

Vulnerability Insight

These rules are applied for the evaluation of the cryptographic strength:

- RC4 is considered to be weak (CVE-2013-2566, CVE-2015-2808)
- Ciphers using 64 bit or less are considered to be vulnerable to brute force methods and therefore considered as weak (CVE-2015-4000)
- 1024 bit RSA authentication is considered to be insecure and therefore as weak
- Any cipher considered to be secure for only the next 10 years is considered as medium
- Any other cipher is considered as strong

Vulnerability Detection Method

Details: SSL/TLS: Report Weak Cipher Suites

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103440

Version used: 2021-12-01T13:10:37Z

References

cve: CVE-2013-2566

cve: CVE-2015-2808

cve: CVE-2015-4000

url: https://www.bsi.bund.de/SharedDocs/Warntmeldungen/DE/CB/warntmeldung_cb-k16-1-465_update_6.html

url: <https://bettercrypto.org/>

url: <https://mozilla.github.io/server-side-tls/ssl-config-generator/>

cert-bund: CB-K21/0067

cert-bund: CB-K19/0812

cert-bund: CB-K17/1750

cert-bund: CB-K16/1593

cert-bund: CB-K16/1552

cert-bund: CB-K16/1102

cert-bund: CB-K16/0617

cert-bund: CB-K16/0599

cert-bund: CB-K16/0168

cert-bund: CB-K16/0121

cert-bund: CB-K16/0090

cert-bund: CB-K16/0030

cert-bund: CB-K15/1751

cert-bund: CB-K15/1591

cert-bund: CB-K15/1550

cert-bund: CB-K15/1517

cert-bund: CB-K15/1514

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

cert-bund: CB-K15/1464
cert-bund: CB-K15/1442
cert-bund: CB-K15/1334
cert-bund: CB-K15/1269
cert-bund: CB-K15/1136
cert-bund: CB-K15/1090
cert-bund: CB-K15/1059
cert-bund: CB-K15/1022
cert-bund: CB-K15/1015
cert-bund: CB-K15/0986
cert-bund: CB-K15/0964
cert-bund: CB-K15/0962
cert-bund: CB-K15/0932
cert-bund: CB-K15/0927
cert-bund: CB-K15/0926
cert-bund: CB-K15/0907
cert-bund: CB-K15/0901
cert-bund: CB-K15/0896
cert-bund: CB-K15/0889
cert-bund: CB-K15/0877
cert-bund: CB-K15/0850
cert-bund: CB-K15/0849
cert-bund: CB-K15/0834
cert-bund: CB-K15/0827
cert-bund: CB-K15/0802
cert-bund: CB-K15/0764
cert-bund: CB-K15/0733
cert-bund: CB-K15/0667
cert-bund: CB-K14/0935
cert-bund: CB-K13/0942
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2021-0775
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2020-1561
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2020-1276
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-1821
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1692
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1648
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1168
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0665
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0642
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0184
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0135
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0101
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0035
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1853
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1679
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1632
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1608

...continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

```

dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1542
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1518
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1406
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1341
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1194
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1144
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1113
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1078
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1067
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1038
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1016
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1012
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0980
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0977
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0976
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0960
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0956
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0944
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0937
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0925
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0884
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0881
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0879
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0866
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0844
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0800
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0737
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0696
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0977

```

Medium (CVSS: 4.3)

NVT: SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection

Summary

It was possible to detect the usage of the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocol on this system.

Vulnerability Detection Result

In addition to TLSv1.2+ the service is also providing the deprecated TLSv1.0 and ↵ TLSv1.1 protocols and supports one or more ciphers. Those supported ciphers c ↵an be found in the 'SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites' (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1 ↵.25623.1.0.802067) VT.

Impact

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...
<p>An attacker might be able to use the known cryptographic flaws to eavesdrop the connection between clients and the service to get access to sensitive data transferred within the secured connection.</p> <p>Furthermore newly uncovered vulnerabilities in this protocols won't receive security updates anymore.</p>
<p>Solution:</p> <p>Solution type: Mitigation</p> <p>It is recommended to disable the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocols in favor of the TLSv1.2+ protocols. Please see the references for more information.</p>
<p>Affected Software/OS</p> <p>All services providing an encrypted communication using the TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocols.</p>
<p>Vulnerability Insight</p> <p>The TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 protocols contain known cryptographic flaws like:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - CVE-2011-3389: Browser Exploit Against SSL/TLS (BEAST) - CVE-2015-0204: Factoring Attack on RSA-EXPORT Keys Padding Oracle On Downgraded Legacy Encryption (FREAK)
<p>Vulnerability Detection Method</p> <p>Check the used TLS protocols of the services provided by this system.</p> <p>Details: SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection</p> <p>OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117274</p> <p>Version used: 2021-07-19T08:11:48Z</p>
<p>References</p> <p>cve: CVE-2011-3389</p> <p>cve: CVE-2015-0204</p> <p>url: https://ssl-config.mozilla.org/</p> <p>url: https://bettercrypto.org/</p> <p>url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc8996/</p> <p>url: https://vnhacker.blogspot.com/2011/09/beast.html</p> <p>url: https://web.archive.org/web/20201108095603/https://censys.io/blog/freak</p> <p>url: https://www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/algorithms-key-size-and-parameters</p> <p>↔-report-2014</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K18/0799</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K16/1289</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K16/1096</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K15/1751</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K15/1266</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K15/0850</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K15/0764</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K15/0720</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K15/0548</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K15/0526</p>
... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

cert-bund: CB-K15/0509
cert-bund: CB-K15/0493
cert-bund: CB-K15/0384
cert-bund: CB-K15/0365
cert-bund: CB-K15/0364
cert-bund: CB-K15/0302
cert-bund: CB-K15/0192
cert-bund: CB-K15/0079
cert-bund: CB-K15/0016
cert-bund: CB-K14/1342
cert-bund: CB-K14/0231
cert-bund: CB-K13/0845
cert-bund: CB-K13/0796
cert-bund: CB-K13/0790
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2020-0177
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2020-0111
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2019-0068
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2018-1441
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2018-1408
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1372
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1164
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0388
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1853
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1332
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0884
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0800
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0758
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0567
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0544
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0530
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0396
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0375
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0374
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0305
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0199
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0079
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0021
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-1414
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1847
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1792
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1979
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1829
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1530
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1380
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1377
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1292
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1214

...continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

```
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1213
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1180
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1156
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1155
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1039
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0956
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0908
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0868
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0867
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0848
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0838
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0776
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0722
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0638
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0627
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0451
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0418
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0354
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0234
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0221
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0177
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0170
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0146
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0142
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0126
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0123
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0095
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0051
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0047
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0021
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1953
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1946
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1844
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1826
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1774
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1743
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1738
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1706
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1628
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1627
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1619
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1482
```

[\[return to 172.16.1.192 \]](#)

2.5.2 Medium 135/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.0)

NVT: DCE/RPC and MSRPC Services Enumeration Reporting

Summary

Distributed Computing Environment / Remote Procedure Calls (DCE/RPC) or MSRPC services running on the remote host can be enumerated by connecting on port 135 and doing the appropriate queries.

Vulnerability Detection Result

Here is the list of DCE/RPC or MSRPC services running on this host via the TCP protocol:

Port: 49664/tcp

UUID: d95afe70-a6d5-4259-822e-2c84da1ddb0d, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49664]

Port: 49665/tcp

UUID: f6beaff7-1e19-4fbb-9f8f-b89e2018337c, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49665]

Annotation: Event log TCPIP

Port: 49666/tcp

UUID: 0d3c7f20-1c8d-4654-a1b3-51563b298bda, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]

Annotation: UserMgrCli

UUID: 201ef99a-7fa0-444c-9399-19ba84f12a1a, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]

Annotation: AppInfo

UUID: 29770a8f-829b-4158-90a2-78cd488501f7, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]

UUID: 2e6035b2-e8f1-41a7-a044-656b439c4c34, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]

Annotation: Proxy Manager provider server endpoint

UUID: 33d84484-3626-47ee-8c6f-e7e98b113be1, version 2

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]

UUID: 3a9ef155-691d-4449-8d05-09ad57031823, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]

UUID: 552d076a-cb29-4e44-8b6a-d15e59e2c0af, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]

Annotation: IP Transition Configuration endpoint

UUID: 58e604e8-9adb-4d2e-a464-3b0683fb1480, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]

Annotation: AppInfo

UUID: 5f54ce7d-5b79-4175-8584-cb65313a0e98, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]

Annotation: AppInfo

UUID: 86d35949-83c9-4044-b424-db363231fd0c, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]

UUID: b18fbab6-56f8-4702-84e0-41053293a869, version 1

Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]

Annotation: UserMgrCli

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page...	
UUID: c36be077-e14b-4fe9-8abc-e856ef4f048b, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]	
Annotation: Proxy Manager client server endpoint	
UUID: c49a5a70-8a7f-4e70-ba16-1e8f1f193ef1, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]	
Annotation: Adh APIs	
UUID: d09bdeb5-6171-4a34-bfe2-06fa82652568, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]	
UUID: fb9a3757-cff0-4db0-b9fc-bd6c131612fd, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]	
Annotation: AppInfo	
UUID: fd7a0523-dc70-43dd-9b2e-9c5ed48225b1, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49666]	
Annotation: AppInfo	
Port: 49667/tcp	
UUID: 0b1c2170-5732-4e0e-8cd3-d9b16f3b84d7, version 0	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49667]	
Annotation: RemoteAccessCheck	
UUID: 12345778-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ac, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49667]	
Named pipe : lsass	
Win32 service or process : lsass.exe	
Description : SAM access	
UUID: 51a227ae-825b-41f2-b4a9-1ac9557a1018, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49667]	
Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service	
UUID: 8fb74744-b2ff-4c00-be0d-9ef9a191fe1b, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49667]	
Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service	
UUID: b25a52bf-e5dd-4f4a-aea6-8ca7272a0e86, version 2	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49667]	
Annotation: KeyIso	
Port: 49668/tcp	
UUID: 0b6edbfa-4a24-4fc6-8a23-942b1eca65d1, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49668]	
UUID: 12345678-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ab, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49668]	
Named pipe : spoolss	
Win32 service or process : spoolsv.exe	
Description : Spooler service	
UUID: 4a452661-8290-4b36-8fbe-7f4093a94978, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49668]	
UUID: 76f03f96-cdfd-44fc-a22c-64950a001209, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49668]	
UUID: ae33069b-a2a8-46ee-a235-ddfd339be281, version 1	
Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49668]	
Port: 49669/tcp	
...continues on next page...	

<p>...continued from previous page ...</p> <p>UUID: 367abb81-9844-35f1-ad32-98f038001003, version 2 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49669] Port: 49703/tcp UUID: 12345778-1234-abcd-ef00-0123456789ac, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49703] Named pipe : lsass Win32 service or process : lsass.exe Description : SAM access UUID: 51a227ae-825b-41f2-b4a9-1ac9557a1018, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49703] Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service UUID: 8fb74744-b2ff-4c00-be0d-9ef9a191fe1b, version 1 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49703] Annotation: Ngc Pop Key Service UUID: b25a52bf-e5dd-4f4a-aea6-8ca7272a0e86, version 2 Endpoint: ncacn_ip_tcp:172.16.1.192[49703] Annotation: KeyIso Note: DCE/RPC or MSRPC services running on this host locally were identified. Reporting this list is not enabled by default due to the possible large size of this list. See the script preferences to enable this reporting.</p>
<p>Impact An attacker may use this fact to gain more knowledge about the remote host.</p>
<p>Solution: Solution type: Mitigation Filter incoming traffic to this ports.</p>
<p>Vulnerability Detection Method Details: DCE/RPC and MSRPC Services Enumeration Reporting OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.10736 Version used: 2022-06-03T10:17:07Z</p>

[\[return to 172.16.1.192 \]](#)

2.5.3 Low general/tcp

<p>Low (CVSS: 2.6) NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure</p>
<p>Summary The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.</p>
<p>Vulnerability Detection Result It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. ... continues on next page ...</p>

...continued from previous page...
<p>The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:</p> <p>Packet 1: 3719822726</p> <p>Packet 2: 3719823822</p>
<p>Impact</p> <p>A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.</p>
<p>Solution:</p> <p>Solution type: Mitigation</p> <p>To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.</p> <p>To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled'</p> <p>Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment.</p> <p>See the references for more information.</p>
<p>Affected Software/OS</p> <p>TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.</p>
<p>Vulnerability Insight</p> <p>The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.</p>
<p>Vulnerability Detection Method</p> <p>Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.</p> <p>Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure</p> <p>OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091</p> <p>Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z</p>
<p>References</p> <p>url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323</p> <p>url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323</p> <p>url: https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152</p>

[\[return to 172.16.1.192 \]](#)

2.6 172.16.1.174

Host scan start Tue May 30 22:15:48 2023 UTC
 Host scan end Tue May 30 22:35:34 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/icmp	Low
general/tcp	Low

2.6.1 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1) NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
Summary The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.
Vulnerability Detection Result The following response / ICMP packet has been received: - ICMP Type: 14 - ICMP Code: 0
Impact This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.
Solution: Solution type: Mitigation Various mitigations are possible: - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)
Vulnerability Insight The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.
Vulnerability Detection Method Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received. Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190 Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z
References cve: CVE-1999-0524 url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792 url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780 cert-bund: CB-K15/1514
... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

cert-bund: CB-K14/0632
 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[[return to 172.16.1.174](#)]**2.6.2 Low general/tcp**

Low (CVSS: 2.6)

NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure

Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

Vulnerability Detection Result

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.

The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:

Packet 1: 99819819

Packet 2: 99820903

Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

Solution:

Solution type: Mitigation

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.

To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled.

The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment.

See the references for more information.

Affected Software/OS

TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.

Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

Vulnerability Detection Method

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.

Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...
Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z
References url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323 url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323 url: https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

[\[return to 172.16.1.174 \]](#)

2.7 172.16.1.156

Host scan start Tue May 30 22:15:48 2023 UTC

Host scan end Tue May 30 22:35:47 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/icmp	Low
general/tcp	Low

2.7.1 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1) NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
Summary The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.
Vulnerability Detection Result The following response / ICMP packet has been received: - ICMP Type: 14 - ICMP Code: 0
Impact This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.
Solution: Solution type: Mitigation Various mitigations are possible: - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)
... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

Vulnerability Insight

The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.

Vulnerability Detection Method

Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received.

Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190

Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z

References

cve: CVE-1999-0524

url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792>

url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780>

cert-bund: CB-K15/1514

cert-bund: CB-K14/0632

dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[\[return to 172.16.1.156 \]](#)

2.7.2 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6)

NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure

Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

Vulnerability Detection Result

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.

The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:

Packet 1: 3336728958

Packet 2: 3336730069

Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

Solution:

Solution type: Mitigation

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.

To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled.

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...
The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.
Affected Software/OS TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.
Vulnerability Insight The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.
Vulnerability Detection Method Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported. Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091 Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z
References url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323 url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323 url: https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

[\[return to 172.16.1.156 \]](#)

2.8 172.16.1.2

Host scan start Tue May 30 22:15:48 2023 UTC

Host scan end Tue May 30 22:28:22 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/tcp	Low
general/icmp	Low

2.8.1 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6) NVT: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure
Summary The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime. ... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page...
Vulnerability Detection Result It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 4244889616 Packet 2: 4244890697
Impact A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.
Solution: Solution type: Mitigation To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.
Affected Software/OS TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.
Vulnerability Insight The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.
Vulnerability Detection Method Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported. Details: TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091 Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z
References url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323 url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323 url: https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

[[return to 172.16.1.2](#)]

2.8.2 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1) NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
Summary The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.
Vulnerability Detection Result The following response / ICMP packet has been received: - ICMP Type: 14 - ICMP Code: 0
Impact This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.
Solution: Solution type: Mitigation Various mitigations are possible: - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)
Vulnerability Insight The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.
Vulnerability Detection Method Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received. Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190 Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z
References cve: CVE-1999-0524 url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792 url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780 cert-bund: CB-K15/1514 cert-bund: CB-K14/0632 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[\[return to 172.16.1.2 \]](#)

2.9 172.16.1.159

Host scan start Tue May 30 22:15:48 2023 UTC
 Host scan end Tue May 30 22:26:35 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/icmp	Low

2.9.1 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1) NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
Summary The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.
Vulnerability Detection Result The following response / ICMP packet has been received: - ICMP Type: 14 - ICMP Code: 0
Impact This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.
Solution: Solution type: Mitigation Various mitigations are possible: - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)
Vulnerability Insight The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.
Vulnerability Detection Method Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received. Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190 Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z
References ... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

```

cve: CVE-1999-0524
url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792
url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780
cert-bund: CB-K15/1514
cert-bund: CB-K14/0632
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

```

[[return to 172.16.1.159](#)]

2.10 172.16.1.84

Host scan start Tue May 30 22:15:48 2023 UTC

Host scan end Tue May 30 22:26:16 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/icmp	Low

2.10.1 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1)

NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure

Summary

The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.

Vulnerability Detection Result

The following response / ICMP packet has been received:

- ICMP Type: 14
- ICMP Code: 0

Impact

This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.

Solution:

Solution type: Mitigation

Various mitigations are possible:

- Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely
- Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)

Vulnerability Insight

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.

Vulnerability Detection Method

Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received.

Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190

Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z

References

cve: CVE-1999-0524

url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792>

url: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780>

cert-bund: CB-K15/1514

cert-bund: CB-K14/0632

dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[\[return to 172.16.1.84 \]](#)

2.11 172.16.1.1

Host scan start Tue May 30 22:15:48 2023 UTC

Host scan end Tue May 30 22:26:36 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/icmp	Low

2.11.1 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1)

NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure

Summary

The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.

Vulnerability Detection Result

The following response / ICMP packet has been received:

- ICMP Type: 14
- ICMP Code: 0

Impact

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...
<p>This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.</p>
<p>Solution: Solution type: Mitigation Various mitigations are possible: - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)</p>
<p>Vulnerability Insight The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.</p>
<p>Vulnerability Detection Method Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received. Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190 Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z</p>
<p>References cve: CVE-1999-0524 url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792 url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780 cert-bund: CB-K15/1514 cert-bund: CB-K14/0632 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658</p>

[\[return to 172.16.1.1 \]](#)

2.12 172.16.1.237

Host scan start Tue May 30 22:15:48 2023 UTC
 Host scan end Tue May 30 22:26:14 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
general/icmp	Low

2.12.1 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1) NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
Summary The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.
Vulnerability Detection Result The following response / ICMP packet has been received: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- ICMP Type: 14- ICMP Code: 0
Impact This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.
Solution: Solution type: Mitigation Various mitigations are possible: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely- Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)
Vulnerability Insight The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.
Vulnerability Detection Method Sends an ICMP Timestamp (Type 13) request and checks if a Timestamp Reply (Type 14) is received. Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190 Version used: 2023-05-11T09:09:33Z
References cve: CVE-1999-0524 url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792 url: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780 cert-bund: CB-K15/1514 cert-bund: CB-K14/0632 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[\[return to 172.16.1.237 \]](#)