

Software Verification Project
- AS 2015 -

Roger Koradi, Samuel Ueltschi
ETH Zürich, Switzerland

November 13, 2015

Abstract

This paper documents and discusses the authors' solutions to a project accompanying the ETH's *Software Verification* course in autumn semester 2015.

1 Introduction

Producing provably correct programs is becoming more and more important as society is being automatised and software is written that has control over safety-critical components, e.g. a car's brakes.

Proving a program correct is a tedious task, which leads to both, a high demand for tools assisting such proofs and an increased interest in research driving the development of these tools. The ETH's master course in *Software Verification* is - at the time of writing - encouraging students taking the course to complete a couple tasks requiring them to experiment with two notable verification tools: Autoproof[1] for Eiffel and Boogie[2] for the verification language with the same name.

In a first part of the project, the students are asked, this year, to complete an Eiffel program such that Autoproof can verify it. The program can be found in the appendix (A.1). We discuss our solution in section 2 and provide full code for our solution in section 4.

A second part of the project consists of modelling a sorting algorithm that alternates between quick- and bucketsort, depending on the elements in the array passed to it, in boogie. The appendix holds a more detailed description of the algorithm in form of a boogie template (??). We discuss our approach in section 3, along with some issues and interesting behaviours we came across and provide full code of our solution in section 5.

2 Autoproof

We are given a class

```
class
    SV_AUTOPROOF

feature
    lst : SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER]
```

And we will specify its features below in a way such that Autoproof can verify them.

We refer to Appendix(A.1) for the complete code that we modify.

2.1 wipe

Wipe(A.1.1) takes an array of integers and resets all its item to 0 We add a loop invariant

```
across 1 |..| (k-1) as i all
    x.sequence [i.item] = 0 end
```

This is sufficient, because the first postcondition,

```
x.count = old x.count
```

is already maintained by another invariant.

2.2 mod_three

Procedure *mod_three* (A.1.2) takes two integer arrays a, b of equal length, uses of *wipe* on both and returns b with its every third element set to one. First, we maintain that the amount of integers in b does not change:

```
b.count = b.count.old_
```

This invariant is necessary. Without it, the assignment

```
b[k] := a[k] + 1
```

may be out of bounds from Autoproof's point of view, because we iterate over the length of a , which is specified to be constant and only initially equal to the length of b .

Then, we need to postulate that each iteration over the loop can by itself change b :

```
modify(b)
```

Omitting this invariant will lead to Autoproof's insisting that b has never changed and that any further invariant claiming otherwise couldn't possibly be maintained.

Having specified that, we can now add an invariant

```
across 1 |..| (k-1) as i all
    (i.item \ 3 = 0) implies
        b.sequence [i.item] = 1 end
```

which will claim that every third item we already iterated over in a is one in b . The assignment may read

```
b [k] := a[k] + 1
```

but the postcondition from $wipe(a)$ allows Autoproof to deduce the element's being set to one without any further specification of ours.

2.3 swapper

$Swapper(A.1.4)$ relies on $swap(A.1.3)$ to reverse lst (which is global).

The loop here goes

```
from
    x := 1
    y := lst.count
until
    y <= x
```

and after each iteration, x is incremented by one and y is decremented by one. This allows us to use $y \neq 0$ as a way of specifying an invariant that trivially holds before $y := lst.count$ has been executed and specifies some useful property afterwards - in our case, we use it to specify that once initialised, both x and y are within the bounds of lst , and therefore satisfy the precondition of $swap$.

```
y > 0 implies (1 <= x and x <= lst.count and 1 <= y
    and y <= lst.count)
```

For Autoproof to be able to proof that the swapped list is a permutation of the original list, we need to specify that all items not swapped remained the same. $Swap$ itself does provide such a postcondition, however, this is insufficient because the *old* lst $swap$'s postcondition is mentioning is in fact the lst at the moment $swapper$ is calling $swap$, which changes with each iteration. We must link these two "olds" explicitly:

```
across x |..| y as i all lst.sequence[i.item] =
    lst.sequence.old_[i.item] end
```

Swapper's postcondition states

```
across 1 |..| lst.count as i all lst.sequence [i.item]
    = (old lst.sequence) [lst.count - i.item + 1] end
```

This directly motivates the addition of the following two loop invariants:

```
x > 1 implies across 1 |..| (x-1) as i all lst.
    sequence[i.item] = lst.sequence.old_[lst.count-i.
    item + 1] end
```

```
x > 1 implies across 1 |..| (x-1) as i all lst.
    sequence[lst.count-i.item+1] = lst.sequence.old_[i.
    item] end
```

Where we are again using $x \geq 1$ as a way of saying "x and y have both been initialised". We need to split the postcondition into two parts because the items in-between x and y have not been swapped yet.

However, we are using $lst.count-i.item+1$ so that it matches the postcondition and Autoproof cannot proof that just yet because it's never actually using this expression in the loop. What we thus require is another invariant that specifies the index the loop is using to correlate to the arithmetic in the postcondition and the invariants:

```
y = lst.count - x + 1
```

2.4 search

Search (A.1.5) is traversing *lst* backwards and returns *True* iff it contains search key v . We need to specify both, postconditions and invariants, so we start with the postconditions to help us find the invariants we need.

First, we specify that *lst*, who is required to be wrapped, will remain so.

```
lst.is_wrapped
```

Since *search* does not contain a *modify*-clause for *lst*, we do not need to explicitly specify that it does not change *lst*.

We then specify the actual return value:

```
Result implies across 1 |..| lst.count as i some lst.
    sequence[i.item] = v end
(not Result) implies across 1 |..| lst.count as i all
    lst.sequence[i.item] /= v end
```

We could have replaced these two implications by an equality

```
Result = across 1 |..| lst.count as i some lst .
    sequence[i.item] = v end
```

but not doing so allowed us to check each direction individually, which helped in finding the required invariants.

The loop here goes

```
from
    k := lst.count
    Result := False
until
    Result or k < 1
```

We first specify k to remain within the allowed range of indices for lst , using $k \neq 0$ to ignore its value prior to entering the loop and after exiting the loop when lst does not contain v :

```
k > 0 implies (1 <= k and k <= lst.count)
```

Because *Result* is only ever set when the current iteration finds v and k is only decremented when we do not, specifying the case where we do find v becomes easy:

```
Result implies (lst.sequence[k] = v)
```

To specify the case where we do not find v , we take into account that k is being decremented, starting from the last valid index down to zero, which means at any time during the iteration, all elements with a valid index greater than k have been checked not to be v .

```
(not Result) implies (across (k+1) |..| lst.count as i
    all lst.sequence[i.item] /= v end)
```

2.5 prod_sum

Prod_sum (A.1.6) was a less complicated matter. In fact, copying the postcondition proved sufficient:

```
zz * y + xx = xx.old_
```

2.6 paly

Paly (A.1.7) takes an integer array and returns *True* iff its elements form a palindrome (i.e. represent an integer string whose every prefix is a reversed suffix). We need to specify both, postconditions and invariants, and we start again with the postconditions to help us find the invariants:

```

Result implies across 1 |..| a.count as i all a.
  sequence[i.item] = a.sequence[a.count-i.item + 1]
end
(not Result) implies across 1 |..| a.count as i some a
  .sequence[i.item] /= a.sequence[a.count-i.item+1]
end

```

As with *search*, we could have expressed these two implications as a single equality but choose not to for easier reasoning about it.

The loop here goes

```

from
  x := 1
  y := a.count
  Result := True
until
  x >= y or not Result

```

We first specify x and y to remain within the bounds of the array, using $y \neq 0$ to avoid violation on entry.

```

y > 0 implies (1 <= x and x <= a.count and 1 <= y and
  y <= a.count)

```

We then define a different interpretation for y to allow its translation into the arithmetic expected by the postconditions.

```

y = a.count - x + 1

```

Result is initialised to *True* and set to false as soon as we encounter a prefix that is not a reversed suffix. We exploit the fact that x is incremented with every iteration to express that *Result* = *True* implies "after at least one iteration" that the prefix iterated over so far is a reversed suffix:

```

(x > 1 and Result) implies across 1 |..| (x-1) as i
  all a.sequence[i.item] = a.sequence[a.count-i.item
    + 1] end

```

Because *Result* is iterated to true, we need no such trick for the other direction.

```

(not Result) implies across 1 |..| a.count as i some a
  .sequence[i.item] /= a.sequence[a.count-i.item + 1]
end

```

3 Boogie

TODO

3.1 Implementation

TODO: discuss design choices

TODO: present model

3.2 Specification

TODO: discuss specification choices ("in particular, permutation")

TODO: describe difficulties + how they were overcome

TODO: contrast Boogie - Autoproof

3.3 Verification

TODO: report "significant problems" (e.g. which procedures could be verified, and which could not)

TODO: describe changes to implementation/specification made to simplify proofs

TODO: describe which parts of the specification you could not verify and why

TODO: explain how you achieved modular verification

4 Eiffel Solution Code

```

class
  SV_AUTOPROOF

feature
  lst: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER]

4.0.1 wipe

feature
  wipe (x: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER])
  note
    explicit: wrapping
  require
    x /= Void
    modify (x)
  local
    k: INTEGER
  do
    from
      k := 1
    invariant
      x.is_wrapped
      x.count = x.count.old_
      across 1 |..| (k-1) as i all x.
        sequence [i.item] = 0 end
    until
      k > x.count
    loop
      x [k] := 0
      k := k + 1
    end
  ensure
    x.count = old x.count
    across 1 |..| x.count as i all x.sequence [i.
      item] = 0 end
  end
end

```

4.0.2 mod_three

```

mod_three (a, b: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER])
note
    explicit: wrapping
require
    a /= Void
    b /= Void
    a /= b
    a.count = b.count
    a.count > 0
    modify (a, b)
local
    k: INTEGER
do
    wipe (a)
    wipe (b)
from
    k := 1
invariant
    a.is_wrapped and b.is_wrapped
    a.count = a.count.old_
    modify(b)
    b.count = b.count.old_
    across 1 |..| (k-1) as i all (i.item
        \ 3 = 0) implies b.sequence [i.
            item] = 1 end
until
    k > a.count
loop
    if k \ 3 = 0 then
        b [k] := a[k] + 1
    end
    k := k + 1
end
ensure
    across 1 |..| b.count as i all (i.item \ 3 =
        0) implies b.sequence [i.item] = 1 end
end

```

4.0.3 swap

```
feature
swap (x, y: INTEGER)
note
    explicit: wrapping
require
    lst.is_wrapped
    1 <= x and x <= lst.count
    1 <= y and y <= lst.count
    modify (lst)
local
    z: INTEGER
do
    z := lst [x]
    lst [x] := lst [y]
    lst [y] := z
ensure
    lst.is_wrapped
    lst.count = old lst.count
    across 1 |..| lst.count as i all i.item /= x
        and i.item /= y implies lst.sequence [i.
            item] = (old lst.sequence) [i.item] end
    lst.sequence [x] = (old lst.sequence) [y]
    lst.sequence [y] = (old lst.sequence) [x]
end
```

4.0.4 swapper

```

swapper
note
    explicit: wrapping
require
    lst.is_wrapped
    lst /= Void
    modify (lst)
local
    x, y: INTEGER
do
    from
        x := 1
        y := lst.count
    invariant
        lst.is_wrapped
        lst.sequence.count = lst.sequence.old_
            .count

        y > 0 implies (1 <= x and x <= lst.
            count and 1 <= y and y <= lst.count
            )
        across x |..| y as i all lst.sequence[
            i.item] = lst.sequence.old_[i.item]
        end
            — necessary because the "old"
            are out of sync (swapper's
            "old" is different from
            swap's)

        y = lst.count - x + 1
            —necessary for the following
            two invariants to succeed
        x > 1 implies across 1 |..| (x-1) as i
            all lst.sequence[i.item] = lst.
            sequence.old_[lst.count-i.item + 1]
        end
        x > 1 implies across 1 |..| (x-1) as i
            all lst.sequence[lst.count-i.item
            +1] = lst.sequence.old_[i.item] end

    until
        y <= x

```

```
        loop
            swap (x, y)
            x := x + 1
            y := y - 1
        end
    ensure
        lst.is_wrapped
        lst.sequence.count = (old lst.sequence).count
        across 1 |..| lst.count as i all lst.sequence
            [i.item] = (old lst.sequence) [lst.count -
                i.item + 1] end
    end
```

4.0.5 search

```

feature
  search (v: INTEGER): BOOLEAN
  note
    status: impure
  require
    lst.is_wrapped
    lst /= Void
  local
    k: INTEGER
  do
    from
      k := lst.count
      Result := False
    invariant
      k > 0 implies (1 <= k and k <= lst.count)
      Result implies (lst.sequence[k] = v)
      (not Result) implies (across (k+1)
        |..| lst.count as i all lst.
          sequence[i.item] /= v end)
    until
      Result or k < 1
    loop
      if lst [k] = v then
        Result := True
      else
        k := k - 1
      end
    variant
      k - if Result then 1 else 0 end
    end
  ensure
    lst.is_wrapped
    Result implies across 1 |..| lst.count as i
      some lst.sequence[i.item] = v end
    (not Result) implies across 1 |..| lst.count
      as i all lst.sequence[i.item] /= v end
  end

```

4.0.6 prod_sum**feature****xx, zz : INTEGER****prod_sum (y: INTEGER)****require**

xx >= 0

zz >= 0

y > 0

do**from**

zz := 0

invariant

is_open

inv

zz * y + xx = xx.old_

until

xx < y

loop

zz := zz + 1

xx := xx - y

end**ensure**zz * y + xx = **old** xx**end**

4.0.7 paly

```

feature
  paly (a: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER]) : BOOLEAN
  note
    explicit: wrapping
  require
    a /= Void
  local
    x, y: INTEGER
  do
    from
      x := 1
      y := a.count
      Result := True
    invariant
      y > 0 implies (1 <= x and x <= a.count
        and 1 <= y and y <= a.count)
      y = a.count - x + 1
      —necessary for the following
      invariant to succeed
      (x > 1 and Result) implies across 1
        |..| (x-1) as i all a.sequence[i.
          item] = a.sequence[a.count-i.item +
            1] end
      (not Result) implies across 1 |..| a.
        count as i some a.sequence[i.item]
          /= a.sequence[a.count-i.item + 1]
      end
    until
      x >= y or not Result
    loop
      if a [x] /= a [y] then
        Result := False
      end
      x := x + 1
      y := y - 1
    variant
      y - x
    end
  ensure
    Result implies across 1 |..| a.count as i all
      a.sequence[i.item] = a.sequence[a.count-i.
        item + 1] end

```



```
        (not Result) implies across 1 |..| a.count as
            i some a.sequence[i.item] /= a.sequence[a.
                count-i.item+1] end
    end

end -- end of class
```

5 Boogie Solution Code

TODO

6 Conclusion

TODO

References

- [1] URL: <http://se.inf.ethz.ch/research/autoproof/>.
- [2] K. Rustan M. Leino. *This is Boogie 2*. 2008. URL: <https://github.com/boogie-org/boogie>.

Appendices

A Provided Code

A.1 Unadulterated Eiffel Code

```

class
    SV_AUTOPROOF

feature
    lst: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER]

A.1.1 wipe

feature
    wipe (x: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER])
    note
        explicit: wrapping
    require
        x /= Void
        modify (x)
    local
        k: INTEGER
    do
        from
            k := 1
        invariant
            x.is_wrapped
            x.count = x.count.old_
            -- ADD MISSING LOOP INVARIANT(S)
        until
            k > x.count
        loop
            x [k] := 0
            k := k + 1
        end
    ensure
        x.count = old x.count
        across 1 |..| x.count as i all x.sequence [i.
            item] = 0 end
    end
end

```

A.1.2 mod_three

```

mod_three (a, b: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER])
note
    explicit: wrapping
require
    a /= Void
    b /= Void
    a /= b
    a.count = b.count
    a.count > 0
    modify (a, b)
local
    k: INTEGER
do
    wipe (a)
    wipe (b)
from
        k := 1
invariant
    a.is_wrapped and b.is_wrapped
    a.count = a.count.old_
    — ADD MISSING LOOP INVARIANT(S)
until
    k > a.count
loop
    if k \ 3 = 0 then
        b [k] := a[k] + 1
    end
    k := k + 1
end
ensure
    across 1 |..| b.count as i all (i.item \ 3 =
        0) implies b.sequence [i.item] = 1 end
end

```

A.1.3 swap

```

feature
swap (x, y: INTEGER)
note
    explicit: wrapping
require
    lst.is_wrapped
    1 <= x and x <= lst.count
    1 <= y and y <= lst.count
    modify (lst)
local
    z: INTEGER
do
    z := lst [x]
    lst [x] := lst [y]
    lst [y] := z
ensure
    lst.is_wrapped
    lst.count = old lst.count
    across 1 |..| lst.count as i all i.item /= x
        and i.item /= y implies lst.sequence [i.
            item] = (old lst.sequence) [i.item] end
    lst.sequence [x] = (old lst.sequence) [y]
    lst.sequence [y] = (old lst.sequence) [x]
end

```

A.1.4 swapper

```

swapper
note
    explicit: wrapping
require
    lst.is_wrapped
    lst /= Void
    modify (lst)
local
    x, y: INTEGER
do
    from
        x := 1
        y := lst.count
    invariant
        lst.is_wrapped
        lst.sequence.count = lst.sequence.old_
            .count
        -- ADD MISSING LOOP INVARIANT(S)
    until
        y <= x
    loop
        swap (x, y)
        x := x + 1
        y := y - 1
    end
ensure
    lst.is_wrapped
    lst.sequence.count = (old lst.sequence).count
    across 1 |..| lst.count as i all lst.sequence
        [i.item] = (old lst.sequence) [lst.count -
            i.item + 1] end
end

```

A.1.5 search

```

feature
search (v: INTEGER): BOOLEAN
note
    status: impure
require
    lst.is_wrapped
    lst /= Void
local
    k: INTEGER
do
    from
        k := lst.count
        Result := False
    invariant
        — ADD MISSING LOOP INVARIANT(S)
    until
        Result or k < 1
    loop
        if lst [k] = v then
            Result := True
        else
            k := k - 1
        end
    variant
        k — if Result then 1 else 0 end
    end
ensure
    — ADD MISSING POSTCONDITION(S)
end

```


A.1.6 prod_sum**feature****xx, zz : INTEGER****prod_sum** (y: **INTEGER**)**require**

xx >= 0

zz >= 0

y > 0

do**from**

zz := 0

invariant

is_open

inv

*-- ADD MISSING LOOP INVARIANT(S)***until**

xx < y

loop

zz := zz + 1

xx := xx - y

end**ensure**zz * y + xx = **old** xx**end**

A.1.7 paly

```

feature
  paly (a: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER]) : BOOLEAN
  note
    explicit: wrapping
  require
    a /= Void
  local
    x, y: INTEGER
  do
    from
      x := 1
      y := a.count
      Result := True
    invariant
      -- ADD MISSING LOOP INVARIANT(S)
    until
      x >= y or not Result
    loop
      if a [x] /= a [y] then
        Result := False
      end
      x := x + 1
      y := y - 1
    variant
      y - x
    end
  ensure
    -- ADD MISSING POSTCONDITION(S)
  end

end --end of class

```

A.2 Boogie Template

```

// Introduce a constant 'N' and postulate that it is
// non-negative
const N: int;
axiom 0 <= N;

// Declare a map from integers to integers.
// 'a' should be treated as an array of 'N' elements,
// indexed from 0 to N-1
var a: [int]int;

// Returns true iff the elements of 'arr' are small (i
// .e. values in the range -3N to +3N)
function has_small_elements(arr: [int]int): bool
{
  (forall i: int :: (0 <= i && i < N) ==> (-3 * N <=
    arr[i] && arr[i] <= 3 * N))
}

// Sorts 'a' using bucket sort or quick sort, as
// determined by has_small_elements(a)
procedure sort() returns ()
modifies a;
{
  if (has_small_elements(a))
  {
    // sort 'a' using bucket sort
  } else
  {
    // sort 'a' using quick sort
  }
}

```