Software Verification Project - AS 2015 -

Roger Koradi, Samuel Ueltschi ETH Zürich, Switzerland

November 20, 2015

Abstract

This paper documents and discusses the authors' solutions to a project accompanying the ETH's *Software Verification* course in autumn semester 2015.

1 Introduction

Producing provably correct programs is becoming more and more important as society is being automatised and software is written that has control over safety-critical components, e.g. a car's brakes.

Proving a program correct is a tedious task, which leads to both, a high demand for tools assisting such proofs and an increased interest in research driving the development of these tools. The ETH's master course in *Software Verification* is - at the time of writing - encouraging students taking the course to complete a couple tasks requiring them to experiment with two notable verification tools: Autoproof[autoproof] for Eiffel and Boogie[boogie] for the verification language with the same name.

In a first part of the project, the students are asked, this year, to complete an Eiffel program such that Autoproof can verify it. The program can be found in the appendix (A.1). We discuss our solution in section 2 and provide full code for our solution in section 4.

A second part of the project consists of modelling a sorting algorithm that alternates between quick- and bucketsort, depending on the elements in the array passed to it, in boogie. The appendix holds a more detailed description of the algorithm in form of a boogie template (??). We discuss our approach in section 3, along with some issues and interesting behaviours we came across and provide full code of our solution in section 5.

2 Autoproof

We are given a class

class

SV_AUTOPROOF

feature

lst: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER]

And we will specify its features below in a way such that Autoproof can verify them.

We refer to Appendix(A.1) for the complete code that we modify.

2.1 wipe

Wipe(A.1.1) takes an array of integers and resets all its item to 0 We add a loop invariant

across 1 |..|
$$(k-1)$$
 as i all x.sequence [i.item] = 0 end

This is sufficient, because the first postcondition,

x.count = old x.count

is already maintained by another invariant.

2.2 mod_three

Procedure mod_three (A.1.2) takes two integer arrays a,b of equal length, uses of wipe on both and returns b with its every third element set to one. First, we maintain that the amount of integers in b does not change:

b.count = b.count.old_

This invariant is necessary. Without it, the assignment

$$b[k] := a[k] + 1$$

may be out of bounds from Autoproof's point of view, because we iterate over the length of a, which is specified to be constant and only initially equal to the length of b.

Then, we need to postulate that each iteration over the loop can by itself change b:

modify(b)

Omitting this invariant will lead to Autoproof's insisting that b has never changed and that any further invariant claiming otherwise couldn't possibly be maintained.

Having specified that, we can now add an invariant

```
across 1 |..| (k-1) as i all (i.item \setminus \setminus 3 = 0) implies b.sequence [i.item] = 1 end
```

which will claim that every third item we already iterated over in a is one in b. The assignment may read

$$b [k] := a[k] + 1$$

but the postcondition from wipe(a) allows Autoproof to deduce the element's being set to one without any further specification of ours.

2.3 swapper

Swapper(A.1.4) relies on swap(A.1.3) to reverse lst (which is global).

The loop here goes

from

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & x := 1 \\ y := 1st.count \\ & \\ & y <= x \end{array}$$

and after each iteration, x is incremented by one and y is decremented by one. This allows us to use $y \not o 0$ as a way of specifying an invariant that trivially holds before y := lst.count has been executed and specifies some useful property afterwards - in our case, we use it to specify that once initialised, both x and y are within the bounds of lst, and therefore satisfy the precondition of swap.

$$y > 0$$
 implies $(1 \le x \text{ and } x \le lst.count \text{ and } 1 \le y$
and $y \le lst.count)$

For Autoproof to be able to proof that the swapped list is a permutation of the original list, we need to specify that all items not swapped remained the same. Swap itself does provide such a postcondition, however, this is insufficient because the old lst swap's postcondition is mentioning is in fact the lst at the moment swapper is calling swap, which changes with each iteration. We must link these two "olds" explicitly:

```
across x | .. | y as i all lst.sequence[i.item] = lst.sequence.old_[i.item] end
```

Swapper's postcondition states

```
across 1 |..| lst.count as i all lst.sequence [i.item] = (old \ lst.sequence) \ [lst.count - i.item + 1] \ end
```

This directly motivates the addition of the following two loop invariants:

```
x > 1 implies across 1 |..| (x-1) as i all lst. sequence[i.item] = lst.sequence.old_[lst.count-i.item + 1] end
```

```
x > 1 implies across 1 \mid ... \mid (x-1) as i all lst. sequence [lst.count-i.item+1] = lst.sequence.old_[i.item] end
```

Where we are again using $x \not\in 1$ as a way of saying "x and y have both been initialised". We need to split the postcondition into two parts because the items in-between x and y have not been swapped yet.

However, we are using *lst.count-i.item+1* so that it matches the postcondition and Autoproof cannot proof that just yet because it's never actually using this expression in the loop. What we thus require is another invariant that specifies the index the loop is using to correlate to the arithmetic in the postcondition and the invariants:

```
y = lst.count - x + 1
```

2.4 search

Search (A.1.5) is traversing lst backwards and returns True iff it contains search key v. We need to specify both, postconditions and invariants, so we start with the postconditions to help us find the invariants we need.

First, we specify that *lst*, who is required to be wrapped, will remain so.

```
lst.is_wrapped
```

Since *search* does not contain a *modify*-clause for *lst*, we do not need to explicitly specify that it does not change *lst*.

We then specify the actual return value:

```
Result implies across 1 |..| lst.count as i some lst.
sequence[i.item] = v end

(not Result) implies across 1 |..| lst.count as i all
lst.sequence[i.item] /= v end
```

We could have replaced these two implications by an equality

```
\mathbf{Result} = \mathbf{across} \ 1 \ | .. | \ lst.count \ \mathbf{as} \ i \ some \ lst. sequence[i.item] = v \ \mathbf{end}
```

but not doing so allowed us to check each direction individually, which helped in finding the required invariants.

The loop here goes

from

k := lst.count Result := False

until

Result or k < 1

We first specify k to remain within the allowed range of indices for lst, using $k \not\in 0$ to ignore its value prior to entering the loop and after exiting the loop when lst does not contain v:

```
k > 0 implies (1 \le k \text{ and } k \le lst.count)
```

Because Result is only ever set when the current iteration finds v and k is only decremented when we do not, specifying the case where we do find v becomes easy:

```
Result implies (lst.sequence[k] = v)
```

To specify the case where we do not find v, we take into account that k is being decremented, starting from the last valid index down to zero, which means at any time during the iteration, all elements with a valid index greater than k have been checked not to be v.

```
(not Result) implies (across (k+1) |..| lst.count as i all lst.sequence[i.item] /= v end)
```

2.5 prod_sum

Prod_sum (A.1.6) was a less complicated matter. In fact, copying the post-condition proved sufficient:

```
zz * y + xx = xx.old
```

2.6 paly

Paly (A.1.7) takes an integer array and returns *True* iff its elements form a palindrome (i.e. represent an integer string whose every prefix is a reversed suffix). We need to specify both, postconditions and invariants, and we start again with the postconditions to help us find the invariants:

```
Result implies across 1 |..| a.count as i all a.
sequence[i.item] = a.sequence[a.count-i.item + 1]
end
(not Result) implies across 1 |..| a.count as i some a
.sequence[i.item] /= a.sequence[a.count-i.item+1]
end
```

As with *search*, we could have expressed these two implications as a single equality but choose not to for easier reasoning about it.

The loop here goes

from

```
x := 1
y := a.count
Result := True
```

until

$$x >= y$$
 or not Result

We first specify x and y to remain within the bounds of the array, using $y \in \theta$ to avoid violation on entry.

```
y > 0 implies (1 \le x \text{ and } x \le a.count \text{ and } 1 \le y \text{ and } y \le a.count)
```

We then define a different interpretation for y to allow its translation into the arithmetic expected by the postconditions.

```
y = a.count - x + 1
```

Result is initialised to True and set to false as soon as we encounter a prefix that is not a reversed suffix. We exploit the fact that x is incremented with every iteration to express that Result = True implies "after at least one iteration" that the prefix iterated over so far is a reversed suffix:

```
(x > 1 \text{ and Result}) \text{ implies } across 1 | ... | (x-1) \text{ as } i
all a sequence [i.item] = a sequence [a count-i.item + 1] end
```

Because *Result* is iterated to true, we need no such trick for the other direction.

```
(not Result) implies across 1 |..| a.count as i some a
    .sequence[i.item] /= a.sequence[a.count-i.item + 1]
    end
```

3 BOOGIE 7

3 Boogie

TODO

3.1 Quicksort Implementation

We implemented quicksort as specified in "Introduction to Algorithms (TODO cite)". The design of our boogie implementation was mostly influenced by the bubblesort example from microsoft research (TODO cite). A global map variable a :[int]int is used to represent the array to be sorted. The quicksort implementation modifies this variable.

Our implementation of quicksort is divided into the following two procedures:

```
procedure qsPartition(lo : int, hi : int)
  returns (pivot_index: int, perm: [int]int) {
    ...
}

procedure qs(lo : int, hi : int) returns (perm: [int]int) {
    ...
}
```

Both procedures take arguments lo and hi to specify which part of the array is processed. qsPartition divides the array into two parts, a left part that is smaller than or equal to the pivot element and a right part that is greater than the pivot element. The pivot element is defined to be the right most element of the array. The return value of qsPartition is the final index of the pivot element and another map perm: [int]int which is a permutation on the range lo to hi. This permutation expresses how the original array was permuteted by the algorithm.

The second procedure qs first calls qsPartition on the entire array, then it recursively calls itselfe on the left and the right part of the array. This procedure also returns a permutation to indicate how the elements of the array were permuted.

Implementing the actual sorting algorithm was actually rather easy. We could basically copy the textbook definition to implement qsPartition and qs. The hard part was to construct a permutation that keeps track of how the array elements are mutated. In qsPartition this part is easy because the perm map just keeps track of how array elements are swapped. The difficult part was to combine the permutation that is return by qsPartition with the two permutations that are returned by the two recursive calls to qs.

3 BOOGIE 8

First the two permutations returned by the recursive calls have to be combined to a new permutation on the entire range from lo to hi. Then this permutation is again combined with the permutation returned by qsPartition. This bookkeeping makes up a bigger part of the implementation.

This initial version of quicksort works but it has one major drawback. The procedure is designed to only sort a single global variable. However it is not possible to sort arbitrary arrays e.g. arrays that are passed as input argument to the procedure. Initially we overcame this shortcomming by introducing more global array variables and having multiple copies of the same qucksort procedure, each working on a different global variable. To avoid having to do this for each new array that has to be sorted, we came up with the following solution. First the qs procedure was changed to sort a global array variable called a_qs. Then to be able to sort arbitrary arrays, we introduced the following new procedure:

```
procedure quickSort(arr : [int]int, lo : int, hi : int)
  returns (arr_sorted : [int]int, perm: [int]int)
  modifies a_qs;
{
   // write input array into a_qs
   a_qs := arr;
   // let quicksort implementation sort a_qs
   call perm := qs(lo,hi);
   // write a_qs into output argument
   arr_sorted := a_qs;
}
```

This procedure uses a_qs as temporary variable for sorting arbitrary arrays. quickSort takes an array as input argument, copies it into a_qs, calls qs to sort it and then writes the now sorted array into the return value. Using this construction we are now able to sorte arbitrary arrays by having just one global variable.

3.2 Bucketsort Implementation

For our bucketsort implementation we decided to copy the procedure signature from quicksort.

```
procedure bucketSort(lo : int, hi : int) returns (perm: [int]int)
...
}
```

We know that bucketSort is only called with array elements that range from -3 * N to 3 * N therefore the algorithm divides the array to be sorted into

3 BOOGIE 9

three buckets with elements in the range [-3*N), [-N, N) and [N, 3*N) respectively. The three buckets are implemented as three arrays. bucketSort iterates over the original array and copies each element to it's corresponding bucket array. Then each bucket is sorted using our existing qucksort implementation. After that, the now sorted bucket arrays are written back to the original array yielding a sorted version of the original array.

Unfortunately we didn't have the time to implement the construction of a permutation that represents how the elements of the original array were permuted by bucketSort. This task proved to be more challenging than in the case of quicksort. Because the original array is divided into three buckets in a single while loop and we can't know how many elements will end up in each bucket it's hard to construct a permutation that reflects how the array elements are divided into the three buckets.

3.3 Specification

Both sort functions share the following specification:

```
procedure sort(lo : int, hi : int) returns (perm: [int]int)
  modifies a;
  requires lo <= hi;
  // perm is a permutation
  ensures (forall i: int :: lo <= i && i <= hi ==> lo <= perm[i] && perm[i] <= hi);
  ensures (forall k, l: int :: lo <= k && k < l && l <= hi ==> perm[k] != perm[l]);
  // the final array is that permutation of the input array
  ensures (forall i: int :: lo <= i && i <= hi ==> a[i] == old(a)[perm[i]]);
  // array is sorted
  ensures (forall k, l: int :: lo <= k && k <= l && l <= hi ==> a[k] <= a[l]);
  { ... }

TODO: discuss specification choices ("in particular, permutation")
TODO: describe difficulties + how they were overcome
TODO: contrast Boogie - Autoproof</pre>
```

3.4 Verification

TODO: report "significant problems" (e.g. which procedures could be verified, and which could not

TODO: describe changes to implementation/specification made to simplify proofs

TODO: describe which parts of the specification you could not verify and why

TODO: explain how you achieved modular verification

4 Eiffel Solution Code

```
class
    SV_AUTOPROOF
feature
    lst: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER]
4.0.1 wipe
feature
wipe (x: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER])
note
        explicit: wrapping
require
        x /= Void
        modify(x)
local
        k: INTEGER
do
        from
                 k := 1
        invariant
                 x.is_wrapped
                 x.count = x.count.old_{-}
                 across 1 \mid ... \mid (k-1) as i all x.
                    sequence [i.item] = 0 end
        until
                 k > x.count
        loop
                 x [k] := 0
                 k := k + 1
        end
ensure
        x.count = old x.count
        across 1 | .. | x.count as i all x.sequence [i.
            item = 0 end
end
```

$4.0.2 \quad \text{mod_three}$

```
mod_three (a, b: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER])
note
         explicit: wrapping
require
         a /= Void
         b /= Void
         a /= b
         a.count = b.count
         a.count > 0
         modify (a, b)
local
         k: INTEGER
do
         wipe (a)
         wipe (b)
         from
                  k := 1
         invariant
                  a.is_wrapped and b.is_wrapped
                  a.count = a.count.old_{-}
                  modify(b)
                  b.count = b.count.old_
                  across 1 \mid ... \mid (k-1) as i all (i.item
                     \setminus \setminus 3 = 0) implies b. sequence [i.
                     item = 1 end
         until
                  k > a.count
         loop
                  if k \setminus 3 = 0 then
                           b [k] := a[k] + 1
                  end
                  k := k + 1
         end
ensure
         across 1 |..| b.count as i all (i.item \setminus \setminus 3 =
            0) implies b.sequence [i.item] = 1 end
end
```

4.0.3 swap

end

```
feature
swap (x, y: INTEGER)
note
        explicit: wrapping
require
        lst.is_wrapped
        1 \le x and x \le lst.count
        1 \le y and y \le lst.count
        modify (lst)
local
        z: INTEGER
do
        z := lst [x]
        lst [x] := lst [y]
        lst [y] := z
ensure
        lst.is_wrapped
        lst.count = old lst.count
        across 1 | .. | lst.count as i all i.item /= x
           and i.item /= y implies lst.sequence [i.
           item] = (old lst.sequence) [i.item] end
        lst.sequence [x] = (old lst.sequence) [y]
        lst.sequence [y] = (old lst.sequence) [x]
```

4.0.4 swapper

```
swapper
note
         explicit: wrapping
require
        lst.is_wrapped
        lst /= Void
        modify (lst)
local
        x, y: INTEGER
do
        from
                 \mathbf{x} := 1
                 y := lst.count
        invariant
                 lst.is_wrapped
                 lst.sequence.count = lst.sequence.old_
                     .count
                 y > 0 implies (1 \le x \text{ and } x \le lst.
                     count and 1 \le y and y \le lst.count
                 across x | .. | y as i all lst.sequence [
                     i.item | = lst.sequence.old_[i.item]
                     end
                          -- necessary because the "old"
                              are out of sync (swapper's
                               "old" is different from
                             swap 's)
                 y = lst.count - x + 1
                          --necessary for the following
                             two invariants to succeed
                 x > 1 implies across 1 \mid ... \mid (x-1) as i
                      all lst.sequence[i.item] = lst.
                     sequence.old_[lst.count-i.item + 1]
                      end
                 x > 1 implies across 1 \mid ... \mid (x-1) as i
                      all lst.sequence[lst.count-i.item
                     +1] = lst.sequence.old_[i.item] end
        until
```

 $y \ll x$

loop

swap
$$(x, y)$$

 $x := x + 1$
 $y := y - 1$

 \mathbf{end}

ensure

```
lst.is_wrapped
lst.sequence.count = (old lst.sequence).count
across 1 |..| lst.count as i all lst.sequence
  [i.item] = (old lst.sequence) [lst.count -
  i.item + 1] end
```

 \mathbf{end}

4.0.5 search

```
feature
search (v: INTEGER): BOOLEAN
        status: impure
require
        lst.is_wrapped
        lst /= Void
local
        k: INTEGER
do
        from
                 k := lst.count
                 Result := False
        invariant
                 k > 0 implies (1 \le k \text{ and } k \le lst.
                    count)
                 Result implies (lst.sequence[k] = v)
                 (not Result) implies (across (k+1)
                    |..| lst.count as i all lst.
                    sequence [i.item] /= v end)
        until
                 Result or k < 1
        loop
                 if lst [k] = v then
                         Result := True
                 else
                         k := k - 1
                 end
        variant
                 k - if Result then 1 else 0 end
        end
ensure
        lst.is_wrapped
        Result implies across 1 | .. | lst.count as i
           some lst.sequence[i.item] = v end
        (not Result) implies across 1 | .. | lst.count
           as i all lst.sequence[i.item] /= v end
end
```

$\mathbf{4.0.6} \quad \mathbf{prod_sum}$

```
feature
```

xx, zz: **INTEGER**

 $prod_sum \ (y: \ \textbf{INTEGER})$

require

$$\begin{array}{l} xx >= 0 \\ zz >= 0 \\ y > 0 \end{array}$$

do

from

$$zz := 0$$

invariant

$$zz * y + xx = xx.old_{-}$$

until

loop

$$zz := zz + 1$$

 $xx := xx - y$

 \mathbf{end}

ensure

$$zz * y + xx = old xx$$

 $\quad \text{end} \quad$

4.0.7 paly

```
feature
paly (a: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER]): BOOLEAN
note
         explicit: wrapping
require
        a /= Void
local
        x, y: INTEGER
do
        from
                 x := 1
                 y := a.count
                 Result := True
         invariant
                 y > 0 implies (1 \le x \text{ and } x \le a.count
                      and 1 \le y and y \le a.count
                 y = a.count - x + 1
                          --necessary for the following
                              invariant to succeed
                  (x > 1 \text{ and Result}) \text{ implies across } 1
                     |..| (x-1) as i all a sequence [i.
                     item ] = a.sequence[a.count-i.item +
                      1 end
                  (not Result) implies across 1 | .. | a.
                     count as i some a.sequence[i.item]
                     /= a.sequence[a.count-i.item + 1]
                     \mathbf{end}
         until
                 x >= y or not Result
         loop
                 if a[x] /= a[y] then
                          Result := False
                 end
                 x := x + 1
                 y := y - 1
         variant
                 y - x
        \mathbf{end}
ensure
         Result implies across 1 | . . | a.count as i all
            a.sequence[i.item] = a.sequence[a.count-i.
            item + 1] end
```

5 Boogie Solution Code

TODO

6 CONCLUSION 20

6 Conclusion

TODO

Appendices

A Provided Code

A.1 Unadulterated Eiffel Code

```
class
        SV_AUTOPROOF
feature
        lst: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER]
A.1.1 wipe
feature
wipe (x: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER])
note
        explicit: wrapping
require
        x /= Void
        modify(x)
local
        k: INTEGER
do
        from
                 k := 1
        invariant
                x.is\_wrapped
                x.count = x.count.old_-
                -- ADD MISSING LOOP INVARIANT(S)
        until
                k > x.count
        loop
                 x [k] := 0
                 k := k + 1
        end
ensure
        x.count = old x.count
        across 1 | .. | x.count as i all x.sequence [i.
           item = 0 end
end
```

A.1.2 mod_three

```
mod_three (a, b: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER])
note
         explicit: wrapping
require
         a /= Void
         b /= Void
         a /= b
         a.count = b.count
         a.count > 0
         modify (a, b)
local
         k: INTEGER
do
         wipe (a)
         wipe (b)
         \mathbf{from}
                  k := 1
         invariant
                  a.is_wrapped and b.is_wrapped
                  a.count = a.count.old_{-}
                  -- ADD MISSING LOOP INVARIANT(S)
         until
                  k > a.count
         loop
                  if k \setminus 3 = 0 then
                           b [k] := a[k] + 1
                  \mathbf{end}
                  k := k + 1
         end
ensure
         across 1 |..| b.count as i all (i.item \setminus \setminus 3 =
            0) implies b.sequence [i.item] = 1 end
end
```

A.1.3 swap

```
feature
swap (x, y: INTEGER)
note
         explicit: wrapping
require
         lst.is_wrapped
         1 <= \ x \ \ \textbf{and} \ \ x <= \ ls \, t \, . \, count
         1 \le y and y \le lst.count
         modify (lst)
local
        z: INTEGER
do
        z := lst [x]
         lst [x] := lst [y]
         lst [y] := z
ensure
         lst.is_wrapped
         lst.count = old lst.count
         across 1 | .. | lst.count as i all i.item /= x
            and i.item /= y implies lst.sequence [i.
            [item] = (old lst.sequence) [i.item] end
         lst.sequence [x] = (old lst.sequence) [y]
         lst.sequence [y] = (old lst.sequence) [x]
end
```

A.1.4 swapper

```
swapper
note
        explicit: wrapping
require
        lst.is\_wrapped
        lst /= Void
        modify (lst)
local
        x, y: INTEGER
do
        from
                 x := 1
                 y := lst.count
        invariant
                 lst.is_wrapped
                 lst.sequence.count = lst.sequence.old_
                    .count
                -- ADD MISSING LOOP INVARIANT(S)
        until
                 y <= x
        loop
                 swap(x, y)
                 x := x + 1
                 y := y - 1
        \mathbf{end}
ensure
        lst.is_wrapped
        lst.sequence.count = (old lst.sequence).count
        across 1 |..| lst.count as i all lst.sequence
            [i.item] = (old lst.sequence) [lst.count -
           i.item + 1] end
end
```

A.1.5 search

```
feature
search (v: INTEGER): BOOLEAN
         status: impure
require
         lst.is\_wrapped
         lst /= Void
local
         k: INTEGER
do
         from
                  k := lst.count
                  Result := False
         invariant
                 -- ADD MISSING LOOP INVARIANT(S)
         until
                  Result or k < 1
         loop
                  if lst [k] = v then
                           Result := True
                  else
                           k := k - 1
                  \mathbf{end}
         variant
                  k - if Result then 1 else 0 end
         end
ensure
        -- ADD MISSING POSTCONDITION(S)
\quad \text{end} \quad
```

A.1.6 prod_sum

```
feature
```

xx, zz: **INTEGER**

prod_sum (y: **INTEGER**)

require

$$\begin{array}{l} xx >= 0 \\ zz >= 0 \\ y > 0 \end{array}$$

do

from

$$zz := 0$$

invariant

until

loop

$$zz := zz + 1$$

 $xx := xx - y$

 \mathbf{end}

ensure

$$zz * y + xx = old xx$$

 $\quad \text{end} \quad$

A.1.7 paly

```
feature
paly (a: SIMPLE_ARRAY [INTEGER]): BOOLEAN
        explicit: wrapping
require
        a /= Void
local
        x, y: INTEGER
do
        from
                x := 1
                y := a.count
                \mathbf{Result} := \mathbf{True}
        invariant
                until
                x >= y or not Result
        loop
                if a[x] /= a[y] then
                        Result := False
                \mathbf{end}
                x := x + 1
                y := y - 1
        variant
                y - x
        end
ensure
        -- ADD MISSING POSTCONDITION(S)
\mathbf{end}
end --end of class
```

A.2 Boogie Template

```
// Introduce a constant 'N' and postulate that it is
   non-negative
const N: int;
axiom 0 \ll N;
// Declare a map from integers to integers.
// 'a' should be treated as an array of 'N' elements,
  indexed from 0 to N-1
var a: [int]int;
// Returns true iff the elements of 'arr' are small (i
   .e. values in the range -3N to +3N)
function has_small_elements(arr: [int]int): bool
  (for all i: int :: (0 \le i \&\& i < N) \Longrightarrow (-3 * N \le i \le N)
     arr[i] && arr[i] <= 3 * N))
}
// Sorts 'a' using bucket sort or quick sort, as
   determined by has\_small\_elements(a)
procedure sort() returns ()
  modifies a;
  if (has_small_elements(a))
      // sort 'a' using bucket sort
  } else
      // sort 'a' using quick sort
}
```