

Basics of Parallel Computing 2024S Assignment 2

May 26, 2024

2 Person Group 13

- 1: Pia Schwarzinger, 12017370
- 2: Yahya JABARY, 11912007

1 Exercise 1

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <omp.h>
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
   int nenv = 3;
    omp_set_num_threads(nenv); // set number of threads
    printf("nenv: %d\n", nenv);
    int chunk = 5:
    omp_set_schedule(omp_sched_static, chunk);
    // omp_set_schedule(omp_sched_dynamic, chunk);
    // omp_set_schedule(omp_sched_guided, chunk);
   printf("chunk size: %d\n", chunk);
   int i = 0;
    int n = 17;
    int a[n];
    int t[nenv];
    #pragma omp parallel for schedule(runtime)
    for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
       a[i] = omp_get_thread_num(); // chosen thread per iteration
       t[omp_get_thread_num()]++; // parallel increment
   printf("a (schedule): ");
    for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
       printf("%d ", a[i]);
   printf("\n");
   printf("t (counter): ");
   for (i=0; i<nenv; i++) {</pre>
       printf("%d ", t[i]);
   printf("\n");
```

1.1 What do a and t count?

The variable a stores the selected thread number for each parallel iteration, while t stores a non-atomic counter that all threads with the same ID increment. Unless no two threads are assigned the same iteration, the final value of t will be non-deterministic as each var++ operation is in fact a read-modify-write operation:

```
movl -4(%rbp), %eax # load var into eax addl $1, %eax # increment eax by 1 movl %eax, -4(%rbp) # store eax back into var
```

1.2 Values for all elements in a and t

Table 1: Values of array a for different scheduling strategies

| case / a | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
|------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| static, 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| static, 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| dynamic, 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| dynamic, 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| guided, 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

 $Table\ 2:\ Values\ of\ array\ t\ for\ different\ scheduling\ strategies\ -\ keep\ in\ mind\ that\ these\ values\ are\ not\ reproducible\ /\ deterministic.$

| case / t | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|------------|----------|----|------------|
| static, 0 | 74307862 | 7 | 1806905557 |
| static, 1 | 8591638 | 7 | 1872621781 |
| dynamic, 1 | 6150416 | 18 | 1875062992 |
| dynamic, 2 | 40737057 | 1 | 1840476368 |
| guided, 5 | 51370273 | 1 | 1829843168 |

2 Exercise 2

- 2.1 Optimal Schedule
- 2.2 Schedule static,3
- 2.3 Schedule dynamic, 2
- 3 Exercise 3
- $3.1\,$ Fix the problems with this OpenMP code
- 4 Exercise 4
- 4.1 What is the output of the three different versions?
- 4.2 How often is the function <code>omp_tasks</code> called?
- 5 Exercise 5
- 5.1 Parallelize the pixel computation
- 5.2 Running time analysis
- 5.3 Influence of schedule parameter
- 6 Exercise 6
- 6.1 Parallelize the filter computation
- 6.2 Strong scaling analysis
- 6.3 Weak scaling analysis
- 7 Exercise 7
- 7.1 Convert OpenMP code to CUDA
- 7.2 Running time analysis
- 7.3 Impact of block size
- 7.4 Running time: CPU vs GPU code

8 Addendum: Raw Data

| 1168 | 1 | 1 | 0.0603872 |
|------|----|---|-----------|
| 1168 | 1 | 1 | 0.0607409 |
| 1168 | 1 | 1 | 0.0600319 |
| 1168 | 2 | 1 | 0.196807 |
| 1168 | 2 | 1 | 0.2452 |
| 1168 | 2 | 1 | 0.19003 |
| 1168 | 4 | 1 | 3.45923 |
| 1168 | 4 | 1 | 3.90704 |
| 1168 | 4 | 1 | 3.45583 |
| 1168 | 8 | 1 | 5.395 |
| 1168 | 8 | 1 | 5.45436 |
| 1168 | 8 | 1 | 4.53896 |
| 1168 | 16 | 1 | 10.7055 |
| 1168 | 16 | 1 | 10.5507 |
| 1168 | 16 | 1 | 10.2593 |
| 1168 | 24 | 1 | 17.3402 |
| 1168 | 24 | 1 | 18.5362 |
| 1168 | 24 | 1 | 17.2604 |
| 1168 | 32 | 1 | 26.1056 |
| 1168 | 32 | 1 | 25.1663 |
| 1168 | 32 | 1 | 27.9486 |

Figure 1: Raw output from "filter strong" job.

| 1168 | 1 | 1 | 0.060196 |
|------|---|---|----------|
| 1168 | 1 | 1 | 0.0609 |
| 1168 | 1 | 1 | 0.060195 |
| 1168 | 2 | 2 | 0.401089 |
| 1168 | 2 | 2 | 0.635222 |
| 1168 | 2 | 2 | 1.18221 |
| 1168 | 4 | 4 | 14.4383 |
| 1168 | 4 | 4 | 13.3359 |
| 1168 | 4 | 4 | 9.2267 |
| 1168 | 8 | 8 | 44.0875 |
| 1168 | 8 | 8 | 44.8141 |
| 1168 | 8 | 8 | 42.5354 |

 $Figure\ 2: Raw\ output\ from\ "weak\ scaling"\ job.\ Timed\ out\ on\ \textit{slurmstepd}\ due\ to\ time\ out\ /\ time\ limit.$

| 90 | 1 | 0.110155 | | | | |
|------|----|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| 90 | 1 | 0.109749 | | | | |
| 90 | 1 | 0.109885 | | | | |
| 90 | 2 | 0.056617 | | | | |
| 90 | 2 | 0.056599 | | | | |
| 90 | 2 | 0.056612 | | | | |
| 90 | 4 | 0.045880 | | | | |
| 90 | 4 | 0.045966 | | | | |
| 90 | 4 | 0.045863 | | | | |
| 90 | 8 | 0.031120 | | | | |
| 90 | 8 | 0.031132 | | | | |
| 90 | 8 | 0.031170 | | | | |
| 90 | 16 | 0.018182 | | | | |
| 90 | 16 | 0.018227 | | | | |
| 90 | 16 | 0.018220 | | | | |
| 90 | 24 | 0.013238 | | | | |
| 90 | 24 | 0.013257 | | | | |
| 90 | 24 | 0.013180 | | | | |
| 90 | 32 | 0.014816 | | | | |
| 90 | 32 | 0.017296 | | | | |
| 90 | 32 | 0.014814 | | | | |
| 1100 | 1 | 16.306608 | | | | |
| 1100 | 1 | 16.316588 | | | | |
| 1100 | 1 | 16.284397 | | | | |
| 1100 | 2 | 8.175213 | | | | |
| 1100 | 2 | 8.178992 | | | | |
| 1100 | 2 | 8.170321 | | | | |
| 1100 | 4 | 6.621239 | | | | |
| 1100 | 4 | 6.678632 | | | | |
| 1100 | 4 | 6.639713 | | | | |
| 1100 | 8 | 4.557337 | | | | |
| 1100 | 8 | 4.554004 | | | | |
| 1100 | 8 | 4.586490 | | | | |
| 1100 | 16 | 2.447131 | | | | |
| 1100 | 16 | 2.448894 | | | | |
| 1100 | 16 | 2.447200 | | | | |
| 1100 | 24 | 1.731222 | | | | |
| 1100 | 24 | 1.718731 | | | | |
| 1100 | 24 | 1.718424 | | | | |
| 1100 | 32 | 1.312658 | | | | |
| 1100 | 32 | 1.313263 | | | | |
| 1100 | 32 | 1.320209 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Figure 3: Raw output from "juliap" job.

| "static" | 1100 | 16 | 2.450491 |
|----------|------|----|----------|
| "static" | 1100 | 16 | 2.448260 |
| "static" | 1100 | 16 | 2.449136 |

Figure 4: Raw output from "juliap2" job.