

Group Project

EB-NeRD RecSys Challenge

Recommender Systems

Group 26

Maximilian Ranzinger (12023139)

Pia Schwarzingner (12017370)

Philipp von der Thannen (12014568)

Yahya Jabary (11912007)

Experimental Setup

Overview



**Set up environment
(locally)**



Small dataset



Implementation

NRMS
GRU (assigned)
LSTUR



Optimization

Algorithms

Gated Recurrent Unit

- RNN – simpler than LSTM (reset gate and update gate)
- Computationally less intensive, faster to train
- GRUCell: temporal dependenced sequences, general pupose
- Collaborative Filtering

Long- and Short-term User Representations (LSTUR)

- Specifically tailored for news recommendation
- Combines user representations with current session data as embeddings
- Uses GRU layers to generate user-activity representations
- Content-Based Filtering

Expectations vs Reality

- Baseline and LSTUR – partially in ebnerd-benchmark
 - "simply" implement the algorithmis
 - Started with earlier version of repository -> after update adaptionis
- GRU - Only quick start in recommender repository (Amazon set)
 - Outdated implementation of GRU model
 - Preprocessing of data
 - Apply Amazon Review preprocessing to ebnerd data (Creation of user/item/category vocabulary)
 - Format of dataframe for sequential iterator

Technical Setup

Systems

Local Workstation

- **CPU:** 12-Core AMD Ryzen 9 7900X
- **RAM:** 32GB DDR5 5600MHz
- **GPU:** NVIDIA RTX 4080 (16GB)
- Used system, but largely limited by memory (e.g. TensorBoard needed 42GB for small dataset)

JupyterHub Issues

- Conda only usable via workaround
- GPU execution runs into JIT compilation error
- CPU obviously takes forever, but is proposed workaround

[\(194.035-2024S: EBNeRD - nrms notebook train model error | TUWEL \(tuwien.ac.at\)\)](#)

Hyperparameter Optimization

Hyperparameter Optimization

- Done with **Tensorflow Keras Tuner**
- Used algorithm: Hyperband by Li et al. (2018)
 - Less resource hungry than Bayesian Optimization
 - Faster than Grid Search
 - More targeted approach than Random Search
 - Optimization on validation loss
- Runtimes:
 - NRMS: 1h 42min
 - LSTUR: 10h 11min
- Large storage requirement

Hyperparameter Optimization: Findings

- NRMS Hyperparameter Optimzation found no optimization
- LSTUR Hyperparameter Optimization found improvement
- Some Hyperparameters could not be tuned, because of fixed architecture
- Low validation loss does not mean better algorithm

Metric \ Algorithm	NRMS (baseline)	NRMS (HP opt.)	LSTUR (fixed)	LSTUR (HP opt.)
AUC	0.556486	0.555230	0.570433	0.571175
MRR	0.349973	0.348463	0.354222	0.358854
NDCG@5	0.389757	0.387890	0.396656	0.401295
NDCG@10	0.467566	0.465807	0.472802	0.476100
validation loss	1.2980	1.2941		0.0779

Hyperparameter Optimization: Parameters

Parameter	NRMS (baseline)	NRMS (opt)	LSTUR (fixed)	LSTUR (opt)
title size	30 (Fixed)			
history size	30	20	30	10
head num	20	32	-	-
head dim	20	10	-	-
att. hidden dim	200	200	200	250
dropout	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3
learning rate	0.0001	0.000129	0.0001	0.000465
optimizer	Adam			
loss	cross entropy loss			
#users	-	-	50000	100000
activation func	-	-	relu	tanh
type	-	-	ini	ini
gru unit	-	-	400 (fixed)	
filter num	-	-	400 (fixed)	
windows size	-	-	3	4

Conclusion

Lessons Learned, Conclusion, Open Questions

- Familiarizing ourselves with content took longer than expected
- Extreme workload overhead with environment set up and GRU implementation
- Biggest technical issue = too little memory
- GPU execution and CONDA do not work together on GPU Jupyter Notebook. What should we do for the final submission?
- Improvement according to beyond accuracy measures not implemented yet
 - Possible Approach – Rerankig the final list by assigning weights
 - Only test set (large = small set x 50) has `Is_Beyond_Accuracy`