## $\mathbb{R}$ is complete

## Preregs RA-03, RA-02

We are now ready to state a very fundamental property of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 1.**  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete.

The proof is irrelevant and depends on the construction of  $\mathbb{R}$ , for which I'll leave an outline. It can also be found in [1]. Let's see what completeness allows us to do.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that x > 0 and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, there is some  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y^n = x$  and y > 0

The theorem states that every positive number admits an n-th root, whenever n is natural. This already is something that we cannot say about  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Let's try to intuitively understand this. We know that  $x^n$  is increasing for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose I know  $y_1^n < x$ , so my required y is certainly larger than  $y_1$ . Suppose I also know  $y_2^n > x$ , so my required y is certainly smaller than  $y_2$ . Now I can take the average of  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  and repeat this all over again.

In formal terms, let  $S := \{y \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid y^n \leq x\}$ . Certainly, x + 1 is an upper bound of S. Also  $0 \in S$ . S thus admits a least upper bound  $\alpha$ .

Any  $\beta$  smaller than  $\alpha$  is already in S and thus  $\beta^n < x$ . Surprisingly, this goes the other way, if some  $\beta$  satisfies  $\beta^n < x$ , I can be sure that  $\beta < \alpha$  ( $x^n$  is an increasing function!). So  $\alpha^n$  cannot be smaller than x.

What if  $\beta > \alpha$ ? Any such  $\beta$  is an upper bound of S. Take  $\gamma = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}$ . We know  $\beta^n$  cannot be smaller than x, otherwise  $\beta \in S$  and it will contradict that  $\alpha$  is an upper bound. If  $\beta^n = x$ , then  $\gamma^n$  must be smaller than x and  $\gamma$  must lie in S, again contradicting that  $\alpha$  is an upper bound of S. We are forced to accept that  $\beta > \alpha \iff \beta^n > x$ .

What about  $\alpha^n$ ? We already knew  $\alpha^n$  cannot be smaller than x. From the preceding paragraph,  $\alpha^n > x$  would mean  $\alpha > \alpha$  which cannot happen.

It must be the case that  $\alpha^n = x$ .

This proof is handway, the details can be found in [1]. The overall idea should be clear. We recognise that  $x^n$  is an increasing function; so on the number line, our required n—th root must be sandwiched between those y for which  $y^n < x$ , and those y for which  $y^n > x$ .

On the rational number line, there might be a hole where this sandwiched number is supposed to be. The completeness property ensures no such hole exists.

## References

[1] Walter Rudin. Principles of Mathematical Analysis, volume 3. McGraw-Hill, 1976.