

# Ordered Sets and Upper Bounds

---

Our discussion of calculus begins at ordered sets. Calculus is done on the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , and the properties that allow us to do calculus on  $\mathbb{R}$  are closely linked with its order properties. Although that will not be a part of our main discussion, it is helpful to start there.

**Definition 1.** Let  $S$  be a set. Let  $<$  be a binary relation on  $S$  satisfying

- $<$  is antireflexive, i.e for any  $a \in S$ ,  $a \not< a$
- $<$  is transitive, i.e if  $a < b$  and  $b < c$  then  $a < c$
- $<$  is total, i.e if  $a \neq b$  then either  $a < b$  or  $b < a$

Under these circumstances, we say  $S$  is *totally ordered* and  $<$  is an *ordering* on  $S$ .

All our familiar sets  $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$  are ordered, while a set like  $\mathbb{C}$  does not admit a natural ordering. It is, however, possible to define one. Consider two complex numbers  $z_1 := x_1 + iy_1$  and  $z_2 := x_2 + iy_2$ . If  $x_1 < x_2$  then declare  $z_1 < z_2$ , and vice versa. If at all  $x_1 = x_2$ , then do the same process with  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . If they are also equal, then  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  were already equal. Verify that this is a total ordering on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Exercise 1.** Show that if  $a < b$ , then  $b \not< a$ . (Hint. Suppose for some  $a$  and  $b$ , both  $a < b$  and  $b < a$  happen. Use transitivity. Is this allowed?)

Ordered sets are more or less intuitive. We just define a notion of being big or small on a set of objects.

Consider some currency notes. 1, 2, 5, 10 etc., except 2000. We regard the set of currency notes  $S = \{1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, 500\}$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ , and 2000 as an element of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Observe that for every  $s \in S$ ,  $s < 2000$  holds. In this case we say that 2000 is an *upper bound* of  $S$ . In fact, 501, 502, 503... are all upper bounds of  $S$ . A natural question at this stage is that “is 500 an upper bound of  $S$ ?”. The answer is yes, and we will understand why shortly.

For now, familiarise yourself with this definition

**Definition 2.** Let  $S$  be a totally ordered set with ordering  $<$ , and let  $E \subset S$  be a **nonempty** subset of  $S$ . Let  $s \in S$  be such that for every  $x \in E$ ,  $x \leq s$ . Then we say  $s$  is an *upper bound* of  $E$ . We also say  $E$  is *bounded above* by  $s$ .

Note the use of  $\leq$  in the definition. This is what allows 500 to be an upper bound of the set of currency notes except 2000. Why we allow this is something we shall explore later.

# Answers to Exercises

*The following are brief solutions or hints. You are encouraged to review the exercises before checking the answers.*

**Answer 1.** If  $a < b$  and  $b < a$ , then by transitivity  $a < a$ , which cannot happen.