

# Taylor's Theorem I

---

## Prereqs RA-15

Recall Lagrange's Theorem

**Theorem 1.** There is some  $c \in (a, b)$  such that

$$f(b) = f(a) + (b - a) \cdot f'(c) \quad (1)$$

and recall the definition of the derivative.

$$f'(x_0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)}{h}$$

Observe that if  $h$  is “small enough”, we can deploy the approximation

$$f(x_0 + h) \approx f(x_0) + h \cdot f'(x_0) \quad (2)$$

by rearranging the definition.

Compare (1) and (2). They look more or less similar. Suppose  $a$  and  $b$  are *close* to each other and that  $b = a + h$ . Then, putting (1) and (2) side-by-side with  $b - a = h$  and  $x_0 = a$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(b) &= f(a) + (b - a) \cdot f'(c) \\ f(b) &\approx f(a) + (b - a) \cdot f'(a) \end{aligned}$$

Here's intuitively why these look so similar and how you should make sense of this situation. The approximation

$$f(x) \approx f(a) + (x - a) \cdot f'(a)$$

estimates the value of  $f$  near  $a$  with a linear function. Namely, if I want to guess the value of  $f(a + h)$ , I can use a straight line of slope  $f'(a)$  passing through  $(a, f(a))$

$$f(a + h) \approx f(a) + h \cdot f'(a)$$

Cool. But why did I start with Lagrange's Theorem? Well, Lagrange tells us that *this approximation is in fact precise* if we just adjust the slope term, and that the correct slope is given by some  $f'(c)$ .

$$f(a + h) = f(a) + h \cdot f'(c)$$

Now, if  $f'$  is continuous and  $h$  is small, knowing  $c \in (a, a + h)$  we can guess

$$f'(a) \approx f'(c)$$

Thus Lagrange's Theorem serves two purposes. It (1) justifies that our approximation is reasonable, and (2) assures us that our approximation can be made precise using some value of  $f'$ .

But what if a linear approximation isn't good enough? What if I want a higher order, say, a quadratic approximation?

**Theorem 2.** (Extended Lagrange) Let  $f$  be twice differentiable on  $(a, b)$  with  $f'$  continuous on  $[a, b]$ . Then there is some  $c \in (a, b)$  such that

$$f(b) = f(a) + (b - a) \cdot f'(a) + \frac{(b - a)^2}{2} \cdot f''(c)$$

**Proof.** Define

$$F(x) = f(b) - f(x) - (b - x) \cdot f'(x)$$

and observe  $F(b) = 0$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} F'(x) &= -f'(x) - bf''(x) + f'(x) + xf''(x) \\ &= (x - b) \cdot f''(x) \end{aligned}$$

Now define

$$g(x) = F(x) - \left( \frac{b - x}{b - a} \right)^2 F(a)$$

Now observe that  $g(a) = g(b) = 0$ . Further,

$$g'(x) = F'(x) + 2 \cdot F(a) \cdot \frac{(b - x)}{(b - a)^2}$$

By Rolle's Theorem, there is some  $c \in (a, b)$  such that  $g'(c) = 0$ . Substituting the previously calculated value of  $F'(x)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g'(c) = F'(c) + 2 \cdot F(a) \cdot \frac{(b - c)}{(b - a)^2} \\ &= (c - b) \cdot f''(c) + 2 \cdot F(a) \cdot \frac{(b - c)}{(b - a)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Transposing, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (b - c) \cdot f''(c) &= 2 \cdot F(a) \cdot \frac{(b - c)}{(b - a)^2} \\ F(a) &= \frac{(b - a)^2}{2} \cdot f''(c) \end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $F(x)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(a) &= f(b) - f(a) - (b - a)f'(a) \\ \frac{(b - a)^2}{2} \cdot f''(c) &= f(b) - f(a) - (b - a)f'(a) \end{aligned}$$

And therefore there is some  $c \in (a, b)$  such that

$$f(b) = f(a) + (b - a) \cdot f'(a) + \frac{(b - a)^2}{2} \cdot f''(c)$$

□

At its core, this is the same statement as Lagrange's Theorem from an approximation perspective. Suppose I initiate a parabolic approximation at  $a$

$$f(a+h) \approx f(a) + h \cdot f'(a) + \frac{h^2}{2} \cdot f''(a)$$

Then, the Extended Lagrange Theorem tells us that this approximation is good, and adjusting the last term makes it precise.

$$f(a+h) = f(a) + h \cdot f'(a) + \frac{h^2}{2} \cdot f''(c)$$

So we see that linear and quadratic approximations of  $f(a+h)$  aren't just educated guesses, they're also *guaranteed* to be accurate up to a final correction term. That correction depends on a higher derivative evaluated somewhere in the interval.

This generalises to  $k$ -degree polynomial approximations using  $k$ -th derivatives of  $f$ . We will explore a better phrasing of this idea and the proof of the general case, alongside the mysterious 2 in the denominator of the  $h^2$  term in RA-17.

**Example 1.** Compare the quadratic approximation to the displacement equation

$$s(t) = s(0) + u \cdot t + \frac{1}{2} \cdot a \cdot t^2$$

By rewriting it as

$$s(t) = s(0) + (t-0) \cdot s'(0) + \frac{(t-0)^2}{2} \cdot s''(0)$$