

A NUMBER FIELD ANALOGUE OF THE GROTHENDIECK CONJECTURE FOR CURVES OVER FINITE FIELDS

MANABU OZAKI

Dedicated to the memory of Tamao Ozaki

1. INTRODUCTION

Analogy between number fields and 1-dimensional function fields (or algebraic curves) over finite fields has led us to a deep insight into these two arithmetic objects. For example, the “Main Conjecture” of Iwasawa theory of cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions can be regarded as an analogy of Weil’s theorem on the relationship among the congruent zeta function and the Frobenius action on the Tate module associated to a curve over a finite field. Here, we regard that the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension, or adjoining all the p -power-th roots of unity, is analogous to the constant field extension $\overline{\mathbb{F}}K/K$ of a function field K over a finite field \mathbb{F} to an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$.

However the extension $\overline{\mathbb{F}}K/K$ is in fact given by adjoining *all* the roots of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$. Therefore it is natural to ask what happens if we consider the *maximal cyclotomic extension* of a number field k , namely, the extension $k(\mu_\infty)/k$ given by adjoining all the roots of unity μ_∞ , instead of the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension.

In the present paper, we choose the maximal cyclotomic extension as the analogous object of the constant field extension $\overline{\mathbb{F}}K/K$. Then we will give a number field analogue of the Grothendieck conjecture for curves over finite fields, proved by Tamagawa [8] and Mochizuki [5].

Let C be a non-singular geometrically connected curve over a field F . Denote by $F(C)$ the function field of C over F , and by $\overline{F}^{\text{sep}}$ a separable closure of F . We put $\overline{F}^{\text{sep}}(C) := F(C)\overline{F}^{\text{sep}}$, and define $L(C)$ to be the maximal extension field (in a fixed algebraic closure) of $\overline{F}^{\text{sep}}(C)$ unramified at $C \times_F \overline{F}^{\text{sep}}$ (Note that $L(C)/\overline{F}^{\text{sep}}(C)$ is the maximal unramified extension if C is projective).

Then we get the following fundamental exact sequence:

$$(1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(L(C)/\overline{F}^{\text{sep}}(C)) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(L(C)/F(C)) \xrightarrow{P_C} G_F \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $G_F := \text{Gal}(\overline{F}^{\text{sep}}/F) \simeq \text{Gal}(\overline{F}^{\text{sep}}(C)/F(C))$ is the absolute Galois group of F .

Assume that C is *hyperbolic*, namely, $2 - 2g_C - n_C < 0$ holds, where g_C is the genus of C and $n_C := \#(C^*(\overline{F}) - C(\overline{F}))$, C^* being the compactification of C . This assumption is equivalent to that $\text{Gal}(L(C)/\overline{F}^{\text{sep}}(C))$ is non-abelian if $\text{char}(F) = 0$. The Grothendieck conjecture asserts that the pro-finite group homomorphism $P_C : \text{Gal}(L(C)/F(C)) \rightarrow G_F$ has much information to reconstruct the curve C itself. This conjecture has been established by A. Tamagawa (case $n_C > 0$) and S. Mochizuki (case $n_C = 0$) in the case where F is finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} or finite. More precisely:

Theorem A (Tamagawa[8], Mochizuki[4]). Let F be a field finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} , and C_i a hyperbolic curve over F ($i = 1, 2$). Put $G_i := \text{Gal}(L(C_i)/F(C_i))$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then there is the natural bijection

$$\text{Isom}_F(C_1, C_2) \simeq \text{Isom}_{G_F}(G_1, G_2) / \text{Inn}(\text{Gal}(L(C_2)/\overline{F}(C_2))),$$

where the left hand side is the set of the F -isomorphisms of curves from C_1 to C_2 and the right hand side is the quotient of the set of the pro-finite group isomorphisms from G_1 to G_2 which are compatible with P_{C_1} and P_{C_2} by the right action of the inner automorphism group of $\text{Gal}(L(C_2)/\overline{F}(C_2))$.

In the case where F is finite, the following “absolute version” of the Grothendieck conjecture holds:

Theorem B (Tamagawa[8], Mochizuki[5]). Let F_i be a finite field, and C_i a hyperbolic curve over F_i ($i = 1, 2$). Put $G_i := \text{Gal}(L(C_i)/F_i(C_i))$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then there is the natural bijection

$$\text{Isom}(C_1, C_2) \simeq \text{Isom}(G_1, G_2) / \text{Inn}(G_2),$$

where the left hand side is the set of the isomorphisms as schemes from C_1 to C_2 and the right hand side is the quotient of the set of the pro-finite group isomorphisms from G_1 to G_2 by the right action of the inner automorphism group of G_2 .

From the viewpoint that the maximal cyclotomic extension $k(\mu_\infty)/k$ of a number field k is an analogous object to the constant field extension $\overline{\mathbb{F}}(C)/\mathbb{F}(C)$ for the function field of a curve C over a finite field \mathbb{F} , we find that the number field analogue of the fundamental exact sequence (1) in the case where C is projective is

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(L(\tilde{k})/\tilde{k}) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(L(\tilde{k})/k) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{k}/k) \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $L(\tilde{k})$ is the maximal unramified extension over $\tilde{k} := k(\mu_\infty)$.

Under this situation, we will give the following theorem analogous to Theorem B:

Theorem 1. Let k_i be a number field of finite degree, and L_i the maximal unramified extension field over $k_i(\mu_\infty)$ ($i = 1, 2$). For any isomorphism

$$\varphi : \text{Gal}(L_1/k_1) \simeq \text{Gal}(L_2/k_2)$$

of pro-finite groups, there exists the unique field isomorphism

$$\tau : L_1 \simeq L_2$$

such that $\tau(k_1) = k_2$ and

$$\varphi(x) = \tau x \tau^{-1}$$

holds for every $x \in \text{Gal}(L_1/k_1)$. In other words, we have the natural bijection

$$\text{Isom}(L_1/k_1, L_2/k_2) \simeq \text{Isom}(\text{Gal}(L_1/k_1), \text{Gal}(L_2/k_2)),$$

where the left hand side is the set of all the field isomorphisms $\tau : L_1 \simeq L_2$ with $\tau(k_1) = k_2$, and the right hand side is the set of all the isomorphism $\text{Gal}(L_1/k_1) \simeq \text{Gal}(L_2/k_2)$ of pro-finite groups.

Remark 1. The above theorem is an affirmative answer to [6, Conjecture(12.5.3)] in the case where the ramified prime sets are empty.

2. ARITHMETICALLY EQUIVALENCE

For any number field F , we put $\tilde{F} := F(\mu_\infty)$, and denote by $L(F)$ the maximal unramified extension field over F .

In this section, we will show the following proposition, which plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 1. Let k_i be a number field of finite degree ($i = 1, 2$). Assume that there exists an isomorphism

$$\varphi : \text{Gal}(L_1/k_1) \simeq \text{Gal}(L_2/k_2)$$

of pro-finite groups, where $L_i := L(\tilde{k}_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$).

Then for any finite subextension M_1/k_1 of L_1/k_1 , M_1 and $M_2 := L_2^{\varphi(\text{Gal}(L_1/M_1))}$ are arithmetically equivalent: $M_1 \approx M_2$. Namely, they have the same Dedekind zeta function: $\zeta_{M_1}(s) = \zeta_{M_2}(s)$.

In Proposition 1, we note that $L_i = L(\tilde{M}_i)$ and φ induces $\text{Gal}(L_1/M_1) \simeq \text{Gal}(L_2/M_2)$, hence it is enough to show the proposition in the case where $M_1 = k_1$.

Let K_i/k_i be the maximal abelian subextension of L_i/k_i , and denote by $L^{\text{ab}}(K_i)$ the maximal unramified abelian extension field over K_i . Since $L^{\text{ab}}(K_i) \subseteq L_i$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Gal}(L^{\text{ab}}(K_i)/k_i) \\ & \simeq \text{Gal}(L_i/k_i)/((\text{Gal}(L_i/k_i), \text{Gal}(L_i/k_i)), (\text{Gal}(L_i/k_i), \text{Gal}(L_i/k_i))), \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1 follows from the following:

Proposition 2. Assume that there exists an isomorphism

$$\psi : \text{Gal}(L^{\text{ab}}(K_1)/k_1) \simeq \text{Gal}(L^{\text{ab}}(K_2)/k_2)$$

of pro-finite groups. Then we have $k_1 \approx k_2$.

Our proof of the above proposition is based on the following fact:

Theorem C (Stuart-Perlis[7]). Let $g_F(l)$ be the number of primes of F lying over l for any number field F of finite degree and prime number l . Assume that $g_{k_1}(l) = g_{k_2}(l)$ holds for number fields k_1 and k_2 of finite degree and all but finitely many prime numbers l . Then we have $k_1 \approx k_2$.

In what follows, we will show that $g_{k_i}(l)$ is encoded in the group structure of $\text{Gal}(L^{\text{ab}}(K_i)/k_i)$. For simplicity, we write K and k for K_i and k_i , respectively.

We first analyze $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ and its decomposition and inertia subgroups. We denote by $D_{\mathfrak{l}}(M/F)$ and $I_{\mathfrak{l}}(M/F)$ the decomposition and the inertia subgroup, respectively, of $\text{Gal}(M/F)$ for a prime \mathfrak{l} of k , M/F being any abelian extension of number fields.

Lemma 1. For any non-archimedean prime \mathfrak{l} of k , we have

$$D_{\mathfrak{l}}(K/k) \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{l}} \times \mathbb{Z}/d_{\mathfrak{l}}, \quad I_{\mathfrak{l}}(K/k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{l}} \times \mathbb{Z}/d_{\mathfrak{l}},$$

where l is the rational prime below \mathfrak{l} and $d_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is a certain divisor of $l-1$ (if $l \neq 2$) or 2 (if $l = 2$). Furthermore, we have $d_{\mathfrak{l}} = l-1$ (if $l \neq 2$) or $d_{\mathfrak{l}} = 2$ (if $l = 2$) if \mathfrak{l} is unramified in k/\mathbb{Q} .

Proof. For any rational prime l , it is easy to see that

$$D_{\mathfrak{l}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{l}} \times \mathbb{Z}/b_{\mathfrak{l}}, \quad I_{\mathfrak{l}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{l}} \times \mathbb{Z}/b_{\mathfrak{l}},$$

where $b_l = l - 1$ (if $l \neq 2$) or $b_l = 2$ (if $l = 2$).

The restriction $\text{Gal}(\tilde{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ induce injections $D_l(\tilde{k}/k) \rightarrow D_l(\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and $I_l(\tilde{k}/k) \rightarrow I_l(\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ with finite cokernels. Hence we see that

$$(2) \quad D_l(\tilde{k}/k) \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{Z}_l \times \mathbb{Z}/d_l, \quad I_l(\tilde{k}/k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_l \times \mathbb{Z}/d_l,$$

for a certain divisor d_l of $l - 1$ or 2 , which equals $l - 1$ or 2 if l is unramified in k/\mathbb{Q} . Because K/\tilde{k} is unramified and every prime totally splits in it, we see that the restriction $\text{Gal}(K/k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{k}/k)$ induces isomorphisms

$$D_l(K/k) \simeq D_l(\tilde{k}/k), \quad I_l(K/k) \simeq I_l(\tilde{k}/k).$$

Therefore the assertion of the lemma follows from (2). \square

Lemma 2. $I_{l_1}(K/k) \cap I_{l_2}(K/k) = 1$ for any non-archimedean primes l_1 and l_2 of k with $l_1 \neq l_2$.

Proof. We first note that K is the genus class field of \tilde{k}/k , namely, the maximal unramified abelian extension filed of \tilde{k} which is abelian over k . Then, by using [2, Proposition 2], we see that the global reciprocity map induces the isomorphism

$$(3) \quad \rho : \mathcal{C}_k := J_k / \overline{k^\times \prod_{\mathfrak{p}: \text{primes of } k} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^0} \simeq \text{Gal}(K/k),$$

where J_k is the idele group of k ,

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}}^0 := \begin{cases} \ker(N_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_p} : U_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times) & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a non-archimedean prime,} \\ \mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a real archimedean prime,} \\ \mathbb{C}^\times & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a complex archimedean prime,} \end{cases}$$

$U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and p being the local unit group of $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and the prime number below \mathfrak{p} , respectively, and the “bar” means topological closure.

Assume that $I_{l_1}(K/k) \cap I_{l_2}(K/k) \neq 1$. Then it follows from (3) that there exists $u_{l_i} \in U_{l_i}$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that $[(u_{l_1})] = [(u_{l_2})] \neq 1$, where $[(u_{l_i})] \in \mathcal{C}_k$ stands for the image of $u_{l_i} \in U_{l_i}$ under the composite of the natural maps

$$U_{l_i} \xrightarrow{\quad} J_k \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathcal{C}_k, \quad u_{l_i} \mapsto (u_{l_i}) \mapsto [(u_{l_i})].$$

Suppose that $l_1 \neq l_2$. Then we have

$$(4) \quad 1 \neq (u_{l_1})(u_{l_2})^{-1} \in \overline{k^\times \prod_{\mathfrak{p}: \text{primes of } k} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^0}.$$

It follows from [1, Théorème 1] that for any given integer $m \geq 1$, there exists a finite set T_m of degree one primes of k such that $l_1, l_2 \notin T_m$ and

$$E_k \ni \varepsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T_m} \mathfrak{p}} \implies \varepsilon \in E_k^m$$

holds, E_k being the global unit group of k . Because $U_{\mathfrak{p}}^0 = 1$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in T_m$, we find that there exists an open neighborhood $U_k \supseteq H_m \ni (u_{l_1})(u_{l_2})^{-1}$, $U_k \subseteq J_k$ being the unit idele group of k , such that

$$H_m \cap k^\times \prod_{\mathfrak{p}: \text{primes of } k} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^0 \subseteq E_k^m \prod_{\mathfrak{p}: \text{primes of } k} U_{\mathfrak{p}}^0.$$

Hence, by (4), we see that for each $n \geq 1$, there exists $\varepsilon_n \in E_k$ and $w_{n, \mathfrak{l}_i} \in U_{\mathfrak{l}_i}^0$ such that

$$u_{\mathfrak{l}_1} \equiv \varepsilon_n^{\#(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}_1}/\mathfrak{l}_1^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}_1})^\times} w_{n, \mathfrak{l}_1} \equiv w_{n, \mathfrak{l}_1} \pmod{\mathfrak{l}_1^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}_1}},$$

$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}_1}$ being the integer ring of $k_{\mathfrak{l}_1}$, which implies $u_{\mathfrak{l}_1} \in U_{\mathfrak{l}_1}^0$ since $U_{\mathfrak{l}_1}^0$ is closed in $U_{\mathfrak{l}_1}$. This contradicts to $[(u_{\mathfrak{l}_1})] \neq 1$. Thus we conclude that $I_{\mathfrak{l}_1}(K/k) \cap I_{\mathfrak{l}_2}(K/k) \neq 1$ implies $\mathfrak{l}_1 = \mathfrak{l}_2$. \square

Lemma 3. Let M be an intermediate field of K/k such that for each finite subextension F/k of M/k , there exist infinitely many degree one primes \mathfrak{L} of F such that $\mu_l \subseteq M$, l being the rational prime below \mathfrak{L} . Then we have

$$\varprojlim_{k \subseteq F \subseteq M, [F:k] < \infty} E_F = 0,$$

where E_F denotes the global unit group of F and the projective limit is taken with respect to the norm maps.

Proof. It is enough to show that

$$\bigcap_{F \subseteq N \subseteq M, [N:F] < \infty} N_{N/F}(E_N) = 1$$

for each finite subextension F/k of M/k . Let \mathfrak{L} be a degree one prime of F such that $\mu_l \subseteq M$, l being the rational prime below \mathfrak{L} , and \mathfrak{L} is unramified in F/\mathbb{Q} . Then $F(\mu_l) \subseteq M$ and $N_{F(\mu_l)/F}(\varepsilon) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{L}}$ holds for every $\varepsilon \in E_{F(\mu_l)}$. Since $F(\mu_l) \subseteq M$, we find that $\eta \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{L}}$ holds for any $\eta \in \bigcap_{F \subseteq N \subseteq M, [N:F] < \infty} N_{N/F}(E_N)$. Because there exists infinitely many such primes \mathfrak{L} , we conclude that $\eta = 1$ must hold. \square

Lemma 4. Let p be an odd prime number and $\Delta = \langle \delta \rangle \subseteq \text{Gal}(K/k)$ a subgroup of order p . Put

$$Y_{\Delta}^{(p)} := \text{Gal}(L_p^{\text{ab}}(K)/K^{\Delta})/(\text{Gal}(L_p^{\text{ab}}(K)/K), \bar{\delta}),$$

where $L_p^{\text{ab}}(K)/K$ is the maximal p -subextension of $L^{\text{ab}}(K)/K$, and $\bar{\delta}$ is a lift of δ to $\text{Gal}(L_p(K)/K^{\Delta})$. Then

$$\text{Tor}(Y_{\Delta}^{(p)}) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \Delta \not\subseteq I_{\mathfrak{l}}(K/k) \text{ for any prime } \mathfrak{l} \text{ of } k, \\ \mathbb{F}_p[[\text{Gal}(K/k)/D_{\mathfrak{l}}(K/k)]] & \text{if } \Delta \subseteq I_{\mathfrak{l}}(K/k) \text{ for some prime } \mathfrak{l} \text{ of } k, \end{cases}$$

as $\mathbb{F}_p[[\text{Gal}(K/k)]]$ -modules, where $\text{Tor}(Y_{\Delta}^{(p)})$ means the torsion part of the pro- p abelian group $Y_{\Delta}^{(p)}$.

Proof. Let $M := L_p^{\text{ab}}(K)^{(\text{Gal}(L_p^{\text{ab}}(K)/K), \bar{\delta})}$. Then M/K^{Δ} is the maximal abelian p -subextension of $L_p^{\text{ab}}(K)/K^{\Delta}$, and $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ acts on $Y_{\Delta}^{(p)} = \text{Gal}(M/K^{\Delta})$ via inner automorphisms of $\text{Gal}(M/k)$.

Assume that $\Delta \not\subseteq I_{\mathfrak{l}}(K/k)$ for any prime \mathfrak{l} of k . Then M is the maximal unramified abelian p -extension field over K^{Δ} since K/K^{Δ} is unramified.

Now we employ the following theorem:

Theorem D (Uchida[10]). For any integer $m \geq 1$ and prime number $l \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, denote by $\mathbb{Q}(l, m)$ the subfield of the l -th cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_l)$ such that $[\mathbb{Q}(\mu_l) : \mathbb{Q}(l, m)] = m$. We define $\mathbb{Q}^{(m)}$ to be the composite field of all the $\mathbb{Q}(l, m)$ for the primes $l \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

Let F a number field with $\mathbb{Q}^{(m)} \subseteq F$ for some $m \geq 1$. Furthermore we assume that F contains a subfield F_0 of finite degree over \mathbb{Q} such that F is a subfield of the maximal nilpotent extension of F_0 . Then the Galois group the maximal unramified pro-solvable extension over F is a free pro-solvable group of countably infinite rank.

It follows from Theorem D that $Y_\Delta^{(p)}$ is a free pro- p abelian group since $\mathbb{Q}^{(p)} \subseteq K^\Delta$ and K^Δ/k is abelian. Hence $\text{Tor}(Y_\Delta^{(p)}) = 0$ in this case.

Assume that $\Delta \subseteq I_{\mathfrak{l}}(K/k)$ for a certain non-archimedean prime \mathfrak{l} of k . Then such a prime \mathfrak{l} is unique by Lemma 2, and the prime number l below \mathfrak{l} satisfies $l \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ by Lemma 1, especially, K/K^Δ is tamely ramified. We get the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \langle I_{\mathfrak{L}}(M/K^\Delta) \mid \mathfrak{L} \mid \mathfrak{l} \rangle \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(M/K^\Delta) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(L_p^{\text{ab}}(K^\Delta)/K^\Delta) \longrightarrow 1$$

of abelian pro- p -groups, where \mathfrak{L} runs over all the primes of K^Δ lying over \mathfrak{l} . Here we note that exactly all the primes lying over \mathfrak{l} ramify in M/K^Δ , and that $L^{\text{ab}}(K^\Delta)K \subseteq M$ because M/K is the maximal unramified abelian p -extension which is abelian over K^Δ .

It follows from Theorem D that $\text{Gal}(L^{\text{ab}}(K^\Delta)/K^\Delta)$ is free pro- p abelian group since $\mathbb{Q}^{(p)} \subseteq K^\Delta$, hence the above exact sequence splits. Therefore we obtain

$$(5) \quad \text{Tor}(Y_\Delta^{(p)}) = \langle I_{\mathfrak{L}}(M/K^\Delta) \mid \mathfrak{L} \mid \mathfrak{l} \rangle.$$

Denote by $U_{F, \mathfrak{L}}(p)$ be the pro- p -part of the local unit group at the prime \mathfrak{L} of a number field F of finite degree. Define

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{U}_{K^\Delta, \mathfrak{l}}(p) := \varprojlim_{k \subseteq F \subseteq K^\Delta, [F:\mathbb{Q}] < \infty} \prod_{\mathfrak{L} \in S_{\mathfrak{l}}(F)} U_{F, \mathfrak{L}}(p)$$

to be the projective limit of the pro- p -part of the semi-local unit groups of F at \mathfrak{l} with respect to the norm maps, where $S_{\mathfrak{l}}(F)$ stands for the set of all the primes of F lying over \mathfrak{l} and F runs over all the subfields of K^Δ with $k \subseteq F$ and $[F:\mathbb{Q}] < \infty$.

Denote by $L_{p, \{\mathfrak{l}\}}^{\text{ab}}(K^\Delta)/K^\Delta$ the maximal abelian p -extension unramified outside \mathfrak{l} . Then class field theory gives the exact sequence

$$\varprojlim_{F \subseteq K^\Delta, [F:\mathbb{Q}] < \infty} E_F \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{K^\Delta, \mathfrak{l}}(p) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(L_{p, \{\mathfrak{l}\}}^{\text{ab}}(K^\Delta)/L_p^{\text{ab}}(K^\Delta)) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Here it follows from Lemma 3 that $\varprojlim_{F \subseteq K^\Delta, [F:\mathbb{Q}] < \infty} E_F = 0$. Hence we get the isomorphism

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{U}_{K^\Delta, \mathfrak{l}}(p) \simeq \text{Gal}(L_{p, \{\mathfrak{l}\}}^{\text{ab}}(K^\Delta)/L_p^{\text{ab}}(K^\Delta)).$$

On the other hand, we see that

$$L_p^{\text{ab}}(K^\Delta) \subseteq M \subseteq L_{p, \{\mathfrak{l}\}}^{\text{ab}}(K^\Delta),$$

and $M/L_p^{\text{ab}}(K^\Delta)$ is the maximal subextension of $L_{p,\{\mathfrak{l}\}}^{\text{ab}}(K^\Delta)/L_p^{\text{ab}}(K^\Delta)$ such that every ramified prime in $M/L_p(K^\Delta)$ has ramification index p . Hence we see that

$$(8) \quad \text{Tor}(Y_{\Delta}^{(p)}) = \langle I_{\mathfrak{L}}(M/K^\Delta) \mid \mathfrak{L} \mid \mathfrak{l} \rangle = \text{Gal}(M/L_p^{\text{ab}}(K^\Delta)) \simeq \mathcal{U}_{K^\Delta, \mathfrak{l}}(p)/p$$

by using (5) and (7).

$U_{F, \mathfrak{L}}(p)/p$ is a cyclic group of order p on which $D_{\mathfrak{l}}(K/k)$ acts on trivially since $N(\mathfrak{l}) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Hence it follows from (6) that

$$\mathcal{U}_{K^\Delta, \mathfrak{l}}(p)/p \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[[\text{Gal}(\text{Gal}(K^\Delta/k)/D_{\mathfrak{l}}(K^\Delta/k))]] \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[[\text{Gal}(K/k)/D_{\mathfrak{l}}(K/k)]]$$

as $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ -modules, noting that $\Delta \subseteq D_{\mathfrak{l}}(K/k)$. Thus the assertion of the lemma follows from (8). \square

Proof of Proposition 2 Let N/\mathbb{Q} be the Galois closure of $k_1 k_2/\mathbb{Q}$. We choose an odd prime number p such that $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p) \cap N = \mathbb{Q}$. For any given prime number $q \neq p$ unramified in N , there exists a prime number l such that $[l, N/\mathbb{Q}] = [q, N/\mathbb{Q}]$, $l \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, and l is unramified in $k_1 k_2$ by the Čebotarev density theorem, where $[r, N/\mathbb{Q}]$ stands for the Frobenius conjugacy class of r in $\text{Gal}(N/\mathbb{Q})$ for any prime number r . Then we have

$$g_{k_1}(q) = g_{k_1}(l), \quad g_{k_2}(q) = g_{k_2}(l).$$

Hence if $g_{k_1}(l) = g_{k_2}(l)$ holds for all the prime numbers $l \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ unramified in $k_1 k_2/\mathbb{Q}$, then $g_{k_1}(q) = g_{k_2}(q)$ for all but finitely many prime numbers q , which in turn implies $k_1 \approx k_2$ by Theorem C.

We write k and K for k_i and K_i , respectively. Let $l \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ be a prime number unramified in k/\mathbb{Q} and \mathfrak{l} a prime of k lying over l . Then it follows from Lemma 1 that $I_{\mathfrak{l}}(K/k)$ has the subgroup $\Delta_{\mathfrak{l}}$ of order p . Then it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$(9) \quad \text{Stab}_{\text{Gal}(K/k)}(\text{Tor}(Y_{\Delta_{\mathfrak{l}}}^{(p)})) := \{\alpha \in \text{Gal}(K/k) \mid \alpha y = y \text{ for all } y \in Y_{\Delta_{\mathfrak{l}}}\} = D_{\mathfrak{l}}(K/k).$$

We note that the $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ -module structure of $Y_{\Delta}^{(p)}$ is determined only by the group structure of $\text{Gal}(L^{\text{ab}}(K)/k)$ and the subgroup $\Delta \subseteq \text{Gal}(K/k)$. The prime number l is characterized from the structure of $D_{\mathfrak{l}}(K/k)$ by the unique prime number l such that $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_l} D_{\mathfrak{l}}(K/k) = 2$ by Lemma 1. Hence we find from Lemmas 2, 4 and (9) that

$$g_k(l) = \#\{\Delta \subseteq \text{Gal}(K/k) \mid \Delta \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p, \text{Tor}(Y_{\Delta}^{(p)}) \neq 0, \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \text{Stab}_{\text{Gal}(K/k)}(\text{Tor}(Y_{\Delta}^{(p)})) = 2\}$$

for every prime number $l \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ which is unramified in k/\mathbb{Q} . This means $g_k(l)$ is determined by the group structure of $\text{Gal}(L^{\text{ab}}(K)/k)$.

Therefore, it follows from the isomorphism $\text{Gal}(L^{\text{ab}}(K_1)/k_1) \simeq \text{Gal}(L^{\text{ab}}(K_2)/k_2)$ that $g_{k_1}(l) = g_{k_2}(l)$ holds for every prime number $l \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, unramified in $k_1 k_2/\mathbb{Q}$, from which we deduce $g_{k_1}(q) = g_{k_2}(q)$ for all but finitely many prime numbers q as we have seen.

Now, by using Theorem C, we conclude that $k_1 \approx k_2$. Thus we have proved Proposition 2, from which Proposition 1 follows. \square

3. CONSTRUCTION OF FIELD ISOMORPHISMS

Let k_i be a number field of finite degree, and put $\tilde{k}_i = k_i(\mu_\infty)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Denote by L_i the maximal unramified extension field of \tilde{k}_i .

In this section, assuming the existence of an isomorphism $\varphi : \text{Gal}(L_1/k_1) \simeq \text{Gal}(L_2/k_2)$ of pro-finite groups, we construct a field isomorphism

$$\tau : L_1 \simeq L_2$$

such that $\tau(k_1) = k_2$ and $\varphi(x) = \tau x \tau^{-1}$ for every $x \in \text{Gal}(L_1/k_1)$. We are based on Proposition 1 given in the precedent section and the method of Uchida[9] to construct a field isomorphism τ .

We first recall the following facts on arithmetically equivalence:

Theorem E. (cf.[3, Theorems (1.3),(1.4),(1.6)]) Let F_1 and F_2 be number fields of finite degree such that $F_1 \approx F_2$. Then the followings holds:

- (1) F_1 and F_2 has the common Galois closure over \mathbb{Q} .
- (2) For any finite Galois extension F_0/\mathbb{Q} , we have $F_0 F_1 \approx F_0 F_2$.
- (3) Let N/\mathbb{Q} be a finite Galois extension with $F_1 F_2 \subseteq N$. Then there exists a bijection

$$\gamma : \text{Gal}(N/F_1) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(N/F_2)$$

such that $\gamma(x) = \tau_x x \tau_x^{-1}$ for each $x \in \text{Gal}(N/F_1)$ with some $\tau_x \in \text{Gal}(N/\mathbb{Q})$.

Let K_1/k_1 be any finite Galois subextension of L_1/k_1 , and K_2 is the corresponding intermediate field of L_2/k_2 by φ , namely, $K_2 = L_2^{\varphi(\text{Gal}(L_1/K_1))}$. Then K_2/k_2 is also a Galois extension and φ induces the isomorphism

$$\varphi_{K_1} : \text{Gal}(K_1/k_1) \simeq \text{Gal}(K_2/k_2).$$

Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a finite Galois extension containing $K_1 K_2$ and put $G := \text{Gal}(K/k)$

Lemma 5. Let p be a prime number with $p \nmid \#G$ and r a positive integer. Then there exists a Galois extension M/\mathbb{Q} containing K such that $\text{Gal}(M/K) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[G]^{\oplus r}$ as G -modules when we define the G -action on $\text{Gal}(M/K)$ via inner automorphisms of $\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})$, and that the maximal abelian p -subextension M_1/K_1 of M/K_1 is a subextension of L_1/K_1 .

Proof. By the Čebotarev density theorem, there exist degree one principal prime ideals (Λ_i) ($1 \leq i \leq r$) of $K(\mu_p)$ such that $\Lambda_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ and totally positive, and that (Λ_i) 's are unramified in K/\mathbb{Q} and lying over distinct rational primes l_i 's with $l_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Define M/K to be the maximal abelian p -subextension of $M' := K(\mu_p, \sqrt[p]{\sigma \Lambda_i} \mid \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(\mu_p)/\mathbb{Q}), 1 \leq i \leq r)/K$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gal}(M'/K(\mu_p)) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K(\mu_p)/\mathbb{Q})]^{\oplus r}, \mu_p) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K(\mu_p)/\mathbb{Q})](-1), \mathbb{F}_p)^{\oplus r} \end{aligned}$$

as $\text{Gal}(K(\mu_p)/\mathbb{Q})$ -modules by Kummer duality, (-1) denoting the Tate twist. Hence we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gal}(M/K) &\simeq \text{Gal}(M(\mu_p)/K(\mu_p)) \simeq \text{Gal}(M'/K(\mu_p))_{\text{Gal}(K(\mu_p)/K)} \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}((\mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K(\mu_p)/\mathbb{Q})](-1))^{\text{Gal}(K(\mu_p)/K)}, \mathbb{F}_p)^{\oplus r}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$(\mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K(\mu_p)/\mathbb{Q})](-1))^{\text{Gal}(K(\mu_p)/K)} = \mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K(\mu_p)/\mathbb{Q})]^\varepsilon \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[G]$$

as G -modules holds for

$$\varepsilon := \sum_{\delta \in \text{Gal}(K(\mu_p)/K)} \chi(\delta) \delta^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K(\mu_p)/\mathbb{Q})](-1),$$

where $\chi : \text{Gal}(K(\mu_p)/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ stands for the cyclotomic character.

Then we see that $\text{Gal}(M/K) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[G]^{\oplus r}$ as G -modules, and that the ramified primes in M/K are exactly the primes lying over l_i 's whose ramification indexes equal p . Hence, if we denote by M_1/K_1 the maximal abelian p -subextension of M/K_1 , then the ramified primes in M_1/K_1 are lying over l_i 's, whose ramification indexes are p because $p \nmid [K : K_1]$. Since the prime l_i is unramified in k_1/\mathbb{Q} and $l_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, the primes lying over l_i 's are unramified in $M_1(\mu_{l_i})/K_1(\mu_{l_i})$. Therefore we see that $M_1(\mu_\infty)/K_1(\mu_\infty)$ is unramified abelian p -extension. Because $K_1(\mu_\infty) \subseteq L_1$, we conclude that $M_1 \subseteq L_1$. \square

Let $H_i := \text{Gal}(K_i/k_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) and M/K an extension given by Lemma 5 such that

$$(10) \quad A := \text{Gal}(M/K) \simeq \bigoplus_{h \in H_1} \mathbb{F}_p[G]u_h,$$

as G -modules, where the right hand side is the free $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules with basis $\{u_h \mid h \in H_1\}$.

For each $h \in H_1$, let M_h/K be the subextension of M/K such that

$$\text{Gal}(M/M_h) \simeq \bigoplus_{h \neq h' \in H_1} \mathbb{F}_p[G]u_{h'}$$

under isomorphism (10). We note that M_h/\mathbb{Q} is a Galois extension.

Define $M_{1,h}/K_1$ to be the maximal abelian p -subextension of M_h/K_1 which is abelian over $K_1^{(h)}$ for each $h \in H_1$. By our choice of M , we see that $M_{1,h} \subseteq L_1$. Let $M_{2,h}$ be the field corresponding to $M_{1,h}$ by φ_{K_1} for each $h \in H_1$. Then it follows from Proposition 1 that $M_{1,h} \approx M_{2,h}$. This means $M_{2,h}$ is a subfield of the Galois closure of $M_{1,h}$ over \mathbb{Q} by Theorem E (1), which is a subfield of M_h since M_h/\mathbb{Q} is Galois. Hence we see that $K_2 \subseteq M_{2,h} \subseteq M_h$ and that φ induces $\text{Gal}(M_{2,h}/K_2) \simeq \text{Gal}(M_{1,h}/K_1)$, which are abelian p -groups, and $\text{Gal}(M_{2,h}/K_2^{(\varphi_{K_1}(h))}) \simeq \text{Gal}(M_{1,h}/K_1^{(h)})$, which is abelian.

Furthermore, for any abelian p -subextension F_2/K_2 of M_h/K_2 which is abelian over $K_2^{(\varphi_{K_1}(h))}$, we see that the corresponding field F_1 to F_2 by φ^{-1} is an intermediate field of M_h/K_1 by a similar argument above, which is p -abelian over K_1 , and $\text{Gal}(F_1/K_1^{(h)}) \simeq \text{Gal}(F_2/K_2^{(\varphi_{K_1}(h))})$ is abelian. Hence we have $F_1 \subseteq M_{1,h}$, which implies $F_2 \subseteq M_{2,h}$. Therefore we conclude that $M_{2,h}/K_2$ is the maximal abelian p -subextension of M_h/K_2 which is abelian over $K_2^{(\varphi_{K_1}(h))}$.

Lemma 6. (1) We have

$$K \prod_{h \in H_1} M_{1,h} \approx K \prod_{h \in H_1} M_{2,h}.$$

(2) Let $N_i := \text{Gal}(K/K_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then we have

$$A_1 := \text{Gal}(M/K \prod_{h \in H_1} M_{1,h}) \simeq \bigoplus_{h \in H_1} (I_{N_1} + (\bar{h} - 1)\mathbb{F}_p[G])u_h,$$

$$A_2 := \text{Gal}(M/K \prod_{h \in H_1} M_{2,h}) \simeq \bigoplus_{h \in H_1} (I_{N_2} + (\overline{\varphi_{K_1}(h)} - 1)\mathbb{F}_p[G])u_h,$$

where $I_{N_i} := \sum_{n \in N_i} (n - 1)\mathbb{F}_p[G]$, $\bar{h} \in \text{Gal}(K/k_1)$ and $\overline{\varphi_{K_1}(h)} \in \text{Gal}(K/k_2)$ are lifts of h and $\varphi_{K_1}(h)$, respectively.

Proof. (1) Because $M_{2,h}$ is corresponding to $M_{1,h}$ by φ for each $h \in H_1$, the coposite field $\prod_{h \in H_1} M_{2,h}$ is corresponding to $\prod_{h \in H_1} M_{1,h}$ by φ . Then it follows from Proposition 1 that $\prod_{h \in H_1} M_{1,h} \approx \prod_{h \in H_1} M_{2,h}$. Hence the assertion follows from Theorem E(2) since K/\mathbb{Q} is Galois.

(2) Recall that $M_{1,h}/K_1$ and $M_{2,h}/K_2$ are the maximal abelian p -subextensions of M_h/K_1 and M_h/K_2 such that h and $\varphi_{K_1}(h)$ act trivially on $\text{Gal}(M_{1,h}/K_1)$ and $\text{Gal}(M_{2,h}/K_2)$, respectively. Hence we find that N_1 and \bar{h} acts trivially on $\text{Gal}(M/K)/\text{Gal}(M/KM_{1,h}) \simeq \text{Gal}(KM_{1,h}/K) \simeq \text{Gal}(M_{1,h}/K_1)$, which implies

$$J_h := \bigoplus_{h' \neq h \in H_1} \mathbb{F}_p[G]u_{h'} \oplus (I_{N_1} + (\bar{h} - 1)\mathbb{F}_p[G])u_h \subseteq \text{Gal}(M/KM_{1,h})$$

It follows from the definition of J_h that $\text{Gal}(M^{J_h}/K_1)$ has a direct factor naturally isomorphic to $N_1 = \text{Gal}(K/K_1)$ since $p \nmid \#N_1$. Hence there is an abelian p -subextension F/K_1 such that $KF = M^{J_h}$ and $F \cap K = K_1$, and we see that $F/K_1^{(h)}$ is abelian by the definition of J_h . This means $F \subseteq M_{1,h}$ and $\text{Gal}(M/KM_{1,h}) \subseteq J_h$. Thus we conclude that $J_h = \text{Gal}(M/KM_{1,h})$ and

$$\text{Gal}(M/K \prod_{h \in H_1} M_{1,h}) = \bigcap_{h \in H_1} J_h = \bigoplus_{h \in H_1} (I_{N_1} + (\bar{h} - 1)\mathbb{F}_p[G])u_h.$$

We obtain the assertion also for A_2 by the similar way. \square

Lemma 7. There exists $\tau_0 \in G$ and $m_h \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each $h \in H_1$ such that $\tau_0 N_1 \tau_0^{-1} = N_2$, and

$$(\tau_0 \bar{h} \tau_0^{-1})|_{K_2} = \varphi_{K_1}(h)^{m_h} \in \text{Gal}(K_2/k_2)$$

holds for every $h \in H_1$, where $\bar{h} \in \text{Gal}(K/k_1)$ is a lift of h .

Proof. By using Lemma 6 (2), we define

$$\alpha := \sum_{n \in N_1} (n - 1)u_1 + \sum_{h \in H_1 - \{1\}} (\bar{h} - 1)u_h \in A_1.$$

Then it follows from Lemma 6 (1) and Theorem E (3) that there exists $\tau_0 \in G$ such that

$$(11) \quad \tau_0 \cdot \alpha \in A_2,$$

where “ \cdot ” denotes the G -action on A . We derive from (11) and Lemma 6 (2) that

$$(12) \quad \tau_0 \sum_{n \in N_1} (n - 1) \in I_{N_2},$$

and

$$(13) \quad \tau_0(\bar{h} - 1) \in I_{N_2} + (\overline{\varphi_{K_1}(h)} - 1)\mathbb{F}_p[G],$$

for $h \in H_1 - \{1\}$.

By operating $T_{N_2} := \sum_{n \in N_2} n \in \mathbb{F}_p[G]$ on (12), we obtain the equality

$$T_{N_2}\tau_0 \sum_{n \in N_1} n = (\#N_1)T_{N_2}\tau_0,$$

from which we see that for each $n_1 \in N_1$, there exists $n_2 \in N_2$ such that $\tau_0 n_1 = n_2 \tau_0$. This implies $\tau_0 N_1 \tau_0^{-1} \subseteq N_2$. Since $K_1 \approx K_2$ by Proposition 1, we find that $[K_1 : \mathbb{Q}] = [K_2 : \mathbb{Q}]$ by Theorem E (3), which implies $\#N_1 = \#N_2$. Thus we conclude that $\tau_0 N_1 \tau_0^{-1} = N_2$.

By operating $T := \sum_{t \in \langle N_2, \overline{\varphi_{K_1}(h)} \rangle} t \in \mathbb{F}_p[G]$ on (13), we get

$$T\tau_0\bar{h} = T\tau_0,$$

from which we see that there exists $t \in \langle N_2, \overline{\varphi_{K_1}(h)} \rangle$ such that $\tau_0\bar{h} = t\tau_0$. Then we conclude that

$$(\tau_0\bar{h}\tau_0^{-1})N_2 = \overline{\varphi_{K_1}(h)}^{m_h} N_2,$$

which means

$$\tau_0\bar{h}\tau_0^{-1}|_{K_2} = \varphi_{K_1}(h)^{m_h},$$

for a certain $m_h \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each $h \in H_1$. \square

We note that $\text{Gal}(K/\tau_0(k_1)) = \tau_0 \text{Gal}(K/k_1) \tau_0^{-1} \subseteq \text{Gal}(K/k_2)$ holds by the above Lemma, which in turn implies

$$(14) \quad \tau_0(k_1) = k_2, \quad \tau_0 \text{Gal}(K/k_1) \tau_0^{-1} = \text{Gal}(K/k_2),$$

because $[K : k_1] = [K : k_2]$ holds by $k_1 \approx k_2$ and Theorem E. Hence $k_1 \simeq k_2$ holds.

In what follows we will show that the assertion of Lemma 7 holds for $m_h = 1$ in fact.

Lemma 8. Let K_1/k_1 be any finite Galois subextension of L_1/k_1 and p a prime number. Then there exists a Galois subextension M_1/k_1 of L_1/k_1 with $K_1 \subseteq M_1$ such that

$$\text{Gal}(M_1/K_1) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[H_1]$$

as $H_1 = \text{Gal}(K_1/k_1)$ -modules, where the H_1 -action on $\text{Gal}(M_1/K_1)$ is defined via inner automorphisms of $\text{Gal}(M_1/k_1)$.

Proof. We can show the existence of M_1 in a similar way to the proof of Lemma 5. Choose a principal degree one prime ideal (Λ) of $K_1(\mu_p)$ such that $\Lambda \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ and totally positive, and that the rational prime l below (Λ) is unramified in k_1/\mathbb{Q} . Then the maximal abelian p -subextension M_1/K_1 of $K_1(\mu_p)(\sqrt[p]{\sigma\Lambda} \mid \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_1(\mu_p)/k_1))/K_1$ satisfies our requirement. \square

Now we will give the following crucial proposition:

Proposition 3. Let K_1/k_1 be a finite Galois subextension of L_1/k_1 , and $K_2 \subseteq L_2$ the corresponding field to K_1 by φ . Then there exists a field isomorphism $\tau_{K_1} : K_1 \simeq K_2$ such that $\tau_{K_1}(k_1) = k_2$ and

$$\varphi_{K_1}(x) = \tau_{K_1} x \tau_{K_1}^{-1}$$

for every $x \in \text{Gal}(K_1/k_1)$.

Proof. M_1/K_1 be a subextension of L_1/K_1 given by Lemma 8. Let K_2 and M_2 be the fields corresponding to K_1 and M_1 by φ , respectively. Then φ induces the isomorphism

$$(15) \quad \varphi_{M_1} : \text{Gal}(M_1/k_1) \simeq \text{Gal}(M_2/k_2)$$

with $\varphi_{M_2}(\text{Gal}(M_1/K_1)) = \text{Gal}(M_2/K_2)$. Let M/\mathbb{Q} be a finite Galois extension containing M_1M_2 . Then by applying Lemma 7 to M_1 , M_2 , and M as K_1 , K_2 , and K , respectively, we get $\tau_0 \in \text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})$ such that

$$(16) \quad \tau_0 \text{Gal}(M/M_1) \tau_0^{-1} = \text{Gal}(M/M_2)$$

and

$$(17) \quad (\tau_0 \bar{x} \tau_0^{-1})|_{M_2} = \varphi_{M_1}(x)^{m_x}$$

holds for each $x \in \text{Gal}(M_1/k_1)$ with a certain $m_x \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\bar{x} \in \text{Gal}(M/k_1)$ is a lift of x . Here we note that $\tau_0 \text{Gal}(M/k_1) \tau_0^{-1} = \text{Gal}(M/k_2)$ holds by (14). Put $A := \text{Gal}(M_1/K_1) = \mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K_1/k_1)]u$, u being a basis of the free $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K_1/k_1)]$ -module A . Then we have

$$\text{Gal}(M_2/K_2) = \varphi_{M_1}(\text{Gal}(M_1/K_1)) = \mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K_2/k_2)]\varphi_{M_1}(u) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K_2/k_2)],$$

as $\text{Gal}(K_2/k_2)$ -modules by (15).

Let $x \in \text{Gal}(M_1/k_1)$ be any element. Then we have

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \varphi_{M_1}(xux^{-1})^{m_u} \\ &= \varphi_{M_1}(x)\varphi_{M_1}(u)^{m_u}\varphi_{M_1}(x)^{-1} \\ &= \varphi_{M_1}(x)((\tau_0 \bar{u} \tau_0^{-1})|_{M_2})\varphi_{M_1}(x)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $u \in A$ is the free basis, by (17).

We also get

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \varphi_{M_1}(xux^{-1})^{m_{xux^{-1}}} \\ &= (\tau_0(\overline{xux^{-1}})\tau_0^{-1})|_{M_2} \\ &= (\tau_0 \bar{x} \tau_0^{-1})|_{M_2}(\tau_0 \bar{u} \tau_0^{-1})|_{M_2}(\tau_0 x \tau_0^{-1})^{-1}|_{M_2} \end{aligned}$$

Because we have $\tau_0 \bar{u} \tau_0^{-1}|_{M_2} \neq 1$ by (16) and $\varphi_{M_1}(xux^{-1}) \in \text{Gal}(M_2/K_2) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K_2/k_2)]$, we see that m_u and $m_{xux^{-1}}$ is prime to p by (18) and (19). Furthermore, since we have $\varphi_{M_1}(u)^{m_u} = (\tau_0 \bar{u} \tau_0^{-1})|_{M_2}$ from (17), and $p \nmid m_u$, we see that

$$(\tau_0 \bar{u} \tau_0^{-1})|_{M_2} \in \text{Gal}(M_2/K_2) = \mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K_2/k_2)](\varphi_{M_1}(u))$$

is a free $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K_2/k_2)]$ -basis of $\text{Gal}(M_2/K_2)$.

We derive from (18) and (19) that

$$m_{xux^{-1}}\varphi_{M_1}(x)|_{K_2} \cdot (\tau_0 \bar{u} \tau_0^{-1})|_{M_2} = m_u(\tau_0 \bar{x} \tau_0^{-1})|_{K_2} \cdot (\tau_0 \bar{u} \tau_0^{-1})|_{M_2} \in \text{Gal}(M_2/K_2),$$

where “ \cdot ” stands for the $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K_2/k_2)]$ -action on $\text{Gal}(M_2/K_2)$.

Because $(\tau_0 \bar{u} \tau_0^{-1})|_{M_2} \in \text{Gal}(M_2/K_2) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K_2/k_2)]$ is a free $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K_2/k_2)]$ -basis of $\text{Gal}(M_2/K_2)$ and $p \nmid m_u m_{xux^{-1}}$, we conclude that

$$(20) \quad \varphi_{K_1}(x|_{K_1}) = \varphi_{M_1}(x)|_{K_2} = (\tau_0 \bar{x} \tau_0^{-1})|_{K_2} \in \text{Gal}(K_2/k_2)$$

for every $x \in \text{Gal}(M_1/k_1)$.

It follows from (20) that $\tau_0 \text{Gal}(M/K_1) \tau_0^{-1} \subseteq \text{Gal}(M/K_2)$, from which we have

$$\tau_0 \text{Gal}(M/K_1) \tau_0^{-1} = \text{Gal}(M/K_2),$$

since $\#\text{Gal}(M/K_1) = \#\text{Gal}(M/K_2)$ by Proposition 1 and Theorem E (3). This means $\tau_0(K_1) = K_2$. Furthermore, $\tau_0(k_1) = k_2$ also holds by (14).

Now we define the field isomorphism $\tau_{K_1} : K_1 \simeq K_2$ by $\tau_{K_1}(\alpha) := \tau_0(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in K_1$. Then $\tau_{K_1}(k_1) = k_2$ and

$$\varphi_{K_1}(x) = \tau_{K_1} x \tau_{K_1}^{-1}$$

for every $x \in \text{Gal}(K_1/k_1)$ holds by (20). This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Now we will give a proof of Theorem 1. Let k_i be number field of finite degree and L_i the maximal unramified extension of $\tilde{k}_i = k_i(\mu_\infty)$ ($i = 1, 2$). Assume that

$$\varphi : \text{Gal}(L_1/k_1) \simeq \text{Gal}(L_2/k_2)$$

is an isomorphism of pro-finite groups. We will show that there exists the unique field isomorphism

$$\tau : L_1 \simeq L_2$$

such that

$$(21) \quad \tau(k_1) = k_2, \quad \varphi(x) = \tau x \tau^{-1}$$

holds for every $x \in \text{Gal}(L_1/k_1)$. This implies the assertion of Theorem 1.

For a finite Galois subextension K_1/k_1 of L_1/k_1 , let K_2 be the intermediate field of L_2/k_2 corresponding to K_1 by φ , and define T_{K_1} to be the set of all the field isomorphisms

$$\tau_{K_1} : K_1 \simeq K_2$$

such that

$$(22) \quad \tau_{K_1}(k_1) = k_2, \quad \varphi_{K_1}(x) = \tau_{K_1} x \tau_{K_1}^{-1}$$

holds for every $x \in \text{Gal}(K_1/k_1)$, where $\varphi_{K_1} : \text{Gal}(K_1/k_1) \simeq \text{Gal}(K_2/k_1)$ is the isomorphism induced by φ .

Then it follows from Proposition 3 that $T_{K_1} \neq \emptyset$ for each K_1 . Furthermore, if $k_1 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq K'_1 \subseteq L_1$ are intermediate fields finite Galois over k_1 , we obtain the map

$$T_{K'_1} \longrightarrow T_{K_1}$$

by $\tau_{K'_1} \mapsto \tau_{K'_1}|_{K_1}$. Since T_{K_1} is a non-empty finite set, we see that the projective limit \bar{T} of T_{K_1} 's with respect to the above maps is not empty, where K_1 runs over all the intermediate fields of L_1/k_1 such that K_1/k_1 is finite Galois. Take $(\tau_{K_1})_{K_1} \in \bar{T}$ and define the map

$$\tau : L_1 \longrightarrow L_2$$

by $\tau(\alpha) := \tau_{K_1}(\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in L_1$ and finite Galois subextension K_1/k_1 of L_1/k_1 with $\alpha \in K_1$. We see that τ is a well-defined field isomorphism $L_1 \simeq L_2$ and satisfies our requirement (21) by (22). Thus we have proved the existence of $\tau : L_1 \simeq L_2$ with (21).

Finally we will show the uniqueness of τ with (21) in what follows. We need the following:

Lemma 9. Let $k_0 \subseteq k_1$ be a subfield such that L_1/k_0 is a Galois extension. Then the centralizer $Z_{\text{Gal}(L_1/k_0)}(\text{Gal}(L_1/k_1))$ of $\text{Gal}(L_1/k_1)$ in $\text{Gal}(L_1/k_0)$ is trivial.

Proof. Assume that $z \in Z_{\text{Gal}(L_1/k_0)}(\text{Gal}(L_1/k_1))$. Let K_1/k_0 be a finite Galois subextension of L_1/k_0 . By a similar way to the proof of Lemma 8, we see that there exists finite Galois subextension M_1/k_0 of L_1/k_0 containing K_1 such that $\text{Gal}(M_1/K_1) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[\text{Gal}(K_1/k_0)]$ as $\text{Gal}(K_1/k_0)$ -modules, where the $\text{Gal}(K_1/k_0)$ -action on $\text{Gal}(M_1/K_1)$ is given via inner automorphisms of $\text{Gal}(M_1/k_0)$. Then $z|_{K_1} \in \text{Gal}(K_1/k_0)$ acts on $\text{Gal}(M_1/K_1)$ trivially, which implies $z|_{K_1} = 1$ since the $\text{Gal}(K_1/k_0)$ -action on $\text{Gal}(M_1/K_1)$ is faithful. Because K_1 can be arbitral finite Galois subextension of L_1/k_0 , we conclude that $z = 1$. \square

Assume that $\tau_1, \tau_2 : L_1 \simeq L_2$ are field isomorphisms satisfying (21) for $\tau = \tau_1, \tau_2$. Put $z := \tau_1^{-1}\tau_2 \in \text{Gal}(L_1/k_0)$, where k_0 be the minimal subfield of L_1 such that L_1/k_0 is Galois. Then we have

$$zxz^{-1} = \tau_1^{-1}(\tau_2 x \tau_2^{-1})\tau_1 = \tau_1^{-1}\varphi(x)\tau_1 = \varphi^{-1}\varphi(x) = x$$

for any $x \in \text{Gal}(L_1/k_1)$ by our assumption. Hence we conclude that

$$z \in Z_{\text{Gal}(L_1/k_0)}(\text{Gal}(L_1/k_1)) = 1$$

by Lemma 9, which implies $\tau_1 = \tau_2$. Thus we have shown the uniqueness of τ with (21). This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

5. REMARKS

1. In the case of a curve C over a finite field \mathbb{F} , it is known that $\text{Gal}(L(C)/\overline{\mathbb{F}}(C))$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L(C)/\mathbb{F}(C))$ (see [8, Proposition(3.3)]). Furthermore, for every $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\text{Gal}(L(C)/\mathbb{F}(C)))$, the induced automorphism of $G_{\mathbb{F}} \simeq \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}(C)/\mathbb{F}(C))$ by φ is the identity (see [8, Proposition(3.4)]).

In the case of a number field k , analogous assertions also hold, namely, it follows from Theorem 1 that $\text{Gal}(L(\tilde{k})/\tilde{k})$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L(\tilde{k})/k)$ and that for every $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\text{Gal}(L(\tilde{k})/k))$, the induced automorphism of $\text{Gal}(\tilde{k}/k)$ by φ is the identity.

2. The assertion of Theorem 1 holds even if we replace $L_i = L(\tilde{k}_i)$ with any solvably closed unramified extension field L'_i over \tilde{k}_i which is Galois over k_i , namely, there is no non-trivial unramified abelian extensions over L'_i . The maximal unramified solvable extension over \tilde{k}_i is an example of such L'_i .

Indeed, because the maximal abelian subextensions of L_i/k_i and L'_i/k_i coincide, and $L^{\text{ab}}(F) \subseteq L'_i$, holds for any $k_i \subseteq F \subseteq L'_i$, the assertion of Proposition 1 holds even if we replace L_i with L'_i . Furthermore, for a finite Galois subextension K_1/k_1 of L'_1/k_1 , if an abelian extension M_1/K_1 satisfies that \tilde{M}_1/\tilde{K}_1 is unramified, then $M_1 \subseteq L'_1$ holds. Therefore, the arguments of sections 3 and 4 work for L'_i/k_i .

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Chevalley, Deux th  or  mes d'arithm  tique, J. Math. Soc. Japan **3** (1951), 36–44.
- [2] Y. Furuta, The genus field and genus number in algebraic number fields, Nagoya Math. J. **29** (1967), 281–285.

- [3] N.Klingen, Arithmetical similarities. Prime decomposition and finite group theory, Oxford Math. Monogr. Oxford Sci. Publ. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998
- [4] S.Mochizuki, The local pro- p anabelian geometry of curves, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), 319–423.
- [5] S.Mochizuki, Absolute anabelian cuspidalizations of proper hyperbolic curves. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **47** (2007), no.3, 451–539.
- [6] J.Neukirch, A.Schmidt, K.Wingberg, Cohomology of Number Fields. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **323** Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008
- [7] D. Stuart and R. Perlis, A new characterization of arithmetic equivalence. J. Number Theory **53** (1995), no. 2, 300–308.
- [8] A.Tamagawa, The Grothendieck conjecture for affine curves. Compositio Math.**109** (1997), no.2, 135–194.
- [9] K.Uchida, Isomorphisms of Galois groups of solvably closed Galois extensions. Tohoku Math. J.(2)**31** (1979), no.3, 359–362.
- [10] K.Uchida, Galois groups of unramified solvable extensions. Tohoku Math. J.(2) **34** (1982), no.2, 311–317.

Manabu Ozaki,
 Department of Mathematics,
 School of Fundamental Science and Engineering,
 Waseda University,
 Ohkubo 3-4-1, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 169-8555, Japan
 e-mail: ozaki@waseda.jp