Gesture Recognition Case Study

Team Member:

- Om Prakash
- Sugandha Saurabh

Problem Statement

Imagine you are working as a data scientist at a home electronics company which manufactures state of the art smart televisions. You want to develop a cool feature in the smart-TV that can recognise five different gestures performed by the user which will help users control the TV without using a remote.

The gestures are continuously monitored by the webcam mounted on the TV. Each gesture corresponds to a specific command:

Gesture	Corresponding Action	
Thumbs Up	Increase the volume.	
Thumbs Down	Decrease the volume.	
Left Swipe	'Jump' backwards 10 seconds.	
Right Swipe	'Jump' forward 10 seconds.	
Stop	Pause the movie.	

Each video is a sequence of 30 frames (or images).

Objectives:

- 1. **Generator**: The generator should be able to take a batch of videos as input without any error. Steps like cropping, resizing and normalization should be performed successfully.
- 2. **Model**: Develop a model that is able to train without any errors which will be judged on the total number of parameters (as the inference(prediction) time

- should be less) and the accuracy achieved. As suggested by Snehansu, start training on a small amount of data and then proceed further.
- 3. **Write up**: This should contain the detailed procedure followed in choosing the final model. The write up should start with the reason for choosing the base model, then highlight the reasons and metrics taken into consideration to modify and experiment to arrive at the final model.

Packages used:

- Imageio
- Skimage
- Datetime
- Numpy
- OS
- Abc
- OpenCV
- Matplotlib
- random
- Tensorflow
- Keras
- Mobilenet

Here you make the model using different functionalities that Keras provides. Remember to use Conv3D and MaxPooling3D and not Conv2D and Maxpooling2D for a 3D convolution model. You would want to use TimeDistributed while building a Conv2D + RNN model. Also remember that the last layer is the softmax. Design the network in such a way that the model is able to give good accuracy on the least number of parameters so that it can fit in the memory of the webcam.

1. CNN 3D - 3D Convolution Models

Model 1 - Sample model used for initial experiments

The sample model provides a starting point for the experiments to understand various hyperparameters. Based on the results of the models, we can optimize the hyperparameters and get the better accuracies and reduce the loss.

Below are the experiments to see how training time is affected by


- 1. image resolution,
- 2. number of images in sequence and
- 3. batch size
- A. As we see from the above experiments "image resolution" and number of frames in sequence have more impact on training time than batch_size
- B. We can consider the Batch Size around 15-40 based on model performance
- C. We will change the resolution 160 X 160, 120 X 120, 100 X 100 and 80 X 80 according the model performance


Base Model

Image Size = 160 X 160, Batch Size = 40, No. of Epochs = 15, Frames to Sample = 20

To start with the experiments of building models using CNN3D, the initial parameters are set. Once the model is executed, we can start optimizing hyperparameters based on the model performance.

Training & Validation Accuracy/Loss Plot





Model is clearly overfitting. There have not been any improvement in the model since last 10 epoch, so early stopping is executed @epoch 12. The model is not able to converge and hence there is no point in executing this model. We will reduce the batch size and increase the number of epochs to see if there is any improvement in the model. Also, we can add dropout 50% to address overfitting


- 1. Total No. of parameters = 65,833,861
- 2. Categorical Accuracy = 98.19%
- 3. Validation Accuracy = 23.00%


Adding dropout to Base Model

Image Size = 160 X 160, Batch Size = 20, No. of Epochs = 25, Frames to Sample = 20, Dense Neurons = 256, Dropout = 50%

Batch size is reduced, and number of epochs have been increased based on the above model. Also added dropout of 50% to address overfitting. We will now check the performance of this model and then further optimize.

Training & Validation Accuracy/Loss Plot





We can see val_loss did not improve for last 10 epochs, so early stopping stops the epoch automatically!! The model is highly overfit as well with no signs or convergence. Changing batch size and number of epochs did not help at all. We will reduce the image size to 120 X 120 and see if the model is converging. Also, we can test with reduced filter size.


- 1. Total No. of parameters = 262,506,181
- 2. Categorical Accuracy = 81.67%
- 3. Validation Accuracy = 28.00%

Reduce filter size to (2,2,2) and image size to 120 x 120

Image Size = 120 X 120, Batch Size = 30, No. of Epochs = 25, Frames to Sample = 16

Image size is reduced, and filter size is also reduced. Now we will check the model performance and then further optimize.

Training & Validation Accuracy/Loss Plot



The model performance still did not improve at all, instead, there is dip in categorical accuracy. This model also stopped early, without executing all the epochs. The model is clearly not converging at all. We will go back to filter size of (3,3,3) and reduce the number of epochs, since all previous models have stopped early. Also, adding dropout at all convolution layers as well.


- 1. Total No. of parameters = 118,121,781
- 2. Categorical Accuracy = 82.96%
- 3. Validation Accuracy = 16.00%


Adding dropout at convolution layers

Image Size = 120 X 120, Batch Size = 20, No. of Epochs = 15, Frames to Sample = 16

Filter size is set back to (3,3,3) and number of epochs have been reduced to 15. Adding dropout at all the convolution layers as well. We will now check the model performance.

Training & Validation Accuracy/Loss Plot





Overfitting again!! Adding dropouts have also not helped. The model doesn't seem to generalise well. All the experimental models above have more than 1 million parameters. Let's try to reduce the model size and see the performance


- 1. Total No. of parameters = 118,326,981
- 2. Categorical Accuracy = 96.23%
- 3. Validation Accuracy = 21.00%


Reducing the number of parameters and image size

Image Size = 100 X 100, Batch Size = 20, No. of Epochs = 20, Frames to Sample = 16, Dense Neurons = 128, Dropout = 25%

Image Size, Dense Neurons and dropout have been reduced. We will check the model performance and optimize further

Training & Validation Accuracy/Loss Plot





Reducing the image size and number of parameters have really helped the model to converge. We have got a good validation accuracy of 82%. Though the model is still overfitting. We can reduce the number of parameters again and check if we can better this accuracy


- 1. Total No. of parameters = 41,066,821
- 2. Categorical Accuracy = 96.08%
- 3. Validation Accuracy = 82.00%


Reducing the number of parameters again

Image Size = 100 X 100, Batch Size = 20, No. of Epochs = 25, Frames to Sample = 16, Dense Neurons = 64, Dropout = 25%

Further reducing the dense layer and dropout. We will check the model performance and optimize further.

Training & Validation Accuracy/Loss Plot





Reducing the parameters further did not help much compared to previous model. We have similar accuracies. So, now, we will further reduce the image size to 80 X 80 and reduce the number of hidden layers.


- 1. Total No. of parameters = 20,583,301
- 2. Categorical Accuracy = 96.91%
- 3. Validation Accuracy = 83.00%

Reducing number of hidden layers and image size = 80 X 80

Image Size = 80 X 80, Batch Size = 15, No. of Epochs = 25, Frames to Sample = 16, Dense Neurons = 128, Dropout = 50%

Removing a CNN3D layer and reducing image size to 80 X 80. We have to reduce the batch size to 15. With batch size 20, disk space of more than 20GB was supported at Jarvis cloud. We were running out of space after epoch 20 itself. So, reducing batch size to 15.

Training & Validation Accuracy/Loss Plot



The model is finally fit with a very good training accuracy of ~89% and validation accuracy of 87%. For now, this seems to be a very good accuracy and we finally have our best CNN3D model.

- 1. Total No. of parameters = 210,013,701
- 2. Categorical Accuracy = 88.99%
- 3. Validation Accuracy = 87.00%

Now, we can try experimenting and building models in CNN2D + RNN.

2. CNN 2D + RNN Models


Model 9


CNN2D - LSTM Model

 $Image\ Size = 120\ X\ 120,\ Batch\ Size = 20,\ No.\ of\ Epochs = 20,\ Frames\ to\ Sample = 18,\ Dense\ Neurons = 128,\ Dropout = 25\%,\ LSTM\ Cells = 128$

Initially to start with, we have less number of layers configured for CNN2D and image size is 120X120. We will check the model performance and optimize further.

Training & Validation Accuracy/Loss Plot





The model started to converge but could not. It stooped early as there were no convergence for 10 epoch. The model is overfit with a huge gap in training and validation accuracies. We will try and reduce the image size to 100 x 100 and check the performance.


- 1. Total No. of parameters = 14,922,949
- 2. Categorical Accuracy = 81.75%
- 3. Validation Accuracy = 46.00%


CNN - LSTM Model - Reducing image size

Image Size = 100 X 100, Batch Size = 20, No. of Epochs = 20, Frames to Sample = 18, Dense Neurons = 128, Dropout = 25%, LSTM Cells = 128

Reducing the image size to 100 x 100 and checking its performance.

Training & Validation Accuracy/Loss Plot





We have got a good training accuracy of 86% and validation accuracy of 87%. The model is fit and seems to be best model in CNN2D + RNN combination. We will reduce the image size further to check if we can achieve better accuracy.


- 1. Total No. of parameters = 9,614,533
- 2. Categorical Accuracy = 86.05%
- 3. Validation Accuracy = 87.00%


CNN2D - LSTM Model - Further reducing image size

Image Size = 80 X 80, Batch Size = 20, No. of Epochs = 20, Frames to Sample = 18, Dense Neurons = 128, Dropout = 25%, LSTM Cells = 128

Reducing the image size further to check if it improves the accuracy further.

Training & Validation Accuracy/Loss Plot





The model is overfit, failing to converge further. It stopped early as there were no convergence for last 10 epochs. So, clearly, image size of 80x80 has not improved, instead, drastically reduced the performance.

- 1. Total No. of parameters = 6,730,949
- 2. Categorical Accuracy = 84.16%
- 3. Validation Accuracy = 35.00%


We will try now building model with GRU and check its performance.


CNN2D LSTM with GRU

Image Size = 100 X 100, Batch Size = 20, No. of Epochs = 20, Frames to Sample = 18, Dense Neurons = 128, Dropout = 25%, LSTM Cells = 128

Taking the best CNN LSTM model (model with image size 100 x 100) and adding GRU layer to it. Checking its performance

Training & Validation Accuracy/Loss Plot





Adding GRU layer improved the training accuracy but did not improve the validation accuracy, hence overfitting the model.

- 1. Total No. of parameters = 1,934,949
- 2. Categorical Accuracy = 95.40%
- 3. Validation Accuracy = 81.00%

3. Transfer Learning Models


Model 13 - Transfer Learning


Using Mobilenet

 $Image\ Size = 100\ X\ 100$, $Batch\ Size = 5$, $No.\ of\ Epochs = 20$, $Frames\ to\ Sample = 16$, $Dense\ Neurons = 128$, Dropout = 25%, $LSTM\ Cells = 128$



Training & Validation Accuracy/Loss Plot





We are not training the mobilenet weights and we see validation accuracy is poor and model if overfit. Let's train them as well and observe if there is performance improvement

- 1. Total No. of parameters = 3,840,453
- 2. Categorical Accuracy = 98.57%
- 3. Validation Accuracy = 83.00%


Model 14 - Transfer Learning with GRU


Training all weights

Image Size = 100 X 100, Batch Size = 5, No. of Epochs = 20, Frames to Sample = 16, Dense Neurons = 128, Dropout = 25%, LSTM Cells = 128



Training & Validation Accuracy/Loss Plot





Awesome results! 99.85% Training accuracy and 98% validation accuracy:)

Training the weights have improved the model accuracy and produced a best fit model.

- 1. Total No. of parameters = 3,693,253
- 2. Categorical Accuracy = 99.85%
- 3. Validation Accuracy = 98.00%

Consolidated Model Summary

Experiment No.	Model	Result	Decision + Explanation
Model 1	CNN 3D	NA	NA
		Total No. of parameters = 65,833,861	
		Categorical Accuracy = 98.19%	Highly overfitting model, early stopping
Model 2	CNN 3D	Validation Accuracy = 23.00%	Reduce batch size and adding dropout 50%
		Total No. of parameters = 262,506,181	Highly overfitting model, early stopping
		Categorical Accuracy = 81.67%	Reduce image size to 120 X 120, and filter size
Model 3	CNN 3D	Validation Accuracy = 28.00%	(2,2,2)
		Total No. of parameters = 118,121,781	
		Categorical Accuracy = 82.96%	Highly overfitting model, early stopping
Model 4	CNN 3D	Validation Accuracy = 16.00%	Adding dropout at layers, and filter size (3,3,3)
		Total No. of parameters = 118,326,981	Overfitting again!!
		Categorical Accuracy = 96.23%	Reducing number of parameters and image size
Model 5	CNN 3D	Validation Accuracy = 21.00%	to 100 X 100.
		Total No. of parameters = 41,066,821	
		Categorical Accuracy = 96.08%	Accuracy improved but still overfitting!!
Model 6	CNN 3D	Validation Accuracy = 82.00%	Further reducing number of parameters.
		Total No. of parameters = 20,583,301	No improvement.
		Categorical Accuracy = 96.91%	Reducing image size to 80 X 80, decresing
Model 7	CNN 3D	Validation Accuracy = 83.00%	number of hidden layers.
		Total No. of parameters = 210,013,701	
		Categorical Accuracy = 88.99%	Good model fit!!
Model 8	CNN 3D	Validation Accuracy = 87.00%	Best model among CNN 3D models.
		Total No. of parameters = 14,922,949	
		Categorical Accuracy = 81.75%	Overfit model.
Model 9	CNN 2D + LSTM	Validation Accuracy = 46.00%	Reducing image size to 100 X 100.
			Good model fit!!
		Total No. of parameters = 9,614,533	Best model among CNN 2D + RNN models.
		Categorical Accuracy = 86.05%	Further experimenting with image size of 80)
Model 10	CNN 2D + LSTM	Validation Accuracy = 87.00%	80.
		Total No. of parameters = 6,730,949	
		Categorical Accuracy = 84.16%	Overfit model and early stopping.
Model 11	CNN 2D + LSTM	Validation Accuracy = 35.00%	Experimenting with GRU architecture.
		Total No. of parameters = 1,934,949	
		Categorical Accuracy = 95.40%	Accuracy improved but still overfitting!!
Model 12	CNN 2D + GRU	Validation Accuracy = 81.00%	Further experimenting with transfer learning.
		Total No. of parameters = 3,840,453	
		Categorical Accuracy = 98.57%	Overfitting model.
Model 13	CNN 2D + TL	Validation Accuracy = 83.00%	Training weights in transfer learning.
		Total No. of parameters = 3,693,253	Training the weights have improved the
		Categorical Accuracy = 99.85%	model accuracy and produced a best fit
Model 14	CNN 2D + TL	Validation Accuracy = 98.00%	model.

Conclusion

After doing all the experiments, we found that the Model 8 (CNN 3D) and Model 10 (Cnn2D + LSTM) have best results.

Lets compare these 2 models to get a better understanding, which will help us decide the best model between these two models.

Model 8 (CNN 3D):

- 1. Total No. of parameters = 210,013,701
- 2. Categorical Accuracy = 88.99%
- 3. Validation Accuracy = 87.00%

Model 10 (CNN2D + LSTM):

- 1. Total No. of parameters = 9,614,533
- 2. Categorical Accuracy = 86.05%
- 3. Validation Accuracy = 87.00%

Based on the above details about the model, Model 10 (CNN2D + LSTM), is the BEST model, because:

- 1. Both models have similar accuracy, but model 10 was able to produce same result with very less number of parameters (only 9,614,533) as compared to Model 8 which uses much more parameters (210,013,701).
- 2. Model 10 uses LSTM, which is better suited to handle sequential data.
- 3. Since Model 10 has very less parameters, processing time required in Model 10 is much less compared to Model 8. i.e. Model 10 is much faster.
- 4. The image size used is Model 10 is 100X100 which is greater than the image size of Model 8, Hence not much information loss compared to Model 8.

The best weights of CNN-LSTM: model_init_2022-05-1612_27_45.403017/model-00020-0.42984-0.86048-0.45405-0.87000.h5 (110 MB). We should consider this weight for model testing