Effects of Metformin Exposure on Survival in a Large National Cohort of Patients with Diabetes and Cirrhosis

David E. Kaplan, Marina Serper, Binu V. John, Kristen M. Tessiatore, Renata Lerer, Rajni Mehta, Rena Fox, Ayse Aytaman, Michelle Baytarian, Kristel Hunt, Jeffrey Albrecht, Tamar H. Taddei, for the VOCAL Study Group

Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology
The Downsides of Treating GERD
On Lawrence of Treating CERD
On Lawrence of The State of The St

PII: S1542-3565(20)31135-6

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2020.08.026

Reference: YJCGH 57440

To appear in: Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology

Accepted Date: 11 August 2020

Please cite this article as: Kaplan DE, Serper M, John BV, Tessiatore KM, Lerer R, Mehta R, Fox R, Aytaman A, Baytarian M, Hunt K, Albrecht J, Taddei TH, for the VOCAL Study Group, Effects of Metformin Exposure on Survival in a Large National Cohort of Patients with Diabetes and Cirrhosis, *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2020.08.026.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 by the AGA Institute

Sak,Title: Effects of Metformin Exposure on Survival in a Large National Cohort of Patients with Diabetes and Cirrhosis

Authors: David E. Kaplan^{1,2}, Marina Serper^{1,2}, Binu V. John³, Kristen M. Tessiatore², Renata Lerer², Rajni Mehta⁴, Rena Fox⁵, Ayse Aytaman⁶, Michelle Baytarian⁷, Kristel Hunt⁸, Jeffrey Albrecht⁹, and Tamar H. Taddei⁴ for the VOCAL Study Group.

Institutions:

- 1. Corporal Michael J. Crescenz VA Medical Center, Philadelphia PA
- Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania,
 Philadelphia, PA
- 3. Bruce W Carter VA Medical Center, Miami FL
- 4. VA Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven, CT
- 5. San Francisco VA Medical Center, San Francisco, CA
- 6. VA New York Harbor Health Care System, Brooklyn, NY
- 7. Boston VA Healthcare System, Boston, MA
- 8. James J. Peters VA Medical Center, Bronx, NY
- 9. Minneapolis VA Medical Center, Minneapolis, MN

Corresponding Author:

David E. Kaplan, MD MSc FACP FAASLD

Associate Professor

Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology

Perelman School of Medicine

University of Pennsylvania

Director of Hepatology

Corporal Michael J. Crescenz VA Medical Center

3900 Woodland Ave,

Philadelphia, PA 19104

T: (215) 823 5800 x 6729

dakaplan@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

Funding: No funding was received to support the present study.

Disclosure: No conflicts of interest to disclose

Writing Assistance: None

Author Contributions:

MS – analysis and interpretation of data; drafting of the manuscript; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content; statistical analysis

BVJ – critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content; statistical analysis

THT - study concept and design; acquisition of data; analysis and interpretation of data; drafting of the manuscript; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content; statistical analysis; obtained funding; technical, or material support; study supervision

KMT - acquisition of data; outcome validation; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

RL - acquisition of data; outcome validation; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

RM – acquisition of data; technical, and material support

AA – study concept and design; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content; obtained funding

MB – study concept and design; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual

content; obtained funding

RF - study concept and design; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual

content; obtained funding

KH – study concept and design; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual

content; obtained funding

DEK - study concept and design; acquisition of data; analysis and interpretation of data; drafting

of the manuscript; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content; statistical

analysis; obtained funding; technical, or material support; study supervision

Funding: No external funding was received to support this work.

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily

represent the views of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs of the U.S. Government. The

content is the responsibility of the authors alone and does not necessarily reflect the views of or

imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Abbreviations:

ALD - alcoholic liver disease

HBV - hepatitis B virus-related disease

HCC - hepatocellular carcinoma

HCV - hepatitis C virus-related disease

MSM – marginal structural model

NAFLD – nonalcoholic fatty liver disease

NASH - nonalcoholic steatohepatitis

LDL – low density lipoprotein cholesterol

HDL – high density lipoprotein cholesterol

TGL - triglycerides

ABSTRACT: 251 words

ABSTRACT

Background & Aims: Type II diabetes mellitus worsens the prognosis of cirrhosis. Multiple medications including metformin and statins are often co-administered to manage patients with diabetes. The aim of this study was to assess the impact of metformin exposure on mortality, hepatic decompensation, and hepatocellular carcinoma in individuals with diabetes and cirrhosis, controlling for multiple concomitant exposures.

Methods: We performed a retrospective cohort study of patients with cirrhosis diagnosed between January 1, 2008, through June 30, 2016, in the Veterans Health administration. Marginal structural models and propensity matching approaches were implemented to quantify the treatment effect of metformin in patients with pre-existing diabetes with or without prior metformin exposure.

Results: Among 74,984 patients with cirrhosis, diabetes mellitus was present prior to the diagnosis of cirrhosis in 53.8% and was diagnosed during follow-up in 4.8%. Prior to the diagnosis of cirrhosis, 11,114 patients had active utilization of metformin. In these patients, metformin, statin, and ACEI/A2RB exposure were independently associated with reduced mortality (metformin HR 0.68 95%CI 0.61-0.75); metformin was not associated with reduced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) or hepatic decompensation after adjustment for concomitant statin exposure. For patients with diabetes prior to diagnosis of cirrhosis but no prior metformin exposure, metformin was similarly associated with reduced mortality (HR 0.72 95%CI 0.35-0.97) but not with reduced HCC or hepatic decompensation.

Conclusions: Metformin use in patients with cirrhosis and diabetes appears safe and is independently associated with reduced overall but not liver-related mortality, hepatocellular carcinoma or decompensation after adjusting for concomitant statin and ACEI/A2RB exposure.

KEY WORDS: human, cirrhosis, diabetes, metformin, statin, alcohol, hepatitis, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, fatty liver, NAFLD

INTRODUCTION

Progressive liver injury predisposes to the development of diabetes mellitus (DM), and DM accelerates liver disease progression.¹⁻⁴ While 20-40% of patients diagnosed with cirrhosis already carry a diagnosis of DM,^{5, 6} of those not yet diagnosed 60% may exhibit insulin resistance or DM on formal testing.⁷ DM is a strong risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in patients with and without known liver disease,¹ and for liver-related death among patients with cirrhosis.^{4, 8}

In retrospective studies, the standard first-line treatment for DM, metformin, has been observed to reduce the risk of HCC, ⁹⁻¹³ either via direct anti-neoplastic effects or by suppressing hyperinsulinemia. However the strength of the data remains low. A recent meta-analysis showed reduced all-cause mortality with metformin use in patients with cirrhosis. ¹⁴ However, only 3 studies met inclusion criteria, only one of which was prospective with a total N=432.

Diabetic patients are often co-prescribed multiple other medications, such as aspirin, statins, angiotensinogen-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI) or angiotensin-2-receptor blockers (A2RB) that might confound the associations of medication exposure and various outcomes, a bias for which adjustment has not been adequately addressed in prior studies.

To address these limitations, we aimed to investigate the impact of metformin exposure on mortality, hepatic decompensation, and HCC in individuals diagnosed with cirrhosis with a pre-existing diagnosis of DM with and without prior metformin utilization. In observational studies, exposures to medications of interest vary over time. Time-varying covariates, such as concomitant medication exposures, and variables that confound an exposure but also may fall in the causal pathway (such as HBA1c and body mass index) cannot be accounted in fixed

regression models without creating immortal time bias and other biases. Using marginal structural modeling (MSM), an approach to estimate the causal effect of an exposure with time-updating confounding, ¹⁵ we observed that metformin was independently associated with reduced mortality, but that associations with reduced hepatocellular carcinoma or decompensation were no longer statistically significant after adjustment for concomitant statin exposure.

METHODS

Data Sources, Regulatory, Subject Identification

Clinical data were obtained from VA Corporate Data Warehouse (CDW). Approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Boards at all participating VA sites. Patients with cirrhosis were identified using a validated methodology as previously described based on International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD9-CM) or 10th Revision (ICD10) diagnosis codes. ^{16, 17} from 1/1/2008 to 12/31/2016 with follow-up through 6/30/2019. Cohort entry date was defined as the first date of the cirrhosis diagnosis entered into the electronic medical record (EMR). Patients were excluded for: fewer than 180 days of clinical follow-up, development of HCC in fewer than 180 days from the diagnosis of cirrhosis, fewer than 2 VA outpatient visits in the index year of diagnosis, age less than 18 or greater than 85 years, or a FIB-4 score < 1.45 (indicating lack of significant fibrosis). ¹⁸ The diagnosis of diabetes was based upon the validated algorithm of Butt et al, ¹⁹ utilizing ICD9/10 codes, fasting glucose, and diabetes-related medication exposures.

Covariates

Baseline laboratory values (see Supplemental Methods) were taken closest to the index date of cirrhosis obtained between 180 days prior to, or 30 days following the diagnosis code.

Throughout follow-up, average laboratory values in each quarter of follow-up were used to generate time updating measures of liver disease severity such as the Model for End-Stage Liver Disease-Sodium (MELDNa). Electronic Child-Turcotte-Pugh CTP (eCTP) scores were determined using a validated algorithm. Tobacco use was characterized as current, former, or lifetime non-use using a validated approach. Alcohol use was characterized both by ICD codes as well as Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT-C); AUDIT-C scores >4 for men and >3 for women were classified as alcohol misuse. User disease etiology was assigned per the schema of Beste et al. The Cirrhosis Comorbidity Index was calculated per Jepsen et

al.²⁶ The presence of hepatic and cardiovascular comorbidities was identified using 1 inpatient or 2 outpatient ICD codes or Common Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes (**Supplemental Table 1**) consistent with published, validated algorithms.²⁷

Outcomes

Death was ascertained using the Vital Status Master File (censoring as of 6/30/2019).²⁸ Cause of death (through 12/31/2017) was obtained from the VA Mortality Data Repository,²⁹ and classified as likely liver-related, diabetes-related, cardiovascular or other (**Supplemental Table 2**). Secondary outcomes included hepatic decompensation using a previously validated algorithm;³⁰ and HCC using ICD9/10 codes known to be 80% specific for HCC (**Supplemental Table 1**).³¹

Exposures

Prescriptions for metformin, statins, ACEI/A2RB, sulfonylureas, nonselective beta blockers, and other relevant medications (see Supplemental Methods) were quantified prior to and following the diagnosis of cirrhosis based on dose and days of medication supplied as previously described. Exposure to medications in each 30-day time-window of follow-up was calculated using the tmerge function of the R survival package using a 30-day delay to avoid protopathic bias (reverse causality). Exposure was considered present if a patient received ≥7 days of medication in a 30-day period.

Statistical Analysis

Time-to-event data were compared using the log-rank test and Kaplan-Meier methodology.

Marginal structural models (MSM) were fit using inverse probability-of-treatment weighting

(IPTW)^{15, 33} to correct for time-varying confounding related to the clinical decision to initiate/maintain metformin therapy. Covariates included in the IPTW model were age, gender,

HBA1c (modeled as natural spline with 5 degrees of freedom, **Supplementary Figure 1**), serum albumin, BMI, 10-year cardiovascular risk,³⁴ prior exposure to metformin, insulin, sulfonylureas, thiazolidinediones, GLP1RAs, and the duration of diabetes. The AUROC for this model was 0.94. Covariate weights were truncated at the 0.01 and 0.99 quantiles (Supplementary Table 3). IPTW covariate balance was evaluated in the pseudo-populations generated (**Supplementary Table 4**); covariates for which SMD ≤ 0.1 could not be balanced were included as covariates in outcome models. IPTW weight balance over time are shown in Supplementary Figure 2. The associations of metformin exposure and overall mortality, causespecific mortality, hepatic decompensation (death as competing-risk) and HCC (also competing risk) were estimated in MSM using time-updating Cox proportional hazards models using Fine-Gray estimators for competing risks where relevant. These models were further adjusted for or stratified by Child-Turcotte-Pugh Class and disease etiology, and adjusted for age, gender, race/ethnicity, MELDNa score, tobacco use, AUDIT-C, prior CAD, aspirin, statin, ACEI/A2RB and NSBB exposure (if present), serum cholesterol, and HBA1c. Variables were chosen based on established clinical associations with either liver disease severity or risk of mortality. Covariates for time-varying models were chosen if p was <0.15 in univariable analyses. A twosided p-value of <0.05 was defined to be statistically significant. To compare effects of different metformin exposures and doses on outcomes, we performed landmark analyses examining the exposure to metformin in terms of days and dose (mg/d) in the first 180 or 360d after cirrhosis diagnosis among patients surviving ≥180 or 360d respectively and assessed subsequent survival and time to HCC in Cox models adjusted for age, etiology, Child-Turcotte-Pugh stage, statin and ACEI/A2RB exposure. Analyses were performed using the Survival and ipw package in R.^{32, 33}

Sensitivity Analyses

We tested the effect of varying the exposure time within a time window (7/30, 14/30, 21/30 days) for defining exposure on the association of metformin with overall survival. We also assessed the impact of varying HCC latency exclusions (180, 360, 540, and 720 days) on the association of metformin and statins with hepatocellular carcinoma incidence.

RESULTS

Patient Groups and Characteristics

Of an initial cohort of 129,051 patients with new diagnoses of cirrhosis, after exclusion of subjects with fewer than 2 outpatient encounters in the index year, fewer than 180 days of clinical follow-up, and/or FIB-4 < 1.45, a total of 74,984 were analyzed (**Figure 1**). Of these, 43,674 (58.2%) were diagnosed with diabetes, 40,368 (53.8%) prior to the diagnosis of cirrhosis and 3,660 (4.8%) following the diagnosis of cirrhosis. Of the patients with diabetes prior to cirrhosis, 18,600 received at least 1 prescription of metformin and 21,768 were metformin naïve. A total of 11,527 patients received a prescription for metformin within 90-days of the diagnosis of cirrhosis; 66.8% of active metformin users received new prescriptions within 90 days of diagnosis of cirrhosis and 85.4% were ever re-prescribed metformin during follow-up for a median exposure of 673 days (IQR 250-1320 days). Patients who never resumed metformin exhibited markedly higher rates of morbidity and mortality (HCC rates 5.9 vs. 2.3 per 100 patient-years; decompensation 17.1 vs 9.1; death rate 18.1 vs. 8.8; all p < 0.0001, unadjusted data presented in **Supplemental Table 5**).

Among patients with cirrhosis with pre-existing diabetes, metformin-experienced patients were more likely White, obese with NAFLD/NASH, and to have prior cardiovascular disease (coronary artery disease, MACE), to be on concomitant aspirin (41.0 vs 31.5%), statins (62.7% vs. 35.8%), insulin (45.4% vs 26.2%), sulfonylureas, thiazolidinediones, and ACE inhibitors and/or A2RB. They were less likely to have been diagnosed with a prior hepatic decompensation (17.7 vs 22.0%) or treated with a NSBB (**Table 1 and Supplemental Table 6**).

Effect of Continuing Metformin in Metformin-experienced Diabetic Patients Diagnosed with Cirrhosis

We first analyzed the effect of ongoing exposure to metformin among 11,571 metforminexperienced patients with active utilization of metformin within 90 days of cirrhosis diagnosis. A relatively stable fraction (>50%) of prior users continued use during 5 years of follow-up (Figure **2A**). In 2-way ANOVA, there was no observable effect of metformin on BMI (data not shown). As shown in **Table 2**, after adjustment for covariates, as well as relevant prior and concurrent medication exposures (particularly statins), metformin remained significantly associated with reduced mortality with a HR 0.68 (95%CI 0.61-0.75). Statins, ACEI/A2RB and sulfonylurea therapy, but not aspirin use, were also independently associated with reduced mortality in this cohort (statin HR 0.78 95%CI 0.69-0.87). Varying the definition of exposure from 7 to 14 to 21 days per 30-day window had minimal impact on the estimation of effect size (Supplemental Table 7). In models to assess for synergy, we found no evidence of anything other than an additive effect of metformin with statins or metformin with ACEI/A2RB on the outcome of overall mortality (for statin S statistic 0.97 95%CI 0.71-1.31 where S = 1 is pure additive effect; the S statistic for ACEI/A2RB was 0.74 95%Cl 0.63-0.87, again < 1 showing no synergy). In stratified analysis, the benefit of metformin on mortality was present in patients with CTP A and B cirrhosis due to HCV, alcohol, and/or NAFLD/NASH but not in the subgroup of patients with cirrhosis due to other causes (Table 2). The effect of metformin on overall survival appeared dose-related; in Landmark analysis evaluating metformin exposure in either the first 180 or 360 day of cirrhosis, both cumulative days of exposure (Figure 2B-C) and dose received (Figure **2D-E**) was strongly associated with reduced subsequent risk of death.

Liver Cancer, Hepatic Decompensation and Cause-specific Mortality

We next evaluated the effect of metformin, adjusted for concomitant exposures and covariates, on liver-related complications and cause-specific causes of death (**Table 3**). Metformin was not significantly associated with reduced rates of HCC and hepatic decompensation after adjustment for statin exposure and other relevant covariates (**Table 3**, **Supplemental Table 8**-

89, Supplemental Figure 3). The lack of association of metformin with HCC development was insensitive to changes in HCC latency (Supplemental Table 10). In MSM but not Landmark competing-risk models, metformin was associated with a reduction in liver-related death (Table 4). In both models, metformin was significantly associated with reduced death from diabetes or cardiovascular causes (HR 0.67 95%Cl 0.47-0.95, every 30 days of metformin exposure during year 1 associated with HR 0.95 95%Cl 0.93-0.98 for diabetes/cardiovascular death, Table 4, Supplemental Figure 4).

Effect of Starting Metformin in Diabetic Patients after Diagnosis with Cirrhosis.

In patients with cirrhosis and diabetes who had not previously been treated with metformin, metformin was initiated in 3,980 individuals (18%) during follow-up (Figure 2D). In adjusted MSM models, metformin remained significantly associated with reduced mortality with HR 0.72 (95%CI 0.63-0.82) but similar to previously exposed cirrhotic patients, metformin was not independently associated with reduced HCC or decompensation (Supplemental Table 11).

DISCUSSION

The analysis of pharmacological exposure outcomes related to diabetes and cirrhosis is challenging due to the timing of diagnosis of diabetes, the possible impact of the diagnosis of cirrhosis itself or manifestations of cirrhosis on prescribing behavior, and multiple potential concomitant therapies for diabetes or related conditions that could also impact cirrhosis-related outcomes. ^{16, 35, 36} For example, metformin may affect HBA1c, which may be both a predictor of survival as well as indication to start/continue metformin. A patient previously on metformin is also more likely to remain on metformin, and may be more likely to receive other diabetes-related medications and care. MSM, an approach to estimate the causal effect of an exposure using inverse probability of treatment weighting to adjust for time-dependent covariates that are risk factors for, or predictors of, the outcome and also predictors of the exposure of interest were applied in this study to adjust as much as possible for time-dependent confounding by indication. ^{15, 37}

In this large cohort of patients with cirrhosis, DM was present at baseline in 53.8% and was diagnosed during follow-up in an additional 4.8%. Fewer than half of patients with known diabetes had any exposure to metformin prior to being diagnosed with cirrhosis. Of those actively using metformin, the diagnosis of cirrhosis was temporally associated with permanent discontinuation of metformin in 14.5%. From administrative data, it is not possible to ascertain the reason for medication discontinuation. Exposure to metformin after cirrhosis diagnosis was associated with reduced mortality even after adjusting for other commonly utilized medications in the diabetic and/or cirrhosis population such as aspirin, statins, ACEI/A2RB, and NSBB. Child-Turcotte-Pugh A and B (but not C) patients appeared to benefit. The magnitude of the hazard ratio of metformin for mortality ranged from 0.65-0.72 for the specific subpopulations evaluated and was dose dependent. This hazard ratio is a more conservative estimate than that found in a non-randomized prospective cohort of 250 diabetic patients with cirrhosis in which

metformin was either continued or not (HR 0.43 95%CI 0.24-0.78)³⁸, likely due to the nonrandomized nature and effect of confounders incompletely controlled in the prior study. We could not observe an impact of metformin on liver-related outcomes such as HCC, hepatic decompensation, or liver-related death but found a strong association with reduced cardiovascular mortality (HR 0.58 95%CI 0.39-0.87), as has been observed in some but not all meta-analyses of metformin use in non-cirrhosis settings.^{39, 40}

In prior observational studies, metformin use has most strongly been associated with reduced rates of liver cancer in patients with cirrhosis, ⁹⁻¹² chronic hepatitis B, ⁴¹ or diabetes ^{42, 43} with hazard ratios ranging from 0.19-0.49. Metformin directly inhibits the growth of HCC cell lines *in vitro* and in animal models, ⁴⁴ and may also reduce hepatic fibrogenesis. ⁴⁵ In a large population-based study from Taiwan, Chen et al. ¹² reported a 21% decrease in the risk of HCC in diabetic patients exposed to metformin after multiple adjustments, but not controlling for concomitant statin use. In another study using the Taiwanese national database, after adjustment for statin exposure, an apparent protective effect of metformin in univariable analysis disappeared while statins remained significantly associated with reduced HCC risk. ^{35, 46} Similarly, we found no demonstrable impact of metformin on HCC or hepatic decompensation events after adjusting for concomitant statin utilization, further supporting the argument that statin co-utilization has confounded the prior evidence for metformin as a chemopreventive agent for HCC in population-level studies.

Discontinuation of medications in patients with liver disease is a common practice due to the perceived threat of altered hepatic metabolism and toxicity. Indeed, the Food and Drug

As with any observational cohort study, unmeasured confounding and residual confounding by indication cannot be excluded. There is no active comparator for metformin use and we did not

formally compare metformin plus statin to metformin without statins. This was a study of mostly male U.S. Veterans who are older, may be more affected by medical comorbidities, and have worse outcomes than the general U.S. population. While we did adjust for aspirin, statins, other diabetes therapies, ACEI/A2RB and NSBB use, there are other medication exposures that could confound the association of metformin with survival outcomes. Finally, we had a small representation of Child-Turcotte-Pugh C patients limiting the strength of inferences related to treatment effect in this subgroup.

CONCLUSIONS:

In this large cohort of patients with cirrhosis, diabetic patients exposed to metformin exhibited dose-dependent reduced mortality (HR 0.57-0.87) even after adjusting for the concomitant use of aspirin, statins, ACEI/A2RB, and NSBBs. Contrasting with some prior studies, no significant effect of metformin was observed on the reduction of HCC, hepatic decompensation, or liver-related death after adjusting for concomitant statin and ACEI/A2RB exposure. Metformin use was generally safe. Similar to the general diabetic population, metformin should be utilized when appropriate in diabetic patients with cirrhosis for improvement of overall, but not necessarily liver-related, survival. .

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Cohort identification and grouping for analysis.

Figure 2. Effect of Metformin Continuation in Existing Users. A. Persistence of metformin utilization among metformin-experienced patients with cirrhosis over the course of follow-up. Red line indicates percentage surviving. B. Effect of continued metformin exposure in days on relative risk of death in Landmark analysis evaluating first 360 days in metformin-experienced patients. Weighted (gray) and unweighted analysis (black) are shown. C. Effect of continued metformin exposure in cumulative dose (mg) on relative risk of death in Landmark analysis evaluating first 360 days in metformin-experienced patients. D-F. Parallel analysis to A-C for metformin-naïve diabetic patients with cirrhosis.

REFERENCES

- El-Serag HB, Hampel H, Javadi F. The association between diabetes and hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review of epidemiologic evidence. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006;4:369-80.
- Huang YW, Wang TC, Lin SC, et al. Increased risk of cirrhosis and its decompensation in chronic hepatitis B patients with newly diagnosed diabetes: a nationwide cohort study.
 Clin Infect Dis 2013;57:1695-702.
- Huang YW, Yang SS, Fu SC, et al. Increased risk of cirrhosis and its decompensation in chronic hepatitis C patients with new-onset diabetes: a nationwide cohort study.
 Hepatology 2014;60:807-14.
- 4. Veldt BJ, Chen W, Heathcote EJ, et al. Increased risk of hepatocellular carcinoma among patients with hepatitis C cirrhosis and diabetes mellitus. Hepatology 2008;47:1856-62.
- Elkrief L, Chouinard P, Bendersky N, et al. Diabetes mellitus is an independent prognostic factor for major liver-related outcomes in patients with cirrhosis and chronic hepatitis C. Hepatology 2014;60:823-31.
- 6. Garcia-Compean D, Jaquez-Quintana JO, Maldonado-Garza H. Hepatogenous diabetes. Current views of an ancient problem. Ann Hepatol 2009;8:13-20.
- 7. Nishida T, Tsuji S, Tsujii M, et al. Oral glucose tolerance test predicts prognosis of patients with liver cirrhosis. Am J Gastroenterol 2006;101:70-5.
- 8. Nkontchou G, Bastard JP, Ziol M, et al. Insulin resistance, serum leptin, and adiponectin levels and outcomes of viral hepatitis C cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2010;53:827-33.
- 9. Donadon V, Balbi M, Mas MD, et al. Metformin and reduced risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in diabetic patients with chronic liver disease. Liver Int 2010;30:750-8.

- 10. Nkontchou G, Cosson E, Aout M, et al. Impact of metformin on the prognosis of cirrhosis induced by viral hepatitis C in diabetic patients. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2011;96:2601-8.
- 11. Zhang H, Gao C, Fang L, et al. Metformin and reduced risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in diabetic patients: a meta-analysis. Scand J Gastroenterol 2013;48:78-87.
- 12. Chen HP, Shieh JJ, Chang CC, et al. Metformin decreases hepatocellular carcinoma risk in a dose-dependent manner: population-based and in vitro studies. Gut 2013;62:606-15.
- 13. Bosetti C, Franchi M, Nicotra F, et al. Insulin and other antidiabetic drugs and hepatocellular carcinoma risk: a nested case-control study based on Italian healthcare utilization databases. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2015;24:771-8.
- Crowley MJ, Diamantidis CJ, McDuffie JR, et al. Clinical Outcomes of Metformin Use in Populations With Chronic Kidney Disease, Congestive Heart Failure, or Chronic Liver Disease: A Systematic Review. Ann Intern Med 2017;166:191-200.
- 15. Robins JM, Hernan MA, Brumback B. Marginal structural models and causal inference in epidemiology. Epidemiology 2000;11:550-60.
- Kaplan DE, Serper MA, Mehta R, et al. Effects of Hypercholesterolemia and Statin Exposure on Survival in a Large National Cohort of Patients With Cirrhosis.
 Gastroenterology 2019;156:1693-1706 e12.
- Kramer JR, Davila JA, Miller ED, et al. The validity of viral hepatitis and chronic liver disease diagnoses in Veterans Affairs administrative databases. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2008;27:274-82.
- 18. Sterling RK, Lissen E, Clumeck N, et al. Development of a simple noninvasive index to predict significant fibrosis in patients with HIV/HCV coinfection. Hepatology 2006;43:1317-25.
- 19. Butt AA, McGinnis K, Rodriguez-Barradas MC, et al. HIV infection and the risk of diabetes mellitus. AIDS 2009;23:1227-34.

- 20. Kamath PS, Wiesner RH, Malinchoc M, et al. A model to predict survival in patients with end-stage liver disease. Hepatology (Baltimore, Md.) 2001;33:464-70.
- 21. Kaplan DE, Dai F, Aytaman A, et al. Development and Performance of an Algorithm to Estimate the Child-Turcotte-Pugh Score From a National Electronic Healthcare Database. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015.
- 22. Calhoun PS, Wilson SM, Hertzberg JS, et al. Validation of Veterans Affairs Electronic Medical Record Smoking Data Among Iraq- and Afghanistan-Era Veterans. J Gen Intern Med 2017;32:1228-1234.
- 23. Bradley KA, DeBenedetti AF, Volk RJ, et al. AUDIT-C as a brief screen for alcohol misuse in primary care. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2007;31:1208-17.
- 24. Williams EC, Rubinsky AD, Lapham GT, et al. Prevalence of clinically recognized alcohol and other substance use disorders among VA outpatients with unhealthy alcohol use identified by routine alcohol screening. Drug Alcohol Depend 2014;135:95-103.
- 25. Beste LA, Leipertz SL, Green PK, et al. Trends in Burden of Cirrhosis and Hepatocellular Carcinoma by Underlying Liver Disease in US Veterans, 2001-2013. Gastroenterology 2015;149:1471-1482 e5.
- 26. Jepsen P, Vilstrup H, Lash TL. Development and validation of a comorbidity scoring system for patients with cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 2014;146:147-56; quiz e15-6.
- 27. Davis LA, Mann A, Cannon GW, et al. Validation of Diagnostic and Procedural Codes for Identification of Acute Cardiovascular Events in US Veterans with Rheumatoid Arthritis. EGEMS (Wash DC) 2013;1:1023.
- 28. Sohn MW, Arnold N, Maynard C, et al. Accuracy and completeness of mortality data in the Department of Veterans Affairs. Popul Health Metr 2006;4:2.
- 29. VA/DOD Mortality Data Repository. Volume 2020.

- 30. Lo Re V, 3rd, Lim JK, Goetz MB, et al. Validity of diagnostic codes and liver-related laboratory abnormalities to identify hepatic decompensation events in the Veterans Aging Cohort Study. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2011;20:689-99.
- Serper M, Taddei TH, Mehta R, et al. Association of Provider Specialty and Multidisciplinary Care With Hepatocellular Carcinoma Treatment and Mortality.
 Gastroenterology 2017;152:1954-1964.
- 32. Therneau TM. A Package for Survival Analysis in S. R package version 2.37-7 ed, 2014.
- van der Wal WM, Geskus RB. ipw: An R Package for Inverse Probability Weighting. J
 Stat Software 2011;43:1-23.
- 34. Collins D, Lee J, Bobrovitz N, et al. whoishRisk an R package to calculate WHO/ISH cardiovascular risk scores for all epidemiological subregions of the world. F1000Res 2016;5:2522.
- 35. Tsan YT, Lee CH, Wang JD, et al. Statins and the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with hepatitis B virus infection. J Clin Oncol 2012;30:623-30.
- 36. Mohanty A, Tate JP, Garcia-Tsao G. Statins Are Associated With a Decreased Risk of Decompensation and Death in Veterans With Hepatitis C-Related Compensated Cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 2016;150:430-40 e1.
- 37. Serper M, Weinberg EM, Cohen JB, et al. Mortality and hepatic decompensation in patients with cirrhosis and atrial fibrillation treated with anticoagulation. Hepatology 2020.
- 38. Zhang X, Harmsen WS, Mettler TA, et al. Continuation of metformin use after a diagnosis of cirrhosis significantly improves survival of patients with diabetes.

 Hepatology 2014;60:2008-16.
- 39. Lamanna C, Monami M, Marchionni N, et al. Effect of metformin on cardiovascular events and mortality: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Diabetes Obes Metab 2011;13:221-8.

- 40. Maruthur NM, Tseng E, Hutfless S, et al. Diabetes Medications as Monotherapy or Metformin-Based Combination Therapy for Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 2016;164:740-51.
- 41. Chen CI, Kuan CF, Fang YA, et al. Cancer risk in HBV patients with statin and metformin use: a population-based cohort study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e462.
- 42. Wang CP, Kuhn J, Shah DP, et al. Metformin modifies disparity in hepatocellular carcinoma incidence in men with type 2 diabetes but without chronic liver diseases. Cancer Med 2019;8:3206-3215.
- 43. Vilar-Gomez E, Vuppalanchi R, Desai AP, et al. Long-term metformin use may improve clinical outcomes in diabetic patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and bridging fibrosis or compensated cirrhosis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2019;50:317-328.
- 44. Li J, Hernanda PY, Bramer WM, et al. Anti-tumor effects of metformin in animal models of hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2015;10:e0127967.
- 45. Shankaraiah RC, Callegari E, Guerriero P, et al. Metformin prevents liver tumourigenesis by attenuating fibrosis in a transgenic mouse model of hepatocellular carcinoma.

 Oncogene 2019;38:7035-7045.
- 46. Singh PP, Singh S. Statins and risk reduction in hepatocellular carcinoma: fact or fiction?

 J Clin Oncol 2012;30:2569-70; author reply 2570-2571.

TABLES.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Patients with Cirrhosis and Pre-Existing Diabetes

Table 1. Daseline Characteristics of Patients with Climosis and		ceding Cirrhosis		
		Prior Metformin		
	No	Yes	р	
N	23228	19301	۲	
Age, Median (IQR)	62 (57-67)	63 (58-67)	<0.0001	
Gender, Male, N (%)	22695 (97.7%)	18715 (97.0%)	<0.0001	
Race/Ethnicity, N (%)	22093 (91.176)	10713 (97.076)	<0.0001	
Wh	te 12863 (55.4%)	11610 (60.2%)	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
Bla	` '	3249 (16.8%)		
	` '	1567 (8.1%)		
Hispar		` '	_	
Other/Unknov	vn 3607 (15.5%)	2875 (14.9%)	0.0004	
Etiology, N (%)	-1 (0004 (00 00())	4405 (04 70/)	<0.0001	
HCV + Alcoh	` '	4195 (21.7%)		
HC	` ,	2445 (12.7%)		
Alcoh	` ,	6448 (33.4%)		
NAFLD/NAS	` ,	5123 (26.5%)		
Oth	er 1439 (6.2%)	1090 (5.6%)		
AUDIT-C > 4 in prior year, N (%)	3327 (14.3%)	2336 (12.1%)	0.013	
HIV+, N (%)	460 (2.0%)	258 (1.3%)	< 0.0001	
Managed at Academic Center, N (%)	13427 (57.8%)	10822 (56.1%)	0.005	
Seen by Hepatologist, N (%)	3994 (17.2%)	3480 (18.0%)	<0.000	
Body Mass Index, Median (IQR)	28.2 (24.5-32.5)	30.3 (26.4-34.6)	0.22	
Body Mass Class, N (%)			< 0.0001	
Underweig	ht 760 (3.3%)	308 (1.6%)		
Ide	al 5261 (22.6%)	2735 (14.2%)	_	
Overweig	ht 7856 (33.8%)	5861 (30.4%)		
Obe		10397 (53.9%)		
Child-Turcotte-Pugh Score, Median (IQR)	6 (5-7)	6 (5-7)	<0.0001	
MELDNa Score, Median (IQR)	9 (7-12)	8 (7-11)	< 0.0001	
Decompensated at Cirrhosis Diagnosis, N (%)	4931 (21.2%)	3393 (17.6%)	<0.0001	
Duration of diabetes, Median Days (IQR)	1958 (659-3132)	2706 (1556-3706)	< 0.0001	
Dyslipidemia, N (%)	21192 (91.2%)	18780 (97.3%)	<0.0001	
Hypertension, N (%)	22261 (95.8%)	19135 (99.1%)	<0.000	
Pre-Existing CAD, N (%)	5916 (25.5%)	5782 (30.0%)	<0.0001	
Cumulative Metformin Exposure prior to cirrhosis, Median (IQI		670 (248-1316)	<0.000	
		, ,	<0.0001	
Cumulative Metformin Exposure after cirrhosis, Median (IQR)	0 (0-0)	272 (0-876)		
Metformin stopped > 90d before Cirrhosis, N (%)	0 (0.0%)	7312 (37.9%)	<0.000	
Metformin filled within 90d after Cirrhosis, N (%)	855 (3.7%)	8751 (45.3%)	<0.000	
Any Metformin after Cirrhosis, N (%)	4740 (20.4%)	12408 (64.3%)	<0.000	
Prior Exposure to Statin, N (%)	8166 (35.2%)	12055 (62.5%)	<0.0001	
Prior Exposure to Insulin, N (%)	5910 (25.4%)	8751 (45.3%)	<0.0001	
Prior Exposure to Sulfonylurea, N (%)	5098 (21.9%)	11626 (60.2%)	<0.000	
Prior Exposure to TZD, N (%)	503 (2.2%)	1818 (9.4%)	<0.000	
Prior Exposure to DPP4, N (%)	75 (0.3%)	294 (1.5%)	<0.000	
Prior Exposure to GLP1, N (%)	21 (0.1%)	88 (0.5%)	< 0.0001	
Prior Exposure to Other Anti-Diabetes, N (%)	171 (0.7%)	605 (3.1%)	< 0.0001	
Follow-up Time, Median (IQR)	1232 (653-2084)	1142 (631-1892)	<0.0001	

Table 2. Univariable and Multivariable Cox Proportional Hazards for Death

Table 2	Univariable and Multivariable Cox Proportional Hazards for Death	11.2 . 2.4.		NA 10 - 2 - 0 -	
N4 - 1 - 1	At-risk/Events 11,527 / 3,885	Univariate		Multivariate	
Model	Variable Exposure to Metformin, Time-Updating	HR (95%CI) 0.42 (0.38-0.46)	<u>р</u> <0.0001	HR (95%CI) 0.68 (0.61-0.75)	<u>p</u> <0.0001
1*	Exposure to Statin, Time-Updating	0.53 (0.50-0.56)	< 0.0001	0.71 (0.64-0.80)	<0.0001
	Exposure to ACEI/A2RB, Time-Updating	0.40 (0.36-0.43)	<0.0001	0.60 (0.54-0.66)	<0.0001
2 [‡]	CTP A	0.40 (0.30-0.43)	<u> </u>	0.54 (0.48-0.60)	<0.0001
2+	CTP B			0.68 (0.57-0.81)	<0.0001
	CTP C			0.55 (0.30-1.02)	0.06
3°	HCV + ETOH			0.58 (0.46-0.74)	0.0004
3	HCV			0.58 (0.41-0.80)	<0.0001
	ETOH			0.75 (0.65-0.88)	0.0003
	NAFLD/NASH			0.58 (0.48-0.68)	<0.0001
	Other Etiology			0.66 (0.43-1.01)	0.053
٠,٩	Cumulative Exposure to Metformin, Time-Updating	0.00 (0.00 0.00)	0.0004		2 227
4 [¶]	(per year)	0.82 (0.80-0.83)	<0.0001	0.955 (0.924-0.987)	0.007
1*	Age	1.03 (1.03-1.04)	<0.0001	1.03 (1.02-1.04)	<0.0001
	Etiology				
	HCV+EtOH	REF		REF	
	HCV	1.04 (0.93-1.15)	0.52	1.34 (1.13-1.59)	0.0008
	EtOH	1.30 (1.20-1.41)	<0.0001	1.26 (1.10-1.45)	0.0008
	NAFLD/NASH	1.46 (1.34-1.58)	<0.0001	1.52 (1.30-1.77)	<0.0001
	Other	1.21 (1.07-1.38)	0.004	1.20 (0.95-1.52)	0.10
	HCV DAA after diagnosis, Time-Updating	0.41 (0.36-0.46)	<0.0001	0.73 (0.59-0.90)	0.003
	Child-Turcotte-Pugh Class (Time-Updating)	DEE		DEE	
	A	REF 5.01 (4.71-5.32)	<0.0001	REF 2.74 (2.46-3.04)	<0.0001
	C	16.17 (13.96-18.72)	<0.0001	7.03 (5.42-9.12)	<0.0001
	MELDNa, Time-Updating (per unit)	1.14 (1.13-1.15)	<0.0001	1.04 (1.03-1.06)	<0.0001
	Hemoglobin A1c, Time-Updating (per unit)	splined	<0.0001	splined	<0.01
	Tobacco Use Status	ориноа	40.0001	оринос	40.01
	Never User	REF		REF	
	Former User	1.16 (1.08-1.26)	0.0002	1.21 (1.07-1.36)	0.002
	Current User	1.35 (1.26-1.45)	<0.0001	1.59 (1.40-1.81)	<0.0001
	Unknown	1.54 (1.21-1.95)	0.0004	1.76 (1.31-2.37)	0.0001
	Hypertension				
	No	REF		REF	
	Yes	1.02 (0.79-1.31)	0.91	1.14 (0.98-1.34)	0.10
	Coronary Artery Disease				
	No	REF		REF	
	Yes	1.32 (1.24-1.40)	<0.0001	1.21 (1.09-1.34)	0.0003
	AUDIT-C ≥ 4 in year prior to cirrhosis				
	No	REF		REF	
	Yes	1.17 (1.07-1.27)	0.0003	1.18 (1.01-1.37)	0.02
	Total Cholesterol, mg/dl, Time-Updating (per unit)	0.99 (0.99-0.99)	<0.0001	0.99 (0.99-0.99)	<0.0001
	Cumulative Exposure to Meformin before Cirrhosis (per year)	1.01 (0.99-1.02)	0.30	1.00 (0.99-1.00)	0.33
	Exposure to Insulin, Time-Updating	1.05 (0.99-1.11)	0.10	1.06 (0.95-1.18)	0.25
	Exposure to Sulfonylurea, Time-Updating	0.66 (0.61-0.70)	<0.0001	0.90 (0.80-1.01)	0.08
	Exposure to TZD, Time-Updating	0.53 (0.37-0.74)	<0.0001	0.81 (0.55-1.19)	0.29
	Exposure to GLP1, Time-Updating	0.42 (0.24-0.76)	0.004	0.69 (0.44-1.08)	0.10
	Exposure to DPP4, Time-Updating	0.55 (0.42-0.72)	<0.0001	0.74 (0.41-1.35)	0.33
* 1 4 -	1 covariates include gender, race/ethnicity. Cirrhosis comorbidity s	,			

^{*} Model 1 covariates include gender, race/ethnicity, Cirrhosis comorbidity score, body mass index class, academic affiliation of managing center, frequency of low socioeconomic status at the managing center ‡ Model 1 stratified by time-updated Child-Turcotte-Pugh class ° Model 1 stratified by disease etiology ¶ Model evaluates cumulative exposure to Metformin (in years) as a time-updating covariate with identical covariates to Model 1.

Table 3. Impact of Metformin and other medications on HCC, decompensation and MACE

HCC [*]	At-risk/ Events	HR	р
Exposure to Metformin, Time-Updating	11,246 /	0.98 (0.81-1.18)	0.86
Exposure to Statin, Time-Updating	856	0.74 (0.60-0.90)	0.003
Exposure to Insulin, Time-Updating		1.41 (1.15-1.72)	0.001
Exposure to Sulfonylurea, Time-Updating		1.16 (0.96-1.40)	0.11
Decompensation*§			
Decompensation	At-risk/ Events	HR	р
Exposure to Metformin, Time-Updating	8,088 /	1.06 (0.82-1.09)	0.43
Exposure to Statin, Time-Updating	1,545	0.78 (0.68-0.90)	0.0007
Exposure to Insulin, Time-Updating		1.19 (1.01-1.41)	0.034
Exposure to Sulfonylurea, Time-Updating		1.07 (0.93-1.23)	0.37
MACE*,†			
WACE	At-risk/ Events	HR	p
Exposure to Metformin, Time-Updating	9,833 /	1.00 (0.83-1.23)	0.93
Exposure to Statin, Time-Updating	663	1.09 (0.89-1.34)	0.39
Exposure to Insulin, Time-Updating		1.18 (0.95-1.45)	0.12
Exposure to Sulfonylurea, Time-Updating		1.15 (0.94-1.41)	0.17

^{*}Models adjutsed for age, gender, race/ethnicity, disease etiology, Child-Turcotte-Pugh stage, MELDNa, platelet count, AST, ALT, academic affiliation of treatment site, socioeconomic indicator, baseline history of CAD § Child-Turcotte-Pugh A patients only † Analysis includes only patients with no previous MACE events.

Table 4. Effect of Metformin on Liver-related and Diabetes/Cardiovascular Death in Landmark Competing Risk and MSM models

Cohort/Outcome	Outcome	At-Risk/Events	Analysis		Exposure	HR (95%CI)	р
Metformin-experienced	Liver-related death or transplant ¹	10,238 / 858	Univariable	Landmark	Metformin exposure, per 30 days	0.98 (0.96-0.99)	0.03
Liver-related death		11,527 / 637		MSM	Metformin exposure, time-updating	0.34 (0.27-0.43)	<0.0001
	Liver-related death or transplant ¹	10,238 / 858	Multivariable ³	Landmark	Metformin exposure, per 30 days	0.99 (0.98-1.01)	0.89
					Statin exposure, per 30 days	0.94 (0.93-0.96)	<0.0001
					ACEI exposure, per 30 days	1.00 (0.99-1.02)	0.18
	Liver-related death	11,527 / 637	Multivariable ⁴	MSM	Metformin exposure, time-updating	0.65 (0.51-0.84)	0.001
					Statin exposure, time-updating	0.47 (0.35-0.64)	<0.0001
					ACEI/A2RB exposure, time-updating	0.51 (0.40-0.66)	<0.0001
	Diabetes/Cardiovascular death ²	10,238 / 341	Univariable	Landmark	Metformin exposure, per 30 days	0.96 (0.94-0.98)	0.002
	Diabetes/Cardiovascular death	11,527 / 374		MSM	Metformin exposure, time-updating	0.53 (0.39-0.72)	<0.0001
	Diabetes/Cardiovascular death ²	10,238 / 341	Multivariable ³	Landmark	Metformin exposure, per 30 days	0.95 (0.93-0.98)	0.0009
					Statin exposure, per 30 days	1.01 (0.99-1.04)	0.06
					ACEI exposure, per 30 days	1.01 (0.99-1.03)	0.21
	Diabetes/Cardiovascular death	11,527 / 374	Multivariable ⁴	MSM	Metformin exposure, time-updating	0.67 (0.47-0.95)	0.02
					Statin exposure, time-updating	0.88 (0.62-1.25)	0.49
					ACEI/A2RB exposure, time-updating	0.89 (0.64-1.25)	0.52

¹ Diabetes/Cardiovascular death as competing-risk

² Liver-related death or transplant as competing-risk

³ Adjusted for age, race/ethnicity, etiology, Child-Turcotte-Pugh Score

⁴ Adjusted for age, race/ethnicity, etiology, Child-Turcotte-Pugh Score, exposure to aspirin, other diabetes therapies, MELDNa, FIB4, Circom, smoking, prior CAD, AUDIT-C, BMI, HCV DAA therapy, HBA1c, Total cholesterol, prior metformin exposure and prior insulin exposure; HR for cardiovascular death alone 0.58 95%CI 0.39-0.87.