

Financial Backend Microservices Architecture Assessment

Date: February 19, 2026

Project Path: C:\Users\super\Desktop\project3

Executive Summary

The project is partially production-ready, but it is not intact yet for a payment-grade microservice architecture.

The main blockers are financial consistency under concurrency, secret management, and release/infrastructure consistency.

Review Scope

- Account service, transaction service, shared deployment assets
- Security model, DB migrations, transactional behavior
- Docker and docker-compose production paths
- CI workflows, Helm, and Terraform
- Build and test execution validation

Validation Run Results

1. account-service compile: PASSED
2. transaction-service compile: PASSED
3. account-service tests (-DskipITs): PASSED
4. transaction-service tests (full suite): PASSED
5. CI-referenced transaction hardening target: FAILED (missing class)

Critical Findings

1. Idempotency is not atomic for payment operations.

- Evidence:

- transaction-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/transaction_service/service/TransactionServiceImpl.java
- transaction-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/transaction_service/service/TransactionServiceImpl.java
- transaction-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/transaction_service/repository/TransactionRepository.java
- transaction-service/src/main/resources/db/migration/V6__add_idempotency_and_processing_state.sql:67

- Impact:

- Concurrent duplicates can both pass check-then-insert and double-apply funds.

- Recommendation:

- Enforce DB unique constraint for idempotency scope and treat duplicate-key as idempotent replay.

2. Reversal flow can race and allow duplicate reversals.

- Evidence:

- transaction-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/transaction_service/service/TransactionServiceImpl.java
- transaction-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/transaction_service/service/TransactionServiceImpl.java
- transaction-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/transaction_service/repository/TransactionRepository.java

- Impact:
 - Multiple reversal transactions may be created for the same original transaction.
- Recommendation:
 - Add DB uniqueness on original_transaction_id for reversal type and lock original row in reversal flow.

3. Secret handling is unsafe in active runtime configs.

- Evidence:
 - docker-compose.yml:90
 - docker-compose.yml:91
 - docker-compose.yml:130
 - docker-compose.yml:131
 - transaction-service/docker-compose-monitoring.yml:18
- Impact:
 - Default/fallback secrets and committed secret values violate payment-system security requirements.
- Recommendation:
 - Remove fallbacks, require injected secrets, and rotate exposed values immediately.

High Findings

4. CI gate references a missing test and fails.

- Evidence:
 - .github/workflows/ci-cd-pipeline.yml:94
- Impact:
 - Pipeline quality gate is unreliable.
- Recommendation:
 - Update workflow target to an existing test class or add missing class.

5. CI policy scan conflicts with committed config.

- Evidence:
 - .github/workflows/ci-cd-pipeline.yml:41
 - transaction-service/docker-compose-monitoring.yml:18
- Impact:
 - Security policy consistency is broken.
- Recommendation:
 - Remove hardcoded secret and align scanning scope with active deployment files.

6. Transaction service Docker healthcheck uses wrong port.

- Evidence:
 - transaction-service/Dockerfile:47 (checks 9002)
 - transaction-service/src/main/resources/application.properties:3 (service on 8081)
- Impact:
 - Container may appear unhealthy despite healthy app.
- Recommendation:
 - Point healthcheck to actual management/app port.

7. Transaction search is not scalable.

- Evidence:
 -

transaction-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/transaction_service/service/TransactionServiceImpl.java

- Impact:

- In-memory filtering/sorting of large data can cause latency and memory pressure.

- Recommendation:

- Push filtering, sorting, and paging into repository/database queries.

8. Monitoring auth model is inconsistent with scraping model.

- Evidence:

-

account-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/account_service/security/SecurityConfig.java:47

-

transaction-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/transaction_service/security/SecurityConfig.java:35

- infrastructure/helm/account-service/templates/servicemonitor.yaml:20

- Impact:

- Scrapes may fail, or endpoints may be weakened unsafely.

- Recommendation:

- Implement explicit scrape auth strategy (service account, mTLS, or tightly scoped network controls).

9. Transaction monitoring API is too broadly accessible.

- Evidence:

-

transaction-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/transaction_service/security/SecurityConfig.java:40

- Impact:

- Internal operational metrics can be exposed to regular authenticated users.

- Recommendation:

- Restrict monitoring endpoints to admin/internal roles.

10. Transaction Prometheus config has structural issues.

- Evidence:

- transaction-service/src/main/resources/prometheus.yml:2

- transaction-service/src/main/resources/prometheus.yml:121

- transaction-service/src/main/resources/prometheus.yml:183

- Impact:

- Prometheus config may parse incorrectly or behave unexpectedly.

- Recommendation:

- Normalize to valid Prometheus schema (single global block, proper rule_files use).

11. Monitoring aspect targets legacy client, not active resilient client.

- Evidence:

-

transaction-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/transaction_service/aspect/MonitoringAspect.java:33

-

transaction-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/transaction_service/service/TransactionServiceImpl.java

- Impact:

- Missing metrics and alerts for actual account-service calls.

- Recommendation:

- Update pointcuts to include ResilientAccountServiceClient.

12. Terraform prod backend/state and network policy labeling are weak.

- Evidence:

- infrastructure/terraform/environments/prod/main.tf:18 (local backend)
- infrastructure/terraform/modules/kubernetes/main.tf:118 (namespace selector expects label 'name')
- infrastructure/terraform/modules/kubernetes/main.tf:27 (namespace labels do not include 'name')

- Impact:

- Risky state management and potentially ineffective policy behavior.

- Recommendation:

- Use remote backend for prod and align namespace labels/selectors.

13. Infrastructure is asymmetric across services.

- Evidence:

- infrastructure/helm/account-service/Chart.yaml:1 exists
- infrastructure/helm/transaction-service not present

- Impact:

- Incomplete production IaC path for full microservice architecture.

- Recommendation:

- Add transaction-service Helm/Terraform parity for deploy, policy, and monitoring.

Medium Findings

14. Public health route includes mutable deployment endpoints.

- Evidence:

- account-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/account_service/security/SecurityConfig.java:45
- account-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/account_service/controller/HealthController.java:94
- account-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/account_service/controller/HealthController.java:122

- Impact:

- Unnecessary exposed operational surface.

- Recommendation:

- Split public liveness from privileged operations.

15. Health checks include placeholders that always report healthy.

- Evidence:

- account-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/account_service/service/DeploymentTrackingService.java:10
- account-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/account_service/service/DeploymentTrackingService.java:10
- account-service/src/main/java/com/suhasan/finance/account_service/service/DeploymentTrackingService.java:10

- Impact:

- False-positive health and delayed incident detection.

- Recommendation:

- Implement real dependency checks.

16. Top-level production compose still exposes DB ports publicly.

- Evidence:
 - docker-compose.yml:33
 - docker-compose.yml:56
- Impact:
 - Larger attack surface.
- Recommendation:
 - Keep databases internal unless explicit external access is required.

What Is Intact / Strong

1. Clear service boundaries between account and transaction domains.
2. Flyway migrations and schema versioning are implemented.
3. Account balance operations use idempotent operation table and row-level locking.
4. Both services compile and core tests pass locally.
5. Container non-root runtime is configured.

Overall Production Readiness

Current state: NOT READY for payment-grade production.

Primary blockers: atomic transactional guarantees, secret hygiene, and CI/IaC consistency.

Prioritized Remediation Plan

1. Transactional correctness:
 - Atomic idempotency and reversal uniqueness/locking.
2. Security hardening:
 - Remove fallback/committed secrets and rotate all exposed credentials.
3. Pipeline integrity:
 - Fix CI test references and enforce consistent policy checks.
4. Runtime reliability:
 - Correct healthcheck ports and reconcile monitoring auth/scraping.
5. Architecture completeness:
 - Add transaction-service IaC parity (Helm/Terraform).

Conclusion

The platform has a strong foundation, but payment-grade resilience and security guarantees are incomplete.

Addressing the critical items above should be treated as mandatory before production go-live.