

Process/CPU scheduling (contd.)

Saptarshi Ghosh and Mainack Mondal
CS39002

Spring 2020-21



The key concepts so far

- CPU burst, I/O burst
- CPU scheduler (which process should execute next)

The key concepts so far

- CPU burst, I/O burst
- CPU scheduler (which process should execute next)
- Non preemptive scheduling (a process runs uninterrupted)
- Pre-emptive scheduling (CPU forcibly taken from running process)

The key concepts so far

- CPU burst, I/O burst
- CPU scheduler (which process should execute next)
- Non preemptive scheduling (a process runs uninterrupted)
- Pre-emptive scheduling (CPU forcibly taken from running process)
- Dispatcher (gives control of CPU to scheduled process)

Scheduling criteria

- **CPU utilization** – keep the CPU as busy as possible
- **Throughput** – number of processes that complete their execution per time unit (on average)
- **Turnaround time** – amount of time to completely execute a process (interval from the time of submission of a process to the time of its completion)
- **Response time** – amount of time from when a process is submitted to the time when the **first response** is produced (practically more important for interactive system)
- **Waiting time** – amount of time a process spends waiting in the ready queue
- **Burst time** – amount of time a process is executed on CPU

Scheduling algorithm optimization criteria

- Max CPU utilization
- Max throughput
- Min turnaround time
- Min waiting time
- Min response time

CPU scheduling algorithms

Scheduling algorithms

- Algo 1: First come first serve (FCFS)
- Algo 2: Shortest job first (SJF)
- Algo 3: Priority scheduling
- Algo 4: Round robin scheduling
- Algo 5: Multi level queue scheduling
- Algo 6: Multi level feedback queue scheduling

Scheduling algorithms

- Algo 1: First come first serve (FCFS)
- Algo 2: Shortest job first (SJF)
- Algo 3: Priority scheduling
- Algo 4: Round robin scheduling
- Algo 5: Multi level queue scheduling
- Algo 6: Multi level feedback queue scheduling

Algo 1. First Come First Serve scheduling (FCFS)

- Non preemptive scheduling
- Process that requests CPU first is allocated the CPU first
- Ready list is maintained as a FIFO queue

Algo 1. First Come First Serve scheduling (FCFS)

- Non preemptive scheduling
- Process that requests CPU first is allocated the CPU first
- Ready list is maintained as a FIFO queue
- Issue: Average waiting time is long

Example 1

Process	P1	P2	P3
Arrival time	0	0	0
CPU burst	24ms	3ms	3ms

Algo 1. First Come First Serve scheduling (FCFS)

- Non preemptive scheduling
- Process that requests CPU first is allocated the CPU first
- Ready list is maintained as a FIFO queue
- Issue: Average waiting time is long

Example 1

Process	P1	P2	P3
Arrival time	0	0	0
CPU burst	24ms	3ms	3ms

Draw Gantt chart and calculate average waiting time for two schedules: P1, P2, P3 and P2, P3, P1

Algo 1. First Come First Serve scheduling (FCFS)

Example 1

Process	P1	P2	P3
Arrival time	0	0	0
CPU burst	24ms	3ms	3ms

Gantt chart for the schedule P1, P2, P3:



Waiting time for P1 = 0; P2 = 24; P3 = 27

Average waiting time: $(0 + 24 + 27)/3 = 17$

Algo 1. First Come First Serve scheduling (FCFS)

Example 1

Process	P1	P2	P3
Arrival time	0	0	0
CPU burst	24ms	3ms	3ms

Gantt chart for the schedule P2, P3, P1:



Waiting time for P1 = 6; P2 = 0; P3 = 3

Average waiting time: $(6 + 0 + 3)/3 = 3$

Algo 1. First Come First Serve scheduling (FCFS)

- Non preemptive scheduling
- Process that requests CPU first is allocated the CPU first
- Ready list is maintained as a FIFO queue
- Issue: Average waiting time is long

Example 1

Process	P1	P2	P3
Arrival time	0	0	0
CPU burst	24ms	3ms	3ms

Draw Gantt chart and calculate average waiting time for two schedules: P1, P2, P3 and P2, P3, P1 (Ans: 17 ms and 3 ms)

Problems with FCFS

- Average waiting time can be long
 - Convoy effect - a process with large CPU burst can delay several processes with shorter CPU bursts (see previous example)
- Prefers CPU-bound processes
 - Since burst times of I/O-bound processes are small, lower device (e.g., I/O) utilization

Another example

Example 2

Process	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5
Arrival time	0	2ms	3ms	5ms	9ms
CPU burst	3ms	3ms	2ms	5ms	3ms

Draw Gantt chart and calculate average waiting time

Another example

Example 2

Process	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5
Arrival time	0	2ms	3ms	5ms	9ms
CPU burst	3ms	3ms	2ms	5ms	3ms

Draw Gantt chart and calculate average waiting time
(Ans: $11/5$ ms)

Scheduling algorithms

- Algo 1: First come first serve (FCFS)
- Algo 2: Shortest job first (SJF)
- Algo 3: Priority scheduling
- Algo 4: Round robin scheduling
- Algo 5: Multi level queue scheduling
- Algo 6: Multi level feedback queue scheduling

Algo 2: Shortest Job First (SJF)

- Still non pre-emptive
- Idea: Execute the shortest processes first
 - Challenge: How to know which one is “shortest”?

Algo 2: Shortest Job First (SJF)

- Still non pre-emptive
- Idea: Execute the shortest processes first
 - Challenge: How to know which one is “shortest”?
- Associate with each process an **estimate** of the length of the **next CPU burst** for the process
 - When CPU is available, assign CPU to the process with smallest estimate

SJF: example


Process	P1	P2	P3	P4
Arrival time	0	0	0	0
CPU burst	6ms	8ms	7ms	3ms

What is the SJF schedule and corresponding wait time?

SJF: example

Process	P1	P2	P3	P4
Arrival time	0	0	0	0
CPU burst	6ms	8ms	7ms	3ms

- What is the SJF schedule and corresponding wait time?



- Average waiting time = $(3 + 16 + 9 + 0) / 4 = 7$

SJF: example

Process	P1	P2	P3	P4
Arrival time	0	0	0	0
CPU burst	6ms	8ms	7ms	3ms

What is the SJF schedule and corresponding wait time?

Compare with the following FCFS schedule: P1, P2, P3, P4

(Ans: SJF – 7 ms and FCFS – 10.25 ms)

SJF: guarantee

- Optimality: The SJF algorithm minimizes the average waiting time
- Prove it for a set of n processes which arrive at the same time with CPU burst times $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3 \leq t_4 \dots \leq t_n$, ignoring further arrivals.

SJF: guarantee

- Optimality: The SJF algorithm minimizes the average waiting time
- Prove it for a set of n processes which arrive at the same time with CPU burst times $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3 \leq t_4 \dots \leq t_n$, ignoring further arrivals.
 - Hint: Prove by contradiction

SJF: Key issue

- How to estimate the next CPU burst time?
 - A common approach is to use exponential average of the measured length of previous CPU bursts

SJF: Key issue

- How to estimate the next CPU burst time?
 - A common approach is to use exponential average of the measured length of previous CPU bursts

Let t_n = Length of n^{th} CPU burst

τ_{n+1} = predicted value of the next CPU burst

Then, $\tau_{n+1} = \alpha t_n + (1 - \alpha)\tau_n, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$

SJF: Key issue

- How to estimate the next CPU burst time?
 - A common approach is to use exponential average of the measured length of previous CPU bursts

Let t_n = Length of n^{th} CPU burst

τ_{n+1} = predicted value of the next CPU burst

Then, $\tau_{n+1} = \alpha t_n + (1 - \alpha)\tau_n, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$

$= \alpha t_n + (1 - \alpha)\alpha t_{n-1} + \dots + (1 - \alpha)^j \alpha t_{n-j} + \dots + (1 - \alpha)^{n+1} \tau_0$

SJF: Key issue

- How to estimate the next CPU burst time?
 - A common approach is to use exponential average of the measured length of previous CPU bursts

Let t_n = Length of n^{th} CPU burst

τ_{n+1} = predicted value of the next CPU burst

Then, $\tau_{n+1} = \alpha t_n + (1 - \alpha)\tau_n, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$

$= \alpha t_n + (1 - \alpha)\alpha t_{n-1} + \dots + (1 - \alpha)^j \alpha t_{n-j} + \dots + (1 - \alpha)^{n+1} \tau_0$

$\alpha = 0 \rightarrow \tau_{n+1} = \tau_n \rightarrow$ recent history has no effect

$\alpha = 1 \rightarrow \tau_{n+1} = t_n \rightarrow$ Only the most recent CPU burst has effect

Shortest remaining time first scheduling

- Pre-emptive version of SJF
 - A smaller CPU burst time process can evict a running process

Shortest remaining time first scheduling

- Pre-emptive version of SJF
 - A smaller CPU burst time process can evict a running process

Process	P1	P2	P3	P4
Arrival time	0	1ms	2ms	3ms
CPU burst	8ms	4ms	9ms	5ms


- Draw preemptive gantt chart and compute waiting time

Shortest remaining time first scheduling

- Pre-emptive version of SJF
 - A smaller CPU burst time process can evict a running process

Process	P1	P2	P3	P4
Arrival time	0	1ms	2ms	3ms
CPU burst	8ms	4ms	9ms	5ms

- Draw preemptive gantt chart and compute waiting time



- Avg waiting time = $[(10-1)+(1-1)+(17-2)+(5-3)]/4 = 26/4 = 6.5$ ms

Scheduling algorithms

- Algo 1: First come first serve (FCFS)
- Algo 2: Shortest job first (SJF)
- Algo 3: Priority scheduling
- Algo 4: Round robin scheduling
- Algo 5: Multi level queue scheduling
- Algo 6: Multi level feedback queue scheduling

Algo 3. Priority scheduling

- A priority is assigned to each process
 - CPU is allotted to the process with highest priority
 - Can be preemptive or non-preemptive
 - SJF is a type of priority scheduling (priority = inverse of predicted next CPU burst time)

Algo 3. Priority scheduling

- A priority is assigned to each process
 - CPU is allotted to the process with highest priority
 - Can be preemptive or non-preemptive
 - SJF is a type of priority scheduling

Process	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5
Arrival time	0	0	0	0	0
CPU burst	10ms	1ms	2ms	1ms	5ms
Priority	3	1	4	5	2

What is the average waiting time? Assume non-preemptive

Algo 3. Priority scheduling

- A priority is assigned to each process
 - CPU is allotted to the process with highest priority
 - Can be preemptive or non-preemptive
 - SJF is a type of priority scheduling

Process	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5
Arrival time	0	0	0	0	0
CPU burst	10ms	1ms	2ms	1ms	5ms
Priority	3	1	4	5	2

What is the average waiting time? Assume non-preemptive

Ans: 8.2 ms

Assigning priority: static approach

- Each process has a static priority
 - Large chance of indefinite blocking
 - Can lead to starvation (low priority processes may never execute)

Assigning priority: dynamic approach

- Compute highest response ratio (RN)

$$RN = \frac{\textit{Time since arrival} + \textit{CPU burst time}}{\textit{CPU burst time}}$$

Assigning priority: dynamic approach

- Compute highest response ratio (RN)

$$RN = \frac{\textit{Time since arrival} + \textit{CPU burst time}}{\textit{CPU burst time}}$$

- For a waiting process
 - “Time since arrival increase” -> RN increase

Assigning priority: dynamic approach

- Compute highest response ratio (RN)

$$RN = \frac{\textit{Time since arrival} + \textit{CPU burst time}}{\textit{CPU burst time}}$$

- For a waiting process
 - “Time since arrival increase” -> RN increase

Aging

Assigning priority: dynamic approach

- Compute highest response ratio (RN)

$$RN = \frac{\textit{Time since arrival} + \textit{CPU burst time}}{\textit{CPU burst time}}$$

- For a waiting process
 - “Time since arrival increase” -> RN increase
- For a short process
 - “CPU burst time decrease” -> RN increase

Aging

Assigning priority in Linux

- Priority of a process is determined by **nice** value
 - Nice value ranges from -20 to 19
 - -20 is highest priority and 19 is lowest priority
 - Default nice value is 0

Assigning priority in Linux

- Priority of a process is determined by nice value
 - Nice value ranges from -20 to 19
 - -20 is highest priority and 19 is lowest priority
 - Default nice value is 0
- “nice” and “renice” used for set/change nice value
 - A user can only decrease priority of her processes
 - superuser can increase priority of a process

Scheduling algorithms

- Algo 1: First come first serve (FCFS)
- Algo 2: Shortest job first (SJF)
- Algo 3: Priority scheduling
- Algo 4: Round robin scheduling
- Algo 5: Multi level queue scheduling
- Algo 6: Multi level feedback queue scheduling

Algo 4. Round robin (RR) scheduling

- Designed for time-sharing systems
 - A small unit of time, **time quantum** or **time slice** is defined
 - Typically 10-100 ms
 - READY queue is a circular queue in this case
 - The CPU goes around each process in READY queue and execute for 1 time slice
 - A timer is set to interrupt the CPU at the end of each time slice

RR scheduling: more details

- Once a process gets the CPU two things might happen
 - The process has CPU burst ≤ 1 time slice, so the process release CPU voluntarily

RR scheduling: more details

- Once a process gets the CPU two things might happen
 - The process has CPU burst ≤ 1 time slice, so the process release CPU voluntarily
 - If CPU burst is > 1 time slice then timer interrupt, context switch, this process put at tail of READY queue, next process is loaded from READY queue

RR scheduling: more details

- Once a process gets the CPU two things might happen
 - The process has CPU burst ≤ 1 time slice, so the process release CPU voluntarily
 - If CPU burst is > 1 time slice then timer interrupt, context switch, this process put at tail of READY queue, next process is loaded from READY queue

Example:

Process	P1	P2	P3
Arrival time	0	0	0
CPU burst	24ms	3ms	3ms


If time quantum $\delta = 4$ ms, then what is the avg. wait time?
(schedule P1, P2, P3,...)

RR scheduling: more details

Example:

If time quantum $\delta = 4$ ms, then what is the avg. wait time?
(schedule P1, P2, P3,...)

Process	P1	P2	P3
Arrival time	0	0	0
CPU burst	24ms	3ms	3ms



Avg. Wait time : $(6 + 4 + 7)/3 = 5.66$ ms

RR scheduling: Analysis

- n process in READY queue, time slice δ
 - Each process gets $1/n$ CPU time, in chunks each of which lasts for δ time or less
 - Max. wait time for each process = $(n - 1) (\delta + \sigma)$
 - σ = scheduling overhead

RR scheduling: Analysis

- n process in READY queue, time slice δ
 - Each process gets $1/n$ CPU time, in chunks each of which lasts for δ time or less
 - Max. wait time for each process = $(n - 1) (\delta + \sigma)$
 - σ = scheduling overhead
- Very large δ = FCFS (why?)
- Very small δ = Large number of context switch (why?)

RR scheduling: Analysis

- n process in READY queue, time slice δ
 - Each process gets $1/n$ CPU time, in chunks each of which lasts for δ time or less
 - Max. wait time for each process = $(n - 1) (\delta + \sigma)$
 - σ = scheduling overhead
- Very large δ = FCFS (why?)
- Very small δ = Large number of context switch (why?)
- Typically $\delta \gg \sigma$ (e.g., $\delta = 10 \text{ ms}$, $\sigma = 10 \mu\text{s}$)

Exercise

Process	P1	P2	P3	P4
Arrival time	0	0	0	0
CPU burst	6ms	3ms	1ms	7ms

Compute average turnaround time for $\delta = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\text{ms}$

Compute average wait time for $\delta = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\text{ms}$

Assume the schedule is P1, P2, P3, P4

Scheduling algorithms


- Algo 1: First come first serve (FCFS)
- Algo 2: Shortest job first (SJF)
- Algo 3: Priority scheduling
- Algo 4: Round robin scheduling
- Algo 5: Multi level queue scheduling
- Algo 6: Multi level feedback queue scheduling

Algo 5. Multi level queue scheduling

- Ready queue is partitioned into separate queues, e.g.:
 - foreground (interactive)
 - background (batch)
- A process is permanently assigned to a particular queue
- Each queue has its own scheduling algorithm

Multi level queues

highest priority



Algo 5. Multi level queue scheduling

- Ready queue is partitioned into separate queues, e.g.:
 - foreground (interactive)
 - background (batch)
- A process is permanently assigned to a particular queue
- Each queue has its own scheduling algorithm
- Scheduling must be done between the queues:
 - Fixed priority scheduling: serve all from foreground then from background. Possibility of starvation.

Algo 5. Multi level queue scheduling

- Ready queue is partitioned into separate queues, e.g.:
 - foreground (interactive)
 - background (batch)
- A process is permanently assigned to a particular queue
- Each queue has its own scheduling algorithm
- Scheduling must be done between the queues:
 - Fixed priority scheduling: serve all from foreground then from background. Possibility of starvation.
 - Time slice: each queue gets a certain amount of CPU time which it can schedule amongst its processes; i.e., 80% to foreground in RR, 20% to background in FCFS

Scheduling algorithms


- Algo 1: First come first serve (FCFS)
- Algo 2: Shortest job first (SJF)
- Algo 3: Priority scheduling
- Algo 4: Round robin scheduling
- Algo 5: Multi level queue scheduling
- Algo 6: Multi level feedback queue scheduling

Algo 6. Multi level feedback queue scheduling

- We allow processes to move between queues
- I/O bound and interactive processes in high priority queue
 - A process waiting too long in lower priority queue will move to a higher priority queue
 - Avoids starvation

Multi level feedback queue: Example


- Three queues:
 - Q_0 – RR with time quantum (δ) 8 ms
 - Q_1 – RR with $\delta = 16$ ms
 - Q_2 – FCFS



- A process in Q_1 can execute only when Q_0 is empty

Multi level feedback queue: Example


- Three queues:
 - Q_0 – RR with time quantum (δ) 8 ms
 - Q_1 – RR with $\delta = 16$ ms
 - Q_2 – FCFS



- A process in Q_1 can execute only when Q_0 is empty
- A process in Q_0 can pre-empt a process in Q_1 or Q_2

Multi level feedback queue: Example


- Three queues:
 - Q_0 – RR with time quantum (δ) 8 ms
 - Q_1 – RR with $\delta = 16$ ms
 - Q_2 – FCFS



- A process in Q_1 can execute only when Q_0 is empty
- A process in Q_0 can pre-empt a process in Q_1 or Q_2
- If the CPU burst of a process exceeds δ it is moved to lower priority queue

Multi level feedback queue: Example

- Three queues:
 - Q_0 – RR with time quantum (δ) 8 ms
 - Q_1 – RR with $\delta = 16$ ms
 - Q_2 – FCFS



- A new process enters Q_0 ; when it gains CPU, receives 8 ms CPU time
- If does not finish in 8 ms, process is moved to Q_1
- When process in Q_1 gains CPU, receives additional 16 ms CPU time
- If it still does not finish, process moved to Q_2

Issue with Multi level feedback queue scheduling

- Long running processes may starve
 - Permanent demotion of priority hurts processes that change their behavior (e.g., lots of computation only at beginning)
 - Eventually all long-running processes move to FCFS

Issue with Multi level feedback queue scheduling

- Long running processes may starve
 - Permanent demotion of priority hurts processes that change their behavior (e.g., lots of computation only at beginning)
 - Eventually all long-running processes move to FCFS
- Solution
 - **Periodic priority boost:** all processes moved to high priority queue
 - **Priority boost with aging:** recompute priority based on scheduling history of a process

Summary

- Algo 1: First come first serve (FCFS)
- Algo 2: Shortest job first (SJF)
- Algo 3: Priority scheduling
- Algo 4: Round robin scheduling
- Algo 5: Multi level queue scheduling
- Algo 6: Multi level feedback queue scheduling