

Low rank approximations:-

Thm 2:- A  $\in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  of rank "r" can be written as sum of "r" rank-one matrices of the form  $\tilde{A} = \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j \tilde{u}_j \tilde{v}_j^T$  where  $\{\sigma_j\}$  are singular values and  $\{\tilde{u}_j\}, \{\tilde{v}_j\}$  are the appropriate singular vectors.

Pf:- Recall  $UV^T$  is a rank-one matrix

$$\tilde{A} = \tilde{U} \tilde{\Sigma} \tilde{V}^T$$

$$\tilde{\Sigma} = \sum_{j=1}^r \tilde{\Sigma}_j \quad \text{where}$$

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_j & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n}$$

$$\tilde{A} = \tilde{U} \tilde{\Sigma} \tilde{V}^T$$

$$= \tilde{U} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^r \tilde{\Sigma}_j \right\} \tilde{V}^T$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^r \tilde{U} \tilde{\Sigma}_j \tilde{V}^T = \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j \tilde{u}_j \tilde{v}_j^T$$

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \sigma_j \underline{u}_j \underline{v}_j^T$$

$\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r$

Then  $k^{th}$  partial sum  $\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j \underline{u}_j \underline{v}_j^T$  has as much energy (information) of  $A$  as possible

Thm 8:- For any  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq r$

define

$$A_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j \underline{u}_j \underline{v}_j^T$$

$$\text{then } \|A - A_k\|_2 = \min_{\substack{B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ \text{rank}(B) \leq k}} \|A - B\|_2 = \sigma_{k+1}$$

Eckhart - Young Theorem

Proof:- Let there is some  $C(B)$  whose  $\text{rank}(B) \leq k$  such that  $\|A - B\|_2 < \|A - A_k\|_2$

$$\dim(N(CB)) \geq n-k$$

$= \sigma_{k+1}$

as  $\text{rank}(B) \leq k$

Consider the subspaces

(i)  $W_1$ : The null space of  $C(B)$  which is of dimension of at least  $n-k$

(ii)  $W_2$ : The space spanned by  $k+1$  right singular vectors of  $A$  i.e.  $\underline{v}_1, \underline{v}_2, \underline{v}_3, \dots, \underline{v}_{k+1}$

These two subspaces have to intersect?  
why?

Dimensions of the two subspaces

add to  $(n-k) + (k+r)$  i.e. the subspaces  
must atleast have 1 common vector.

Let such a non-zero vector be  $\underline{x}$  i.e.  
 $\underline{x} \in W_1 \cap W_2$

$\underline{x} \neq 0$ ;  $\underline{x} \in N(CB)$  i.e.  $B\underline{x} = 0$  and  $\underline{x} \in W_2$

$$\underline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i \underline{u}_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\underline{x}\|_2 &= \|(A - B)\underline{x}\|_2 \\ &\leq \|A - B\|_2 \|\underline{x}\|_2 < \sigma_{k+1} \|\underline{x}\|_2 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$A\underline{u}_i = \sigma_i \underline{u}_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\underline{x}\|_2^2 &= \|A \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i \underline{u}_i\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i \sigma_i \underline{u}_i \right\|_2^2 = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i \sigma_i \underline{u}_i \right]^T \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i \sigma_i \underline{u}_i \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i^2 \sigma_i^2 \quad (\text{use ortho normality of } \underline{u}_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i^2 \sigma_i^2}_{\geq} \geq \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i^2 \sigma_{k+1}^2}$$

$$= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i^2 \right) \sigma_{k+1}^2$$

$$= \|\underline{x}\|_2^2 \sigma_{k+1}^2$$

$$\|A\underline{x}\|_2^2 \geq \|\underline{x}\|_2^2 \sigma_{k+1}^2 \text{ i.e. } \|A\underline{x}\|_2 \geq \sigma_{k+1} \|\underline{x}\|_2 \quad (2)$$

① & ② is a contradiction which means you cannot have a matrix  $B$  with  $\text{rank}(B) \leq k$  such that  $\|A - B\|_2 \leq \|A - A_k\|_2$

Eckhart-Young in Frobenius norm:-

Thm 9 For any  $k$ , with  $1 \leq k \leq \infty$ , the matrix  $A_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j u_j v_j^T$  also satisfies  $\|A - A_k\|_F = \min_{\substack{B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ \text{rank}(B) \leq k}} \|A - B\|_F$

$$= \sqrt{\sigma_{k+1}^2 + \sigma_{k+2}^2 + \dots + \sigma_\infty^2}$$

## Principal component analysis:

PCA can be thought of orthogonal linear transformation of a given mean centered data matrix  $A$  such that transformed directions (vectors) are along the directions of decreasing variances.

Consider a data matrix  $A_0$ .

$A_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$        $n$  features  
     $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \quad \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  eg:- height, weight, age, marks  
     $m$  samples       $m$  samples can be  
                            number of students  
                            in class  
     $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \dots \uparrow$        $n$  features

→ Mean is the average of the data (in each column). Subtract these means of each of these columns of  $A_0$  and reconstruct the data matrix which produces centered matrix  $\underline{A}$ .

→ Variance as sum of squares of distances from the mean — along  $i$ th column of  $\underline{A}$

$$\text{Var}_i = \frac{1}{m} (\|\underline{a}_{i:}\|_2^2)$$

→ Total variance in the full data is the sum of variances of individual columns.

$$\underline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | \\ \underline{a}_1 & \underline{a}_2 & \dots & \underline{a}_n \\ | & | & | & | \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T \propto \underbrace{(\|\underline{a}_1\|_2^2 + \|\underline{a}_2\|_2^2 + \dots + \|\underline{a}_n\|_2^2)}$$

$$T \propto \|\underline{A}\|_F^2$$

$$\propto (\underline{\sigma}_1^2 + \underline{\sigma}_2^2 + \dots + \underline{\sigma}_8^2)$$

$\sigma_1^2$  accounts for maximum contribution to the total variance,  $\sigma_2^2$  accounts for next largest contribution to total variance and so on!

The first component  $u_1$  is along (left singular vecr)  
the direction of maximum variance,  
 $u_2$  is along the next largest variance  
and so on!

Why is the above true?

(i) First we seek a direction (vector)  
in the feature space i.e. space  
spanned by "n" features which  
has maximum variance. [Assume all  
"n" features are linearly independent]  
Let  $\underline{t}_1$  be such a direction and we  
have  $\underline{t}_1 = \hat{A} \hat{w}_1$  and we need to

find  $\hat{\underline{\omega}}_1 = \arg \max_{\|\hat{\underline{\omega}}_1\|=1} \|\underline{A} \hat{\underline{\omega}}_1\|_2$  [Since we need to find direction with maximum variance.]

The above has clearly a solution with  $\hat{\underline{\omega}}_1 = \underline{v}_1$  the first right singular vector

and  $\underline{t}_1 = \sigma_1 \underline{u}_1$  i.e.  $\underline{u}_1$  is the

direction of maximum variance.

(ii) Now we need to find the direction along the second maximum variance. For this I need to have

$\underline{t}_2 = \underline{A} \hat{\underline{\omega}}_2$  but I need to consider the action of  $\underline{A}$  on those vectors  $\hat{\underline{\omega}}_2$

which is orthogonal to  $\underline{v}_1$  - we can

denote these vectors by considering

$$\underline{w}_2^\perp = (\underline{I} - \underline{v}_1 \underline{v}_1^T) \hat{\underline{\omega}}_2 \text{ where } \hat{\underline{\omega}}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Hence the problem of seeking the direction of second maximum variance is equivalent to solving

$$\arg \max_{\|\hat{w}_2\|=1} \|\hat{A}\hat{w}_2\|_2 \text{ where } \hat{A} = A(I - v_i v_i^T)$$

and solution to this problem

~~is the second right~~  
~~singular vector~~ is the second right singular vector i.e.  $\hat{w}_2 = v_2$  and  $t_2 = \sigma_2 u_2$

where  $u_2$  is the direction of second maximum variance!

and this process can be repeated for directions of next maximum variances.

The key point is that  $k < n$  singular vectors explain most of the data than any other set of  $k$  vectors. So we can choose

the left singular vectors

$u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$  as a basis for

$k$ -dimensional subspace closest to

$n$ -dimensional subspace corresponding

to our  $m$ -data points.