

1.  $P(A) = |\lambda_{\max}|$  where  $|\lambda_{\max}|$  is the largest absolute eigen value of  $A$ .

$$A \underline{x} = \lambda \underline{x}$$

$$\Rightarrow A(A\underline{x}) = \lambda A\underline{x} = \lambda^2 \underline{x}$$

$$\Rightarrow A^2 \underline{x} = \lambda^2 \underline{x}$$

So eigen value of  $A^k$  are  $\lambda^k$  where  $\lambda$  is the eigen value of  $A$ .

$$P(A^k) = |\lambda_{\max}^k| = (|\lambda_{\max}|)^k = [P(A)]^k$$

For any  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , — (1)

$$\frac{\|A^k \underline{x}\|}{\|\underline{x}\|} \leq \|A^k\| \quad — @$$

Now if  $\underline{x}$  is the eigen vector corresponding to  $\lambda_{\max}^k$ ,

$$\frac{\|A^k \underline{x}\|}{\|\underline{x}\|} = \frac{\|\lambda_{\max}^k \underline{x}\|}{\|\underline{x}\|} = |\lambda_{\max}^k| \leq \|A^k\| \quad (\because \text{From } @)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A^k) \leq \|A^k\|$$

— (2)

Now

$$\|A \dots A\| \leq \|A\| \dots \|A\| = \|A\|^k$$

(k-times)    (Bounding of norm)

$$\Rightarrow \|A^k\| \leq \|A\|^k \quad — (3)$$

From ①, ② & ③,

$$[P(A)]^k = P(A^k) \leq \|A^k\| \leq \|A\|^k \quad — \text{(proved)}$$

2.  $\underline{x} \in R^m$ ,  $A \in R^{m \times n}$

(a)  $\|\underline{x}\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |x_i| \Rightarrow \|\underline{x}\|_\infty^2 = \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |x_i|\right)^2$

$$\|\underline{x}\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^2$$

We observe that,

$$\|\underline{x}\|_2^2 = \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |x_i|\right)^2 + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq \text{index corresponding} \\ \text{to } \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |x_i|}}^m |x_i|^2}_{\text{'0' or +ve term.}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\underline{x}\|_\infty^2 \leq \|\underline{x}\|_2^2 \Rightarrow \|\underline{x}\|_\infty \leq \|\underline{x}\|_2$$

e.g.  $\underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{m \times 1}$  for equality. — (proved)

$$\textcircled{b} \quad \|\underline{x}\|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |x_i|$$

$$\|\underline{x}\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^2 = |x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_m|^2$$

Let's say,  $\max(|x_1|, \dots, |x_m|) = |x_j|$

Then  $\|\underline{x}\|_{\infty} = |x_j|$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\underline{x}\|_2^2 &= |x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_m|^2 \leq |x_j|^2 + \dots + |x_j|^2 \\ &= m|x_j|^2 \\ &= m\|\underline{x}\|_{\infty}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\underline{x}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|\underline{x}\|_{\infty}$$

e.g.  $\underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{m \times 1}$  for equality. — (proved)

© We know

$$\|\underline{x}\|_{\infty} \leq \|\underline{x}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|\underline{x}\|_{\infty}$$

where  ~~$\|\underline{x}\|$~~   $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

From this,

$$\|A\underline{x}\|_{\infty} \leq \|A\underline{x}\|_2 \text{ for any}$$

$\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ & } A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

$$\Rightarrow \|A\underline{x}\|_{\infty} \leq \|A\underline{x}\|_2 \leq \|A\| \|\underline{x}\|_2$$

(Bounding norm)

— (1)

Since this is true for any  $\underline{x}$ , let,  $\|\underline{x}\|_\infty = 1$ .

$$\text{So } \|\underline{x}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|\underline{x}\|_\infty \\ \Rightarrow \|\underline{x}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \quad (\text{From prev. question})$$

$$\text{So } \|A\underline{x}\|_\infty \leq \|A\|_2 \|\underline{x}\|_2 \quad (\text{From eqn. (1)}) \\ \leq \sqrt{n} \|A\|_2 \quad (\text{For any } \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } \|\underline{x}\|_\infty = 1)$$

$$\text{So } \|A\|_\infty = \sup_{\substack{\|\underline{x}\|_\infty = 1 \\ \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n}} \|A\underline{x}\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{n} \|A\|_2 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\text{Hence } \|A\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{n} \|A\|_2 \quad \text{--- (From eqn. (2))}$$

$\textcircled{1}$  e.g.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ s.t. } \|\underline{x}\| = 1.$$

$$\|A\|_\infty = \sup_{\|\underline{x}\|=1} \|A\underline{x}\|, \quad \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = A\underline{x}, \quad \max(\|A\underline{x}\|) = 2 \\ \text{when } \underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\text{So } \|A\|_\infty = 2$$

$$\text{Now } A\underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \|A\underline{x}\|_2 = |x_1 + x_2| \text{ subject to } x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1$$

This max. is achieved when  $\underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$ . ( $\because \|\underline{x}\| = 1$ )

$$\text{So } \|A\|_2 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}.$$

$$\text{We see } \|A\|_\infty = \sqrt{2} \|A\|_2 = \sqrt{n} \|A\|_2 \text{ (Equality)}$$

(d) We know  $\|\underline{x}\|_\infty \leq \|\underline{x}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|\underline{x}\|_\infty$ .

So it follows

$$\|A\underline{x}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\underline{x}\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty \|\underline{x}\|_\infty$$

(for any  $\underline{x}$ )

Now let's say  $\|\underline{x}\|_2 = 1$ . Then  $\|\underline{x}\|_\infty \leq 1$ .

(From (1))

So  $\|A\underline{x}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty$  for any  $\|\underline{x}\|_2 = 1$   
 $\& \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$\|A\|_2 = \sup_{\substack{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \|\underline{x}\|_2 = 1}} \|A\underline{x}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty$$

Hence  $\|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty$  — (proved)

e.g.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \|\underline{x}\| = 1$ .

$$A\underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \|A\|_\infty = \sup_{\|\underline{x}\|_2=1} \|A\underline{x}\|_\infty = \max(|x_1|, |x_2|)$$

when  $\underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  or  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  or  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

$$\|A\|_2 = \sup_{\|\underline{x}\|_2=1} \|A\underline{x}\|_2 = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} = \sqrt{2x_1^2} = \sqrt{2} |x_1| \quad \boxed{\cancel{\text{= } 1 \text{ (always when } \|\underline{x}\|_2 = 1 \text{)}}}$$

Hence  $\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{2}$  when  $|x_1| = 1$ . i.e.  $\underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

So  $\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{2} \|A\|_\infty = \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty$  — (Equality).

3.  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

(a)  $\|A\|_F = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 \right]^{1/2}$

Now let's say  $C = A^T A$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{m1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n}$$

We observe

$$c_{11} = a_{11}^2 + a_{21}^2 + \dots + a_{m1}^2$$

$$c_{22} = a_{12}^2 + a_{22}^2 + \dots + a_{m2}^2$$

$$c_{nn} = a_{1n}^2 + a_{2n}^2 + \dots + a_{mn}^2$$

$$+ \overbrace{\text{trace}(C)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{trace}(A^T A) = \|A\|_F^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|A\|_F = \sqrt{\text{trace}(A^T A)}$$

— (proved)

(b) Before proving this result, we'll try to show

$$\|\underline{x}\|_2 \leq \|\underline{x}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \|\underline{x}\|_2, \quad \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

$$\|\underline{x}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \quad \|\underline{x}\|_2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\underline{x}\|_1^2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \right)^2, \quad \|\underline{x}\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2$$

From algebra, we know

$$(a_1 + \dots + a_n)^2 \geq a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are all +ve numbers.

$$\text{Hence } \|\underline{x}\|_1^2 \geq \|\underline{x}\|_2^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\underline{x}\|_1 \geq \|\underline{x}\|_2 \quad \text{--- (a)}$$

Now,

$$\|\underline{x}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \cdot 1$$

Let's construct a vector  $\underline{v} = \begin{bmatrix} |x_1| \\ \vdots \\ |x_n| \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1}$

$$\underline{z} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1}$$

$$\text{So } \|\underline{x}\|_1 = \underline{v}^T \underline{z} \leq \|\underline{v}\|_2 \|\underline{z}\|_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\underline{x}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \|\underline{v}\|_2 = \sqrt{n} \|\underline{x}\|_2 \quad \text{(Cauchy-Schwarz) --- (b)}$$

So from (a) & (b) we have shown vector 1-, 2-norm equivalence.

Now

$$\|A\tilde{x}\|_2 \leq \|A\tilde{x}\|_1 \leq \|A\|_1 \|\tilde{x}\|_1$$

(For any  $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ )

Let,  $\|\tilde{x}\|_2 = 1$ . Then from ①,  $\|\tilde{x}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n}$ .

So  $\|A\tilde{x}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|A\|_1$  (For  $\|\tilde{x}\|_2 = 1$ )

$$\text{So } \|A\|_2 = \sup_{\substack{\|\tilde{x}\|_2 = 1 \\ \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n}} \|A\tilde{x}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|A\|_1$$

$$\text{So } \|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|A\|_1.$$

Now

$$\|A\tilde{x}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\tilde{x}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_2 \|\tilde{x}\|_2$$

Let,  $\|\tilde{x}\|_1 = 1$ . Then  $\|\tilde{x}\|_2 \leq \|\tilde{x}\|_1 = 1$  — (From ①)

Hence  $\|A\tilde{x}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_2$  (For  $\|\tilde{x}\|_1 = 1$ )

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup_{\substack{\|\tilde{x}\|_1 = 1 \\ \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n}} \|A\tilde{x}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_2$$

$$\text{So } \|A\|_1 \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_2$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \|A\|_1 \leq \|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|A\|_1$$

— (proved)

(C) Before proving  $\|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\|A\|_1 \|A\|_\infty}$ , I want to show a result about 2-norm of matrix.

Claim : If  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\exists$  a unit 2-norm vector  $\underline{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$   
 s.t.  $A^T A \underline{z} = \alpha^2 \underline{z}$  where  $\alpha = \|A\|_2$ .

Proof

Suppose  $\|\underline{z}\|_2 = 1$  s.t.  $\|A\|_2 = \|A\underline{z}\|_2$

Basically  $\|\underline{z}\|_2 = 1$   $\underline{z}$  maximises the function

$$f(\underline{x}) = \frac{\underline{x}^T A \underline{z}}{\|\underline{x}\|}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{or equivalently maximises } & \frac{\|A\underline{z}\|^2}{\|\underline{z}\|^2} \\ &= \frac{(A\underline{z})^T (A\underline{z})}{\underline{z}^T \underline{z}} = \frac{\underline{z}^T A^T A \underline{z}}{\underline{z}^T \underline{z}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } \nabla f(\underline{z})|_{\underline{z}} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{A^T A \underline{z} \cdot (\underline{z}^T \underline{z}) - \underline{z}^T A^T A \underline{z} \cdot \underline{z}}{(\underline{z}^T \underline{z})^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow A^T A \underline{z} |_{\underline{z}} = (\underline{z}^T A^T A \underline{z}) \underline{z}$$

( $\because \|\underline{z}^T \underline{z}\|_2 = 1$  when

$$\Rightarrow A^T A \underline{z} = \underline{z} (\underline{z}^T A^T A \underline{z}) \underline{z} \quad \# \underline{z} = \underline{z}$$

$$\text{Now set } \alpha = \|A\underline{z}\|_2 = \|A\|_2$$

Then, we get  $A^T A \tilde{z} = \omega^2 \tilde{z}$ .

Now, we'll prove  $\|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\|A\|_1 \|A\|_\infty}$

If  $\tilde{z}$  is such that  $A^T A \tilde{z} = \omega^2 \tilde{z}$  with  $\omega = \|A\|_2$ ,  
( $\tilde{z} \neq 0$ )

Then  $\|A^T A \tilde{z}\|_1 = \|\omega^2 \tilde{z}\|_1 = \omega^2 \|\tilde{z}\|_1$

$$\Rightarrow \omega^2 \|\tilde{z}\|_1 \leq \|A^T\|_1 \|A\|_1 \|\tilde{z}\|_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega^2 \|\tilde{z}\|_1 \leq \|A\|_\infty \|A\|_1 \|\tilde{z}\|_1 \quad (\text{Bounding norm})$$

( $\because \|A^T\|_1 = \|A\|_\infty$  b/c in transpose  
row & column gets altered.)

And 1-norm  $\rightarrow$  maximum absolute column sum  
&  $\infty$ -norm  $\rightarrow$  maximum absolute row sum)

$$\Rightarrow \omega^2 \leq \|A\|_\infty \|A\|_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \|A\|_2^2 \leq \|A\|_\infty \|A\|_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\|A\|_\infty \|A\|_1}$$

— (proved)

Q. 4 will be at the end.

5. Number =  $(1.f)_2 \times 2^{\text{exponent}-1}$

3 bits for f & 2 bits for exponent.

(a) 3 bits for f  $\Rightarrow 2^3 = 8$  numbers

3 different exponents possible i.e. 0, 1, 2.

Hence total number of numbers =  $8 \times 3$   
= 24.

(b)

Scientific notation	Binary notation	Decimal
$(1.000) \times 2^{-1}$	$(0.1)_2$	0.5
$(1.001) \times 2^{-1}$	$(0.1001)_2$	0.5625
$(1.010) \times 2^{-1}$	$(0.1010)_2$	0.625
$(1.011) \times 2^{-1}$	$(0.1011)_2$	0.6875
$(1.100) \times 2^{-1}$	$(0.1100)_2$	0.75
$(1.101) \times 2^{-1}$	$(0.1101)_2$	0.8125
$(1.110) \times 2^{-1}$	$(0.1110)_2$	0.875
$(1.111) \times 2^{-1}$	$(0.1111)_2$	0.9375
$(1.000) \times 2^0$	$(1)_2$	1
$(1.001) \times 2^0$	$(1.001)_2$	1.125
$(1.010) \times 2^0$	$(1.010)_2$	1.25
$(1.011) \times 2^0$	$(1.011)_2$	1.375

$(1.100) \times 2^0$	$(1.100)_2$	$ $	1.5
$(1.101) \times 2^0$	$(1.101)_2$	$ $	1.675
$(1.110) \times 2^0$	$(1.110)_2$	$ $	1.75
$(1.111) \times 2^0$	$(1.111)_2$	$ $	1.875
$(1.000) \times 2^1$	$(10.00)_2$	$ $	2
$(1.001) \times 2^1$	$(10.01)_2$	$ $	2.25
$(1.010) \times 2^1$	$(10.10)_2$	$ $	2.5
$(1.011) \times 2^1$	$(10.11)_2$	$ $	2.75
$(1.100) \times 2^1$	$(11.00)_2$	$ $	3
$(1.101) \times 2^1$	$(11.01)_2$	$ $	3.25
$(1.110) \times 2^1$	$(11.10)_2$	$ $	3.5
$(1.111) \times 2^1$	$(11.11)_2$	$ $	3.75

- (c) minimum real number  $\Rightarrow 0.5 - x = \epsilon_m \cdot x \Rightarrow x = 0.4705$
- maximum real number  $\Rightarrow 3.75 = (1 - \epsilon_m)x \Rightarrow x = 4$
- (d) From table, we see absolute gap b/w numbers increases with increase in magnitude of number being represented.
- Only relative gap is constant. in ~~a range of  $2^j$  to  $2^{j+1}$~~ .  
 (i.e. normalized with  $2^j$ , preceding number)

- (e) For every  $x \in R$ ,  $\exists x' \in F$  s.t.  $|x - x'| \leq \epsilon_{\text{machine}}$ .
- Alternatively, we can think of it as <sup>half the</sup> gap b/w 1 & 8  
 succeeding floating point number.
- Hence  $\epsilon_{\text{machine}} = \frac{1.125 - 1.00}{2} = 0.0625$ .

6.  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be an invertible matrix

$$A\tilde{x} = \tilde{b}, \quad \tilde{b} \neq 0.$$

$$(a) \quad \tilde{x} = A^{-1}\tilde{b}$$

Condition number of the problem when  $\tilde{b}$  is perturbed.

$$\begin{aligned} k &= \text{Relative condition number} \\ &= \max_{\delta \tilde{b}} \left[ \frac{\|\tilde{x} + \delta \tilde{x}\|}{\|\tilde{x}\|} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$\hat{k}$  = Relative condition number

$$\begin{aligned} &= \max_{\delta \tilde{b}} \left[ \frac{\|A^{-1}(\tilde{b} + \delta \tilde{b}) - A^{-1}\tilde{b}\|}{\|A^{-1}\tilde{b}\|} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{\|\tilde{b} + \delta \tilde{b} - \tilde{b}\|}{\|\tilde{b}\|} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \max_{\delta \tilde{b}} \left[ \frac{\|A^{-1}\delta \tilde{b}\|}{\|\delta \tilde{b}\|} \cdot \frac{\|\tilde{b}\|}{\|A^{-1}\tilde{b}\|} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\|A^{-1}\| \|\tilde{b}\|}{\|A^{-1}\tilde{b}\|}$$

Now  $\hat{k} = \frac{\|A^{-1}\| \|\tilde{b}\|}{\|A^{-1}\tilde{b}\|}$

(b) Finding lower bound of  $\hat{k}$ .

$$\hat{k} = \frac{\|A^{-1}\| \|b\|}{\|A^{-1}b\|} \geq \frac{\|A^{-1}b\|}{\|A^{-1}b\|} = 1$$

So  $\hat{k} \geq 1$ . We've to show that it's a tight lower bound.

B/c norm of  $A^{-1}$  exists, there exists a vector  $\underline{x}^*$  s.t.  $\frac{\|A^{-1}\underline{x}^*\|}{\|\underline{x}^*\|}$  is maximum.

$$\text{Hence } \|A^{-1}\| = \frac{\|A^{-1}\underline{x}^*\|}{\|\underline{x}^*\|}$$

$$\text{Now set } \underline{b} = \underline{x}^*$$

$$\text{So } \|A^{-1}\| = \frac{\|A^{-1}\underline{b}\|}{\|\underline{b}\|}$$

$$\text{Hence } \hat{k} = \frac{\|A^{-1}\| \|b\|}{\|A^{-1}b\|} = 1$$

for the choice of  $\underline{b}$ , which maximises

$$\frac{\|A^{-1}\underline{b}\|}{\|\underline{b}\|}$$

So  $\hat{k} = 1$  is a tight lower bound.

7.  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be an invertible matrix

$$A \underline{x} = \underline{b}, \quad \underline{b} \neq 0$$

$$k(A) \| \Delta A \| < \| A \|$$

$$\Rightarrow \| A \| \| A^{-1} \| \| \Delta A \| < \| A \|$$

$$\Rightarrow \| A^{-1} \| \| \Delta A \| < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \| A^{-1} \Delta A \| \leq \| A^{-1} \| \| \Delta A \| < 1.$$

— (1)

Before proving the actual result, I want to claim a statement.

Claim:  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .  $\| B \| < 1$ . Then  $\| (\mathbb{I} - B)^{-1} \| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \| B \|}$

Proof: For  $\forall \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\underline{x} \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \| (\mathbb{I} - B) \underline{x} \| &\geq \| \underline{x} \| - \| B \underline{x} \| \geq \| \underline{x} \| - \| B \| \| \underline{x} \| \\ &= (1 - \| B \|) \| \underline{x} \| \end{aligned}$$

As  $\| B \| < 1$ ,  $\| (\mathbb{I} - B) \underline{x} \| > 0$ .

So  $(\mathbb{I} - B) \underline{x} = 0$  has unique solution  $\underline{x} = 0$

Hence  $\mathbb{I} - B$  is invertible.

$$\text{Now } \| (\mathbb{I} - B)^{-1} (\mathbb{I} - B) \| = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \| (\mathbb{I} - B)^{-1} - (\mathbb{I} - B)^{-1} B \| = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \| (\mathbb{I} - B)^{-1} \| - \| (\mathbb{I} - B)^{-1} B \| \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \|(I - B)^{-1}\| = \|(I - B)^{-1}\| \|B\| \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 - \|B\|) \|(I - B)^{-1}\| \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \|(I - B)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|B\|} \quad \text{— (proved)} \quad \text{— (2)}$$

Now, we move to actual proof.

$$(A + \Delta A)(\underline{x} + \Delta \underline{x}) = \underline{b} + \Delta \underline{b}$$

$$\Rightarrow A(I + A^{-1}\Delta A)(\underline{x} + \Delta \underline{x}) = \underline{b} + \Delta \underline{b}$$

$$\Rightarrow A(I + A^{-1}\Delta A)\Delta \underline{x} + A\underline{x} + \Delta A\underline{x} = \underline{b} + \Delta \underline{b}$$

$$\Rightarrow A(I + A^{-1}\Delta A)\Delta \underline{x} = \Delta \underline{b} - \Delta A\underline{x} \quad (\because A\underline{x} = \underline{b})$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta \underline{x} = (I + A^{-1}\Delta A)^{-1} [A^{-1}\Delta \underline{b} - A^{-1}\Delta A\underline{x}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\Delta \underline{x}\| \leq \|(I + A^{-1}\Delta A)^{-1}\| \|A^{-1}\| (\|\Delta \underline{b}\| + \|\Delta A\| \|\underline{x}\|)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - \|A^{-1}\Delta A\|} \|A^{-1}\| (\|\Delta \underline{b}\| + \|\Delta A\| \|\underline{x}\|)$$

(From eqn. (2))

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - \|A^{-1}\| \|\Delta A\|} \cdot \|A^{-1}\| \cdot (\|\Delta \underline{b}\| + \|\Delta A\| \|\underline{x}\|)$$

$$= \frac{\|A\| \|A^{-1}\|}{\|A\| - \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \|\Delta A\|} [\|\Delta \underline{b}\| + \|\Delta A\| \|\underline{x}\|]$$

$$= \frac{k(A)}{\|A\| - k(A) \|\Delta A\|} [\|\Delta \underline{b}\| + \|\Delta A\| \|\underline{x}\|]$$

So

$$\|\Delta \tilde{x}\| \leq \frac{k(A)}{1 - k(A)\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|}} \cdot \left[ \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|A\|} + \frac{\|\Delta A\| \|\tilde{x}\|}{\|A\|} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\|\Delta \tilde{x}\|}{\|\tilde{x}\|} \leq \frac{k(A)}{1 - k(A)\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|}} \cdot \left[ \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|A\| \|\tilde{x}\|} + \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{k(A)}{1 - k(A)\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|}} \left[ \frac{\|\Delta \tilde{x}\|}{\|\tilde{x}\|} + \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} \right]$$

$$(\because A\tilde{x} = \tilde{b} \text{ and } \|A\tilde{x}\| \leq \|A\| \|\tilde{x}\|)$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

4. I completed this assignment using Octave.

Following is the results. (Attaching the code at the end)

P-norm	$\ A\tilde{x}\ $	$\ A\ $	Difference	
1	86.429	86.726	-0.2963	Slight difference is due to insufficient Sampling.
2	10.824	10.824	$-2.149 \times 10^{-6}$	
$\infty$	5.2236	5.2456	-0.02	

Hence we verify that  $\sup_{\|\tilde{x}\|=1} \|A\tilde{x}\| = \|A\|$ .

## Editor

File Edit View Debug Run Help



Norm\_practice.m ✘

```
1 A = randn(100, 2);
2 one_norm = [];
3 two_norm = [];
4 inf_norm = [];
5
6 for p = [1, 2, inf]
7   for i = 1:1000
8     x = randn(2, 1);
9     x = x / norm(x, p);
10    norm_of_Ax = norm(A*x, p);
11    if p == 1
12      one_norm = [one_norm, norm_of_Ax];
13    elseif p == 2
14      two_norm = [two_norm, norm_of_Ax];
15    elseif p == inf
16      inf_norm = [inf_norm, norm_of_Ax];
17    endif
18  endfor
19 endfor |
20
21 max_Ax_one = max(one_norm);
22 max_Ax_two = max(two_norm);
23 max_Ax_inf = max(inf_norm);
24
25 norm_A_one = norm(A, 1);
26 norm_A_two = norm(A, 2);
27 norm_A_inf = norm(A, inf);
28
29 disp(max_Ax_one - norm_A_one)
30 disp(max_Ax_two - norm_A_two)
31 disp(max_Ax_inf - norm_A_inf)
32
33 disp(max_Ax_one)
34 disp(max_Ax_two)
35 disp(max_Ax_inf)
36 disp(norm_A_one)
37 disp(norm_A_two)
38 disp(norm_A_inf)
```