

1. $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$

$$(a) \dim [R(AB)] \leq \dim [R(A)]$$

Scalar - λ
Vector - \underline{x}
Matrix - X

(Notation)

We know range of a linear transformation / matrix is a vectorspace. (B/c it satisfies closure property & 6 other associated properties of vector space.)

Hence dimension exists for range of a matrix.

Now,

$$R(A) = \{ \underline{y} \mid \underline{y} = A\underline{x}, \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \}, \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$R(AB) = \{ \underline{z} \mid \underline{z} = AB\underline{x}, \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p \}, \underline{z} \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

Now, let, $\underline{z} \in R(AB)$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{z} = (AB)\underline{x}, \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

$$= A(B\underline{x}) \quad [\because \text{matrix product is associative}]$$

$$= A\underline{d}, \underline{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

By definition of $R(A)$,

$$\underline{z} = A\underline{d} \in R(A)$$

We started with $\underline{z} \in R(AB)$ & showed $\underline{z} \in R(A)$.
Hence $R(AB) \subseteq R(A)$.

So $R(AB)$ is a subspace of $R(A)$.

$$\text{Hence } \dim [R(AB)] \leq \dim [R(A)]$$

— (proved)

(b) If the matrix B is non-singular, $\dim[R(AB)] = \dim[R(A)]$

We've already proved $\dim[R(AB)] \leq \dim[R(A)]$.

If we can show that, when B is non-singular,
 $\dim[R(A)] \leq \dim[R(AB)]$, then proof is done.

Now, B is non-singular. So B^{-1} exists.

(We also observe, both $A \in B$ will be square matrix
of size ' $m \times m$ ' as concept of invertibility is valid only for square
matrix)

Now in eqn.(1),

$$\text{replace } A \rightarrow AB.$$

$$\text{replace } B \rightarrow B^{-1}.$$

We get,

$$\dim[R(AB \cdot B^{-1})] \leq \dim[R(AB)]$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim[R(A)] \leq \dim[R(AB)]$$

From ① & ②, we conclude — (2)

$$\dim[R(AB)] = \dim[R(A)]$$

when B is non-singular matrix.

— (proved)

(c) $N(M) = \{ \underline{x} \mid M\underline{x} = \underline{0} \} - (\text{Definition of Null Space})$

Let, $\underline{x} \in N(B)$

$$\Rightarrow B\underline{x} = \underline{0} \quad \text{where } B \in R^{n \times p}, \underline{x} \in R^p$$

$$\Rightarrow AB\underline{x} = A\underline{0} = \underline{0}, \quad \text{where } A \in R^{m \times n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{x} \in N(AB)$$

So $\cancel{N(A)} \cap N(B) \subseteq N(AB)$

$$\Rightarrow \dim [N(B)] \leq \dim [N(AB)].$$

Let, $\{ \underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_k \}$ be the basis of $N(B)$.

B/c $N(B)$ is a subspace of $N(AB)$, $\{ \underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_k \}$ is also lin. independent in $N(AB)$.

If $\dim [N(AB)] = r$, we can extend the basis set of $N(B)$ to find basis of $N(AB)$.

So basis set of $N(AB) = \{ \underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_k, \underline{a}_{k+1}, \dots, \underline{a}_r \}$
 B/c, $\{ \underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_k, \underline{a}_{k+1}, \dots, \underline{a}_r \} \in N(AB)$,

$$AB\underline{x} = \underline{0} \quad \text{where } \underline{x} \in N(AB).$$

Now, let's see if $\{ B\underline{a}_{k+1}, \dots, B\underline{a}_r \}$ are lin. independent.

$$\alpha_1 B\underline{a}_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{r-k} B\underline{a}_r = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow B(\alpha_1 \underline{a}_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{r-k} \underline{a}_r) = 0 \quad (\because \text{Every matrix is a lin. trans.})$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 \underline{a}_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{r-k} \underline{a}_r \in N(B) \quad \left[T(\alpha \underline{u} + \underline{v}) = \alpha T(\underline{u}) + T(\underline{v}) \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 \underline{q}_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{r-k} \underline{q}_r = \beta_1 \underline{q}_1 + \dots + \beta_k \underline{q}_k$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_1 \underline{q}_1 + \dots + \beta_k \underline{q}_k - \alpha_1 \underline{q}_{k+1} - \dots - \alpha_{r-k} \underline{q}_r = 0$$

Blc $\{\underline{q}_1, \dots, \underline{q}_r\}$ are basis of $N(AB)$, they are lin. independent.

$$\text{Hence } \beta_1 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$$

$$\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{r-k} = 0$$

Hence from ①, we see $\{B \underline{q}_{k+1}, \dots, B \underline{q}_r\}$ are lin. independent.

Also we see, all these elements belong to $N(A)$.
 $(\because \underline{q}_{k+1}, \dots, \underline{q}_r \in N(AB))$.

Hence $N(A)$ has at least $(r-k)$ lin. independent vectors.

We know, No. of lin. ind. vector in a vector space \leq Dimension of vector space.

Hence $\dim[N(A)] \geq r-k$.

So, we have, $\dim[N(AB)] = r$, $\dim[N(B)] = k$.
 $\dim[N(A)] + \dim[N(B)] \geq r-k+k = r = \dim[N(AB)]$.
———— (From last page)

Hence

$$\dim[N(AB)] \leq \dim[N(A)] + \dim[N(B)].$$

———— (proved)

$$(d) \dim [R(A)] + \dim [N(A)] = n$$

$A \in R^{m \times n}$. $A : R^n \rightarrow R^m$

$$N(A) = \{ \underline{x} \in R^n \mid A\underline{x} = \underline{0} \}$$

B/c $N(A) \subseteq R^n \Rightarrow \dim [N(A)] \leq n$.

Let, $\dim [N(A)] = k$, ($k \leq n$)

Let, basis of $N(A) = \{ \underline{q}_1, \dots, \underline{q}_k \}$.

B/c $\{ \underline{q}_1, \dots, \underline{q}_k \}$ are also lin. ind. in R^n ,

we can extend it to form basis of R^n .

Let, $\{ \underline{q}_1, \dots, \underline{q}_k, \underline{q}_{k+1}, \dots, \underline{q}_n \}$ is the basis of R^n .

Now,

$$R(A) = \{ \underline{y} \mid \underline{y} = A\underline{x}, \forall \underline{x} \in R^n \}$$

Now, any $\underline{x} \in R^n$, can be written as

$$\underline{x} = \alpha_1 \underline{q}_1 + \dots + \alpha_k \underline{q}_k + \alpha_{k+1} \underline{q}_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_n \underline{q}_n$$

$$R(A) = A\underline{x} + \underline{x} \in R^n$$

$$= A(\alpha_1 \underline{q}_1 + \dots + \alpha_k \underline{q}_k + \alpha_{k+1} \underline{q}_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_n \underline{q}_n)$$

$$= \underbrace{\alpha_1 A \underline{q}_1 + \dots + \alpha_k A \underline{q}_k}_{0} + \alpha_{k+1} A \underline{q}_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_n A \underline{q}_n$$

$$= 0 + \alpha_{k+1} A \underline{q}_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_n A \underline{q}_n$$

$\{ A \underline{q}_{k+1}, \dots, A \underline{q}_n \}$ span $R(A)$ from the above expression.

— (1)

If we can show that $\{A \tilde{a}_{k+1}, \dots, A \tilde{a}_n\}$ are lin. independent, then this set will be a basis of $R(A)$.

Now,

$$\beta_1 A \tilde{a}_{k+1} + \dots + \beta_{n-k} A \tilde{a}_n = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\Rightarrow A(\beta_1 \tilde{a}_{k+1} + \dots + \beta_{n-k} \tilde{a}_n) = 0$$

So $\beta_1 \tilde{a}_{k+1} + \dots + \beta_{n-k} \tilde{a}_n \in N(A)$ — (property of linear transformation)

Hence

$$\beta_1 \tilde{a}_{k+1} + \dots + \beta_{n-k} \tilde{a}_n = \alpha_1 \tilde{a}_1 + \dots + \alpha_k \tilde{a}_k$$

B/c $\{\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_n\}$ is basis of R^n , they're lin. independent.

Hence

$$\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_{n-k} = 0$$

$$\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_k = 0 \quad (3)$$

From (2) & (3), we see

$\{A \tilde{a}_{k+1}, \dots, A \tilde{a}_n\}$ are lin. independent.

From (1), we showed $\{A \tilde{a}_{k+1}, \dots, A \tilde{a}_n\}$ span $R(A)$.

Hence $\{A \tilde{a}_{k+1}, \dots, A \tilde{a}_n\}$ is a basis of $R(A)$.

Hence $\dim[R(A)] = n-k$.

So we see,

$$\dim[N(A)] + \dim[R(A)] = k + (n-k) = n$$

— (proved)

Before proving 1.(e), I want to prove 1.(g) & use that in proving 1.(e).

1.(g) Prove that row rank is equal to column rank.

Let, $A \in R^{m \times n}$.

Let, row rank of A is k .

$\dim[\text{rowspace}(A)] = k$, where $\text{rowspace}(A) = \text{lin. combination of rows of } A$.

Let $\{\underline{q}_1, \dots, \underline{q}_k\}$ be basis of $\text{rowspace}(A)$.
where $\underline{q}_i \in R^n$.

Let's check whether $\{A\underline{q}_1, \dots, A\underline{q}_k\}$ are lin. ind.

$$\alpha_1 A\underline{q}_1 + \dots + \alpha_k A\underline{q}_k = \underline{0} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow A(\alpha_1 \underline{q}_1 + \dots + \alpha_k \underline{q}_k) = \underline{0} \quad (\because \text{Property of linear transformation})$$

So we observe, $\underline{x} \in \text{rowspace}(A)$.

We also see $A\underline{x} = \underline{0}$ imply \underline{x} is orthogonal to every row of A .

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{row}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \text{row}_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{x} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \text{row}_1, \underline{x} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \text{row}_m, \underline{x} \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence \underline{x} is also \perp to any lin. comb. of rows of A .

So \underline{x} is orthogonal to $\text{rowspace}(A)$. --- (2)

From (1) & (2), it is evident that

$$\underline{x} = \underline{0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 \underline{q}_1 + \dots + \alpha_k \underline{q}_k = \underline{0}$$

B/c $\{\underline{q}_1, \dots, \underline{q}_k\}$ are basis of rowspace(A),
they're lin. independent.

Hence $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_k = 0$.

Hence from egn. (1), we see

$$\{A\underline{q}_1, \dots, A\underline{q}_k\}$$
 are lin. independent.

By definition of matrix vector multiplication,
Hence $A\underline{x} \in \text{columnspace}(A)$ b/c $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \underline{q}_1 & \dots & \underline{q}_n \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$

$$= x_1 \underline{c}_1 + \dots + x_n \underline{c}_n$$

Hence $\{A\underline{q}_1, \dots, A\underline{q}_k\} \in \text{columnspace}(A)$
& this set is lin. independent.

Hence $\dim[\text{columnspace}(A)] \geq k = \text{rowrank}(A)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{columnrank}(A) \geq \text{rowrank}(A)$. — (3)

We repeat the same operation with A^T .

$$\text{Columnrank}(A^T) \geq \text{Rowrank}(A^T)$$

 $\Rightarrow \text{Rowrank}(A) \geq \text{Columnrank}(A)$ — (4)

\because Rowspace & columnspace are interchanged in A & A^T

Hence from (3) & (4),

$$\text{Rowrank}(A) = \text{Columnrank}(A)$$
 — (Proved)

$$1.(e) \text{ Prove that } \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B) - n \leq \text{rank}(AB) \text{ &} \\ \text{rank}(AB) \leq \min[\text{rank}(A), \text{rank}(B)]$$

→ We have shown in 1.(c) that:

$$\dim[N(AB)] \leq \dim[N(A)] + \dim[N(B)]$$

From 1.(d) we can apply Rank-Nullity theorem.

$$\Rightarrow p - \text{rank}(AB) \leq n - \text{rank}(A) + p - \text{rank}(B)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{rank}(AB) \geq \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B) - n$$

In 1.(a), we showed, $\dim[R(AB)] \leq \dim[R(A)]$ — (proved)

$$\Rightarrow \text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(A)$$

We know row rank = column rank.

Let, $Q \neq$ has rank m . (rank = Row rank = Column rank)

Q^T has column rank = Q 's row rank = m

Hence $\text{rank}(Q^T) = \text{rank}(Q)$.

Using this,

$$\text{rank}(AB) = \text{rank}[(AB)^T]$$

$$= \text{rank}(B^T A^T) \leq \text{rank}(B^T)$$

— (∴ by Ques. 1.(a))

$$\Rightarrow \text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(B)$$

— (2)

From eq. (1) & (2)

$$\text{rank}(AB) \leq \min\{\text{rank}(A), \text{rank}(B)\}$$

— (proved)

(f) $\underline{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Prove that $\text{Rank}(\underline{u}\underline{u}^T) = 1$

$$\rightarrow \text{Rank} = \text{Row rank} = \text{Column rank} \quad (\because \text{From 1.(g)})$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{u}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{u}_n \end{bmatrix} [\underline{u}_1 \dots \underline{u}_n] = \underline{u}\underline{u}^T$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \underline{u}_1 \cdot \underline{u}_1 & & & \underline{u}_1 \cdot \underline{u}_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \underline{u}_n \cdot \underline{u}_1 & & \underline{u}_n \cdot \underline{u}_n & \\ \underbrace{\underline{c}_1}_{\sim} & \ddots & \underbrace{\underline{c}_n}_{\sim} & \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n} = \underline{u}\underline{u}^T$$

We observe that

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} \text{If } \underline{u}_1 = 0, \text{ choose } \underline{u}_j \\ \text{such that } \underline{u}_j \neq 0. \end{array}} \quad \begin{array}{l} \underline{c}_i = \underline{u}_i \underline{u} = \frac{\underline{u}_i}{\underline{u}_1} \cdot \underline{u}_1 \underline{u} \\ \text{Then } \underline{c}_i = \frac{\underline{u}_i}{\underline{u}_1} \underline{c}_1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Scalar} \\ = \left(\frac{\underline{u}_i}{\underline{u}_1} \right) \underline{c}_1 \quad (\text{Assuming } \underline{u}_1 \neq 0) \end{array}$$

So we see, any column of $\underline{u}\underline{u}^T$ is a

Scalar multiple of first column / any one non-zero column.

Hence Column rank = No. of lin. independent columns.

$$= \dim [\text{colspace}(\underline{u}\underline{u}^T)] = \text{in matrix } 1.$$

B/c any lin. combination of columns of $\underline{u}\underline{u}^T$ can be expressed as a scalar multiple of first column.

Hence $\text{rank}(\underline{u}\underline{u}^T) = 1$, where $\underline{u} \neq 0$.

2. Suppose \exists a set of real coefficients c_1, \dots, c_{10} for any set of real numbers d_1, \dots, d_{10} such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{10} c_j f_j(i) = d_i \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, 10\}$$

(a) We can express the above set of eqn. as

$$M \underline{c} = \underline{d}$$

where $\underline{c} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{10} \end{bmatrix}$ $\underline{d} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_{10} \end{bmatrix}$ $\underline{c} \in R^{10}$
 $\underline{d} \in R^{10}$

$$M_{i,j} = f_j(i), \quad M \in R^{10 \times 10}$$

Given condition is for any \underline{d} , we can find $\underline{c} \in R^{10}$.

Hence Range(M) = R^{10} b/c $\underline{d} \in R^{10}$

$$\text{So Nullity}(M) = \dim[R^{10}] - \dim[R(M)] = 10 - 10 = 0$$

As discussed in class, Square Matrix with nullity 0 has full rank & invertible.

So M is invertible and M^{-1} exists & it's unique. ($M \cdot M^{-1} = M^{-1} \cdot M = I$)

$$M \underline{c} = \underline{d} \Rightarrow M^{-1}(M \underline{c}) = M^{-1} \underline{d}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{c} = M^{-1} \underline{d}$$

Hence we have proved that given $\underline{d} \in R^{10}$, \underline{c} is determined uniquely by $M^{-1} \underline{d}$.

— (proved)

(b) Give $A \underline{c} = \underline{c}$, $A \in R^{10}, \underline{c} \in R^{10}$
 for any $\underline{c} \in R^{10}$

We've shown in 2(a) that $M\underline{c} = \underline{d}$ for any \underline{d} .
 Hence

$$AM\underline{c} = \underline{c} \quad \forall \underline{c} \in R^{10}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } AM &= I \quad \Rightarrow \quad A^{-1}(AM) = A^{-1}I \\ &\Rightarrow M = A^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } (A^{-1})_{i,j} = (M)_{ij} = f_j(i)$$

Hence $(i,j)^{\text{th}}$ entry of A^{-1} is $f_j(i)$.

3. ~~(a)~~ $A \in R^{m \times m}$. $A\underline{x} = \lambda \underline{x}$, $\underline{x} \neq \underline{0}$, λ is a scalar.

(a) If A is symmetric, eigen value is real.

$$\begin{aligned} A\underline{x} &= \lambda \underline{x}, \quad A^* = (\bar{A})^T = \text{conjugate transpose} \\ \Rightarrow \underline{x}^* A \underline{x} &= \lambda \underline{x}^* \underline{x} \\ \Rightarrow \lambda &= \frac{\underline{x}^* A \underline{x}}{\underline{x}^* \underline{x}}, \quad \underline{x} \in R^m. \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda^* = \frac{(\underline{x}^* A \underline{x})^*}{(\underline{x}^* \underline{x})^*} = \frac{\underline{x}^* A^* \underline{x}}{\underline{x}^* \underline{x}}$$

$$\text{Now } \lambda^* = \frac{\underline{x}^* A^* \underline{x}}{\underline{x}^* \underline{x}} = \frac{\underline{x}^* A \underline{x}}{\underline{x}^* \underline{x}}$$

(\because A is symmetric, $A = A^T$)

Also A is real $\in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$

Hence $A^* = A$)

So we observe, $\lambda = \lambda^*$

This is possible only when λ is real.
Hence eigenvalues are real.

— (proved).

(b) If \underline{x} & \underline{y} are eigenvectors of symmetric matrix A, with distinct eigen values, show that \underline{x} & \underline{y} are orthogonal.

$$A \underline{x} = \lambda_1 \underline{x}$$

$$A \underline{y} = \lambda_2 \underline{y}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{y}^* A \underline{x} = \lambda_1 \underline{y}^* \underline{x}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\underline{y}^* A \underline{x})^* = (\lambda_1 \underline{y}^* \underline{x})^*$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{x}^* A^* \underline{y} = \lambda_1^* \underline{x}^* \underline{y} = \lambda_1 \underline{x}^* \underline{y}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{x}^* A \underline{y} = \lambda_1 \underline{x}^* \underline{y}$$

[\because eigen values
are real
as per 3.(a)]

— (1)

[$\because A$ is real & symmetric]

From eq. (1) & (2),

$$\lambda_1 \underline{x}^* \underline{y} = \lambda_2 \underline{x}^* \underline{y}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \underline{x}^* \underline{y} = 0$$

Given $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$

Hence

$$\underline{x}^* \underline{y} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \underline{x}, \underline{y} \rangle = 0$$

Hence \underline{x} & \underline{y} are orthogonal. — (proved)

(c) S is skew-symmetric. $S^T = -S$.

$$S \underline{x} = \lambda \underline{x} \Rightarrow \underline{x}^* S \underline{x} = \lambda \underline{x}^* \underline{x}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{\underline{x}^* S \underline{x}}{\underline{x}^* \underline{x}}$$

$$\lambda^* = \left[\frac{\underline{x}^* S \underline{x}}{\underline{x}^* \underline{x}} \right]^* = \frac{\underline{x}^* S^* \underline{x}}{\underline{x}^* \underline{x}} = - \frac{\underline{x}^* S \underline{x}}{\underline{x}^* \underline{x}} = -\lambda$$

$$\lambda^* = -\lambda$$

$\Rightarrow \lambda$ is 0 or purely imaginary.

$\left[\begin{array}{l} \therefore S^* = -S \\ \text{b/c } S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \\ S^T = -S \end{array} \right]$

— (proved)

(d) S is skew-symmetric.

Eigen values are 0 or purely imaginary.

Eigen value is obtained by solving $\det(S - \lambda I) = 0$, which gives rise to characteristic polynomial.

We know, if solution of polynomial eqn's are purely imaginary, then they come in conjugate pairs.

Hence eigen values of S are of form $\pm ib$ where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ & $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

So

$$S\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}, \quad \lambda = \pm ib$$

Now, for same eigen vectors,

$$(I - S)\vec{x} = I\vec{x} - S\vec{x} = \vec{x} - \lambda\vec{x} = (1 - \lambda)\vec{x}$$

Hence eigen values of $I - S$ is $1 - \lambda$ where

Now, we know, $\lambda = \pm ib$,

$\det(A) = \text{product of eigen values of eqn. } A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$.

We observe, eigen values of $I - S$ are $1 \pm ib$.

Their product = $(1 + ib_1)(1 - ib_1)(1 + ib_2)(1 - ib_2) \dots$

$$= (1 + b_1^2)(1 + b_2^2) \dots \quad (\text{Finite number of terms})$$

Each of these terms are +ve.

Hence product of eigen values = determinant of $I - s$ is +ve.

Blc $\det(I - s) \neq 0$, $I - s$ is non-singular & invertible
— (proved)

(e) prove that $Q = (I - s)^{-1}(I + s)$ is orthogonal for any skew symmetric matrix s .

→ A matrix P is orthogonal if ~~$QQ^T = Q^TQ = I$~~ .
 $PP^T = P^TP = I$.

$$\begin{aligned} Q^T Q &= [(I - s)^{-1}(I + s)]^T (I - s)^{-1}(I + s) \\ &= (I + s)^T [(I - s)^{-1}]^T (I - s)^{-1} (I + s) \\ &= (I + s^T) [(I - s)^T]^{-1} (I - s)^{-1} (I + s) \\ &= (I - s) (I + s)^{-1} (I - s)^{-1} (I + s) \\ &\quad (\because s^T = -s) \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \therefore (A+B)^T = A^T + B^T \\ (AB)^T = B^T A^T \\ (A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T \end{array} \right] \\ &= (I - s) [(I - s)(I + s)]^{-1} (I + s) \end{aligned}$$

Now, $(I - s)(I + s) = I + s - s - s^2 = I - s^2$
 $(I + s)(I - s) = I - s + s - s^2 = I - s^2$

$$\text{So } (I-s)(I+s) = (I+s)(I-s). \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Hence coming back to eqn.

$$\begin{aligned} Q^T Q &= (I-s) [(I-s)(I+s)]^{-1} (I+s) \\ &= (I-s) [(I+s)(I-s)]^{-1} (I+s) \\ &\quad (\because \text{From eqn. (1)}) \\ &= (I-s)(I-s)^{-1} (I+s)^{-1} (I+s) \\ &= \underbrace{I}_{\downarrow} \cdot \underbrace{I}_{\downarrow} \quad (\because (AB)^{-1} = B^{-1} A^{-1}) \\ &= I \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show $Q Q^T = I$.
Hence Q is orthogonal. $\quad \text{--- (proved)}$

(f) $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, A is symmetric.

Show that $\underline{u}^T A \underline{u} = 0 \quad \forall \underline{u} \in \mathbb{R}^m \iff A = 0$

\rightarrow One direction is trivial.

$$\text{If } A = 0, \quad A \underline{u} = \underline{0}$$

$$\text{Hence } \underline{u}^T A \underline{u} = \underline{u}^T \underline{0} = \underline{0}$$

$$\text{Now show that } \underline{u}^T A \underline{u} = \underline{0} \Rightarrow A = 0 \quad \forall \underline{u} \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

Now, A can be expanded as $Q D Q^T$,

where Q = orthogonal matrix

D = Diagonal matrix

B1c Q is an orthonormal orthogonal Matrix in $R^{m \times m}$,
its columns form orthonormal basis in R^m .

So any vector $\underline{y} \in R^m$ can be written as $Q \underline{u}$.

$$\underline{y} = Q \underline{u} \text{ b1c } \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \underline{c}_1 & \dots & \underline{c}_m \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_m \end{bmatrix} = \underline{u}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{u}_1 \underline{c}_1 + \dots + \underline{u}_m \underline{c}_m = \underline{u}$$

B1c $\{\underline{c}_1, \dots, \underline{c}_m\}$ are basis of R^m

expressed as lin. combination of them & in condensed form,

$$\underline{y} = Q \underline{u} \text{ where } \underline{u} \in R^m \text{ & unique for a particular } \underline{y}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } \underline{u}^T A \underline{u} &= (Q \underline{u})^T Q D Q^T Q \underline{u} \\ &= \underline{u}^T Q^T Q D Q^T Q \underline{u} \\ &= \underline{u}^T D \underline{u} \quad (\because Q^T Q = Q Q^T = I) \\ &= \lambda_1 |u_1|^2 + \dots + \lambda_m |u_m|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $D = \text{diagonal matrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_m \end{bmatrix}_{m \times m}$

$$\text{If } \underline{u}^T A \underline{u} = 0 \quad \forall \underline{u} \in R^m$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 |u_1|^2 + \dots + \lambda_m |u_m|^2 = 0 \quad \text{for any } \underline{u} \in R^m.$$

Now if $\lambda_1 |\underline{u}_1|^2 + \dots + \lambda_m |\underline{u}_m|^2 = 0$ for any $\underline{u} \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_m = 0.$$

B/c $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m = 0$, $D = 0$

Hence $A = QDQ^T = 0$.

Hence

$$\underline{u}^T A \underline{u} = 0 \Rightarrow A = 0 \quad \text{—— (proved)}$$

$\forall \underline{u} \in \mathbb{R}^m$

So overall, we proved $\underline{u}^T A \underline{u} = 0 \Leftrightarrow A = 0$

(g) Show that $\underline{u}^T S \underline{u} = 0 \quad \forall \underline{u} \in \mathbb{R}^m \Leftrightarrow S$ is skew-symmetric.

Case - 1 (Right \rightarrow Left proof)

S is skew-symmetric, $S^T = -S$.

Now, let, $\underline{u}^T S \underline{u} = x$

$$(\underline{u}^T S \underline{u})^T = x^T = x \quad (\because x \text{ is a scalar})$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{u}^T S^T \underline{u} = x$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{u}^T S \underline{u} = -x \quad (\because S^T = -S)$$

So we observe, $\underline{u}^T S \underline{u} = x = -x$

$$\Rightarrow x = 0.$$

Hence $\underline{u}^T S \underline{u} = 0$, when S is skew-symmetric.

— (proved)

Case - 2 (Left \rightarrow Right proof)

$$\underline{y}^T S \underline{y} = 0 \quad \forall \underline{y} \in R^m$$

$$\Rightarrow (\underline{y}^T S \underline{y})^T = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{y}^T S^T \underline{y} = 0$$

So we observe $\underline{y}^T S \underline{y} = 0$ & $\underline{y}^T S^T \underline{y} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \underline{y}, S \underline{y} \rangle = 0 \text{ & } \langle \underline{y}, S^T \underline{y} \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \underline{y}, (S + S^T) \underline{y} \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{y}^T (S + S^T) \underline{y} = 0 \quad \begin{matrix} \text{(Adding both or} \\ \text{them)} \end{matrix}$$

— (1)

For any matrix A , $C = A + A^T$ is symmetric.

B/c $C_{ij} = a_{ij} + a_{ji}$ & $C_{ji} = a_{ji} + a_{ij}$

Hence $C_{ij} = C_{ji} \Rightarrow C$ is symmetric.

Hence $S + S^T$ is symmetric matrix.

In 3.(f), we showed $\underline{y}^T A \underline{y} = 0 \quad \forall \underline{y} \in R^m \Rightarrow A = 0$
where A is symmetric.

Hence from (1) & (2), $S + S^T = 0$ — (2).

$$\Rightarrow S^T = -S \Rightarrow S \text{ is skew-symmetric.}$$

Hence we proved

$$\underline{y}^T S \underline{y} = 0 \quad \forall \underline{y} \in R^m \Leftrightarrow S \text{ is skew-symmetric.} \quad \text{(Proved)}$$