

DS 288: NUMERICAL METHODS

NOV-9-2021

STABILITY OF ODE NUMERICAL SOLUTION

- CONSISTENT
- STABLE
- CONVERGENT

BACKWARD EULER \Rightarrow UNCONDITIONALLY STABLE

IMPLICIT METHODS \rightarrow MORE STABLE
COMPARED TO EXPLICIT METHODS

STABILITY ANALYSIS FOR TRAPEZOIDAL

$$\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} y' d\tau = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \underbrace{f(y_i, \tau)}_{\text{RULE}} d\tau$$

APPROX. USING
TRAPEZOIDAL
RULE

$$\frac{h}{2} [f_i + f_{i+1}] - \frac{h^3}{12} f'(\xi)$$

$$y_{i+1} - y_i = \frac{h}{2} [f_i + f_{i+1}] + O(h^3)$$

$$w_{i+1} - w_i = \frac{h}{2} [\tilde{f}_i + \tilde{f}_{i+1}] \rightarrow \text{computer}$$

$$e_{i+1} - e_i = \frac{h}{2} [f_i - \tilde{f}_i] + \frac{h}{2} [f_{i+1} - \tilde{f}_{i+1}]$$

where $\tilde{f}_i = f(w_i, t_i)$

$f_i = f(y_i, t_i)$

$$\hookrightarrow e_{i+1} = e_i + \underbrace{\frac{h}{2} \frac{[f_i - \tilde{f}_i]}{(y_i - w_i)}}_{\equiv J_i} e_i + \frac{h}{2} \underbrace{\frac{[f_{i+1} - \tilde{f}_{i+1}]}{y_{i+1} - w_{i+1}}}_{\equiv J_{i+1}} e_{i+1}$$

$$e_{i+1} = e_i + \frac{h}{2} J_i e_i + \frac{h}{2} J_{i+1} e_{i+1}$$

$$e_{i+1} = \frac{(1 + \frac{h}{2} J_i)}{(1 - \frac{h}{2} J_{i+1})} e_i$$

\hookrightarrow AMPLIFICATION FACTOR

$$\frac{G_{i+1}}{G_i} = \frac{1 - \frac{h}{2} |J_i|}{1 + \frac{h}{2} |J_{i+1}|} < 1 \quad \forall h > 0$$

REMEMBER $J < 0$ ANALYTICALLY STABLE

UNCONDITIONALLY STABLE

SO BACKWARD EULER & TRAPEZOIDAL RULE ARE STABLE : \leftarrow IMPLICIT METHODS.

- ORDER (LTE) FOR TRAPEZOIDAL RULE IS BETTER THAN BACKWARD EULER
 $O(h^2)$ $O(h^3)$ LOCALLY

* FOR STIFF PROBLEMS AMP. FACTOR $\rightarrow 1$.
 COUNTERS GAIN IN LTE RELATIVE TO BACKWARD EULER.

* FOR OTHER METHODS, IT LETS MORE DIFFICULT TO EXPRESS THE GLOBAL

ERROR AS A FUNCTION OF J.

- INSTEAD, CHOOSE A PROTOTYPE PROBLEM THAT CAN BE ANALYZED AND EXTRAPOLATE THE FINDINGS TO THE GENERAL CASE.

FOR STIFF PROBLEMS, PROTOTYPE

$$y' = -ky ; y(0) = y_0$$

ANALYTICAL SOLUTION: $y(t) = y_0 e^{-kt}$ ←

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = -k = J$$

K IS LARGE (STIFF)

* LOOK AT MODIFIED EULER'S METHOD (RK-2) USING THIS PROTOTYPE

PROBLEM: $y' = f(y) = -ky$.

TRAP
RULE : $w_{i+1} = w_i + \frac{h}{2} [f_i + f_{i+1}]$

$$f_{i+1} = f(w_i + hf_i, t_{i+1})$$

$$\omega_{i+1} = \omega_i + \frac{h}{2} [-k\omega_i + (-k)(\omega_i + h f_i)]$$

WHERE $f(\omega_i) = -k\omega_i \leftarrow f(y) = -ky$

$$\omega_{i+1} = \frac{h}{2} (-k\omega_i - k\omega_i - kh(-k\omega_i))$$

$$\omega_{i+1} = \omega_i \left[1 - hk + \frac{(hk)^2}{2} \right]$$

AMPLIFICATION FACTOR < 1

AS $h \rightarrow \infty$: FOR STIFF PROBLEMS $y \rightarrow 0$
 $t_{i+1} \rightarrow \infty \quad \omega_{i+1} \rightarrow 0$

TWO OBSERVATIONS

(A). THE ERROR PROPAGATES IN EXACTLY THE SAME WAY AS SOLUTION DOES (WILL SHOW SHORTLY)

(B). THE FIRST 3 TERMS IN THE TAYLOR SERIES EXPANSION OF THE EXACT SOLUTION FORMS THE AMPLIFICATION FACTOR IN THIS PROTO-

TYPE PROBLEM. (RK-4 PRODUCES FIRST 5 TERMS).

SHOW (B) FIRST.

$$y_{i+1} = y_0 e^{-k t_{i+1}} = y_0 e^{-k(t_i + h)}$$

$$y_i = y_0 e^{-k t_i}$$

$$\frac{y_{i+1}}{y_i} = e^{-hk} = \underbrace{1 - hk + \frac{(hk)^2}{2!}}_{\text{RK-2}} - \underbrace{\frac{(hk)^3}{3!} + \frac{(hk)^4}{4!} - \dots}_{\text{RK-4}}$$

SHOW (A) BY USING PROTOTYPE PROBLEM:

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + h f_i + \frac{h^2}{2!} f'_i + \frac{h^3}{3!} f''_i(f_i)$$

$$= y_i + h f_i + \frac{h^2}{2!} \left[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y} f_i \right] + \frac{h^3}{3!} f''_i(f_i)$$

NOW WE USE TEST PROBLEM

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = -k; \quad f_i = -ky_i$$

$$y_{i+1} = y_i - hk y_i + \frac{(hk)^2}{2!} y_i + \frac{h^3}{3!} f_i''(y_i)$$

$$w_{i+1} = w_i - hk w_i + \frac{(hk)^2}{2!} w_i \quad \leftarrow \text{COMPUTER}$$

$$e_{i+1} = e_i \underbrace{\left(1 - hk + \frac{(hk)^2}{2}\right)}_{\text{AMPLIFICATION FACTOR}} + O(h^3)$$

* ERROR BEHAVES IN EXACTLY THE SAME WAY AS SOLUTION DOES.

MULTI STEP METHOD STABILITY

- APPLY METHOD TO A PROTOTYPE PROBLEM.

\Rightarrow DIFFERENCE EQUATION WITH
CONSTANT COEFFICIENTS (ATLEAST
FOR PROTOTYPE PROBLEM)

EX: 4TH ORDER ADAMS-BASHFORTH
METHOD

$$w_{i+1} = w_i + \frac{h}{24} [55f_i - 59f_{i-1} + 37f_{i-2} + 9f_{i-3}]$$

TEST PROBLEM: $f_i = -kw_i$; $f_{i-1} = -kw_{i-1}$.

GROUP TERMS

$$0 = w_{i+1} - \left(1 - \frac{55hk}{24}\right)w_i - \frac{59}{24}hk w_{i-1}$$

$$+ \frac{37}{24}hk w_{i-2} + \frac{9hk}{24} w_{i-3}$$

SINCE ϵ BEHAVES AS $w \Rightarrow w_i \sim \lambda^i$

$$\lambda^4 - \left(1 - \frac{55hk}{24}\right)\lambda^3 - \frac{59}{24}hk \lambda^2 + \frac{37}{24}hk \lambda + \frac{9hk}{24} = 0$$

FOURTH ORDER POLYNOMIAL

*NEED ALL ROOTS ≤ 1 IN MAGNITUDE
FOR STABILITY.

— FROM HERE WE CAN DERIVE
'h' LIMITS FOR STABILITY

[READ HAND OUT ON VARIABLE
STEP SIZE METHODS FOR ODES
FOR NEXT CLASS]