

DS 288: NUMERICAL METHODS

NOV-9-2021

STABILITY OF ODE NUMERICAL SOLUTION

→ CONSISTENT }
→ STABLE }
→ CONVERGENT }

BACKWARD EULER \Rightarrow UNCONDITIONALLY
STABLE

IMPLICIT METHODS \rightarrow MORE STABLE
COMPARED TO EXPLICIT
METHODS]

STABILITY ANALYSIS FOR TRAPEZOIDAL

RULE

$$\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} y' dt = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(y_i, \gamma) dt$$

APPROX. USING
TRAPEZOIDAL
RULE

$$\frac{h}{2} [f_i + f_{i+1}] - \frac{h^3}{12} f''(\xi)$$

$$\gamma_{i+1} - \gamma_i = \frac{h}{2} [f_i + f_{i+1}] + O(h^3)$$

$$w_{i+1} - w_i = \frac{h}{2} [\tilde{f}_i + \tilde{f}_{i+1}] \rightarrow \text{computation}$$

$$e_{i+1} - e_i = \frac{h}{2} [f_i - \tilde{f}_i] + \frac{h}{2} [f_{i+1} - \tilde{f}_{i+1}]$$

where $\tilde{f}_i = f(w_i, t_i)$

$$f_i = f(\gamma_i, t_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow e_{i+1} = e_i + \frac{h}{2} \underbrace{\frac{[f_i - \tilde{f}_i]}{(\gamma_i - w_i)} e_i}_{\equiv J_i} + \frac{h}{2} \underbrace{\frac{[f_{i+1} - \tilde{f}_{i+1}]}{\gamma_{i+1} - w_{i+1}} e_{i+1}}_{\equiv J_{i+1}}$$

$$e_{i+1} = e_i + \frac{h}{2} J_i e_i + \frac{h}{2} J_{i+1} e_{i+1}$$

$$e_{i+1} = \frac{(1 + \frac{h}{2} J_i) e_i}{(1 - \frac{h}{2} J_{i+1})}$$

\rightarrow AMPLIFICATION FACTOR

$$\frac{G_{i+1}}{G_i} = \frac{1 - \frac{h}{2} |J_i|}{1 + \frac{h}{2} |J_{i+1}|} < 1 \text{ if } h > 0$$

REMEMBER $J < 0$ ANALYTICALLY STABLE
 UNCONDITIONALLY STABLE

SO BACKWARD EULER & TRAPEZOIDAL
 RULE ARE STABLE: ← IMPLICIT
 METHODS.

- ORDER CLTE) FOR TRAPEZOIDAL RULE
 IS BETTER THAN BACKWARD EULER
 $O(h^2)$ $O(h^3)$ LOCALY

* FOR STIFF PROBLEMS AMP. FACTOR $\rightarrow 1$.
 COUNTS GAIN IN LTE RELATIVE
 TO BACKWARD EULER.

* FOR OTHER METHODS, IT GETS MORE
 DIFFICULT TO EXPRESS THE GLOBAL

ERROR AS A FUNCTION OF J.

- INSTEAD, CHOOSE A PROTOTYPE PROBLEM
THAT CAN BE ANALYZED AND
EXTRAPOLATE THE FINDINGS TO THE
GENERAL CASE.

FOR STIFF PROBLEMS, PROTOTYPE

$$y' = -ky ; \quad y(0) = y_0 \\ \text{ANALYTICAL SOLUTION: } y(t) = y_0 e^{-kt} \leftarrow$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -k = J \quad k \text{ IS LARGE (STIFF)}$$

* LOOK AT MODIFIED EULER'S METHOD
(RK-2) USING THIS PROTOTYPE
PROBLEM: $y' = f(y) = -ky$.

TRAP RULE : $w_{i+1} = w_i + \frac{h}{2} [f_i + f_{i+1}]$

$$f_{i+1} = f(w_i + hf_i, t_{i+1}) \nearrow$$

$$\omega_{i+1} = \omega_i + \frac{h}{2} [-k\omega_i + (-k)(\omega_i + h\dot{\omega}_i)]$$

WITH F.R.E $f(\omega_i) = -k\omega_i \leftarrow f(y) = -ky$

$$\omega_{i+1} = \frac{h}{2} (-k\omega_i - k\omega_i - kh(k\omega_i))$$

$$\omega_{i+1} = \omega_i \left[1 - hk + \frac{(hk)^2}{2} \right]$$

AMPLIFICATION FACTOR < 1

AS $\epsilon \rightarrow \infty$: FOR STIFF PROBLEMS $y \rightarrow 0$

$\epsilon_{i+1} \rightarrow \infty \quad \omega_{i+1} \rightarrow 0$

TWO OBSERVATIONS

(A). THE ERROR PROPAGATES IN EXACTLY
THE SAME WAY AS SOLUTION DOES
(WILL SHOW SHORTLY)

(B). THE FIRST 3 TERMS IN THE
TAYLOR SERIES EXPANSION OF THE
EXACT SOLUTION FORMS THE AMPLIFICA-
TION FACTOR IN THIS PRO-

TYPE PROBLEM. (RK-4 PRODUCES
FIRST 5 TERMS).

SHOW (B) FIRST

$$y_{i+1} = y_0 e^{-Kt_{i+1}} = y_0 e^{-K(t_i + h)}$$

$$y_{i+1} = y_0 e^{-Kt_i}$$

$$\frac{y_{i+1}}{y_i} = e^{-hk} = \underbrace{1 - hk + \frac{(hk)^2}{2!} - \frac{(hk)^3}{3!} + \frac{(hk)^4}{4!} - \dots}_{RK-2}$$

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + h f_i + \frac{h^2}{2!} f_i' + \frac{h^3}{3!} f_i''(f_i)$$

SHOW (A) BY USING PROTOTYPE PROBLEM:

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + h f_i + \frac{h^2}{2!} [\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y} f_i] + \frac{h^3}{3!} f_i'''(f_i)$$

NOW WE USE TEST PROBLEM

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -k; \quad f''_i = -k^2 q_i$$

$$q_{i+1} = q_i - h k q_i + \frac{(h k)^2}{2!} q_i + \frac{h^3}{3!} f''_i(t_i)$$

$$w_{i+1} = w_i - h k w_i + \frac{(h k)^2}{2!} w_i \quad \leftarrow \text{COMPUTER}$$

$$e_{i+1} = e_i \left(1 - h k + \frac{(h k)^2}{2} \right) + O(h^3)$$

AMPLIFICATION FACTOR

* ERROR BEHAVES IN EXACTLY THE
SAME WAY AS SOLUTION DOES.

MULTI STEP METHOD STABILITY

- APPLY METHOD TO A PROTOTYPE
PROBLEM.

\Rightarrow DIFFERENCE EQUATION WITH
CONSTANT COEFFICIENTS (AT LEAST
FOR PROTOTYPE PROBLEM)

Ex: 4TH ORDER ADAMS-BASHEFORTH
METHOD

$$\omega_{i+1} = \omega_i + \frac{h}{24} [55f_i - 59f_{i-1} + 37f_{i-2} + 9f_{i-3}]$$

TEST PROBLEM: $f_i = -\kappa\omega_i$; $f_{i-1} = -\kappa\omega_{i-1}$.

GROUP TERMS

$$0 = \omega_{i+1} - \left(1 - \frac{55h\kappa}{24}\right)\omega_i - \frac{59}{24}h\kappa\omega_{i-1}$$

$$+ \frac{37}{24}h\kappa\omega_{i-2} + \frac{9h\kappa}{24}\omega_{i-3}$$

SINCE ϵ BEHAVES AS $\omega \Rightarrow \omega_i \sim \lambda^i$

$$\lambda^4 - \left(1 - \frac{55h\kappa}{24}\right)\lambda^3 - \frac{59}{24}h\kappa\lambda^2 + \frac{37}{24}h\kappa\lambda$$

$$+ \frac{9h\kappa}{24} = 0$$

FOURTH ORDER POLYNOMIAL

* NEED ALL ROOTS ≤ 1 IN MAGNITUDE

FOR STABILITY.

- FROM HERE WE CAN DERIVE
'h' LIMITS FOR STABILITY

[READ HANDOUT ON VARIABLE
STEP SIZE METHODS FOR ODES
FOR NEXT CLASS]