

Suhar Nurullah  
25945

## Numerical Linear Algebra - Assignment 4

D) a)

True. The proof is as follows:

Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $A$ . We hence know there exists an  $\underline{x}$  s.t.

$$\underline{A}\underline{x} = \lambda \underline{x}$$

We want to show that  $(\underline{A} - \mu \underline{I})\hat{\underline{x}} = (\lambda - \mu)\hat{\underline{x}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S.} &= (\underline{A} - \mu \underline{I})\hat{\underline{x}} = \underline{A}\hat{\underline{x}} - \mu \underline{I}\hat{\underline{x}} && [\text{Opening the bracket}] \\ &= \underline{A}\hat{\underline{x}} - \mu \hat{\underline{x}} && [\underline{I}\hat{\underline{x}} = \hat{\underline{x}}] \\ &= \lambda \hat{\underline{x}} - \mu \hat{\underline{x}} && [\underline{A}\hat{\underline{x}} = \lambda \hat{\underline{x}}] \\ &= (\lambda - \mu)\hat{\underline{x}} && [\text{Factorise w.r.t. } \hat{\underline{x}}] \end{aligned}$$

Hence proven, L.H.S. = R.H.S. .

7) b)

False. The counter-example is as follows:

Consider a  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Let us calculate the eigen values of  $A$ :

$$|\underline{A} - \lambda \underline{I}| = 0$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1-\lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 2-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$(1-\lambda)(2-\lambda) = 0$$

$$\lambda = 1, 2$$

If the statement is true,  $\lambda = -1$  &  $\lambda = -2$  must also be eigenvalues. However, we just calculated both eigenvalues of  $A$  and neither  $-1$  nor  $-2$  belong to the calculated values. Hence neither  $-1$  nor  $-2$  can be eigenvalues of  $A$ . This itself proves the statement to be false.

7) c)

True. The proof is as follows:

Let  $A$  be a real matrix, and  $\lambda$  be its complex-valued eigenvalue.

$$\text{Then, } \underbrace{A\tilde{x}}_{\sim} = \underbrace{\lambda\tilde{x}}_{\sim}$$

$$(\overline{A\tilde{x}}) = (\overline{\lambda}\tilde{x})$$

[Take conjugate of both sides]

$$\overline{A\tilde{x}} = \overline{\lambda}\tilde{x}$$

[Property of conjugate :  $(\overline{MN}) = \overline{M} \cdot \overline{N}$ ]

$$\overline{A\tilde{x}} = \lambda^*\tilde{x}$$

$\overline{A} = A$  because  $A$  is a real matrix]

Because  $\tilde{x}$  is an eigenvector  $\tilde{x} \neq Q$ . Therefore  $\tilde{x}$  also cannot be zero vector.

Hence proven, if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ ,  $\lambda^*$  will also be an eigenvalue of  $A$ .

7) d)

True. The proof is as follows:

We need to first prove that  $\lambda^{-1} = 1/\lambda$  exists. For this,  $\lambda$  cannot be equal to 0.

We know that  $\lambda \neq 0$ . This is because  $A$  is non-singular, i.e. full rank. We also know that no. of non-zero eigenvalues of  $A$  = rank of  $A$ .

Another way to prove it is as follows: (Proof by contradiction)

Let  $\underbrace{A\tilde{x}}_{\sim} = \underbrace{\lambda\tilde{x}}_{\sim}$ , then

$$\det(A - \lambda\mathbb{I}) = 0$$

Let us take  $\lambda = 0$ :

$$\det(\tilde{A} - 0 \cdot \tilde{I}) = 0$$

$\det(\tilde{A}) = 0 \Rightarrow$  we know this cannot be true because  $\tilde{A}$  is given to be non-singular.

Let  $\lambda$  be the eigen value of  $\tilde{A}$ . Then:

$$\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \lambda\tilde{x}$$

$$\tilde{A}^{-1}\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{A}^{-1}\lambda\tilde{x} \quad [\text{left-multiply both sides by } \tilde{A}^{-1}]$$

$$\tilde{x} = \lambda\tilde{A}^{-1}\tilde{x} \quad [\tilde{A}^{-1} \cdot \tilde{A} = \tilde{I} \text{ and } \tilde{I}\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}]$$

$$\tilde{A}^{-1}\tilde{x} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\tilde{x} \quad [\text{Divide both sides by } \lambda]$$

$$\tilde{A}^{-1}\tilde{x} = \lambda^{-1}\tilde{x}$$

Hence proven,  $\lambda^{-1}$  is the eigen value of  $\tilde{A}^{-1}$ , as long as  $\lambda$  is an eigen value of  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{A}$  is non-singular.

7) e)

False. Counter-example is as follows:

$$\text{Let } \tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of  $\tilde{A}$  are  $0, 0$ , whereas not all entries of  $\tilde{A}$  are 0.

$$|\tilde{A} - \lambda \tilde{I}| = 0$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & 1 \\ 0 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$(-\lambda)^2 = 0$$
$$\lambda = 0, 0$$

7) f)

True. The proof is as follows:

Because  $\tilde{A}$  is diagonalisable,  $\tilde{A}$  can be expressed as:

$$\tilde{A} = \underbrace{P}_{\sim} \underbrace{D}_{\sim} \underbrace{P^{-1}}_{\sim}, \text{ where } \underbrace{D}_{\sim} \text{ is a diagonal matrix, and } \underbrace{P}_{\sim} \text{ is an invertible matrix.}$$

The diagonal entries of  $D$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$ . Because all eigenvalues of  $\tilde{A}$  are given to be  $\lambda$ , we can say that:

$$\underbrace{D}_{\sim} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & & 0 \\ & \lambda & \dots \\ 0 & & \dots & \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \underbrace{I}_{\sim},$$

where  $\underbrace{I}_{\sim}$  is the identity matrix.

Substituting  $D = \lambda \underbrace{I}_{\sim}$  into the original equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A} &= \underbrace{P}_{\sim} \underbrace{D}_{\sim} \underbrace{P^{-1}}_{\sim} = \underbrace{P}_{\sim} (\lambda \underbrace{I}_{\sim}) \underbrace{P^{-1}}_{\sim} & [D = \lambda \underbrace{I}_{\sim}] \\ &= \lambda (\underbrace{P \underbrace{I}_{\sim} P^{-1}}_{\sim}) & [\text{Re-arrange to tree scalar out}] \\ &= \lambda (\underbrace{P P^{-1}}_{\sim}) & [P \underbrace{I}_{\sim} = P] \\ &= \lambda \underbrace{I}_{\sim} & [P P^{-1} = \underbrace{I}_{\sim}] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, because  $\tilde{A} = \lambda \underbrace{I}_{\sim}$ , and  $I$  is diagonal, we know that  $\tilde{A}$  is also diagonal.