Introduction

The Java Persistence API (JPA) is a vendor independent specification for mapping Java objects to the tables of relational databases. Implementations of this specification allow application developers to abstract from the specific database product they are working with and allow them to implement CRUD (create, read, update and delete) operations such that the same code works on different database products. These frameworks do not only handle the code that interacts with the database (the JDBC code) but also map the data to object structures used by the application.

The JPA consists of three different components:

- Entities: In current versions of the JPA entities are plain old Java objects (POJOs). Older versions of the JPA required to subclass entities from classes provided by the JPA, but as these approaches are more difficult to test because of their hard dependecies to the framework, newer versions of the JPA do not require entities to subclass any framework class.
- Object-relational metadata: The application developer has to provide the mapping between the
 Java classes and their attributes to the tables and columns of the database. This can be done
 either trough dedicated configuration files or in newer version of the framework also by
 annotations.
- Java Persistence Query Language (JPQL): As the JPA aims to abstract from the specific database product, the framework also provides a dedicated query language that can be used instead of SQL. This additional translation from JPQL to SQL allows the implementations of the framework to support different database dialects and allows the application developer to implement queries in a database independent way

We will use the following libraries/environments:

- mayen >= 3.0 as build environment
- JPA 2.1 as contained in the Java Enterprise Edition (JEE) 7.0
- Hibernate as an implementation of JPA (4.3.8.Final)
- H2 as relational database in version 1.3.176

Project setup

As a first step we will create a simple maven project on the command line:

mvn archetype:create -DgroupId=com.javacodegeeks.ultimate -DartifactId=jpa

This command will create the following structure in the file system:

The libraries our implementation depends on are added to the dependencies section of the pom.xml file in the following way:

```
cproperties>
   <jee.version>7.0</jee.version>
   <h2.version>1.3.176</h2.version>
   <hibernate.version>4.3.8.Final</hibernate.version>
</properties>
<dependencies>
   <dependency>
       <groupId>javax
       <artifactId>javaee-api</artifactId>
       <version>${jee.version}</version>
       <scope>provided</scope>
   </dependency>
   <dependency>
       <groupId>com.h2database
       <artifactId>h2</artifactId>
       <version>${h2.version}
   </dependency>
   <dependency>
       <groupId>org.hibernate/groupId>
       <artifactId>hibernate-entitymanager</artifactId>
       <version>${hibernate.version}</version>
   </dependency>
</dependencies>
```

To get a better overview of the separate versions, we define each version as a maven property and reference it later on in the dependencies section.

Basics

EntityManager and Persistence Unit

Now we can start to implement our first JPA functionality. Let's start with a simple class that provides a run() method that is invoked in the application's main method:

```
public class Main {
    private static final Logger LOGGER = Logger.getLogger("JPA");
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Main main = new Main();
        main.run();
    }
    public void run() {
        EntityManagerFactory factory = null;
        EntityManager entityManager = null;
            factory = Persistence.createEntityManagerFactory("PersistenceUnit");
            entityManager = factory.createEntityManager();
            persistPerson(entityManager);
        } catch (Exception e) {
            LOGGER.log(Level.SEVERE, e.getMessage(), e);
            e.printStackTrace();
        } finally {
            if (entityManager != null) {
                entityManager.close();
            }
            if (factory != null) {
                factory.close();
        }
    }
```

Nearly all interaction with the JPA is done through the EntityManager. To obtain an instance of an EntityManagerFactory. Normally we only need one EntityManagerFactory for one "persistence unit" per application. A persistence unit is a set of JPA classes that is managed together with the database configuration in a file called persistence.xml:

This file is created in the src/main/resource/META-INF folder of the maven project. As you can see, we define one persistence-unit with the name PersistenceUnit that has the transaction-type RESOURCE_LOCAL. The transaction-type determines how transactions are handled in the application.

In our sample application we want to handle them on our own, hence we specify here RESOURCE_LOCAL. When you use a JEE container then the container is responsible for setting up the EntityManagerFactory and only provides you he EntityManager. The container then also handles the begin and end of each transaction. In this case you would provide the value JTA.

By setting the provider to org.hibernate.ejb.HibernatePersistence we select the JPA implementation we want to use. Because we have included Hibernate as JPA provider on the classpath by defining a dependency to it, we can reference the provider implementation here by class name.

The next step in persistence.xml is to inform the JPA provider about the database we want to use. This is done by specifying the JDBC driver that Hibernate should use. As we want to use the H2 database (www.h2database.com), the property connection.driver_class is set to the value org.h2.Driver.

In order to enable Hibernate to create connections to the database we also have to provide the connection URL. H2 provides the option to create the database within a single file which path is given in the JDBC URL $jdbc:h2:\sim/jpa$ tells H2 to create in the user's home directory a file called jpa.h2.db.

H2 is a fast open source database that can be easily embedded in Java applications as it comes as a single jar file with a size of about 1.5 MB. This makes it suitable for our purpose of creating a simple sample application to demonstrate the usage of JPA. In projects that require solutions that scale better for huge amounts of data you would probably choose a different database product, but for small amount of data that have strong relations H2 is good choice.

The next thing we have to tell Hibernate is the JDBC dialect that it should use. As Hibernate provides a dedicated dialect implementation for H2, we choose this one with the property hibernate.dialect. With this dialect Hibernate is capable of creating the appropriate SQL statements for the H2 database.

Last but not least we provide three options that come handy when developing a new application, but that would not be used in production environments. The first of them is the property hibernate.hbm2dd1.auto that tells Hibernate to create all tables from scratch at startup. If the table already exists, it will be deleted. In our sample application this is a good feature as we can rely on the fact that the database is empty at the beginning and that all changes we have made to the schema since our last start of the application are reflected in the schema.

The second option is hibernate.show_sq1 that tells Hibernate to print every SQL statement that it issues to the database on the command line. With this option enabled we can easily trace all statements and have a look if everything works as expected. And finally we tell Hibernate to pretty print the SQL for better readability by setting the property hibernate.format_sq1 to true.

Now that we have setup the persistence.xml file, we get back to our Java code above:

```
01 EntityManagerFactory factory = null;
02 EntityManager entityManager = null;
03 try {
0.4
      factory = Persistence.createEntityManagerFactory("PersistenceUnit");
0.5
      entityManager = factory.createEntityManager();
      persistPerson(entityManager);
06
07 } catch (Exception e) {
      LOGGER.log(Level.SEVERE, e.getMessage(), e);
09
      e.printStackTrace();
10 } finally {
11
      if (entityManager != null) {
12
           entityManager.close();
13
14
      if (factory != null) {
15
          factory.close();
16
      }
17 }
```

After having obtained an instance of the EntityManager we can use them in the method persistPerson to save some data in the database. Please note that after we have done our work we have to close both the EntityManager as well as the EntityManagerFactory.

Transactions

The EntityManager represents a persistence unit and therefore we will need in RESOURCE_LOCAL applications only one instance of the EntityManager. A persistence unit is a cache

for the entities that represent parts of the state stored in the database as well as a connection to the database. In order to store data in the database we therefore have to pass it to the <code>EntityManager</code> and therewith to the underlying cache. In case you want to create a new row in the database, this is done by invoking the method <code>persist()</code> on the <code>EntityManager</code> as demonstrated in the following code:

```
01 private void persistPerson(EntityManager entityManager) {
02
      EntityTransaction transaction = entityManager.getTransaction();
0.3
      try {
04
          transaction.begin();
0.5
          Person person = new Person();
06
          person.setFirstName("Homer");
07
          person.setLastName("Simpson");
08
          entityManager.persist(person);
09
           transaction.commit();
10
      } catch (Exception e) {
11
           if (transaction.isActive()) {
12
               transaction.rollback();
13
          }
14
15 }
```

But before we can call <code>persist()</code> we have to open a new transaction by calling <code>transaction.begin()</code> on a new transaction object we have retrieved from the <code>EntityManager</code>. If we would omit this call, Hibernate would throw a <code>IllegalStateException</code> that tells us that we have forgotten to run the <code>persist()</code> within a transaction:

After calling persist() we have to commit the transaction, i.e. send the data to the database and store it there. In case an exception is thrown within the try block, we have to rollback the transaction we have begun before. But as we can only rollback active transactions, we have to check before if the current transaction is already running, as it may happen that the exception is thrown within the transaction.begin() call.

Tables

The class Person is mapped to the database table T_PERSON by adding the annotation @Entity:

```
01 @Entity
02 @Table(name = "T_PERSON")
03 public class Person {
      private Long id;
05
     private String firstName;
06
    private String lastName;
07
    @Id
09
    @GeneratedValue
10
    public Long getId() {
11
          return id;
12
13
   public void setId(Long id) {
14
15
         this.id = id;
16
17
   @Column(name = "FIRST NAME")
18
19
    public String getFirstName() {
20
          return firstName;
21
     }
22
23
    public void setFirstName(String firstName) {
24
          this.firstName = firstName;
25
26
   @Column(name = "LAST NAME")
27
28
    public String getLastName() {
29
         return lastName;
30
     }
31
32
    public void setLastName(String lastName) {
33
         this.lastName = lastName;
34
35 }
```

The additional annotation <code>@Table</code> is optional, but you can use it to specify a specific table name. In our example we want that all tables have the prefix T_, hence we tell Hibernate to create the table <code>T_PERSON</code>. The table <code>T_PERSON</code> has three columns: <code>ID</code>, <code>FIRST_NAME</code>, <code>LAST_NAME</code>.

This information is provided to the JPA provider with the annotations @column and their attribute name. Even this annotation is optional. JPA will use all properties of the Java class that have a setter and getter method and create columns for them, as long as you do not exclude them by annotating them with @Transient. On the other hand you can specify more information for each column by using the other attributes that the @column annotation provides:

```
1 @Column(name = "FIRST_NAME", length = 100, nullable = false, unique
1 = false)
```

The example above restricts the length of the string colum to 100 characters, states that the column must not contain null values and is not unique. Trying to insert null as first name into this table would provoke a constraint violation on the database and cause the current transaction to roll back.

The two annotations <code>@Id </code> and <code>@GeneratedValue</code> tell JPA that this value is the primary key for this table and that it should be generated automatically.

In the example code above we have added the JPA annotations to the getter methods for each field that should be mapped to a database column. Another way would be to annotate the field directly instead of the getter method:

```
01 @Entity
02 @Table(name = "T PERSON")
03 public class Person {
04 @Id
05
      @GeneratedValue
06
      private Long id;
      @Column(name = "FIRST NAME")
07
08
     private String firstName;
09
      @Column(name = "LAST NAME")
10
      private String lastName;
11
```

The two ways are more or less equal, the only difference they have plays a role when you want to override annotations for fields in subclasses. As we will see in the further course of this tutorial, it is possible to extend an existing entity in order to inherit its fields. When we place the JPA annotations at the field level, we cannot override them as we can by overriding the corresponding getter method.

One also has to pay attention to keep the way to annotate entities the same for one entity hierarchy. You can mix annotation of fields and methods within one JPA project, but within one entity and all its subclasses is must be consistent. If you need to change the way of annotation within a subclass hierarchy, you can use the JPA annotation Access to specify that a certain subclass uses a different way to annotate fields and methods:

```
1 @Entity
2 @Table(name = "T_GEEK")
3 @Access(AccessType.PROPERTY)
4 public class Geek extends Person {
5 ...
```

The code snippet above tells JPA that this class is going to use annotations on the method level, whereas the superclass may have used annotations on field level.

When we run the code above, Hibernate will issue the following queries to our local H2 database:

```
1 Hibernate: drop table T_PERSON if exists
  Hibernate: create table T_PERSON (id bigint generated by default as
2 identity, FIRST_NAME varchar(255), LAST_NAME varchar(255), primary key
  (id))
3 Hibernate: insert into T_PERSON (id, FIRST_NAME, LAST_NAME) values (null,
  ?, ?)
```

As we can see, Hibernate first drops the table T_PERSON if it exists and re-creates it afterwards. If creates the table with two columns of the type varchar(255) (FIRST_NAME, LAST_NAME) and one column named id of type bigint. The latter column is defined as primary key and its values are automatically generated by the database when we insert a new value.

We can check that everything is correct by using the Shell that ships with H2. In order to use this Shell we just need the jar archive h2-1.3.176.jar:

```
1 >java -cp h2-1.3.176.jar org.h2.tools.Shell -url jdbc:h2:~/jpa
2
3 ...
4
5 sql> select * from T_PERSON;
6 ID | FIRST_NAME | LAST_NAME
7 1 | Homer | Simpson
8 (4 rows, 4 ms)
```

The query result above shows us that the table T_{PERSON} actually contains one row with id 1 and values for first name and last name.

Inheritance

After having accomplished the setup and this easy use case, we turn towards some more complex use cases. Let's assume we want to store next to persons also information about geeks and about their favourite programming language. As geeks are also persons, we would model this in the Java world as subclass relation to Person:

```
01@Entity
02 @Table(name = "T GEEK")
03 public class Geek extends Person {
      private String favouriteProgrammingLanguage;
05
      private List<Project> projects = new ArrayList<Project>();
06
07
      @Column(name = "FAV PROG LANG")
0.8
      public String getFavouriteProgrammingLanguage() {
09
               return favouriteProgrammingLanguage;
10
      }
11
      public void setFavouriteProgrammingLanguage(String
  favouriteProgrammingLanguage) {
          this.favouriteProgrammingLanguage = favouriteProgrammingLanguage;
13
14
15
      . . .
16 }
```

Adding the annotations <code>@Entity</code> and <code>@Table</code> to the class lets Hibernate create the new table <code>T_GEEK</code>:

```
Hibernate: create table T_PERSON (DTYPE varchar(31) not null, id bigint ^1 generated by default as identity, FIRST_NAME varchar(255), LAST_NAME varchar(255), FAV_PROG_LANG varchar(255), primary key (id))
```

We can see that Hibernate creates one table for both entities and puts the information whether we have stored a Person or a Geek within a new column named DTYPE. Let's persist some geeks in our database (for better readability I have omitted the block that catches any exception and rolls back the transaction):

```
01 private void persistGeek(EntityManager entityManager) {
      EntityTransaction transaction = entityManager.getTransaction();
03
      transaction.begin();
04
      Geek geek = new Geek();
0.5
      geek.setFirstName("Gavin");
06
      geek.setLastName("Coffee");
07
      geek.setFavouriteProgrammingLanguage("Java");
      entityManager.persist(geek);
09
      geek = new Geek();
      geek.setFirstName("Thomas");
10
11
      geek.setLastName("Micro");
12
      geek.setFavouriteProgrammingLanguage("C#");
13
      entityManager.persist(geek);
14
      geek = new Geek();
15
      geek.setFirstName("Christian");
16
      geek.setLastName("Cup");
17
      geek.setFavouriteProgrammingLanguage("Java");
18
      entityManager.persist(geek);
19
      transaction.commit();
20 }
```

After having executed this method, the database table T_{PERSON} contains the following rows (together with the person we have already inserted):

```
1 sql> select * from t_person;
2 DTYPE | ID | FIRST_NAME | LAST_NAME | FAV_PROG_LANG
3 Person | 1 | Homer | Simpson | null
4 Geek | 2 | Gavin | Coffee | Java
5 Geek | 3 | Thomas | Micro | C#
6 Geek | 4 | Christian | Cup | Java
```

As expected the new column DTYPE determines which type of person we have. The column FAV_PROG_LANG has the value null for persons that are no geeks.

If you do not like the name or type of the discriminator column, you can change it with the corresponding annotation. In the following we want that the column has the name PERSON_TYPE and is an integer column instead of a string column:

```
1 @DiscriminatorColumn(name="PERSON_TYPE", discriminatorType =
DiscriminatorType.INTEGER)
```

This yields to the following result:

```
1 sql> select * from t_person;
2 PERSON_TYPE | ID | FIRST_NAME | LAST_NAME | FAV_PROG_LANG
3 -1907849355 | 1 | Homer | Simpson | null
4 2215460 | 2 | Gavin | Coffee | Java
5 2215460 | 3 | Thomas | Micro | C#
6 2215460 | 4 | Christian | Cup | Java
```

Not in every situation you want to have one table for all different types you want to store in your database. This is especially the case when the different types do not have nearly all columns in common. Therefore JPA lets you specify how to lay out the different columns. These three options are available:

- SINGLE_TABLE: This strategy maps all classes to one single table. As a consequence that each row has all columns for all types the database needs additional storage for the empty columns. On the other hand this strategy brings the advantage that a query never has to use a join and therefore can be much faster.
- JOINED: This strategy creates for each type a separate table. Each table therefore only contains the state of the mapped entity. To load one entity, the JPA provider has to load the data for one entity from all tables the entity is mapped to. This approach reduces storage space but on the other hand introduces join queries which can decrease query speed significantly.
- TABLE_PER_CLASS: Like the JOINED strategy, this strategy creates a separate table for each entity type. But in contrast to the JOINED strategy these tables contain all information necessary to load this entity. Hence no join queries are necessary for loading the entities but it introduces in situations where the concrete subclass is not known additional SQL quries in order to determine it.

To change our implementation to use the JOINED strategy, all we have to do is to add the following annotation to the base class:

```
@Inheritance(strategy = InheritanceType.JOINED)
```

Now Hibernate creates two tables for persons and geeks:

```
1 Hibernate: create table T_GEEK (FAV_PROG_LANG varchar(255), id bigint not
null, primary key (id))
Hibernate: create table T_PERSON (id bigint generated by default as
identity, FIRST_NAME varchar(255), LAST_NAME varchar(255), primary key
(id))
```

Having added the person and the geeks we get the following result:

```
01 sql> select * from t_person;
02 ID | FIRST NAME | LAST NAME
031 | Homer
              | Simpson
042 | Gavin
                | Coffee
053 | Thomas | Micro
064 | Christian | Cup
07 (4 rows, 12 ms)
08 sql> select * from t geek;
09 FAV PROG LANG | ID
10 Java
              | 2
11 C#
              | 3
12 Java | 4
13 (3 rows, 7 ms)
```

As expected the data is distributed over the two tables. The base table T_{PERSON} contains all the common attributes whereas the table T_{GEEK} only contains rows for each geek. Each row references a person by the value of the column ID.

When we issue a query for persons, the following SQL is send to the database:

```
01 select
    person0 .id as id1_2_,
03
    person0 .FIRST NAME as FIRST NA2 2 ,
04
      person0 .LAST NAME as LAST NAM3 2 ,
05
      person0 1 .FAV PROG LANG as FAV PROG1 1 ,
06
      case
07
          when person 0 1 .id is not null then 1
08
          when person0 .id is not null then 0
09
      end as clazz
10 from
11 T PERSON person0
12 left outer join
    T GEEK person0 1
13
14
          on person0 .id=person0 1 .id
```

We see that a join query is necessary to include the data from the table T_{GEEK} and that Hibernate encodes the information if one row is a geek or by returning an integer (see case statement).

The Java code to issue such a query looks like the following:

```
TypedQuery<Person> query = entityManager.createQuery("from Person",
  Person.class);
02 List<Person> resultList = query.getResultList();
03 for (Person person : resultList) {
      StringBuilder sb = new StringBuilder();
      sb.append(person.getFirstName()).append("
").append(person.getLastName());
06
      if (person instanceof Geek) {
07
          Geek geek = (Geek)person;
          sb.append(" ").append(geek.getFavouriteProgrammingLanguage());
08
09
10
      LOGGER.info(sb.toString());
11 }
```

First of all we create a <code>Query</code> object by calling EntityManager's <code>createQuery()</code> method. The query clause can omit the select keyword. The second parameter helps to parameterize the method such that the <code>Query</code> is of type <code>Person</code>. Issuing the query is simply done by calling <code>query.getResultList()</code>. The returned list is iterable, hence we can just iterate over the <code>Person</code> objects. If we want to know whether we have a <code>Person</code> or a <code>Geek</code>, we can just use <code>Java's instanceof</code> operator.

Running the above code leads to the following output:

```
1 Homer Simpson
2 Gavin Coffee Java
3 Thomas Micro C#
4 Christian Cup Java
```

Relationships

Until now we have not modelled any relations between different entities except the extends relation between a subclass and its superclass. JPA offers different relations between entities/tables that can be modelled:

• OneToOne: In this relationship each entity has exactly one reference to the other entity and vice versa.

- OneToMany / ManyToOne: In this relationship one entity can have multiple child entities and each child entity belongs to one parent entity.
- ManyToMany: In this relationship multiple entites of one type can have multiple references to entities from the other type.
- Embedded: In this relationship the other entity is stored in the same table as the parent entity (i.e. we have two entites for one table).
- ElementCollection: This relationship is similar to the OneToMany relation but in contrast to it the referenced entity is an Embedded entity. This allows to define OneToMany relationships to simple objects that are stored in contrast to the "normal" Embedded relationship in another table.

OneToOne

Let's start with an OneToone relationship by adding a new entity IdCard:

```
01 @Entity
02 @Table(name = "T ID CARD")
03 public class IdCard {
04
    private Long id;
05
      private String idNumber;
06
      private Date issueDate;
07
08
      @Id
09
      @GeneratedValue
10
      public Long getId() {
11
           return id;
12
13
14
      public void setId(Long id) {
           this.id = id;
15
16
      }
17
18
      @Column(name = "ID_NUMBER")
19
      public String getIdNumber() {
20
          return idNumber;
```

```
21
     }
22
23
      public void setIdNumber(String idNumber) {
24
          this.idNumber = idNumber;
25
      }
26
27
      @Column(name = "ISSUE DATE")
28
      @Temporal(TemporalType.TIMESTAMP)
29
      public Date getIssueDate() {
30
          return issueDate;
31
      }
32
33
      public void setIssueDate(Date issueDate) {
34
          this.issueDate = issueDate;
35
      }
36 }
```

Note that we have used a common <code>java.util.Date</code> to model the issue date of the ID card. We can use the annotation <code>@Temporal</code> to tell JPA how we want the <code>Date</code> to be serialized to the database. Depending on the underlying database product this column is mapped to an appropriate date/timestamp type. Possible values for this annotation are next to <code>TIMESTAMP</code>: <code>TIME</code> and <code>DATE</code>.

We tell JPA that each person has exactly one ID card:

```
01 @Entity
02 @Table(name = "T_PERSON")
03 public class Person {
04    ...
05    private IdCard idCard;
06    ...
07
08    @OneToOne
09    @JoinColumn(name = "ID_CARD_ID")
10    public IdCard getIdCard() {
11        return idCard;
12    }
```

The column in the table T_PERSON that contains the foreign key to the table T_ID_CARD is stored in the additional column ID_CARD_ID. Now Hibernate generates these two tables in the following way:

```
01 create table T ID CARD (
      id bigint generated by default as identity,
      ID NUMBER varchar(255),
03
      ISSUE DATE timestamp,
04
05
      primary key (id)
06)
07
08 create table T PERSON (
09
      id bigint generated by default as identity,
     FIRST NAME varchar(255),
10
11
     LAST NAME varchar(255),
12
     ID CARD ID bigint,
13
      primary key (id)
14)
```

An important fact is that we can configure when the ID card entity should be loaded. Therefore we can add the attribute fetch to the @oneToone annotation:

```
1 @OneToOne(fetch = FetchType.EAGER)
```

The value FetchType.EAGER is the default value and specifies that each time we load a person we also want to load the ID card. On the other hand we can specify that we only want to load the ID when we actually access it by calling person.getIdCard():

```
1 @OneToOne(fetch = FetchType.LAZY)
```

This result in the following SQL statements when loading all persons:

```
01 Hibernate:
02
    select
03
          person0 .idas id1 3 ,
04
          person0 .FIRST NAME as FIRST NA2 3 ,
05
          person0 .ID CARD ID as ID CARD 4 3 ,
          person0 .LAST NAME as LAST NAM3 3 ,
          person0 1 .FAV PROG LANG as FAV PROG1 1 ,
08
          case
              when person0 1 .idis not null then 1
10
              when person0 .id is not null then 0
11
          end as clazz
12
     from
13
          T PERSON person0
```

```
14
      left outer join
          T GEEK person0 1
15
16
              on person0_.id=person0_1_.id
17 Hibernate:
18
    select
19
          idcard0 .id as id1 2 0 ,
20
          idcard0 .ID NUMBER as ID NUMBE2 2 0 ,
21
          idcard0 .ISSUE DATE as ISSUE DA3 2 0
22
     from
          T ID CARD idcard0_
23
24
      where
          idcard0 .id=?
```

We can see that we now have to load each ID card separately. Therefore this feature has to be used wisely, as it can cause hundreds of additional select queries in case you are loading a huge number of persons and you know that you are loading each time also the ID card.

OneToMany

Another important relationship is the @oneToMany relationship. In our example every Person should have one ore more phones:

```
01 @Entity
02 @Table(name = "T PHONE")
03 public class Phone {
      private Long id;
05
      private String number;
06
     private Person person;
07
0.8
      @Id
09
      @GeneratedValue
10
      public Long getId() {
11
          return id;
12
      }
13
14
      public void setId(Long id) {
          this.id = id;
15
16
      }
17
```

```
18
      @Column(name = "NUMBER")
19
      public String getNumber() {
20
          return number;
21
2.2
23
      public void setNumber(String number) {
24
          this.number = number;
2.5
      }
26
27
      @ManyToOne(fetch = FetchType.LAZY)
28
      @JoinColumn(name = "PERSON ID")
29
      public Person getPerson() {
30
          return person;
31
32
33
      public void setPerson(Person person) {
34
         this.person = person;
35
      }
36 }
```

Each phone has an internal id as well as the number. Next to this we also have to specify the relation to the Person with the @ManyToone, as we have "many" phones for "one" person. The annotation @JoinColumn specifies the column in the T_PHONE table that stores the foreign key to the person.

On the other side of the relation we have to add a List of Phone objects to the person and annotate the corresponding getter method with @OneToMany as we have "one" person with "many" phones:

```
1 private List<Phone> phones = new ArrayList<>();
2 ...
3 @OneToMany(mappedBy = "person", fetch = FetchType.LAZY)
4 public List<Phone> getPhones() {
5    return phones;
6 }
```

The value of the attribute mappedBy tells JPA which list on the other side of the relation (here Phone.person) this annotation references.

As we do not want to load all phones every time we load the person, we set the relationship to be fetched lazy (although this is the default value and we would have to set it explicitly). Now we get an additional selecte statment each time we load the phones for one person:

```
01 select
02
      phones0 .PERSON ID as PERSON I3 3 0 ,
03
      phones0 .id as id1 4 0 ,
      phones0 .id as id1 4 1 ,
0.5
      phones0 .NUMBER as NUMBER2 4 1 ,
06
      phones0 .PERSON ID as PERSON I3 4 1
07 from
08
      T PHONE phones0
09 where
      phones0_.PERSON_ID=?
10
```

As the value for the attribute fetch is set at compile time, we unfortunately cannot change it at runtime. But if we know that we want to load all phone numbers in this use case and in other use cases not, we can leave the relation to be loaded lazy and add the clause left join fetch to our JPQL query in order to tell the JPA provider to also load all phones in this specific query even if the relation is set to FetchType.LAZY. Such a query can look like the following one:

```
TypedQuery<Person> query = entityManager.createQuery("from Person p left
join fetch p.phones", Person.class);
```

We give the Person the alias p and tell JPA to also fetch all instances of phones that belong to each person. This results with Hibernate in the following select query:

```
01 select
      person0 .id as id1 3 0 ,
03
      phones1 .id as id1 4 1 ,
04
      person0 .FIRST NAME as FIRST NA2 3 0 ,
05
      person0 .ID CARD ID as ID CARD 4 3 0 ,
06
      person0 .LAST NAME as LAST NAM3 3 0 ,
07
      person0 1 .FAV PROG LANG as FAV PROG1 1 0 ,
08
      case
09
           when person 0 1 .id is not null then 1
10
           when person0 .id is not null then 0
11
      end as clazz 0 ,
12
      phones1 .NUMBER as NUMBER2 4 1 ,
```

```
13
      phones1 .PERSON ID as PERSON I3 4 1 ,
14
      phones1 .PERSON ID as PERSON I3 3 0 ,
15
      phones1 .id as id1 4 0
16 from
      T PERSON person0
17
18 left outer join
      T GEEK person0 1
20
          on person0 .id=person0 1 .id
21 left outer join
      T PHONE phones1
          on person0 .id=phones1 .PERSON ID
23
```

Please note that without the keyword left (i.e. only join fetch) Hibernate will create an inner join and only load persons that actually have at least one phone number.

ManyToMany

Another interesting relationship is the <code>@ManyToMany</code> one. As one geek can join many projects and one project consists of many geeks, we model the relationship

between Project and Geek as @ManyToMany relationship:

```
01 @Entity
02 @Table(name = "T_PROJECT")
03 public class Project {
   private Long id;
    private String title;
      private List<Geek> geeks = new ArrayList<Geek>();
06
07
0.8
      @Id
09
      @GeneratedValue
10
      public Long getId() {
11
          return id;
12
      }
13
14
      public void setId(Long id) {
15
          this.id = id;
16
17
```

```
18
      @Column(name = "TITLE")
19
      public String getTitle() {
20
           return title;
21
2.2
23
      public void setTitle(String title) {
24
           this.title = title;
2.5
      }
26
27
      @ManyToMany(mappedBy="projects")
28
      public List<Geek> getGeeks() {
29
           return geeks;
30
31
32
      public void setGeeks (List<Geek> geeks) {
           this.geeks = geeks;
33
34
      }
35 }
```

Our project has an internal id, a title of type <code>string</code> and a list of <code>Geeks</code>. The getter method for the geeks attribute is annotated with <code>@ManyToMany(mappedBy="projects")</code>. The value of the attribute <code>mappedBy</code> tells JPA the class member on the other side of the relation this relation belongs to as there can be more than one list of projects for a geek. The class <code>Geek</code> gets a list of projects and :

For a <code>@ManyToMany</code> we need an additional table. This table is configured by the <code>@JoinTable</code> annotation that describes the table used to store the geek's assignments to the different projects. It has the name <code>GEEK_PROJECT</code> and stores the geek's id in the column <code>GEEK_ID</code> and the project's id in the

column PROJECT_ID. The referenced column is on both sides just ID as we have named the internal id in both classes ID.

A @ManyToMany is also per default fetched lazy, as in most cases we do not want to load all projects assignments when we load a single geek.

As the relation <code>@ManyToMany</code> is equal on both sides, we could have also annotated the two lists in both classes the other way round:

```
1 @ManyToMany
2 @JoinTable(
          name="T GEEK PROJECT",
          joinColumns={@JoinColumn(name="PROJECT ID",
4 referencedColumnName="ID")},
          inverseJoinColumns={@JoinColumn(name="GEEK ID",
5
referencedColumnName="ID")})
6 public List<Geek> getGeeks() {
    return geeks;
8 }
And on the other Geek side:
1 @ManyToMany(mappedBy="geeks")
2 public List<Project> getProjects() {
    return projects;
4 }
In both cases Hibernate creates a new table T_GEEK_PROJECT with the two
colums PROJECT_ID and GEEK_ID:
1 sql> select * from t_geek project;
2 PROJECT ID | GEEK ID
3 1
            1 2
4 1
            | 4
5 (2 rows, 2 ms)
```

The Java code to persist these relations is the following one:

```
List<Geek> resultList = entityManager.createQuery("from Geek g where
01 g.favouriteProgrammingLanguage = :fpl",
   Geek.class).setParameter("fpl", "Java").getResultList();
02 EntityTransaction transaction = entityManager.getTransaction();
03 transaction.begin();
04 Project project = new Project();
```

```
05 project.setTitle("Java Project");
06 for (Geek geek : resultList) {
07     project.getGeeks().add(geek);
08     geek.getProjects().add(project);
09 }
10 entityManager.persist(project);
11 transaction.commit();
```

In this example we only want to add geeks to our "Java Project" whose favourite programming language is of course Java. Hence we add a where clause to our select query that restricts the result set to geeks with a specific value for the column <code>FAV_PROG_LANG</code>. As this column is mapped to the field <code>favouriteProgrammingLanguage</code>, we can reference it directly by its Java field name in the JPQL statement. The dynamic value for the query is passed into the statement by calling <code>setParameter()</code> for the corresponding variable in the JPQL query (here: <code>fpl</code>).

Embedded / ElementCollection

It can happen that you want to structure your Java model more fine-grained than your database model. An example for such a use case is the Java class Period that models the time between a start and an end date. This construct can be reused in different entities as you do not want to copy the two class field's StartDate and endDate to each entity that has a period of time.

For such cases JPA offers the ability to model embedded entities. These entities are modeled as separate Java classes with the annotation @Embeddable:

```
01@Embeddable
02 public class Period {
03
      private Date startDate;
04
      private Date endDate;
05
06
      @Column(name ="START DATE")
07
      public Date getStartDate() {
08
          return startDate;
09
10
11
      public void setStartDate(Date startDate) {
12
          this.startDate = startDate;
13
      }
14
15
      @Column(name ="END DATE")
16
      public Date getEndDate() {
```

```
17
         return endDate;
18
     }
19
20
      public void setEndDate(Date endDate) {
          this.endDate = endDate;
22
23 }
```

This entity can then be included in our Project entity:

```
01 private Period projectPeriod;
02
03 @Embedded
04 public Period getProjectPeriod() {
     return projectPeriod;
06}
08 public void setProjectPeriod(Period projectPeriod) {
     this.projectPeriod = projectPeriod;
10 }
```

As this entity is embedded, Hibernate creates the two columns START_DATE and END_DATE for the table T_PROJECT:

```
1 create table T PROJECT (
     id bigint generated by default as identity,
3
   END DATE timestamp,
   START DATE timestamp,
   projectType varchar(255),
    TITLE varchar(255),
6
   primary key (id)
8)
```

Altough these two values are modelled within a separate Java class, we can query them as part of the project:

```
1 sql> select * from t project;
2 ID | END_DATE PROJECTTYPE
                            | START DATE |
             | TITLE
1 | 2015-02-01 00:00:00.000 | 2016-01-31 23:59:59.999 | TIME_AND_MATERIAL
 | Java Project
4 (1 row, 2 ms)
```

A JPQL query has to reference the embedded period in order to formulate a condition that restricts the result set to projects that started on a certain day:

This yields to the following SQL query:

```
01 select
02    project0_.id as id1_5_,
03    project0_.END_DATE as END_DATE2_5_,
04    project0_.START_DATE as START_DA3_5_,
05    project0_.projectType as projectT4_5_,
06    project0_.TITLE as TITLE5_5_
07 from
08    T_PROJECT project0_
09 where
10    project0_.START_DATE=?
```

Since version 2.0 of JPA you can even use <code>@Embeddable</code> entities in one-to-many relations. This is accomplished by using the new annotations <code>@ElementCollection</code> and <code>@CollectionTable</code> as shown in the following example for the class <code>Project</code>:

```
01 private List<Period> billingPeriods = new ArrayList<Period>();
02
03 @ElementCollection
04 @CollectionTable(
          name="T BILLING PERIOD",
05
          joinColumns=@JoinColumn(name="PROJECT ID")
08 public List<Period> getBillingPeriods() {
09
      return billingPeriods;
10 }
11
12 public void setBillingPeriods(List<Period> billingPeriods) {
13
      this.billingPeriods = billingPeriods;
14 }
```

As Period is an @Embeddable entity we cannot just use a normal @OneToMany relation.

Data Types and Converters

When dealing with legacy databases it can happen that you the standard mapping provided by JPA may not be enough. The following table lists how the Java types are mapped to the different database types:

Java type	Database type
String (char, char[])	VARCHAR (CHAR, VARCHAR2, CLOB, TEXT)
Number (BigDecimal, BigInteger, Integer, Double, Long, Float, Short, Byte)	NUMERIC (NUMBER, INT, LONG, FLOAT, DOUBLE)
int, long, float, double, short, byte	NUMERIC (NUMBER, INT, LONG, FLOAT, DOUBLE)
byte[]	VARBINARY (BINARY, BLOB)
boolean (Boolean)	BOOLEAN (BIT, SMALLINT, INT, NUMBER)
java.util.Date, java.sql.Date, java.sql.Time, java.sql.Timestamp, java.util.Calendar	TIMESTAMP (DATE, DATETIME)
java.lang.Enum	NUMERIC (VARCHAR, CHAR)
java.util.Serializable	VARBINARY (BINARY, BLOB)

An interesting point in this table is the mapping for enum types. To demonstrate the usage of enums in JPA let's add the enum ProjectType to the entity:

```
01 @Entity
02 @Table(name = "T PROJECT")
03 public class Project {
04 ...
05
      private ProjectType projectType;
06
07
      public enum ProjectType {
08
           FIXED, TIME AND MATERIAL
09
10
      . . .
      @Enumerated(EnumType.ORDINAL)
11
12
      public ProjectType getProjectType() {
13
           return projectType;
14
15
16
      public void setProjectType(ProjectType projectType) {
17
           this.projectType = projectType;
18
19 }
```

As we can see from the snippet above, the annotation @Enumerated allows us to map enums to database colums by specifying how to map the different values to the column.

Choosing EnumType.ORDINAL means that each enum constant is mapped to a specific number in the database. When we set our "Java Project" to TIME_AND_MATERIAL we get the following output:

As an alternative we could also use the value EnumType.STRING. In this case the column is of type String and encodes the enum by calling its name() method:

If both solutions do not satisfy your requirements, you can write your own converter. This is done by implementing the Java interface AttributeConverter and annotating the class with @Converter. The following class for example converts a boolean value into the two numeric values 1 and -1:

```
01 @Converter
02 public class BooleanConverter implements AttributeConverter<Boolean,
  Integer> {
03
04
      @Override
05
      public Integer convertToDatabaseColumn(Boolean aBoolean) {
06
           if (Boolean.TRUE.equals(aBoolean)) {
07
               return 1;
0.8
           } else {
09
               return -1;
10
           }
11
      }
12
13
      @Override
14
      public Boolean convertToEntityAttribute(Integer value) {
15
           if (value == null) {
16
               return Boolean.FALSE;
17
           } else {
```

This converter can be applied to our IdCard when we want provide the information if an ID card is valid or not as a boolean value:

```
01 private boolean valid;
02 ...
03 @Column(name = "VALID")
04 @Convert(converter = BooleanConverter.class)
05 public boolean isValid() {
06     return valid;
07 }
08
09 public void setValid(boolean valid) {
10     this.valid = valid;
11 }
```

Inserting an ID card with false for the attribute valid, results in the following output:

Criteria API

Until now we have used the Java Persistence Query Language (JPQL) to issue queries to the database. An alternative to the JPQL is the "Criteria API". This API provides a pure Java method based API to construct a query.

The following example queries the database for persons with firstName = 'Homer':

```
1 CriteriaBuilder builder = entityManager.getCriteriaBuilder();
2 CriteriaQuery<Person> query = builder.createQuery(Person.class);
```

```
3 Root<Person> personRoot = query.from(Person.class);
4 query.where(builder.equal(personRoot.get("firstName"), "Homer"));
5 List<Person> resultList = entityManager.createQuery(query).getResultList();
```

When starting to build a criteria query, we have to ask the EntityManager for

a <u>CriteriaBuilder</u> object. This builder can then be used to create the actual <u>Query</u> object. The way to tell this query which table(s) to query is accomplished by invoking the method <u>from()</u> and passing the entity that is mapped to the corresponding table. The <u>Query</u> object also offers a method to add the where clause:

```
1 query.where(builder.equal(personRoot.get("firstName"), "Homer"));
```

The condition itself is then created using the CriteriaBuilder and its equal() method. More complex queries can then be assembled by using the appropriate logical conjunction:

```
1 query.where(builder.and(
2 builder.equal(personRoot.get("firstName"), "Homer"),
3 builder.equal(personRoot.get("lastName"), "Simpson")));
```

In general CriteriaQuery defines the following clauses and options:

- distinct(): Specifies whether the database should filter out duplicate values.
- from(): Specifies the table/entity the query is submitted for.
- select(): Specifies a select query.
- multiselect(): Specifies a list of selections.
- where(): Specifies the where clause of the query.
- orderBy(): Specifies the ordering for the query.
- groupBy(): Specifies groups that are formed over the result.
- having(): Specifies restrictions for groups that are defined over the result.
- subquery(): Specifies a subquery that can be used in other queries.

The above methods allow you to assemble queries completely dynamically based on any filter restrictions the user has provided.

Sequences

Until now we have used in this tutorial the annotation <code>@Generatedvalue</code> without any specific information on how this unique value should be assigned to each entity. Without any further information the JPA provider chooses on its on how to generate this unique value. But we can also decide the way how to generate unique ids for entities on our own. JPA provides therefore these three different approaches:

- TABLE: This strategy lets the JPA provider create a separate table that contains one row for each entity. This row contains next to the name of the entity also the current value for the id. Each time a new value is requisted, the row in the table is updated accordingly.
- SEQUENCE: If the database provides sequences, this strategy requests the unique values from the provided database sequence. Not all database products do support sequences.
- IDENTITY: If the database provides identity columns, this strategy uses this kind of column provided by the underlying database implementation. Not all database products support identity columns.

In order to use the TABLE strategy, we also have to tell the JPA provider some details about the table it should use for the sequence management:

The @TableGenerator annotation tell our JPA provider that the table should have the name T_SEQUENCES and the two columns SEQ_NAME and SEQ_VALUE. The name for this sequence in the table should be PHONE:

```
1 sql> select * from t_sequences;
2 SEQ_NAME | SEQ_VALUE
3 PHONE | 1
```

The | SEQUENCE | strategy can be used in a similar way:

```
1 @Id
2 @GeneratedValue(strategy = GenerationType.SEQUENCE, generator
= "S_PROJECT")
3 @SequenceGenerator(name = "S_PROJECT", sequenceName = "S_PROJECT",
allocationSize = 100)
4 public Long getId() {
5    return id;
6 }
```

By using the annotation @sequenceGenerator we tell the JPA provider how the sequence should be named (S_PROJECT) and tell it an allocation size (here 100), i.e. how many values should be pre-allocated. The attributes generator and name connect the two annotations with each other.

As this strategy uses a separate table, it can easily become a performance bottleneck when requesting a lot of sequence values. This is especially true if you use the same table for a huge number of tables and the underlying database only supports table locks or locks on table pages. In this case the database has to lock the complete table/page until the current transaction has been committed. Therefore JPA allows to define a pre-allocation size such that the database is not hit too often.

In order to use the IDENTITY strategy, we just have to set the strategy attribute accordingly:

```
1 @Id
2 @GeneratedValue(strategy = GenerationType.IDENTITY)
3 public Long getId() {
4    return id;
5 }
```

If the database supports identity columns, the table is created appropriately:

```
1 create table T_ID_CARD (
2    id bigint generated by default as identity,
3    ID_NUMBER varchar(255),
4    ISSUE_DATE timestamp,
5    VALID integer,
6    primary key (id)
7 )
```