T/	ritanic Survival Project The goal of the project is to create a machine learning model that can predict whether or not a passenger will survive the historic Titanic shipwreck. The Titanic dataset from Kaggle, which includes details about the passengers like age, gender, and ticket ass, will be used in our work. We will train a logistic regression model and use GridSearchCV to optimize its hyperparameters in order to attain the best possible performance after completing the required data preprocessing steps. To determine how all the model predicts passenger survival, a hold-out test set will be used to analyze its accuracy and classification report.
	ection : K22BW Team Members 1. Indrajith M P 12211823 Roll number: 8 2. Devika E S 12211824 Roll number: 9 3. Milan Sihag 12223432 Roll number: 66
[1]: i.i.i.i.i.i.i.i.i.i.i.i.i.i.i.i.i.i.i.	4. Sujal Verma 12214775 Roll number: 15 his code block imports the necessary libraries and modules required for data manipulation, visualization, model building, and evaluation. mport numpy as np mport pandas as pd mport matplotlib.pyplot as plt mport seaborn as sns
[2]: #	rom sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split, GridSearchCV rom sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression rom sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score rom sklearn.discriminant_analysis import LinearDiscriminantAnalysis load the data from csv file to Pandas DataFrame itanic_data = pd.read_csv('train.csv') printing the first 5 rows of the dataframe
	PassengerId Survived Pclass Name Sex Age SibSp Parch Ticket Fare Cabin Embarked 1 0 3 Braund, Mr. Owen Harris male 22.0 1 0 A/5 21171 7.2500 NaN S 2 1 1 Cumings, Mrs. John Bradley (Florence Briggs Th female 38.0 1 0 PC 17599 71.2833 C85 C 3 1 3 Heikkinen, Miss. Laina female 26.0 0 0 STON/O2. 3101282 7.9250 NaN S
4 	5 0 3 Allen, Mr. William Henry male 35.0 0 0 373450 8.0500 NaN S Additional Points to note about the data (b> pclass: A proxy for socio-economic status (SES)
21 31 	st = Upper ind = Middle ind = Lower ibsp: The dataset defines family relations in this way ibling = brother, sister, stepbrother, stepsister pouse = husband, wife (mistresses and fiancés were ignored)
p P C	arch: The dataset defines family relations in this way arent = mother, father hild = daughter, son, stepdaughter, stepson ome children travelled only with a nanny, therefore parch=0 for them.
ut[4]:	Passengerld Survived Pclass Age SibSp Parch Fare Passengerld 891.000000 891.000000 891.000000 714.000000 891.000000 891.000000 Passengerld 446.000000 0.383838 2.308642 29.699118 0.523008 0.381594 32.204208 Passengerld Survived Pclass Age SibSp Parch Fare Passengerld Survived Pclass Age SibSp Parch Fare Passengerld 891.000000 891.000000 714.000000 891.000000 891.000000 Passengerld Survived Pclass Age SibSp Parch Fare Passengerld Survive
	min 1.000000 0.000000 1.000000 0.420000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000
t. ut[5]: (3	number of rows and Columns itanic_data.shape 391, 12) getting some informations about the data itanic_data.info() class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
Da - (:	angeIndex: 891 entries, 0 to 890 ata columns (total 12 columns): # Column Non-Null Count Dtype
: di	SibSp 891 non-null int64 7 Parch 891 non-null int64
t.ut[7]: Provided the Provided	check the number of missing values in each column itanic_data.isnull().sum() assengerId 0 urvived 0 class 0 ame 0 ex 0 ge 177
S: Pa T: Fa Ca Er dr	ibSp 0 arch 0 icket 0 are 0 abin 687 mbarked 2 type: int64 drop the "Cabin" column from the dataframe
R 1 [9]: t	itanic_data = titanic_data.drop(columns='Cabin', axis=1) eplacing the missing values in "Age" column with mean value itanic_data['Age'].fillna(titanic_data['Age'].mean(), inplace=True) finding the mode value of "Embarked" column rint(titanic_data['Embarked'].mode())
0 Na [11]: # t. [12]: #	S ame: Embarked, dtype: object replacing the missing values in "Embarked" column with mode value itanic_data['Embarked'].fillna(titanic_data['Embarked'].mode()[0], inplace=True) check the number of missing values in each column itanic_data.isnull().sum()
Si Pe Ni Si Ai Pi	assengerId 0 urvived 0 class 0 ame 0 ex 0 ge 0 ibSp 0 arch 0
Fa Er d	icket 0 are 0 mbarked 0 type: int64 getting some statistical measures about the data itanic_data.describe() Passengerld Survived Pclass Age SibSp Parch Fare
n	bunt 891.000000 891.00000
[14]: #	### ##################################
1 Na [15]: S [16]: # S	ame: count, dtype: int64 ms.set() making a count plot for "Survived" column ns.countplot(x='Survived', data=titanic_data) Axes: xlabel='Survived', ylabel='count'>
[16]: ~	500
***	200
	100 0 0 Survived
[17]: So ma fo Na	itanic_data['Sex'].value_counts() ex ale 577 emale 314 ame: count, dtype: int64
S	making a count plot for "Sex" column ns.countplot(x='Sex', data=titanic_data) Axes: xlabel='Sex', ylabel='count'> 600 500
•	
	200 100
S	male female Sex number of survivors Gender wise ns.countplot(x='Sex', hue='Survived', data=titanic_data) Axes: xlabel='Sex', ylabel='count'>
	300 Survived 0 1
[20]. #	male female Sex making a count plot for "Pclass" column
S	ns.countplot(x='Pclass', data=titanic_data) Axes: xlabel='Pclass', ylabel='count'> 500
	300
	100
	1 2 3 Pclass ns.countplot(x='Pclass', hue='Survived', data=titanic_data) Axes: xlabel='Pclass', ylabel='count'>
	350 Survived 300 250
	150
[22]: t	1 2 3 Pclass itanic_data['Sex'].value_counts()
[22]: So ma fo Na	ex ale 577 emale 314 ame: count, dtype: int64 itanic_data['Embarked'].value_counts() mbarked 646
C [24]: t	168 77 ame: count, dtype: int64 converting categorical Columns itanic_data.replace({'Sex':{'male':0,'female':1}, 'Embarked':{'S':0,'C':1,'Q':2}}, inplace=True)
t. <c Ra Da</c 	
d me S [26]: X Y	types: float64(2), int64(7), object(2) emory usage: 76.7+ KB eparating features & Target = titanic_data.drop(columns = ['PassengerId','Name','Ticket','Survived'],axis=1) = titanic_data['Survived']
[27]: p 0 1 2 3 4 .	
81 81 81	1 1 19.000000 0 0 30.0000 0 38 3 1 29.699118 1 2 23.4500 0 39 1 0 26.000000 0 0 30.0000 1 90 3 0 32.000000 0 0 7.7500 2 391 rows x 7 columns]
88	0
89 Na P Li ac	ame: Survived, Length: 891, dtype: int64 rincipal Component Analysis (PCA) for dimensionality reduction on the features did not improve the model's accuracy, so it was decided not to use PCA in the final model. inear Discriminant Analysis (LDA), being a supervised technique, was able to capture discriminative information better than PCA by finding directions that maximize the separation between classes, leading to an increase in model occuracy.
# 1. # X	Create an instance of the LDA classifier da = LinearDiscriminantAnalysis() Fit the LDA model to the data and transform X _lda = lda.fit_transform(X, Y) his line of code splits the dataset into training and testing sets, with 20% of the data allocated for testing, ensuring a random state of 42 for reproducibility.
[30]: X [31]: p (3	_train, X_test, Y_train, Y_test = train_test_split(X_lda,Y, test_size=0.2, random_state=42) rint(X_lda.shape, X_train.shape, X_test.shape) 891, 1) (712, 1) (179, 1) itializing a Logistic Regression model object, which will be used for training and making predictions on the Titanic dataset.
D (II	efining the parameter grid for hyperparameter tuning of the Logistic Regression model, specifying the values to be evaluated for the regularization strength (C), the type of regularization penalty (I1 or I2), and the optimization solver iblinear or saga). Perform hyperparameter tuning aram_grid = { 'C': [0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100],
} In	'C': [0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100], 'penalty': ['11', '12'], 'solver': ['liblinear', 'saga'] itializing a GridSearchCV object with the Logistic Regression model and the specified parameter grid, and then fit the GridSearchCV object to the training data using 5-fold cross-validation and accuracy as the scoring metric, utilized available CPU cores for parallel processing.
[34]:	rid_search = GridSearchCV(estimator=model, param_grid=param_grid, cv=5, scoring='accuracy', n_jobs=-1) rid_search.fit(X_train, Y_train) GridSearchCV estimator: LogisticRegression LogisticRegression
[35]: #b	Get the best hyperparameters est_params = grid_search.best_params_ rint('Best Hyperparameters:', best_params) est Hyperparameters: {'C': 0.01, 'penalty': 'l1', 'solver': 'saga'} reating the final Logistic Regression model using the best hyperparameters found during the grid search process and fit the model to the training data.
[36]: f.	inal_model = grid_search.best_estimator_ inal_model.fit(X_train, Y_train) LogisticRegression ogisticRegression(C=0.01, penalty='l1', solver='saga')
[37]: Y	the trained final Logistic Regression model is used to make predictions on the test data, generating class labels (survived or not survived) for the test instances. _pred = final_model.predict(X_test) rom sklearn.metrics import classification_report rint(classification_report(Y_test, Y_pred)) precision recall f1-score support
	0 0.80 0.90 0.85 105 1 0.82 0.69 0.75 74 accuracy 0.81 179 macro avg 0.81 0.79 0.80 179 eighted avg 0.81 0.81 0.81 179
[40]: t p Ad	accuracy on training data _train_prediction = final_model.predict(X_train) raining_data_accuracy = accuracy_score(Y_train, X_train_prediction) rint('Accuracy score of training data : ', training_data_accuracy) ccuracy score of training data : 0.8132022471910112 accuracy on test data _test_prediction = final_model.predict(X_test)
[42]: t p	_test_prediction = final_model.predict(X_test) est_data_accuracy = accuracy_score(Y_test, X_test_prediction) rint('Accuracy score of test data : ', test_data_accuracy) ccuracy score of test data : 0.8100558659217877 cconclude, the Logistic Regression model with optimized hyperparameters through GridSearchCV achieved a reasonable performance on the Titanic dataset, predicting passenger survival with an overall accuracy of 0.81. dditionally, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was explored for dimensionality reduction, but it reduced the model's accuracy to around 60%, hence it was not used in the final model, Instead (Linear Discriminant Analysis) LDA was explored for dimensionality reduction, but it reduced the model's accuracy to around 60%, hence it was not used in the final model, Instead (Linear Discriminant Analysis) LDA was explored for dimensionality reduction, but it reduced the model's accuracy to around 60%, hence it was not used in the final model, Instead (Linear Discriminant Analysis) LDA was explored for dimensionality reduction, but it reduced the model's accuracy to around 60%, hence it was not used in the final model, Instead (Linear Discriminant Analysis) LDA was explored for dimensionality reduction, but it reduced the model's accuracy to around 60%, hence it was not used in the final model, Instead (Linear Discriminant Analysis) LDA was explored for dimensionality reduction, but it reduced the model's accuracy to around 60%, hence it was not used in the final model, Instead (Linear Discriminant Analysis) LDA was explored for dimensionality reduction, but it reduced the model's accuracy to around 60%, hence it was not used in the final model.
	dditionally, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was explored for dimensionality reduction, but it reduced the model's accuracy to around 60%, hence it was not used in the final model, instead (Linear Discriminant Analysis) LDA was explored for dimensionality reduction, but it reduced the model's accuracy to around 60%, hence it was not used in the final model, instead (Linear Discriminant Analysis) LDA was explored for dimensionality reduction, but it reduced the model's accuracy to around 60%, hence it was not used in the final model, instead (Linear Discriminant Analysis) LDA was explored for dimensionality reduction, but it reduced the model's accuracy to around 60%, hence it was not used in the final model, instead (Linear Discriminant Analysis) LDA was explored for dimensionality reduction, but it reduced the model's accuracy to around 60%, hence it was not used in the final model, instead (Linear Discriminant Analysis) LDA was explored for dimensionality reduction, but it reduced the model's accuracy to an accuracy from 79 to 81%