

# Extending the project to perform both tool calls as well as analyzing the responses based on the tool outputs

Short answer first: **yes**, this makes mathematical sense and **yes**, it's fully compatible with SkyRL.

- In RL terms, you're defining a dense per-turn reward for tool usage + analysis and a
  terminal reward that scores the final text answer against a reference answer
  produced by your synthetic data generator. Maximizing expected return under GRPO/
  PPO will push the policy toward correct tools/arguments and high-quality final
  summaries.
- SkyRL is built for this: multi-turn BaseTextEnv tasks, tool calls via ToolGroups, final answers (e.g., the Search example uses <answer>...</answer> and gives reward for correctness), and dataset entries that keep only a seed prompt while the environment computes turn-level rewards. (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

Below is a **complete recipe**:

### 0) What "mathematically makes sense" here

Let an episode be ( $tau = (s_0,a_0,r_0,dots,s_T,a_T,r_T)$ ) where:

- Steps (1..K) are tool turns; step (K{+}1) is the final text answer.
- Reward (r\_t = r\_t^{\text{tools}}) for tool turns (shaped by your rubric), and (r\_{K+1} = \alpha \cdot r^{\text{final}}) where (r^{\text{final}} \in [0,1]) comes from LLM-as-a-Judge (LAJ) comparing the policy's final answer vs the reference (and/or a set of "verifiable facts" extracted during data generation). (\alpha) balances tool learning vs answer quality.

GRPO/PPO maximizes (\mathbb{E}\_\pi\left[\sum\_t r\_t\right]) using advantages (A\_t) (e.g., GAE). Since LAJ outputs are **numeric rewards**, they're just another term in the return; no special math is needed. (This is analogous to SkyRL's Search example, which assigns a terminal 0/1 for correct/incorrect final text. We're just using a **graded** judge instead of binary

# 1) Data model you should write to train\_llm.json

Add **two** new sections to your reward\_spec.ground\_truth:

- final\_reference: the **reference final answer** your generator produces *by actually running the plan over MCP tools and analyzing the outputs*. Store both **text** and **facts** (structured), plus optional citations.
- judge\_rubric : a **structured rubric** your environment will pass to LAJ to score the policy's final text against the reference.

1.1 Minimal schema extension (drop-in)

```
{
  "data source": "synthetic/llm",
  "env_class": "MCPToolEnv",
  "prompt": [ { "role": "system", "content": "..." }, { "role": "user", "content": "..." } ],
  "reward spec": {
    "method": "rule",
    "ground truth": {
      "task_id": "string",
      "complexity": "simple|moderate|complex",
      "max turns": 10,
      "limits": { "max_servers": 3, "max_tools": 10 },
      "tool_sequence": [ { "step": 1, "server": "...", "tool": "...", "params": { } }, "..." ],
      "analysis_rubric": {
        "steps": [ { "step": 1, "extract": [], "compute": [], "select": [], "accept if":
        "final_answer_requirements": {
          "format": "text|markdown|json",
          "must_include": ["list","of","keys-or-names"],
          "grounded_from": ["state_keys_to_check"],
          "quality_criteria": ["no hallucinations", "concise", "..."]
        }
      },
      "final reference": {
        "answer_text": "the reference final summary, generated by your agent after running
        "facts": { "top3": ["NVDA", "AMD", "META"], "neg_titles": ["...", "..."] },
        "citations": { "top3": [3], "neg_titles": [8,9] } // step indexes that support for
      },
      "judge rubric": {
        "weights": { "coverage": 0.3, "grounding": 0.4, "clarity": 0.2, "safety": 0.1 },
        "schema": {
          "type": "object",
          "properties": {
            "coverage": {"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1},
            "grounding":{"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1},
            "clarity": {"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1},
                        {"type":"number", "minimum":0, "maximum":1},
            "safety":
                        {"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1}
            "total":
          },
          "required": ["coverage", "grounding", "clarity", "safety", "total"]
        },
```

```
"target_length_range": [40, 140]
}
}
},

"extra_info": { "scenario": {"scenario":"...","turns": 10} }
}
```

- Keep the prompt compact (system + first user) per SkyRL's Dataset Preparation guidance. The env drives multi-turn reward logic. (skyrl.readthedocs.io)
- final\_reference is **not** shown to the policy; it's used only for reward.

# 2) Changes to your generator src/dataset/llm/generate\_with\_llm.py

You'll add two phases after planning:

- Execute the plan over MCP tools, step-by-step, applying your analysis\_rubric.steps (extract/compute/select/accept\_if/next\_args\_from) to build a named state and capture per-step result summaries.
- 2. **Compose the reference final text** using the named state (either via deterministic templating or by calling an LLM with a short, grounded prompt), and write it as final\_reference.answer\_text (+ facts, citations).

```
Tip: keep the DSL small and safe (no eval), e.g., functions like last, prev, pct_change_last_day, topk, argmax, unique, regex_extract_all, concat, head. This mirrors SkyRL's practice of keeping env logic deterministic and light. (skyrl.readthedocs.io)
```

2.1 Minimal, PR-ready patch (conceptual diff)

```
--- a/src/dataset/llm/generate with llm.pv
+++ b/src/dataset/llm/generate with llm.py
(a(a
-TASK_SCHEMA = {...} # your existing schema
+TASK SCHEMA = {
+ "name": "rl task",
+ "schema": {
+ "type": "object",
+ "properties": {
+ "task_id": {"type": "string"},
+ "complexity": {"type": "string", "enum": ["simple", "moderate", "complex"]},
+ "user_prompt": {"type": "string"},
+ "max_turns": {"type": "integer", "minimum": 3, "maximum": 16},
+ "tools_available": {"type": "array", "items": {"type": "string"}},
+ "limits": {"type": "object"},
+ "tool sequence": {
    "type": "array", "minItems": 2, "maxItems": 12,
    "items": {
          "type": "object",
          "properties": {
            "step": {"type":"integer", "minimum":1},
       "server":{"type":"string"},
         "tool":{"type":"string"},
           "params":{"type":"object"},
            "analysis requirements": {
              "type":"object",
              "properties":{
                "extract":{"type":"array","items":{"type":"string"}},
                "compute":{"type":"array","items":{"type":"string"}},
                "select":{"type":"array","items":{"type":"string"}},
                "accept_if":{"type":"array","items":{"type":"string"}},
              "next args from":{"type":"string"}
              },
              "required":["next_args_from"],
              "additionalProperties": true
+ }
+ },
          "required": ["step","server","tool","params","analysis_requirements"],
          "additionalProperties": true
```

```
+ },
    "final_answer_requirements": {
+ "type": "object",
+ "properties": {
        "format": {"type":"string"},
          "must_include":{"type":"array","items":{"type":"string"}},
         "grounded_from":{"type":"array","items":{"type":"string"}},
         "quality_criteria":{"type":"array","items":{"type":"string"}}
+ },
+ "required": ["format","must_include","grounded_from"]
+ },
+ "judge_rubric": {
+ "type":"object",
+ "properties":{
+ "weights":{"type":"object"},
+ "schema":{"type":"object"},
+ "target_length_range":{"type":"array","items":{"type":"integer"}}
+ },
+ "required":["weights","schema"]
+ }
+ },
+ "required": ["task_id","complexity","user_prompt","max_turns","tool_sequence","final_
+ "additionalProperties": false
+ }
+}
@@
 async def _one_task(...):
    # 1) Ask LLM for plan (as you do today)
    task = await _call_llm_with_schema(...)
return task
+ # 2) Verify & repair chaining (next_args_from, step ranges)
+ task = _verify_and_repair(task)
+ # 3) Execute plan over MCP tools to build named state and per-step summaries
+ exec_out = await simulate_plan_and_collect(task, tool_manager)
+ # 4) Compose reference final answer (LLM or template), grounded in exec_out.state
+ final_ref = await compose_reference_answer(task, exec_out)
+ task["_exec_out"] = exec_out.to_dict() # optional: keep light summaries, hashes
+ task[" final reference"] = final ref
+ return task
```

```
@@
-def to_skyrl_sample(task, system_prompt):
- ground_truth = {...}
+def to_skyrl_sample(task, system_prompt):
+ ground_truth = {
+ "task_id": task["task_id"],
+ "complexity": task["complexity"],
+ "max_turns": task["max_turns"],
+ "limits": task.get("limits", {}),
+ "tool_sequence": task["tool_sequence"],
+ "analysis_rubric": {
+ "steps": [{ "step": s["step"], **s["analysis_requirements"] } for s in task["too
+ "final_answer_requirements": task["final_answer_requirements"]
+ },
+ "final_reference": task["_final_reference"],
+ "judge_rubric": task["judge_rubric"]
+ }
    return {
      "data_source": "synthetic/llm",
      "env_class": "MCPToolEnv",
      "prompt": [
        {"role": "system", "content": system_prompt},
        {"role": "user", "content": task["user_prompt"]}
      ],
      "reward_spec": {"method":"rule","ground_truth": ground_truth},
      "reward_spec": {"method":"rule","ground_truth": ground_truth},
      "extra_info": {"version": "lh-v2"}
    }
```

2.2 Execution harness (new helpers)	
-------------------------------------	--

```
async def simulate_plan_and_collect(task: dict, tm) -> "ExecOut":
    .....
    Runs tool_sequence with placeholder resolution and applies analysis_rubric.steps
    to produce named state and lightweight per-step summaries.
    0.00
    plan = task["tool_sequence"]
    steps_rubric = [s["analysis_requirements"] for s in plan]
    state = {} # named values introduced by extract/compute/select
    per_step = []
    for idx, step in enumerate(plan, 1):
        args = resolve_placeholders(step["params"], state) # ${var} replacement
        result = await tm.execute_tool(f"{step['server']}.{step['tool']}", args, timeout=
        summary = summarize_tool_result(result)
                                                              # small, e.g., top keys, co
        # apply rubric: extract/compute/select/accept_if
        updates, checks = apply_analysis(steps_rubric[idx-1], result, state)
        state.update(updates)
        per_step.append({"step": idx, "args": args, "summary": summary, "checks": checks}
    return ExecOut(state=state, steps=per_step)
def apply_analysis(ar: dict, result: dict, state: dict) -> tuple[dict, dict]:
    """Implements a tiny, safe DSL (no eval) for extract/compute/select/accept_if."""
    updates = {}
    checks = {"accept_pass": True, "missing": []}
    # extract
    for name in ar.get("extract", []):
        val, ok = safe_extract(name, result) # support "close[]", "articles[][title]"
        if ok: updates[name.split("[")[0]] = val
        else: checks["missing"].append(name); checks["accept_pass"] = False
    # compute/select (whitelisted ops like last, prev, pct_change_last_day, topk, argmax,
    for expr in ar.get("compute", []): updates.update(safe_compute(expr, state))
    for expr in ar.get("select", []): updates.update(safe_compute(expr, state))
    # accept_if
    for cond in ar.get("accept_if", []):
        if not safe_check(cond, state): checks["accept_pass"] = False
    return updates, checks
async def compose reference answer(task: dict, exec out: "ExecOut") -> dict:
    """Produce final_reference {answer_text, facts, citations} from state."""
    far = task["final_answer_requirements"]
```

```
# build facts deterministically from state:
facts = build_facts(exec_out.state, far.get("grounded_from", []))
# use a small LLM prompt or a template to compose the text
answer_text = await small_llm_compose(far, exec_out.state, facts)
# map which steps support which facts
citations = infer_citations(facts, exec_out.steps)
return {"answer_text": answer_text, "facts": facts, "citations": citations}
```

Why do this at data-gen time? So your reference is grounded in real tool results, not a
hallucinated answer. At training, the env will compare the policy's final text to this
reference using LAJ and/or heuristics.

# 3) Environment changes (how the final answer is rewarded)

Your MCPToolEnv(BaseTextEnv) should accept either:

```
a tool call ( {"tool":"server.tool", "arguments": {...}} ) or
```

• a **final answer** ( {"final\_answer":"...text..."} ), similar to the **Search example**, which uses <answer>...</answer> with stop strings to mark the last turn. (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

### 3.1 Final answer scoring in the env

#### Heuristic (fast):

- Coverage: does text include all names in analysis\_rubric.final\_answer\_requirements.must\_include ?
- **Grounding:** is text consistent with final\_reference.facts (e.g., set overlap equals 1.0), and **does not** contradict them?
- Clarity: target length range; simple readability checks.
- Safety: blacklist checks.

#### LLM-as-a-Judge (LAJ):

Judge sees a compact state summary, the policy's final text, and the reference (text +

facts).

• Use Structured Outputs with your judge\_rubric.schema to force numbers-only.

#### **Reward:**

- (  $r_{\text{final}} = \lambda_{\text{final}} = \lambda_{\text{final}} r_{\text{heur}} r_{\text{heur}} + \lambda_{\text{final}} r_{\text{heur}} r_{\text{heur}}$ ) (both in ([0,1])).
- Total episode return is sum of shaped tool rewards + ( \alpha \cdot r\_{\text{final}}).

This mirrors SkyRL's pattern where the final text inside <answer> is what gets rewarded (binary in Search; graded here). (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

- 4) Two sample dataset items (with final\_reference & judge\_rubric)
- 4.1 NASDAQ-100 news triage (truncated for space; drop into your JSON array)

```
{
 "data source": "synthetic/llm",
 "env_class": "MCPToolEnv",
 "prompt": [
    { "role": "system", "content": "You have tools DuckDuckGo, yahoo_finance, python_execu
   { "role": "user", "content": "Find top-3 gainers in NASDAQ-100 today, get 5 news head
 ],
 "reward_spec": {
    "method": "rule",
    "ground truth": {
      "task_id": "nasdaq100_neg_digest_v2",
      "complexity": "complex",
      "max_turns": 12,
      "limits": { "max_servers": 3, "max_tools": 10 },
      "tool sequence": [
        { "step": 1, "server": "DuckDuckGo", "tool": "search", "params": { "query": "NASDA
        { "step": 2, "server": "DuckDuckGo", "tool": "fetch_content", "params": { "url": "
        { "step": 3, "server": "yahoo_finance", "tool": "get_yfinance_price_history", "paral
        { "step": 4, "server": "python_execution", "tool": "python_execution", "params":
        { "step": 5, "server": "DuckDuckGo", "tool": "search", "params": { "query": "${to
        { "step": 6, "server": "DuckDuckGo", "tool": "search", "params": { "query": "${to
        { "step": 7, "server": "DuckDuckGo", "tool": "search", "params": { "query": "${to
        { "step": 8, "server": "python_execution", "tool": "python_execution", "params":
        { "step": 9, "server": "python_execution", "tool": "python_execution", "params":
        { "step": 10, "server": "slack", "tool": "send_slack_message", "params": { "channe
      ],
      "analysis rubric": {
        "steps": [
          { "step": 1, "extract": ["tickers_url"], "compute": [], "select": [], "accept_i
          { "step": 2, "extract": ["content"], "compute": ["tickers = regex_extract_all('
          { "step": 3, "extract": ["price_json"], "compute": ["pct = pct_change_last_day(
          { "step": 4, "extract": ["top3[]"], "compute": [], "select": [], "accept_if": [
          { "step": 5, "extract": ["articles0[][title]"], "compute": ["news_titles0 = tit
          { "step": 6, "extract": ["articles1[][title]"], "compute": ["news_titles1 = tit
          { "step": 7, "extract": ["articles2[][title]"], "compute": ["news_titles2 = tit
          { "step": 8, "extract": ["title_sentiment_map{title->score}"], "compute": ["new
          { "step": 9, "extract": ["neg titles[]"], "compute": [], "select": ["neg titles
          { "step": 10, "extract": [], "compute": [], "select": [], "accept_if": [], "nex
```

```
"final_answer_requirements": {
          "format": "markdown",
          "must_include": ["top3", "neg_titles"],
          "grounded_from": ["top3", "title_sentiment_map"],
          "quality_criteria": ["relevant headlines", "no hallucinated tickers", "concise"
        }
      },
      "final_reference": {
        "answer text": "Top-3 NASDAQ-100 gainers today: NVDA, AMD, META. Notable negative
        "facts": { "top3": ["NVDA", "AMD", "META"], "neg_titles": ["...", "..."] },
        "citations": { "top3": [4], "neg_titles": [8,9] }
      },
      "judge_rubric": {
        "weights": { "coverage": 0.35, "grounding": 0.4, "clarity": 0.15, "safety": 0.10
        "schema": {
          "type": "object",
          "properties": {
            "coverage": {"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1},
            "grounding":{"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1},
            "clarity": {"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1},
                        {"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1},
            "safety":
            "total": {"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1}
          },
          "required": ["coverage", "grounding", "clarity", "safety", "total"]
        },
        "target_length_range": [40, 140]
      }
   }
  }
}
```

4.2 S3 error histogram (final answer includes decision & justification)

```
{
 "data source": "synthetic/llm",
 "env_class": "MCPToolEnv",
 "prompt": [
    { "role": "system", "content": "You have tools aws, python_execution, slack, jira. Em
   { "role": "user", "content": "Find buckets >10 GB, compute today's error %, post a hi
 ],
 "reward_spec": {
    "method": "rule",
    "ground truth": {
      "task_id": "aws_error_histogram_v2",
      "complexity": "complex",
      "max_turns": 12,
      "limits": { "max_servers": 4, "max_tools": 10 },
      "tool sequence": [
        { "step": 1, "server": "aws", "tool": "aws_s3_list_buckets", "params": {} },
        { "step": 2, "server": "python_execution", "tool": "python_execution", "params":
        { "step": 3, "server": "aws", "tool": "aws_s3_list_objects", "params": { "bucket"
        { "step": 4, "server": "python_execution", "tool": "python_execution", "params":
        { "step": 5, "server": "aws", "tool": "aws_s3_list_objects", "params": { "bucket"
        { "step": 6, "server": "python_execution", "tool": "python_execution", "params":
        { "step": 7, "server": "python_execution", "tool": "python_execution", "params":
        { "step": 8, "server": "slack", "tool": "send_slack_message", "params": { "channe
        { "step": 9, "server": "python_execution", "tool": "python_execution", "params":
        { "step": 10, "server": "jira", "tool": "create_ticket", "params": { "project": "
        { "step": 11, "server": "slack", "tool": "send_slack_message", "params": { "channe
     ],
      "analysis_rubric": {
        "steps": [
          { "step": 1, "extract": ["buckets[]"], "compute": [], "select": [], "accept_if"
          { "step": 2, "extract": ["big_buckets[]"], "compute": [], "select": [], "accept
          { "step": 3, "extract": ["objects_json"], "compute": [], "select": [], "accept_
          { "step": 4, "extract": ["error_pct1"], "compute": [], "select": [], "accept_if
          { "step": 5, "extract": ["objects_json2"], "compute": [], "select": [], "accept
          { "step": 6, "extract": ["error_pct2"], "compute": ["error_pct_map = merge_map(
          { "step": 7, "extract": ["histogram_path"], "compute": [], "select": [], "accep
          { "step": 8, "extract": [], "compute": [], "select": [], "accept if": [], "next
          { "step": 9, "extract": ["exceed_buckets[]"], "compute": [], "select": [], "acc
          { "step": 10, "extract": ["jira_id"], "compute": [], "select": [], "accept_if":
```

```
{ "step": 11, "extract": [], "compute": [], "select": [], "accept_if": [], "nex
        ],
        "final_answer_requirements": {
          "format": "markdown",
          "must_include": ["histogram_path", "exceed_buckets"],
          "grounded_from": ["error_pct_map","exceed_buckets","jira_id"],
          "quality_criteria": ["include only buckets above threshold", "valid Jira ID if
        }
      },
      "final_reference": {
        "answer_text": "Histogram posted. Buckets above 1%: logs-prod, archive-east. Jira
        "facts": { "exceed_buckets": ["logs-prod", "archive-east"], "jira_id": "OPS-1432",
        "citations": { "exceed_buckets": [9], "jira_id": [10], "histogram_path": [7] }
      },
      "judge rubric": {
        "weights": { "coverage": 0.3, "grounding": 0.4, "clarity": 0.2, "safety": 0.1 },
        "schema": {
          "type": "object",
          "properties": {
            "coverage": {"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1},
            "grounding":{"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1},
            "clarity": {"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1},
                       {"type":"number", "minimum":0, "maximum":1},
            "safety":
            "total": {"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1}
          },
          "required": ["coverage", "grounding", "clarity", "safety", "total"]
        "target_length_range": [40, 160]
   }
 }
}
```

### 5) How this plugs into SkyRL

Dataset: compact seed prompt + rich ground\_truth metadata — exactly how SkyRL expects you to provide data that the env will use for rewards. (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

- Environment: subclass BaseTextEnv, parse tool calls & final answer, call your ToolGroups, compute rewards per turn. This is the documented pattern for multi-turn tasks with tools and final text (see Search example: "agent has n turns, outputs <answer> at the end, receive reward"). (skyrl.readthedocs.io)
- **Training**: run GRPO in SkyRL-Train exactly like their examples; the final answer reward is just another term. (If you're using vLLM for rollout, ensure you capture per-token logprobs and refresh LoRA adapters after updates.)

### 6) Gotchas & best practices

- **Leakage:** never put final\_reference in observations; it's only for reward.
- Cost: cache LAJ calls by (task\_id, hash(policy\_answer)).
- Stability: start with heavier heuristic weight (e.g., 0.7) and add LAJ (0.3).
- Style mismatch: LAJ should judge facts & grounding more than surface style; include facts in the rubric context.
- **Determinism:** keep DSL deterministic; if a step fails <code>accept\_if</code>, penalize and keep going to preserve learning signal.
- Search-style tags (optional): if you adopt <answer>...</answer>, add stop strings so sampler cuts generations cleanly (the Search example does this with </answer>). (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

If you paste your current <code>generate\_with\_llm.py</code> , I'll return a <code>line-exact patch</code> adding:

- the extended schema.
- the MCP execution harness,
- the DSL evaluator.
- and the final\_reference + judge\_rubric writing logic.

That will give you a **self-contained** synthetic dataset where **plans are executed, analysis is applied, and reference answers are produced**, ready for **LAJ-based** final scoring during SkyRL training.

# Implementation plan for the data generator and environment design

Below is a surgical, code-level plan to (A) upgrade your

plan and emits a ground-truth final answer, and (B) implement a multi-turn SkyRL environment that rewards (1) correct tool use + analysis each turn and (2) the final text answer using LLM-as-a-Judge (LAJ) (optionally combined with heuristics).

Where I reference SkyRL behavior, I cite the official docs/examples so your team can confirm alignment:

- Dataset format (what each record must contain). (skyrl.readthedocs.io)
- BaseTextEnv interface and how multi-turn envs are implemented. (skyrl.readthedocs.io)
- Tools integration (ToolGroup, parsing actions, calling tools). (skyrl.readthedocs.io)
- Multi-turn final answers (Search example uses <answer>...</answer> and stop strings).
   (skyrl.readthedocs.io)
- LLM-as-a-Judge (reference example + config pattern). (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

I reviewed the three files you attached: generate\_with\_llm.py, mini\_agent\_trajectories.py, and common.py. They appear abbreviated with ellipses (...). I'll give **drop-in code blocks** and **exact insertion points** so you can merge them even if lines don't match 1:1.

# A) Upgrade generate\_with\_llm.py to produce executed, grounded final answers

**Goal:** after your LLM proposes a multi-turn tool plan, **actually run** that plan against your MCP servers (or the same local tool shims you'll use in the env), apply an **analysis DSL** (extract/compute/select/accept\_if), then **compose a reference final answer** from the derived state. The resulting dataset entries add:

ground truth.tool sequence (what to do),

- ground\_truth.analysis\_rubric (how to check each step),
- ground\_truth.final\_reference (answer text + facts + citations),
- **ground\_truth.judge\_rubric** (weights + JSON schema the env passes to the Judge).

SkyRL's dataset loader wants **compact prompts** + **reward\_spec** per sample; the rest is your metadata for the environment to compute rewards. (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

#### A.1 Minimal schema extension

Add two blocks to your task schema + final writer:

- final\_answer\_requirements (format, must\_include, grounded\_from, criteria)
- judge\_rubric (weights + structured output schema + optional length range)

These are used for **data generation** (to force the planner to think about the end state) **and** later by the **environment** to score final answers.

### Near Note: Note: New York: New Y

#### 1) Imports & helpers (top of file, after existing imports)

```
# === NEW: imports for executing plan & composing final ===
from dataclasses import dataclass
from copy import deepcopy

# If you already have a ToolManager for MCP in your repo, import it here.
# Otherwise, you can stub `execute_tool_fqn(tool_fqn: str, params: dict) -> dict`
# and later wire it to your MCP client used by the environment.
try:
    from src.utils.tool_manager import ToolManager
    MCP_AVAILABLE = True
except Exception:
    MCP_AVAILABLE = False
    ToolManager = None
```

#### 2) Extend your TASK\_SCHEMA (replace/augment your dict)

```
TASK\_SCHEMA = {
    "name": "skyrl task",
    "schema": {
        "type": "object",
        "properties": {
            "task_id": {"type": "string"},
            "user prompt": {"type": "string"},
            "complexity": {"type": "string", "enum": ["simple", "moderate", "complex"]},
            "max_turns": {"type": "integer", "minimum": 2, "maximum": 20},
            "tools_available": {"type": "array", "items": {"type": "string"}},
            "limits": {"type": "object"},
            "tool_sequence": {
                "type": "array",
                "minItems": 2,
                "maxItems": 16,
                "items": {
                    "type": "object",
                    "properties": {
                        "step": {"type": "integer", "minimum": 1},
                        "server": {"type": "string"},
                        "tool": {"type": "string"},
                        "params": {"type": "object"},
                        "analysis_requirements": {
                            "type": "object",
                            "properties": {
                                "extract": {"type": "array", "items": {"type":"string"}},
                                "compute": {"type": "array", "items": {"type":"string"}},
                                "select": {"type": "array", "items": {"type":"string"}},
                                "accept_if": {"type":"array", "items":{"type":"string"}},
                                "next_args_from": {"type":"string"}
                            },
                            "required": ["next_args_from"]
                        }
                    },
                    "required": ["step", "server", "tool", "params", "analysis_requiremen
                    "additionalProperties": True
                }
            },
            "final_answer_requirements": {
```

```
"type": "object",
                "properties": {
                    "format": {"type": "string"}, # "text" | "markdown" | "json"
                    "must_include": {"type": "array", "items": {"type":"string"}},
                    "grounded_from": {"type": "array", "items": {"type":"string"}},
                    "quality_criteria": {"type": "array", "items": {"type":"string"}}
                },
                "required": ["format", "must_include", "grounded_from"]
            },
            "judge_rubric": {
                "type": "object",
                "properties": {
                    "weights": {"type": "object"},
                    "schema": {"type": "object"}, # JSON schema for LAJ struct
                    "target_length_range": {"type": "array", "items": {"type":"integer"},
                },
                "required": ["weights", "schema"]
            }
        },
        "required": ["task_id", "user_prompt", "complexity", "max_turns", "tool_sequence"
                     "final_answer_requirements", "judge_rubric"],
        "additionalProperties": True
    }
}
```

3) Add an execution record and DSL to evaluate steps (near bottom or a new section)

```
# === NEW: Executed plan outputs ===
@dataclass
class ExecStep:
    step: int
   tool_fqn: str
    args: dict
    result_summary: dict
    accept_pass: bool
    checks: dict
@dataclass
class ExecOut:
    state: dict
    steps: List[ExecStep]
# --- Safe DSL utilities (expand as needed; keep deterministic) ---
def _extract_path(result: Any, path: str) -> Tuple[Optional[Any], bool]:
    Supports simple paths like 'field', 'field[]', 'obj[][title]', 'map{key->val}' summary
    Return (value, ok)
    0.00
    try:
        if path.endswith("[]"): # list extraction
            key = path[:-2]
            return result.get(key, []), True
        if path.endswith("[][title]"):
            key = path.split("[]")[0]
            items = result.get(key, [])
            return [it.get("title") for it in items if isinstance(it, dict)], True
        if "{title->score}" in path:
            # summarized maps from list of items having title/score
            base = path.split("{")[0]
            items = result.get(base, [])
            return {it["title"]: it.get("score", 0.0) for it in items if "title" in it},
        return result.get(path, None), (path in result)
    except Exception:
        return None, False
def _compute(expr: str, state: dict) -> dict:
```

```
Tiny, whitelisted DSL. Examples:
- "pct = pct_change_last_day(price_json)"
- "top3 = topk(pct, 3)"
- "tickers = regex_extract_all('[A-Z]{1,5}', content)"
- "neg_titles = head(neg_titles, 5)"
out = \{\}
name, rhs = [s.strip() for s in expr.split("=", 1)]
def pct_change_last_day(price_json):
    # price_json: {ticker: [ {open,close,...}, ... ]}
    # return {ticker: pct} for last 2 rows
    pct = \{\}
    for k, arr in price json.items():
        if len(arr) >= 2 and "close" in arr[-1] and "close" in arr[-2]:
            b, a = float(arr[-2]["close"]), float(arr[-1]["close"])
            if b != 0:
                pct[k] = (a / b) - 1.0
    return pct
def topk(d: dict, k: int):
    return [k_ for k_, _ in sorted(d.items(), key=lambda kv: kv[1], reverse=True)[:k]
def head(lst: list, n: int): return lst[:n]
def unique(lst: list): return list(dict.fromkeys(lst))
def concat(*lsts): out=[]; [out.extend(_l) for _l in lsts]; return out
def count_keys(d: dict): return len(d.keys()) if isinstance(d, dict) else 0
def regex_extract_all(pattern: str, text: str):
    import re
    return re.findall(pattern, text or "")
# ---- Eval RHS in controlled namespace ----
safe_ns = {
    **deepcopy(state),
    "pct_change_last_day": pct_change_last_day,
    "topk": topk, "head": head, "unique": unique, "concat": concat,
    "count_keys": count_keys, "regex_extract_all": regex_extract_all,
}
# Support calls in the form fn(state_key, ...), where state_key was introduced earlie
value = eval(rhs, {"__builtins__": {}}, safe_ns) # guarded namespace
out[name] = value
return out
```

```
def _check(cond: str, state: dict) -> bool:
   # e.g., "len(tickers) >= 80", "top_gainer in tickers", "histogram_path ~= '^/tmp/.*\\
   try:
       if " ~=" in cond:
            lhs, pattern = [s.strip() for s in cond.split("~=", 1)]
            import re
            return re.search(pattern.strip("'\""), str(eval(lhs, {"__builtins__": {}}, str
        return bool(eval(cond, {"__builtins__": {}}, state))
   except Exception:
        return False
def _resolve_placeholders(obj: Any, state: dict) -> Any:
    # Replace ${var} or ${arr[i]} inside params
   if isinstance(obj, str):
        import re
        def repl(m):
            key = m_group(1)
            try:
                return str(eval(key, {"__builtins__": {}}, state))
            except Exception:
                return m.group(∅)
        return re.sub(r"\$\{([^}]+)\}", repl, obj)
    if isinstance(obj, dict):
        return {k: _resolve_placeholders(v, state) for k, v in obj.items()}
    if isinstance(obj, list):
        return [_resolve_placeholders(v, state) for v in obj]
    return obj
```

#### 4) Execute the plan + build a final reference

```
async def simulate_plan_and_collect(task: dict, tm: Optional[ToolManager]) -> ExecOut:
    .....
    Runs tool_sequence with placeholder resolution and applies analysis_requirements
    to produce named state + per-step summaries.
    0.00
    state: dict = {}
    exec_steps: List[ExecStep] = []
    for step_obj in task["tool_sequence"]:
        step = int(step_obj["step"])
        tool_fqn = f'{step_obj["server"]}.{step_obj["tool"]}'
        params = _resolve_placeholders(step_obj.get("params", {}), state)
        if tm is None:
            # If MCP ToolManager not wired yet, just mock a stable shape
            result = {"ok": True, "echo": params}
        else:
            result = await tm.execute_tool(tool_fqn, params, timeout=20.0)
        # Apply analysis requirements
        ar = step_obj.get("analysis_requirements", {})
        updates = {}
        missing = []
        accept = True
        for need in ar.get("extract", []):
            val, ok = _extract_path(result, need)
            if ok:
                key = need.split("[")[0].split("{")[0]
                updates[key] = val
            else:
                missing.append(need)
                accept = False
        for expr in ar.get("compute", []):
            try: updates.update(_compute(expr, {**state, **updates}))
            except Exception: accept = False
        for expr in ar.get("select", []):
            try: updates.update(_compute(expr, {**state, **updates}))
            except Exception: accept = False
        for cond in ar.get("accept_if", []):
            if not _check(cond, {**state, **updates}):
```

```
accept = False
        state.update(updates)
        exec_steps.append(ExecStep(
            step=step, tool_fqn=tool_fqn, args=params,
            result_summary={"keys": list(result)[:10]}, # keep tiny
            accept_pass=accept,
            checks={"missing": missing, "updated": list(updates.keys())}
        ))
    return ExecOut(state=state, steps=exec_steps)
async def compose_reference_answer(task: dict, exec_out: ExecOut, client: AsyncOpenAI) ->
    far = task["final_answer_requirements"]
    facts = {name: exec out.state.get(name) for name in far.get("grounded from", [])}
    # Compose with a small LLM, STRICT JSON output to reduce drift
    system = "You are a concise analyst. Write the final answer strictly grounded in the p
    user = {
        "facts": facts,
        "must_include": far.get("must_include", []),
        "format": far.get("format", "text"),
        "quality_criteria": far.get("quality_criteria", []),
    # If you prefer determinism or cost-free, you can template instead of calling the LLM
    resp = await client.chat.completions.create(
        model=os.getenv("OPENAI_RESP_MODEL", "gpt-4o-mini"),
        response_format={"type": "json_object"},
        messages=[
            {"role": "system", "content": system},
            {"role": "user", "content": json.dumps(user)}
        ],
        temperature=0.1,
    data = json.loads(resp.choices[0].message.content)
    answer_text = data.get("answer") or data.get("final_answer") or json.dumps(facts)
    # Build simple citations: map each fact name to the last step that updated it
    name_to_step = {}
    for s in reversed(exec_out.steps):
        for k in exec_out.state.keys():
            if k not in name_to_step and k in s.checks.get("updated", []):
                name_to_step[k] = s.step
```

```
citations = {k: [name_to_step.get(k)] for k in facts.keys() if name_to_step.get(k)}
return {"answer_text": answer_text, "facts": facts, "citations": citations}
```

**5) Thread it into your existing \_one\_task(...) flow** (after you get the *planned* task from the LLM)

```
async def _one_task(...):
    task = await _call_llm_with_schema(..., TASK_SCHEMA, ...) # your existing planner contask = _verify_and_repair(task) # ensure next_args_from chains exist (implement simplement simplemen
```

6) Write the SkyRL-compatible record (modify your writer)

```
def to_skyrl_sample(task: dict, system_prompt: str, env_class: str, data_source: str) -> (
    prompt messages = [
        {"role": "system", "content": system_prompt},
        {"role": "user", "content": task["user_prompt"]},
    ground_truth = {
        "task id": task["task id"],
        "complexity": task["complexity"],
        "max_turns": task["max_turns"],
        "limits": task.get("limits", {}),
        "tool_sequence": task["tool_sequence"],
        "analysis_rubric": {
            "steps": [
                {"step": s["step"], **s["analysis_requirements"]}
                for s in task["tool_sequence"]
            ],
            "final_answer_requirements": task["final_answer_requirements"]
        },
        "final_reference": task["_final_reference"],
        "judge_rubric": task["judge_rubric"],
    }
    return {
        "data_source": data_source,
        "env_class": env_class,
        "prompt": prompt_messages,
        "reward_spec": {"method": "rule", "ground_truth": ground_truth},
        "extra_info": {"gen": {"model": task.get("_model"), "backend": task.get("_backend")
    }
```

That's all you need on the data-gen side. Each sample is now grounded: the plan was executed, the state was derived by your DSL, and a reference final answer exists for the Judge to compare against during training. (Dataset remains within the SkyRL format: minimal prompt + reward\_spec — everything else lives under ground\_truth for env reward.) (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

# B) Implement the multi-turn environment with per-turn rewards + final text reward

Create src/envs/mcp\_tool\_env.py (or extend your existing one) as a subclass of BaseTextEnv (text-in/text-out). In each step:

#### 1. Parse action:

- either a tool call: {"tool":"server.tool", "arguments":{...}}
- or a final answer: {"final\_answer":"...text..."}
   (You can also allow <answer>...</answer> or <tool>...</tool> tags; if you do, add stop strings like the Search example: stop='["</tool>", "</answer>"]' in generator sampling.) (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

#### 2. Tool step:

- resolve placeholders from the current named state, call the right ToolGroup method, run analysis rubric:
   extract/compute/select/accept\_if/next\_args\_from .
- compute shaped reward (+ penalties if malformed).

#### 3. Final step:

- compute Heuristic score (coverage/grounding/clarity/safety vs the requirements + reference facts).
- compute LAJ score by sending a compact rubric to the Judge model with structured outputs (numbers-only). SkyRL already documents the judge pattern (for GSM8K) — we just do a graded version with multiple dimensions. (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

Here's a concise implementation skeleton:

```
# src/envs/mcp_tool_env.py
from __future__ import annotations
import json, re, os, asyncio
from typing import Any, Dict, List, Tuple, Optional
from skyrl_gym.envs.base_text_env import BaseTextEnv, BaseTextEnvStepOutput # API per do
from skyrl_gym.tools.core import ToolGroup # or your ToolManager wrappers
from openai import AsyncOpenAI
class MCPToolEnv(BaseTextEnv):
    """Multi-turn, multi-tool env that also rewards a final text answer."""
    def __init__(self, env_config: Dict[str, Any] = None, extras: Dict[str, Any] = None):
        super().__init__()
        self.turn = 0
        self.max_turns = (env_config or {}).get("max_turns", 8)
        self.reward_weights = (env_config or {}).get("reward_weights", {
            "tool_name": 0.2, "param_binding": 0.15, "extract": 0.15,
            "compute": 0.15, "accept_if": 0.1, "penalty": -0.1,
            "final_heur": 0.6, "final_laj": 0.4
        })
        # Initialize ToolGroups (search, python, finance, slack, etc.)
        # Or bridge to your MCP servers if you already have a ToolManager.
        self.init_tool_groups(self._make_tool_groups(extras or {}))
        # Judge
        self.judge = AsyncOpenAI(api_key=os.environ.get("OPENAI_API_KEY"))
        # Set by init()
        self.gt = None
                                 # ground_truth (dict from reward_spec)
        self.state = {}
                                 # named values we derive per step
    def _make_tool_groups(self, extras: Dict[str, Any]) -> List[ToolGroup]:
        # TODO: return actual tool groups; you can wrap MCP servers as ToolGroup adapters
        return []
    # ----- BaseTextEnv API -----
    def init(self, prompt, ground_truth=None) -> Tuple[list, dict]:
        Called once per episode with the dataset prompt and its ground_truth from reward_
        self.turn = 0
```

```
self.state = {}
    self.gt = ground_truth or {}
    return prompt, {"task_id": self.gt.get("task_id")}
def step(self, action: str) -> BaseTextEnvStepOutput:
    self.turn += 1
    kind, payload = self._parse_action(action)
    if kind == "tool":
        tool_fqn, args = payload["name"], payload.get("arguments", {})
        # Execute the tool via BaseTextEnv helper
        try:
            group_name, tool_name = tool_fqn.split(".", 1)
            obs = self._execute_tool(group_name, tool_name, [args]) # returns serial
        except Exception as e:
            # malformed tool -> penalty, continue
            return BaseTextEnvStepOutput(
                observations=[{"role": "user", "content": json.dumps({"error": str(e)
                reward=self.reward_weights["penalty"],
                done=(self.turn >= self.max_turns),
                metadata={"error": "tool_exec", "exception": str(e)}
            )
        # Apply analysis rubric for this step (if present)
        step_idx = self._match_step(tool_fqn)
        r_step, meta = self._score_tool_step(step_idx, tool_fqn, args, obs)
        done = (self.turn >= self.max_turns)
        return BaseTextEnvStepOutput(
            observations=[{"role": "user", "content": json.dumps(obs)[:2048]}],
            reward=r_step,
            done=done,
            metadata={"step": step_idx, **meta}
        )
    # Final answer branch
    final_text = payload
    r_final, meta = asyncio.get_event_loop().run_until_complete(
        self._score_final(final_text)
    return BaseTextEnvStepOutput(
        observations=[],
        reward=r_final,
```

```
done=True,
        metadata={"final": meta}
    )
# ----- Parsing -----
def _parse_action(self, text: str) -> Tuple[str, Any]:
    # JSON first
    try:
        obj = json.loads(text)
        if "tool" in obj:
            return "tool", {"name": obj["tool"], "arguments": obj.get("arguments", {}
        if "final_answer" in obj:
            return "final", obj["final_answer"]
    except Exception:
        pass
    # Tag-style, compatible with Search pattern
    if "<answer>" in text and "</answer>" in text:
        ans = text.split("<answer>")[1].split("</answer>")[0].strip()
        return "final", ans
    if "<tool>" in text and "</tool>" in text:
        inner = text.split("<tool>")[1].split("</tool>")[0]
        m = re.search(r"<([a-zA-Z0-9_.-]+)>(.*)</\\1>", inner, re.DOTALL)
        if m:
            name, args_text = m.group(1), m.group(2).strip()
            try:
                args = json.loads(args_text)
            except Exception:
                args = {"raw": args_text}
            return "tool", {"name": name, "arguments": args}
    # Default: treat as final free text
    return "final", text.strip()
# ----- Rewarding -----
def _match_step(self, tool_fqn: str) -> int:
    for s in self.gt.get("tool_sequence", []):
        if f'{s["server"]}.{s["tool"]}' == tool_fqn:
            return int(s["step"])
    return self.turn # fallback
def _score_tool_step(self, step_idx: int, tool_fqn: str, args: dict, result: dict) ->
```

```
ar = None
for s in self.gt.get("analysis_rubric", {}).get("steps", []):
    if int(s["step"]) == step_idx:
        ar = s: break
if ar is None:
    # No rubric -> small neutral reward
    return 0.0, {"warn": "no_rubric"}
reward = 0.0
meta = {"tool": tool_fqn, "args": args, "accept_if": []}
# Tool choice reward
expected_fqn = None
for st in self.qt["tool sequence"]:
    if int(st["step"]) == step_idx:
        expected_fqn = f'{st["server"]}.{st["tool"]}'; break
if expected fqn == tool fqn:
    reward += self.reward_weights["tool_name"]
# Param binding reward (did args use the prior state's 'next_args_from' value?)
naf = ar.get("next_args_from")
if naf:
    used = json.dumps(args)
   if naf in used:
        reward += self.reward_weights["param_binding"]
# Extract
ext_ok = True
for need in ar.get("extract", []):
    val = self._extract_path(result, need)[0]
   if val is None: ext_ok = False
        self.state[need.split("[")[0].split("{")[0]] = val
if ext ok: reward += self.reward weights["extract"]
# Compute/select
try:
   for expr in ar.get("compute", []): self.state.update(self._compute(expr))
    for expr in ar.get("select", []): self.state.update(self._compute(expr))
    reward += self.reward_weights["compute"]
```

```
except Exception:
        pass
    # accept_if
    all_ok = True
    for cond in ar.get("accept_if", []):
        ok = self._check(cond)
        meta["accept_if"].append({"cond": cond, "ok": ok})
        all_ok = all_ok and ok
    if all_ok: reward += self.reward_weights["accept_if"]
    return reward, meta
async def _score_final(self, text: str) -> Tuple[float, dict]:
    # Heuristic
    h_score, h_meta = self._score_final_heur(text)
    j_score, j_meta = await self._score_final_laj(text)
    w = self.reward_weights
    total = w["final_heur"] * h_score + w["final_laj"] * j_score
    return float(total), {"heur": h_meta, "laj": j_meta}
def _score_final_heur(self, text: str) -> Tuple[float, dict]:
    far = self.gt["analysis_rubric"]["final_answer_requirements"]
    jr = self.gt["judge_rubric"]
    weights = jr["weights"]
    lo, hi = (jr.get("target_length_range") or [0, 10**9])
    # Coverage: all must_include items appear or can be verified from state
    must = far.get("must_include", [])
    cov_hits = sum(1 for k in must if k in text or k in json.dumps(self.state))
    coverage = cov_hits / max(1, len(must))
    # Grounding: mentions consistent with reference facts
    facts = self.gt["final_reference"]["facts"]
    grounding = self._grounding_score(text, facts)
    # Clarity: simple length band
    words = len(text.split())
    clarity = 1.0 if lo <= words <= hi else 0.5 if (0.7*lo) <= words <= (1.5*hi) else
```

```
# Safety: simple heuristic
   safety = 1.0 if not re.search(r"\b(SSN|password|api_key)\b", text, re.I) else 0.0
   total = (weights.get("coverage",0)*coverage +
             weights.get("grounding",0)*grounding +
             weights.get("clarity",0)*clarity +
             weights.get("safety",0)*safety)
    return float(total), {"coverage":coverage, "grounding":grounding, "clarity":clarit
async def _score_final_laj(self, text: str) -> Tuple[float, dict]:
   # Compact state summary + reference
   facts = self.gt["final_reference"]["facts"]
    ref = self.qt["final reference"]["answer text"]
   schema = self.gt["judge_rubric"]["schema"]
    rubric_prompt = {
        "instructions": [
            "Score the FINAL answer vs the reference & facts.",
            "Return ONLY JSON with fields in the provided schema."
       ],
        "facts": facts, "reference": ref, "final": text
   }
    resp = await self.judge.chat.completions.create(
        model=os.getenv("OPENAI_JUDGE_MODEL", "gpt-4o-mini"),
        response_format={"type": "json_schema", "json_schema": {"name": "judge_schema"
        messages=[
            {"role":"system", "content": "You are a strict evaluator."},
            {"role":"user","content": json.dumps(rubric_prompt)}
        ],
       temperature=0.0,
   data = json.loads(resp.choices[0].message.content)
   return float(data.get("total", 0.0)), data
# --- local copies of DSL helpers (same semantics as generator) ---
def _extract_path(self, res: dict, path: str): return _extract_path(res, path)
def _compute(self, expr: str): return _compute(expr, self.state)
def _check(self, cond: str): return _check(cond, self.state)
def _grounding_score(self, text: str, facts: dict) -> float:
   # Example: enforce that any ticker in text belongs to facts['top3'] if that exist
```

```
import re
if "top3" in facts:
    mentions = set(re.findall(r"\b[A-Z]{1,5}\b", text))
    target = set(facts["top3"])
    if not mentions: return 0.5 # neutral
    ok = all(m in target for m in mentions if m.isupper())
    return 1.0 if ok else 0.0
return 0.5
```

- This adheres to the BaseTextEnv interface (returning BaseTextEnvStep0utput ) and integrates ToolGroups the same way the docs show. (skyrl.readthedocs.io)
- If you choose to use tags ( <answer> ), add
   generator.sampling\_params.stop='["</tool>", "</answer>"]' in your training
   configs, same as the Search example. (skyrl.readthedocs.io)
- If you prefer **JSON-only** actions, you can skip stop strings.

# C) Sample dataset items (now with final\_reference + judge\_rubric)

Put these in data/processed/train\_llm.json along with your existing records; they use the same shape your environment expects:

```
{
 "data_source": "synthetic/llm",
 "env_class": "MCPToolEnv",
 "prompt": [
    { "role": "system", "content": "You have tools DuckDuckGo, yahoo_finance, python_execu
   { "role": "user", "content": "Find top-3 NASDAQ-100 gainers today, collect 5 headline
 ],
 "reward_spec": {
    "method": "rule",
    "ground truth": {
      "task_id": "nasdaq100_neg_digest_v2",
      "complexity": "complex",
      "max_turns": 12,
      "limits": { "max_servers": 3, "max_tools": 10 },
      "tool sequence": [
        { "step": 1, "server": "DuckDuckGo", "tool": "search", "params": { "query": "NASDA
        { "step": 2, "server": "DuckDuckGo", "tool": "fetch_content", "params": { "url": "
        { "step": 3, "server": "yahoo_finance", "tool": "get_yfinance_price_history", "paral
        { "step": 4, "server": "python_execution", "tool": "python_execution", "params":
        { "step": 5, "server": "DuckDuckGo", "tool": "search", "params": { "query": "${to
        { "step": 6, "server": "DuckDuckGo", "tool": "search", "params": { "query": "${to
        { "step": 7, "server": "DuckDuckGo", "tool": "search", "params": { "query": "${to
        { "step": 8, "server": "python_execution", "tool": "python_execution", "params":
        { "step": 9, "server": "python_execution", "tool": "python_execution", "params":
        { "step": 10, "server": "slack", "tool": "send_slack_message", "params": { "channe
      ],
      "analysis_rubric": {
        "steps": [],
        "final_answer_requirements": {
          "format": "markdown",
          "must_include": ["top3", "neg_titles"],
          "grounded_from": ["top3", "title_sentiment_map"],
          "quality_criteria": ["relevant headlines", "no hallucinated tickers", "concise
        }
      },
      "final_reference": {
        "answer text": "Top-3: NVDA, AMD, META. Negative headlines: '...', '...',
        "facts": {"top3": ["NVDA", "AMD", "META"], "neg_titles": ["...", "..."]},
        "citations": {"top3": [4], "neg_titles": [8,9]}
```

```
},
      "judge rubric": {
        "weights": { "coverage": 0.35, "grounding": 0.4, "clarity": 0.15, "safety": 0.10
        "target_length_range": [40, 140],
        "schema": {
          "type": "object",
          "properties": {
            "coverage": {"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1},
            "grounding":{"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1},
            "clarity": {"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1},
            "safety": {"type":"number","minimum":0,"maximum":1},
            "total": {"type":"number", "minimum":0, "maximum":1}
          },
          "required": ["coverage", "grounding", "clarity", "safety", "total"]
        }
      }
    }
  }
}
```

This conforms to SkyRL's dataset contract (compact prompt + env\_class + reward\_spec), leaving your **long-horizon supervision** under ground\_truth . (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

# D) Training knobs to make multi-turn + final answer work smoothly

- If you adopt tag-style final answers or tool calls (e.g., <answer>...</answer>), set stop strings in generator sampling the same way the Search example does: generator.sampling\_params.stop='["</tool>", "</answer>"]' (or "</search>" / "</answer>" ). (skyrl.readthedocs.io)
- Keep generator.async\_engine=true and generator.batched=false for multi-turn async rollouts (again per Search). (skyrl.readthedocs.io)
- Judge model and policy model can be separate; see LLM-as-a-Judge example for using an OpenAl model for the judge while training a Qwen policy. (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

### E) Why this is mathematically sound (GRPO/PPO)

You're maximizing expected return (\mathbb{E}\_\pi[\sum\_t r\_t]) where:

- (r\_1,\dots,r\_K) = shaped instrumented rewards (tool choice, param binding, extraction success, computations, accept\_if), and
- (r\_{K+1} = \lambda\_h \cdot r^{\text{heur}}(y\_T) + \lambda\_j \cdot r^{\text{LAJ}}(y\_T, y^))
  is the **terminal reward** for the final text, with (y\_T) the policy's output and (y^) the
  reference.

LAJ returns a **numeric** score (0–1), so it slots directly into the return — this is exactly what the **SkyRL LLM-as-a-Judge** example does (for GSM8K it's binary, we generalize to graded). (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

### F) Sanity tests you can add right now

- Unit test: pass a fake step with extract=["content"] → ensure
   self.state["content"] updated and reward includes extract term.
- Final answer test: with facts = {"top3": ["NVDA"]}, final text "Top-3: NVDA" → coverage=1, grounding=1 → reward close to weights sum.
- Judge smoke: mock the OpenAl response to return
   {"coverage":1,"grounding":1,"clarity":1,"safety":1,"total":1} and ensure reward path works offline.

### G) Where this maps to the SkyRL documentation

- Create env with BaseTextEnv, define step that parses tool calls and final answers →
   "Creating a New Environment" tutorial (and the BaseTextEnv API excerpt).
   (skyrl.readthedocs.io)
- Use ToolGroups and \_execute\_tool → "Using Tools in SkyRL-Gym" (core concepts, ToolGroup, env integration, action parsing). (skyrl.readthedocs.io)
- Multi-turn with final answer and stop strings → "Multi-Turn RL for Search" example

and run config. (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

- Judge integration → "LLM as a Judge for GSM8K" example (structure, env calling judge model). (skyrl.readthedocs.io)
- Dataset contract → "Dataset Preparation" (data\_source, prompt, env\_class, reward\_spec). (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

### TL;DR implementation checklist

1.	<pre>Generator ( generate_with_llm.py )</pre>
	<pre>Extend schema with final_answer_requirements + judge_rubric.</pre>
	$\searrow$ Execute plan $\rightarrow$ ExecOut(state, steps) via MCP tools.
	Compose final_reference with an LLM (or template) grounded in state .
	<pre>Write reward_spec.ground_truth including final_reference + judge_rubric.</pre>
2.	<pre>Environment ( mcp_tool_env.py )</pre>
	Parse action as tool or final; call tools via ToolGroups.
	Implement <b>per-turn rubric scoring</b> and <b>final LAJ scoring</b> (with structured outputs).
	Return BaseTextEnvStepOutput each turn.
3.	Training config
	<pre>If using tags, set generator.sampling_params.stop .</pre>
	Enable async multi-turn rollouts as in Search.

Once you wire the ToolGroups to your MCP servers, this will let you train **long-horizon**, multi-tool research agents whose final textual answers are graded both heuristically and by an **LLM judge**, entirely within SkyRL's standard interfaces. (skyrl.readthedocs.io)

If you want me to turn these snippets into line-exact diffs against your current files, paste the full current contents of <code>generate\_with\_llm.py</code> and <code>mcp\_tool\_env.py</code> (without ...) and I'll produce a ready-to-apply patch.