

# Artificial Intelligence 1

## Assignment8: First-Order Logic

– Given Dec 20, Due Jan 08 –

### Problem 8.1 (Propositional Logic in Prolog)

50 pt

We implement propositional logic in Prolog.

We use the following Prolog terms to represent Prolog formulas

- lists of strings for signatures (each element being the name of a propositional variables)
- `var(s)` for a propositional variable named `s`, which is a string,
- `neg(F)` for negation,
- `disj(F,G)` for disjunction,
- `conj(F,G)` for conjunction,
- `impl(F,G)` for implication.

1. Implement a Prolog predicate `isForm(S,F)` that checks if `F` is well-formed formula relative to signature `isForm(S)`.

Examples:

```
?- isForm(["a","b"],neg(var("a"))).  
True  
  
?- isForm(["a","b"],neg(var("c"))).  
False  
  
?- isForm(["a","b"],conj(var("a"),impl(var("b")))).  
False
```

2. Implement a Prolog predicate `simplify(F,G)` that replaces all disjunctions and implications with conjunction and negation.

Examples:

```
?- simplify(disj(var("a"),var("b")), X).  
X = not(and(not(var("a")),not(var("b")))).
```

Note that there is more than one possible simplification of a term, so your results may be different (but should be logically equivalent).

3. Implement a predicate `eval(P,F,V)` that evaluates a formula under assignment `P`. Here `P` is a list of terms `assign(s,v)` where `s` is the name of a propositional variable and `v` is a truth value (either 1 or 0). You can assume that `P` provides exactly one assignment for every propositional variable in `F`.

Example:

```
?- eval([assign("a",1),assign("b",0)], conj(var("a"), var("b")), V).
V = 0.

?- eval([assign("a",1),assign("b",1)], conj(var("a"), var("b")), V).
V = 1.
```

### Problem 8.2 (PL Semantics)

30 pt

We work with a propositional logic signature declaring variables  $A$  and  $B$  and consider the following two formulas:

1.  $A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow A)$
2.  $(A \wedge B) \Rightarrow (A \wedge C)$

We use a fixed but arbitrary assignment  $\varphi$  for the propositional variables.

For each of the two formulas  $F$ , apply the definition of the interpretation  $J_\varphi(F)$  step-by-step to obtain the semantic condition that  $F$  holds under  $\varphi$ . Afterwards determine if  $F$  is valid or not by one of the following:

- argue why  $J_\varphi(F)$  is true, which means  $F$  is valid because it holds for an arbitrary  $\varphi$ ,
- give an assignment  $\varphi$  that makes  $J_\varphi(F)$  false

### Problem 8.3 (FOL-Signatures)

20 pt

1. Model the following situation as a FOL signature. (FOL and PLNQ signatures are the same.)
  - We have constants (= nullary functions) called zero and one.
  - We have a binary function called plus.
  - We have a unary function called minus.
  - We have a binary predicate called less.
2. Now consider the signature given by
  - $\Sigma_0^f = \{a, b\}$
  - $\Sigma_1^f = \{f, g\}$
  - $\Sigma_2^f = \{h\}$
  - $\Sigma_0^p = \{p\}$
  - $\Sigma_1^p = \{q\}$
  - $\Sigma_2^p = \{r\}$
  - all other sets empty

Give

- a term over this signature that uses all function symbols
- a formula over this signature that uses all function and predicate symbols