

## Lab 8

1. An *AVL Tree* is a BST that satisfies a different balance condition, namely:

The AVL Balance Condition For each internal node  $x$ , the height of the left child of  $x$  differs from the height of the right child of  $x$  by at most 1. (Equivalently, the heights of the left and right subtrees of  $x$  differ by at most 1.)

Create a red-black tree that does *not* satisfy the AVL Balance Condition.

2. Use the insertion algorithm for red-black trees to successively insert the following nodes, starting with an empty tree.
  - a. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8
  - b. 3, 2, 1, 5, 4, 6

Note on Part (a): Recall that an already sorted insertion sequence is a worst case for an ordinary BST. Notice how the red-black balancing operations handle this to remain balanced.

3. Devise an algorithm  $\text{IsPrime}(n)$  which outputs TRUE if  $n$  is prime, FALSE otherwise. Then implement as a Java method. What is the asymptotic running time of  $\text{IsPrime}$ ? Explain.
4. In the course, we have determined asymptotic running times of sorting algorithms as a function of input size  $n$ . However, in number-theoretic algorithms, such as GCD, the running time has been bounded by functions of  $n$  where, in this case,  $n$  is an input *value*, but does not represent the input *size*. The reason is that the *size* of a natural number  $n$ , from the point of view of any reasonable computational model, is *its length as a bit string* and not simply the value  $n$  itself.

Examples:

If  $n = 7$ , its size as the bit string 111 is 3.

If  $n = 67$ , its size as the bit string 1000011 is 7.

In general,  $\text{length}(n) = \lfloor \log n \rfloor + 1$ .

When running times of number-theoretic algorithms are expressed in terms of input *size* rather than input *value* (as we have done so far), results can appear unfamiliar.

For example, the asymptotic running time of  $\text{GCD}(m, n)$  in terms of input values, as we have seen, is  $O(\log n)$ . However, since  $n$  is  $O(2^{\text{length}(n)})$ , in terms of input *size*,  $\text{GCD}(m, n)$  runs in  $O(\text{length}(n))$ . That is,  $\text{GCD}(m, n)$  is *linear in the size of  $n$* . Here is a more careful analysis:

**GCD Algorithm****Algorithm** GCD( $m, n$ )**Input** nonnegative integers  $m, n$ , not both 0**Output** gcd( $m, n$ )**if**  $n=0$  **then**    **return**  $m$ **else**    **return** GCD( $n, m \% n$ )

(\*\*) In general, if  $T(n)$  is  $O(f(n))$ , in terms of the *value*  $n$ , then, in terms of the size  $b = b(n)$  of input  $n$ ,  $T(b)$  is  $O(f(2^b))$ . In the case of GCD, since gcd( $m, n$ ) runs in  $O(\log n)$ , in terms of the value  $n$ , gcd runs in  $O(\log 2^b) = O(b)$  in terms of input size.

In light of the above discussion, answer the following:

- A. Express the asymptotic running time of your algorithm  $\text{IsPrime}(n)$  in terms of the input *size* rather than input value. It may be helpful to use two arguments,  $n, b(n)$ , to help focus on the number of bits of  $n$  when computing running time; then you can compute running time  $T(b)$  in terms of the input size. Or you can simply compute the running time in terms of  $n$ , then convert to running time in terms of  $b$  using the formula given in (\*\*) above.
- B. Suppose  $T(b)$  is the running time of your algorithm in terms of input size. Show that  $b^2$  is  $o(T(b))$ .