

(QAA)

Unit - 3

DOMS	Page No.
Date	/ /

Greedy & Dynamic Programming Algorithmic Strategy

* Greedy Technique (Algo) -

- best approach according to that moment.
 - no future prospect seen.
 - follows local optimal choice of each stage with ^{intent} of finding local opti.
- feasible solⁿ (selection) → choice satisfies problem constraints
- optimal solⁿ → best solⁿ of current
- Irrevocable (can't change later)

Applications →

- 1) Knapsack Problem (Min. Cost)
- 2) Job Scheduling (Max Profit)
- 3) Minimum Spanning Tree (Min. Risk)
- 4) Optimal Merge Pattern
- 5) Huffman Coding
- 6) Dijkstra's Algo.

Characteristics General of GS.

- 1) Greedy Choice Property - finding solⁿ → we solve subproblems, whichever choice is best considered on current solⁿ & no future prospect
- 2) optimal substructure - If an optimal solⁿ to problem contains solⁿ to sub problems.

* Knapsack Problem.

- Binary or 0/1 Knapsack.
- Item can't be broken down into parts.
- n objects from $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

weight $\rightarrow w_i$.

profit associated with each object

from $i = 1$.

Knapsack \rightarrow carry most weight N

- 1) choose object that give max. profit.
- 2) total weight of object $\leq W$.

Time complexity \rightarrow items are presorted $\rightarrow O(n)$

items needs to be sorted \rightarrow

$$O(n) + O(n \log n) = O(n \log n)$$

Fractional Knapsack

\rightarrow breaking of items is allows

ie items can be taken in fractions.

\rightarrow same representation as binary knapsack

Time complexity \rightarrow exhaustive approach - $O(2^n)$

sorted approach - $O(n \log n)$

* Scheduling Algo-

schedule n jobs out of a set of N jobs on a single processor which maximizes profit as much as possible.

- schedule SP an array of slots (s).
- $s(t) = i$ then $t \leq d_i$.
- each job \rightarrow max incl.

goal \rightarrow feasible solution while maximizes profit of scheduled jobs

Time complexity \rightarrow worst case n job may search N slots hence
 $TC = O(n^2) \rightarrow$ ds used $\rightarrow TC = O(n)$.

* Activity Selection Problem-

Schedule max no. of activities that need exclusive access to resource.

span of activity \rightarrow starting & finishing time $\rightarrow n$ activities.

Time complexity \rightarrow each activity at worst there will be $(n-1)$ comparisons hence $O(n^2)$.

sorting single scan $\rightarrow TC = O(n \log n)$.

Dynamic Programming -

- US mathematician Richard Bellman \rightarrow 1950.
- technique \rightarrow problem with overlapping subproblem
- sub problem \rightarrow solve one by one
- sub problem \rightarrow not independent
- bottom up approach.

features/elements \rightarrow

- 1) optimal substructure \rightarrow principle of optimality \rightarrow optimal
- 2) overlapping subproblems \rightarrow problem \rightarrow subproblems \rightarrow solⁿ subproblems \rightarrow final solⁿ avoid repetition of work & effort

* Applications

- \rightarrow Multi-stage graph
- \rightarrow Travelling Sales Man Problem
- \rightarrow Matrix Multiplication
- \rightarrow Longest Subsequence Prob.
- \rightarrow Max. Flow Prob.
- \rightarrow All Pair Shortest Path Problem.

* Principle of Optimality -

- dynamic programming algo \rightarrow solⁿ from principle of optimality
- principle \rightarrow in an optimal sequence of decisions or choices, each subsequence also be optimal.
- not possible \rightarrow principle \rightarrow impossible to obtain solⁿ using dp.
- eg \rightarrow finding shortest path in given graph uses principle of optimality

Greedy method

- obtain \rightarrow optimum solⁿ
- set of feasible solⁿ & pick optimum solⁿ
- optimum solⁿ \rightarrow no revising solⁿ
- no guarantee of optimum solⁿ

dynamic programming

- obtain optimum solⁿ
- no set \rightarrow feasible solⁿ
- consider all possible sequences
- guaranteed solⁿ by principle of optimality

Divide & Conquer

- obtain solⁿ for problem
- subproblem \rightarrow independently solved
 \rightarrow all subproblem \rightarrow solⁿ
- Duplication in subsolⁿ \rightarrow neglected
- Less efficient \rightarrow rework on solⁿ
- eg \rightarrow Quick sort binary search

Greedy Algorithm

- optimum solⁿ
- set feasible solⁿ \rightarrow optimum selected
- optimum selection \rightarrow without revising
- no guarantee of optimum solⁿ
- eg \rightarrow Knapsack, finding minimum spanning tree

Binomial Coefficients

- problems \rightarrow overlapping subproblems property \rightarrow find solⁿ \rightarrow same problem again
- store solⁿ \rightarrow subproblem \rightarrow table \rightarrow refer it needed

optimal substructure

$$\rightarrow ([i, j]) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } i=j \text{ or } j=0 \\ ([i-1, j-1] + ([i-1, j]) \end{cases}$$

Time complexity $\rightarrow O(nk)$

* Optimal Binary Search Tree (OBST) -

- similar to BST only catch \rightarrow arrange node acc to prob of searching their frequently i.e. node of high probability \rightarrow being searched \rightarrow closest to root
eg \rightarrow word from dictionary searching

$$C(i, j) = \min_{i < k \leq j} \{ C(i, k-1) + C(k, j) \} + w(i, j)$$

$$w(i, j) = w[i, j-1]$$

- minimizes expected search cost.

complexity \rightarrow

$$T(n) = \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1+1} O(1) \\ = O(n^3)$$

* 0/1 Knapsack Problem -

- Greedy algo
- items are either completely or no times are filled in knapsack.
- Time complexity $\rightarrow O(nM) \rightarrow$ $n \rightarrow \text{Items}$
 $m \rightarrow \text{capacity of knapsack}$.
- Also solved using DP.

* Chain Matrix Multiplication.

what order, n Matrices $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n$ should be multiplied to minimize computations to derive result.

$$A_1 = 5 \times 4 \quad A_2 = 4 \times 6 \quad A_3 = 6 \times 2$$

$$\text{Now, } \rightarrow (A_1 \cdot A_2) \cdot A_3 = 180$$

$$A \cdot (A_2 A_3) = 88$$

simply parenthesis correctly in order to achieve min. computation

Time Complexity -

Iterative without memo $\rightarrow O(n^3)$

Recursive without memo $\rightarrow O(2^{n-1})$.