This example is adapted from a real production application, but with details disguised to protect confidentiality. You are a famous researcher in the City of Peacetopia. The people of Peacetopia have a

algorithm that will detect any bird flying over Peacetopia and alert the population. The City Council gives you a dataset of 10,000,000 images of the sky above Peacetopia, taken from the city's security cameras. They are labelled:

common characteristic: they are afraid of birds. To save them, you have to build an

• y = 0: There is no bird on the image • y = 1: There is a bird on the image

Your goal is to build an algorithm able to classify new images taken by security cameras from Peacetopia.

There are a lot of decisions to make: What is the evaluation metric? How do you structure your data into train/dev/test sets?

Metric of success The City Council tells you that they want an algorithm that

1. Has high accuracy

True

as possible."

2. Runs quickly and takes only a short time to classify a new image. 3. Can fit in a small amount of memory, so that it can run in a small processor that the city will attach to many different security cameras.

Note: Having three evaluation metrics makes it harder for you to quickly choose between two different algorithms, and will slow down the speed with which your team can iterate. True/False?

Correct False

• "We need an algorithm that can let us know a bird is flying over Peacetopia as accurately

Memory size

Memory size

• "We want the trained model to take no more than 10sec to classify a new image."

After further discussions, the city narrows down its criteria to:

If you had the three following models, which one would you choose? Test Accuracy Runtime

Test Accuracy

"We want the model to fit in 10MB of memory."

97% 1 sec 3MB Test Accuracy Runtime Memory size 13 sec 9MB

Runtime

2MB 97% 3 sec Test Accuracy Runtime Memory size 9MB 98% 9 sec Correct! As soon as the runtime is less than 10 seconds you're good. So, you may simply maximize the test accuracy after you made sure the runtime is <10sec. Based on the city's requests, which of the following would you say is true? Accuracy is an optimizing metric; running time and memory size are a satisficing metrics.

Accuracy is a satisficing metric; running time and memory size are an optimizing

Accuracy, running time and memory size are all optimizing metrics because you

Accuracy, running time and memory size are all satisficing metrics because you

have to do sufficiently well on all three for your system to be acceptable.

Structuring your data Before implementing your algorithm, you need to split your data into train/dev/test sets.

Correct

metric.

want to do well on all three.

Which of these do you think is the best choice?

Train

Train

Correct

distributions.

Un-selected is correct

most care about.

lecture).

Un-selected is correct

Training set error

Correct

Correct

Correct

6,000,000

9,500,000

Correct Yes.

Dev

3,000,000

Dev

250,000

Test

Test

1,000,000

250,000

Train Dev Test 3,333,334 3,333,333 3,333,333 Train Dev Test 3,000,000 6,000,000 1,000,000 After setting up your train/dev/test sets, the City Council comes across another 1,000,000 images, called the "citizens' data". Apparently the citizens of Peacetopia are so scared of birds that they volunteered to take pictures of the sky and label them, thus contributing these additional 1,000,000 images. These images are different from the distribution of images the City Council had originally given you, but you think it could help your algorithm. You should not add the citizens' data to the training set, because this will cause the training and dev/test set distributions to become different, thus hurting dev and test set performance. True/False?

Adding this data to the training set will change the training set distribution.

contrary, it would be very problematic to have different dev and test set

However, it is not a problem to have different training and dev distribution. On the

One member of the City Council knows a little about machine learning, and thinks you should add the 1,000,000 citizens' data images to the test set. You object because:

A bigger test set will slow down the speed of iterating because of the

The test set no longer reflects the distribution of data (security cameras) you

The 1,000,000 citizens' data images do not have a consistent x-->y mapping as the rest of the data (similar to the New York City/Detroit housing prices example from

4.0%

0.3% error

computational expense of evaluating models on the test set.

This would cause the dev and test set distributions to become different. This is a bad idea because you're not aiming where you want to hit. Correct

> 4.5% Dev set error This suggests that one good avenue for improving performance is to train a bigger network so as to drive down the 4.0% training error. Do you agree? Yes, because having 4.0% training error shows you have high bias. Yes, because this shows your bias is higher than your variance.

No, because this shows your variance is higher than your bias.

You ask a few people to label the dataset so as to find out what is human-level

No, because there is insufficient information to tell.

performance. You find the following levels of accuracy:

how would you define "human-level performance"?

0.3% (accuracy of expert #1)

0.4% (average of 0.3 and 0.5)

and better than Bayes error.

Human-level performance

promising to try? (Check two options.)

Try decreasing regularization.

Try increasing regularization.

Training set error

Dev set error

Un-selected is correct

Un-selected is correct

Correct

further on your algorithm, you end up with the following:

0.75% (average of all four numbers above)

0.0% (because it is impossible to do better than this)

Bird watching expert #1

You train a system, and its errors are as follows (error = 100%-Accuracy):

Bird watching expert #2 0.5% error Normal person #1 (not a bird watching expert) 1.0% error Normal person #2 (not a bird watching expert) 1.2% error

If your goal is to have "human-level performance" be a proxy (or estimate) for Bayes error,

Which of the following statements do you agree with? A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error. Correct A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance

10. You find that a team of ornithologists debating and discussing an image gets an even

better 0.1% performance, so you define that as "human-level performance." After working

Based on the evidence you have, which two of the following four options seem the most

0.1%

2.0%

2.1%

0.1%

2.0%

2.1%

7.0%

0.05%

0.05%

Train a bigger model to try to do better on the training set. Correct

Get a bigger training set to reduce variance.

11. You also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following:

What does this mean? (Check the two best options.)

You should try to get a bigger dev set.

You have overfit to the dev set.

You have underfit to the dev set.

You should get a bigger test set.

Human-level performance

Training set error

Dev set error

Test set error

Correct

Correct

Un-selected is correct

Un-selected is correct

Training set error

Dev set error

Correct

12. After working on this project for a year, you finally achieve: Human-level performance 0.10%

What can you conclude? (Check all that apply.)

implies Bayes error is ≤ 0.05

remaining gap to 0%

Un-selected is correct

Un-selected is correct

Correct

further development.

It is now harder to measure avoidable bias, thus progress will be slower going forward. Correct 13. It turns out Peacetopia has hired one of your competitors to build a system as well. Your system and your competitor both deliver systems with about the same running time and memory size. However, your system has higher accuracy! However, when Peacetopia tries out your and your competitor's systems, they conclude they actually like your competitor's system better, because even though you have higher overall accuracy, you have more false negatives (failing to raise an alarm when a bird is in the air). What should you do? Look at all the models you've developed during the development process and find

Ask your team to take into account both accuracy and false negative rate during

Rethink the appropriate metric for this task, and ask your team to tune to the

Pick false negative rate as the new metric, and use this new metric to drive all

14. You've handily beaten your competitor, and your system is now deployed in Peacetopia and is protecting the citizens from birds! But over the last few months, a new species of bird

has been slowly migrating into the area, so the performance of your system slowly

degrades because your data is being tested on a new type of data.

the one with the lowest false negative error rate.

If the test set is big enough for the 0.05% error estimate to be accurate, this

With only 0.09% further progress to make, you should quickly be able to close the

This is a statistical anomaly (or must be the result of statistical noise) since it

should not be possible to surpass human-level performance.

from you within the next 3 months. Which of these should you do first? Use the data you have to define a new evaluation metric (using a new dev/test set) taking into account the new species, and use that to drive further progress Correct Put the 1,000 images into the training set so as to try to do better on these birds. Try data augmentation/data synthesis to get more images of the new type of bird. Add the 1,000 images into your dataset and reshuffle into a new train/dev/test

You have only 1,000 images of the new species of bird. The city expects a better system

working on Cat detectors, you have such a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images that training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.) If 100,000,000 examples is enough to build a good enough Cat detector, you might be better of training with just 10,000,000 examples to gain a \approx 10x improvement in how quickly you can run experiments, even if each model

Buying faster computers could speed up your teams' iteration speed and thus

15. The City Council thinks that having more Cats in the city would help scare off birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also hire you to build a Cat detector. (Wow Cat detectors are just incredibly useful aren't they.) Because of years of

performs a bit worse because it's trained on less data. Correct Having built a good Bird detector, you should be able to take the same model and hyperparameters and just apply it to the Cat dataset, so there is no need to iterate. Un-selected is correct

your team's productivity.

Correct

Needing two weeks to train will limit the speed at which you can iterate. Correct