Chapter 3 - Proofs

Scott Brown

August 22, 2013

3.1. Proof Strategies

- 1. **Theorem.** Suppose n is an integer larger than 1 and n is not prime. Then $2^n 1$ is not prime.
- (a) Hypotheses:

n is an integer larger than 1

n is not prime

Conclusion:

 $2^n - 1$ is not prime

When n = 6 both the hypotheses are true, because 6 > 1 and 6 is not prime (2 * 3 = 6).

The theorem says that $2^6 - 1$ is not prime, and this is correct since $2^6 - 1 = 63$ and 63 is not prime (9 * 7 = 63).

- (b) For the case n=15, n>1 and n is not prime (15=3*5), so the hypotheses are true. The conclusion is also true because $2^{15}-1=32767$ and 32767 is not prime (32767=151*31*7).
- (c) For the case $n=1,\,11>1$ and 11 is prime. Because one of the hypotheses is false, nothing can be concluded from the theorem in this case.
- 2. **Theorem.** Suppose that $b^2 > 4ac$. Then the quadratic equation $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ has exactly two real solutions.
- (a) Hypothesis: $b^2 > 4ac$ Conclusion: The quadratic equation $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ has exactly two real solutions.
- (b) To give an instance of the theorem, values of a,b and c must be specified, but not x. This is because the values of a, b and c must be known to decide if the hypothesis is true. The value of x is unspecified because the theorem applies to all possible values of x.

(c)
$$a=2,b=5,c=3$$

 $5^2>4*2*3\equiv25>24$ which is true, so the hypothesis holds.
 $2x^2+5x+3=0$
 $2*3=6$

$$\begin{aligned} 2 + 3 &= 5 \\ 2x^2 + 2x + 3x + 3 \end{aligned}$$

$$2x(x+1) + 3(x+1)$$

$$(2x+3)(x+1) = 0$$

x = -1 or x = -3/2 so there are exactly two real solutions.

The conclusion is correct.

$$(d)a = 2, b = 4, c = 3$$

 $4^2 > 4 * 2 * 3 \equiv 16 > 24$ which is false. Since the hypothesis is false, nothing can be concluded from the theorem in this case.

3. **Incorrect Theorem.** Suppose n is a natural number larger than 2, and n is not a prime number. Then 2n + 13 is not a prime number.

Hypotheses: n is a natural number larger than 2

n is not a prime number

Conclusion: 2n + 13 is not a prime number

Counterexample: n = 8

8 > 2 and 8 is not prime, so the hypotheses are true.

2*8+13=29 which is prime, so the conclusion is false.

The hypotheses are true, but the conclusion is false, so the theorem is incorrect.