

①

```
procedure find_best_combination(index, combination, stores):
```

```
  if index == stores.length:
```

```
    return calculate_discount(combination), combination
```

```
  end if
```

```
  with_store = find_best_combination(index+1, combination + [stores[index]], stores)
```

```
  without_store = find_best_combination(index+1, combination, stores)
```

```
  if with_store[0] > without_store[0]:
```

```
    return with_store
```

```
  else:
```

```
    return without_store
```

```
  end if
```

```
end
```

$$T(n) = 2T(n-1) + 1$$

$2T(n-1) \Rightarrow$  two recursive calls  
(with and without store)

$+1 \Rightarrow$  calculate\_discount() function

### Average Case Time Complexity

We consider the average number of nodes visited.  
Each level of the tree has twice as many as nodes  
as the level above it.

$$\text{Total \# of nodes} = 2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \dots + 2^{n-1} = 2^n - 1$$

$$\text{Average \# of nodes visited is } \approx \frac{2^n}{2} = 2^{n-1}$$

$$\text{Average case} = O(2^n)$$

②

```
procedure find_optimal_assignment(users, processes, processors, matrix):
```

```
  permutations = list(permutation(users))
```

```
  best = 0
```

```
  minimum_cost = \infty
```

```
  for permutation in permutations:
```

```
    cost = 0
```

```
    for i in range(processors.length):
```

```
      cost = cost + matrix[processors[i]][users[permutation[i]]][processors[processors.length-i-1]]
```

```
    end for
```

```
    if cost < minimum_cost:
```

```
      minimum_cost = cost
```

```
      best = permutation
```

```
    end if
```

```
  end for
```

```
  return best, minimum_cost
```

```
end
```

### Worst Case $\Theta(n \cdot n!)$

Program generates all permutations of user-process pairs.  
Which is  $n!$ . For each it calculates the cost.  $O(n)$

### Best Case $\Theta(n \cdot n!)$

Algorithm needs to explore all permutations.  
There is no scenario where it can terminate early.

### Average Case $\Theta(n \cdot n!)$

On average, algorithm needs to explore a significant  
portion of the solution space.

③

```
procedure find_optimal_energy(position, remain, sequence, matrix):
```

```
  if remain == \emptyset:
```

```
    return calculate_energy(sequence, matrix)
```

```
  end if
```

```
  min_energy = \infty
```

```
  for part in remain:
```

```
    sequence.append(part)
```

```
    position = part
```

```
    energy = find_optimal_energy(position, remain - {part}, sequence, matrix)
```

```
    if energy < min_energy:
```

```
      min_energy = energy
```

```
    end if
```

```
    sequence.pop()
```

```
  end for
```

```
  return min_energy
```

```
procedure calculate_energy(sequence, matrix):
```

```
  total = 0
```

```
  for i in range(sequence.length-1):
```

```
    total = total + matrix[sequence[i]][sequence[i+1]]
```

```
  end for
```

```
  return total
```

```
end
```

### ③ Time Complexity: (calculate\_energy() $\Rightarrow \in \Theta(n)$ )

Worst case: Algorithm needs to explore all possible combinations of assembling parts. This corresponds to the scenario where the algorithm has to check all permutations. It is  $(n-1)!$  times, where  $n$  is # of parts.  $\Rightarrow \Theta(n!)$

Best case: The nature of the exhaustive search algorithm implies that it needs to explore a significant portion of the solution space.  $\Theta(n!)$

Average case: The algorithm need to explore all, similar to worst and best case.  $\Theta((n-1)! \cdot n) = \Theta(n!)$

### ④ procedure minCoins(coins, target):

```

if target == 0:
    return 0
end if
min_coins = ∞
for coin in coins:
    if coin <= target:
        sub_coins = minCoins(coins, target - coin)
        if sub_coins + 1 < min_coins:
            min_coins = sub_coins + 1
    end if
end for
return min_coins
end

```

#### Worst Case:

The algorithm has to explore all possible combinations of coins for each recursive call before finding the optimal solution. Time complexity is  $\Theta(2^n)$ , where  $n$  is the target amount.

#### Best Case:

Algorithm still needs to explore all possible combinations. Time complexity is  $\Theta(2^n)$

#### Average Case:

Algorithm still needs to explore all similar to worst and best. Time complexity is  $\Theta(2^n)$

### Function Steps:

- 1- Base case check  $\Rightarrow$  Checks if the target amount is 0. If it is, we don't need any more coin.
- 2- Initialize minimum coins  $\Rightarrow$  Start from  $\infty$ . This will be used to keep track of the min number of coins needed.
- 3- Loop through coins  $\Rightarrow$  Iterate each coin in the list
  - a- Check coin validity  $\Rightarrow$  Checks if the coin is smaller than or equal to the remaining amount. Then it is valid.
  - b- Recursive call  $\Rightarrow$  Makes a recursive call with an updated target amount. Finding minimum in return.
  - c- Update minimum coins.  $\Rightarrow$  Compare return value of recursive call with min coins. Update min coins if needed.
  - d- Return result.

### ⑤ $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + 2$

Recursively calls of left half and right half  $\Rightarrow 2 * T(\frac{n}{2})$

Comparison and assignment  $\Rightarrow '2'$  (constant time)

### Master Theorem:

$$T(n) = a.T(\frac{n}{b}) + f(n)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a=2 \\ b=2 \\ f(n)=2 \\ d=0 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} a > b^d \\ 2 > 1 \end{array} \Rightarrow \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) = \Theta(n^{\log_2 2}) = \Theta(n)$$