

CSCI 301 M2 Homework

Bo Sullivan

April 16, 2020

Collaboration statement: By submitting this assignment, I am attesting that this homework is in full compliance with the course's <https://www.instructure.com/courses/1340003/pages/academic-dishonesty-guidelines> Homework Collaboration Policy and with all the other relevant academic honesty policies of the course and university. I discussed this homework with no one and wrote this solution without input from anyone else.

1.
 - (a) $x < y$ is not a statement because we do not know the values of x or y thus making it impossible to establish if it is true or false.
 - (b) $x < y$ or $x > y$ is not a statement for the same reason as (a). We do not know the values of x or y thus establishing true or false would be impossible.
 - (c) All...course can be equated to either true or false, so this is a statement.
 - (d) Every...one student can also be equated to either true or false, thus it is a statement.
 - (e) There exists ... $\cos(x) > 2$ is a statement in which we can pick or find an x value to satisfy $\cos(x) > 2$, making this a statement.
 - (f) Every ...than x . This is not a statement as we do not know the value of x to establish if any $y > 0$ is larger than it.
2.
 - (a) $(P \vee Q) \rightarrow (Q \wedge R)$
 - (b) $P \rightarrow \neg R$
 - (c) $P \wedge (Q \vee R)$
 - (d) $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R$
3. (a)

p	q	$\neg p \rightarrow q$
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	F
F	F	F

(b)

p	q	r	$(p \rightarrow q) \vee r$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T
F	F	F	T

(c)

p	q	r	$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r)$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	F
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T
F	F	F	T

(d)

p	q	r	$(p \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	F
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T
F	F	F	T

4.

$\neg(p \rightarrow q)$	$p \wedge \neg q$	$\neg(\neg p \vee q)$	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
F	F	F	T
T	T	T	F
F	F	F	T
F	F	F	T

As you can see $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ is different per truth tables.

5. (a) There exists a person x for every person y where x teaches y is true.
 (b) For every person y , there exists a person x where x teaches y is true.

- (c) For every person, a professor is sufficient for some person x to teach one another person y .
- (d) For every person y there exists a person x where it is not the case that the person is a professor and person x teaches person y .
6. When examining multiple quantifiers we must work through the negations carefully.

$$\begin{array}{l}
 1 \\
 \neg(\forall x \in P \forall y \in P T(x, y)) \\
 \downarrow \\
 \exists x \in P \neg(\forall y \in P T(x, y)) \\
 \downarrow \\
 \exists x \in P \exists y \in P \neg T(x, y) \\
 2 \\
 \neg(\exists x \in P \exists y \in P T(x, y)) \\
 \downarrow \\
 \forall x \in P \neg \exists y \in P T(x, y) \\
 \downarrow \\
 \forall x \in P \forall y \in P \neg T(x, y) \\
 3 \\
 \exists x \in P \exists y \in P \neg T(x, y) \\
 4 \\
 \exists x \in P \neg(\forall y \in P T(x, y)) \\
 \downarrow \\
 \exists x \in P \exists y \in P \neg T(x, y)
 \end{array}$$

So you will see that the nested quantifier statements from 1, 3, and 4 all result in the same ending through negation. Nested quantifier statement 2 is the outlier that is not like the others. The down arrows indicate steps in negation.