

Its 2026 Yet Most Domain Advice Is Still Written for 2012

January 5, 2026 Scheduled

I still see the same domain advice being recycled that I first read more than a decade ago. Different platforms, different voices, sometimes slicker packaging, but the underlying assumptions have barely changed.

The problem is not that the advice was wrong back then. The problem is that the market it was written for no longer exists.

In 2012, domains were still benefiting from a simpler internet. Fewer startups, fewer naming options, less noise, and far less competition for attention. A good keyword domain could sit on a landing page, wait patiently, and eventually attract a buyer who had not yet been trained to overanalyze every purchase.

The gap between investors and buyers was smaller. Expectations were lower on both sides.

Fast forward to today, and buyers are sharper. They have more data, more alternatives, and more internal pressure to justify every decision. Founders are not buying domains in a vacuum anymore. They are weighing naming against brand risk, SEO considerations, funding optics, trademark exposure, and whether the name will still work three years from now.

Yet much of the advice aimed at domain investors still treats buyers as if they are operating with a 2012 mindset.

One of the clearest examples is pricing guidance. You still see blanket advice that encourages either extreme pricing or racing to the bottom. Price it high

and wait forever, or price it cheap and move volume.

That framing ignores the fact that buyers today are evaluating value, not just cost.

They want to understand why a domain is priced the way it is. They expect context. When pricing advice fails to account for buyer psychology, negotiation dynamics, and real world use cases, it does more harm than good.

Another outdated idea is the obsession with trends for the sake of trends. In 2012, jumping early on a hot keyword or extension could work simply because the market was immature. In 2026, chasing trends without understanding who the actual buyers are is a fast way to build a portfolio that looks exciting on paper but struggles to sell.

Real demand is quieter than hype. It shows up in inbound emails, not forum threads.

I also see advice that overemphasizes tools and metrics while underestimating judgment. Automated appraisals, keyword scores, and search volume can be useful inputs. But they are not substitutes for experience.

Buyers do not purchase domains because a tool told them it was valuable. They buy because the name solves a problem, removes friction, or creates leverage for their business.

Advice that treats domains like abstract assets instead of practical tools is stuck in the past.

What has changed most since 2012 is the importance of credibility. Buyers today expect professionalism. They notice how you communicate, how you present your domains, and how you handle negotiations.

The idea that you can throw up a parked page and wait is largely outdated for serious sales. Trust matters more now, not less.

Yet much of the advice being shared barely acknowledges this shift.

I have made plenty of mistakes assuming buyers thought like they did ten years ago. I held names too long at prices that made sense in 2015 but not in 2023. I watched opportunities slip away because I was following advice that was already outdated when I found it.

The fundamentals of domain investing are not broken. Patience still matters, but so does positioning. Quality still wins, but quality now includes context and clarity, not just a good string of characters. Negotiation is still an art, but it is grounded in understanding how modern buyers think and operate.

If domain advice feels stale, it is because too much of it is frozen in time. The market moved on. Buyers moved on.

The investors who continue to do well are the ones who figured this out years ago, adjusted quietly, and stopped relying on playbooks written for a different era.

In 2026, success in domains is less about copying old formulas and more about applying experience to a changing landscape.

That is the part that still works, no matter how much else changes.