

Mr. President : It will be a charge on the provincial revenues.

The question is:

“That in clause (3) of article 135 for the words ‘Legislature of the State’ the word ‘Parliament’ be substituted.”

The amendment was adopted.

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari : Sir, I move:

“That clause (4) of article 144 be omitted.”

Sir, clause (4) is similar to article 62(5) (a) which has been omitted and the reason for moving this is that this House has decided that there should be no Fourth Schedule to this Constitution, and as this clause is entirely dependent on the fact that there should be such a Schedule, it is no longer necessary.

Mr. President : The question is:

“That clause (4) of article 144 be omitted.”

The amendment was adopted.

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari : Sir, I move:

“That clause (2) of article 149 be omitted.”

Clause (2) of article 149 is much the same as the previous article which the House has accepted, in regard to the House of the People. This clause (2) as it now stands provides for election on the basis of adult suffrage and so on and we find that this has been transposed. Now article 289-B deals with elections to Parliament and with elections to the Legislature of a State. Therefore this clause is not necessary.

Prof. Shibban Lal Saksena : I am not moving my typed amendment which reads:

“That amendment 369 of List IV (Second week) be deleted.”

Mr. Naziruddin Ahmad : Some of the Members including my humble self find it difficult to follow these changes of mind. When clause (2) of article 149 was there, then article-289-B should not have been passed : we should have passed immediately another amendment just to remove mere duplication. So far as the present amendments are concerned they have been circulated to us only today. The Members have had no time to consider them. The result of these hurried and rapid amendments might be that there might be other anomalies requiring further clarifications and corrections. It is difficult to follow them and the way we have been amending our old decisions on the ground of anomalies and duplications shows the danger of adopting them without real consideration.

Mr. President : I think all these articles were introduced under a separate part dealing with elections, and so it was considered necessary to remove all those which dealt with elections to this one place.

Mr. Naziruddin Ahmad : Why were they not thought of at the time of those amendments ?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari : The explanation that the Chair has given is perfectly right. Actually we thought of a complete chapter and at the time that the chapter was introduced and accepted by the House we did not move for the deletion of this article because it was thought that it could be done