

Article 230

Mr. President : The motion is:

“That article 230 form part of the Constitution.”

(Amendment No. 2784 was not moved.)

The Honourable Dr. B. R. Ambedkar : Sir, I move:

“That in article 230, for the words ‘for any State or part thereof’, the words ‘for the whole or any part of the territory of India’ be substituted.”

(Amendment Nos. 2786 and 2787 were not moved.)

Mr. President : The question is:

“That in article 230, for the words ‘for any State or part thereof’ the words ‘for the whole or any part of the territory of India’ be substituted.”

The amendment was adopted.

Mr. President : The question is:

“That article 230, as amended, stand part of the Constitution.”

The motion was adopted.

Article 230, as amended, was added to the Constitution.

Article 234

Mr. President : The motion is:

“That article 231 form part of the Constitution.”

(Amendment Nos. 2789 and 2790 were not moved.)

Mr. President : There is another amendment No. 196.

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari : Sir, I formally move amendment No. 2788:

“That clause (2) of article 231 be deleted.”

Sir, this more or less on the lines of the amendment which we have already adopted.

The Honourable Dr. B. R. Ambedkar : Sir, I move:

“That with reference to amendment No. 2788 of the List of Amendments, in clause (2) of article 231, after the word and figure ‘Part I’ the words and figures ‘or Part III’ be inserted.”

Shri A. Thanu Pillai (Travancore State): Mr. President, Sir, when the Draft was originally prepared, there was no intention of placing the States in Part III on the same footing as the States in Part I of the first Schedule. In fact, it is a quite recent idea that the States in Part III should be brought into line with the States in Part I in regard to the power of Parliament to legislate and necessary amendments are being incorporated in the various articles that we are dealing with. When we came to article 225, that article was held over. That relates to the general right of Parliament to legislate for the States in Part III and consideration is held over because evidently the relations between the Centre or Parliament and the States in Part III have not been fully settled. That is all right; but what I wish to point out is this. In regard to law making, till now, the right of the Central legislature did not extend to States in Part III. The laws in