COL 702

Homework III

Due on Oct 21

Justify your answers with proper reasonings/proofs.

1. A multi-stack consists of an infinite series of stacks S_0, S_1, \ldots where the *i*th stack S_i can hold upto 3^i elements. The user always pushes and pops elements from the smallest stack S_0 . However, before any element can be pushed onto any full stack S_i , we first pop all the elements of S_i and push them into S_{i+1} (and if S_{i+1} gets full, we need to recurse). Similarly, before any element can be popped from any empty stack S_i , we first pop 3^i elements from S_{i+1} and push them into S_i . Again if S_{i+1} becomes empty during this process, we recurse. Assume push and pop operations for each stack takes O(1) time. The pseudo-code is as follows:

```
MultiPush(x):
```

```
i = 0;
  while (S_i is full)
      i = i+1;
  while (i > 0) {
     i = i-1;
     for j=1 to 3<sup>i</sup>
         Push(S_{i+1}, Pop(S_i));
  Push(S_0, x);
MultiPop():
   i = 0;
   while (S_i is empty)
       i = i+1;
   while (i > 0) {
       i = i-1;
       for j=1 to 3<sup>i</sup>
           Push(S_i, Pop(S_{i+1});
   }
   return Pop(S_0);
```

- In the worst case, how long does it take to push one more element onto a multistack containing n elements?
- Prove that if the user never pops anything from the multistack, the amortized cost of a push operation is $O(\log n)$, where n is the maximum number of elements in the multistack during its lifetime.

- Prove that in any intermixed sequence of pushes and pops, each push or pop operation takes $O(\log n)$ amortized time, where n is the maximum number of elements in the multistack during its lifetime.
- 2. Suppose you are faced with an infinite number of counters x_i , one for each integer i. Each counter stores an integer mod m, where m is a fixed global constant. All counters are initially zero. The following operation increments a single counter x_i ; however, if x_i overflows (that is, wraps around from m to 0), the adjacent counters x_{i1} and x_{i+1} are incremented recursively. Here is the pseudocode:

```
Nudge_m(i)

x_i = x_i + 1;
while (x_i >= m) {
    x_i = x_i - m;
    Nudge_m(i+1);
    Nudge_m(i-1);
}
```

- Suppose we call $Nudge_3$ n times starting from the initial state when all counters 0. Note that each call can start from any of the counters. Show that the amortized time complexity is O(1).
- What is the amortized time complexity in the above question if the global counter m is 2 instead of 3?
- 3. You are given a tree T where each vertex v has an integer val(v) stored in it (you can assume that all the integers involved are distinct). A vertex v is said to be a *local minimum* if $val(v) \leq val(w)$ for all the neighbours w of v. Show how to find a local minimum in O(n) time, where n is the number of vertices in T.
 - Solve the same problem when the graph is an $n \times n$ grid graph. An $n \times n$ grid graph has vertices labelled (i, j), where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and (i, j) is adjacent to (i 1, j), (i + 1, j), (i, j 1), (i, j + 1) (with appropriate restrictions at the boundary). The time taken by the algorithm should be O(n).
- 4. (a) Let $n = 2^l 1$ for some positive integer l. Suppose someone claims to hold an unsorted array A[1...n] of distinct l-bit strings; thus, exactly one l-bit string does not appear in A. Suppose further that the only way we can access A is by calling the function FB(i,j), which returns the j^{th} bit of the string A[i] in O(1) time. Describe an algorithm to find the missing string in A using only O(n) calls to FB.
 - (b) Now suppose $n = 2^l k$ for some positive integers k and l, and again we are given an array A[1...n] of distinct l-bit strings. Describe an algorithm to find the k strings that are missing from A using only $O(n \log k)$ calls to FB.

- 5. Suppose you are given an array $A[1 \dots n]$ of numbers, which may be positive, negative, or zero, and which are not necessarily integers.
 - (a) Describe and analyze an O(n) time algorithm that finds the largest sum of elements in a contiguous subarray $A[i \dots j]$.
 - (b) Describe and analyze an O(n) time algorithm that finds the largest product of elements in a contiguous subarray $A[i \dots j]$.