AMS 572 Data Analysis I Power and sample size for two population means

Pei-Fen Kuan

Applied Math and Stats, Stony Brook University

Based on Z test

Power calculation

$$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_1 \\ H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_2 \neq \Delta_1$$

$$\operatorname{power} = P(\operatorname{reject} H_0 | H_a)$$

$$= P(Z_0 \geq z_{\alpha/2} | \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_2) + P(Z_0 \leq -z_{\alpha/2} | \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_2)$$

$$= P(\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \Delta_1}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} \geq z_{\alpha/2} | \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_2)$$

$$+ P(\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \Delta_1}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} \leq -z_{\alpha/2} | \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_2)$$

$$= P(\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \Delta_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} \geq z_{\alpha/2} - \frac{\Delta_2 - \Delta_1}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} | \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_2)$$

$$+ P(\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \Delta_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} \leq -z_{\alpha/2} - \frac{\Delta_2 - \Delta_1}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} | \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_2)$$

$$= P(Z \geq z_{\alpha/2} - \frac{\Delta_2 - \Delta_1}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}) + P(Z \leq -z_{\alpha/2} - \frac{\Delta_2 - \Delta_1}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}})$$

$$= P(Z \geq z_{\alpha/2} - \frac{\Delta_2 - \Delta_1}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}) + P(Z \leq -z_{\alpha/2} - \frac{\Delta_2 - \Delta_1}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}})$$

Sample size determination for a given margin of error E

Based on exact or the large sample approximate z-test;

$$n_1 = n_2 = n$$

Suppose the margin of error is E with probability $(1 - \alpha)$

$$P(|(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)| \le E) = 1 - \alpha$$

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} \dot{\sim} N(0, 1)$$

$$P(-E \le \bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_1 - \mu_2) \le E) = 1 - \alpha$$

$$P(\frac{-E}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} \le \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} \le \frac{E}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}) = 1 - \alpha$$

Sample size determination for a given CI length L

Sample size determination for a given power

$$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_1 \\ H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_2 > (\text{or } <) \Delta_1$$

$$1 - \beta = \text{power} = P(\text{reject } H_0 | H_a)$$

$$= P(Z_0 \ge z_\alpha | \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_2)$$

$$= P(\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \Delta_1}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n}}} \ge z_\alpha | \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_2)$$

$$= P(\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \Delta_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n}}} \ge z_\alpha - \frac{\Delta_2 - \Delta_1}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n}}} | \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_2)$$

$$z_\alpha - \frac{\Delta_2 - \Delta_1}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n}}} = -z_\beta$$

Sample size determination for a given power

One-tailed test, exact Z

$$n = \frac{(z_{\alpha} + z_{\beta})^{2} (\sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2})}{(\Delta_{2} - \Delta_{1})^{2}}$$

One-tailed test, approximate Z

$$n = \frac{(z_{\alpha} + z_{\beta})^{2} (\sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2})}{(\Delta_{2} - \Delta_{1})^{2}}$$

Two-tailed test, exact or approximate Z

$$n = \frac{(z_{\alpha/2} + z_{\beta})^2 (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)}{(\Delta_2 - \Delta_1)^2}$$

Example: A new method of making concrete blocks has been proposed. To test whether or not the new method increases the compressive strength, 5 sample blocks are made by each method.

New Method	14	15	13	15	16
Old Method	13	15	13	12	14

- (a) Get a 95% CI for the mean difference of the 2 methods.
- (b) At α = 0.05, can you conclude the new method is better? Write a SAS and R program for part (b).

```
data block;
input method $ strength ;
datalines ;
new 14
new 15
new 13
new 15
new 16
old 13
old 15
old 13
old 12
old 14
run ;
```

9

SAS Code

```
proc univariate data=block normal plot ;
class method ;
var strength ;
run ;

proc ttest data=block sides=U ;
class method ;
var strength ;
run ;
```

The SAS System								
Method	Variances	DF	t Value	e Pr > t				
Pooled	Equal	8	1.6	0.0673				
Satterthwaite	Unequal	8	1.6	0.0673				
Equality of Variances								
Method	Num DF Der	n DF FV	alue	Pr > F				
Folded F	4	4	1.00	1.0000				

sample estimates:
ratio of variances

> new <- c(14,15,13,15,16) > old <- c(13,15,13,12,14)

```
> var.test(new,old)
F test to compare two variances

data: new and old
F = 1, num df = 4, denom df = 4, p-value = 1
alternative hypothesis: true ratio of variances is not equal to
95 percent confidence interval:
    0.1041175 9.6045299
```

```
> shapiro.test(new)
Shapiro-Wilk normality test
data:
      new
W = 0.96086, p-value = 0.814
> shapiro.test(old)
Shapiro-Wilk normality test
data:
     blo
W = 0.96086, p-value = 0.814
```

14.6 13.4

Example: An experiment was done to determine the effect on dairy cattle of a diet supplement with liquid whey. While no differences were noted in milk production between the group with a standard diet (hay + grain + water) and the experimental group with whey supplement (hay + grain + whey), a considerable difference was noted in the amount of hay ingested. For a 2-tailed test with α =0.05, determine the approximate number of cattle that should be included in each group if we want $\beta \leq 0.1$ for $|\mu_1 - \mu_2| \geq 0.5$. Previous study has shown $\sigma \approx 0.8$.

Example: Do fraternities help or hurt your academic progress at college? To investigate this question, 5 students who joined fraternities in 1998 were randomly selected. It was shown that their GPA before and after they joined the fraternities are as follows.

Student	1	2	3	4	5
Before	3	4	3	3	2
After	2	3	3	2	1
Diff	1	1	0	1	1

Test the hypothesis at $\alpha = 0.05$

$$H_0: \mu_d = 0$$

$$H_a: \mu_d \neq 0$$

Assumption: the difference follows a normal distribution.

$$\bar{X}_d = 0.8, s_d = 0.447, n = 5, \alpha = 0.05$$

Test statistic:
$$T_0 = \frac{\bar{X}_d - 0}{s_d / \sqrt{n}} \stackrel{H_0}{\sim} t_{n-1}$$

$$|T_0| = 4.02 > t_{4,0.025} = 2.776$$

We reject H_0 at α =0.05 and conclude fraternities does hurt

```
data frat ;
input before after;
diff = before - after ;
datalines ;
3 2
4 3
3 3
3 2
2 1
run ;
proc univariate data=frat normal ;
var diff ;
run ;
```

```
> before <- c(3,4,3,3,2)
> after <- c(2,3,3,2,1)
> shapiro.test(before-after)
Shapiro-Wilk normality test
data: before - after
W = 0.55218, p-value = 0.000131
### normality assumption is violated,
### thus the appropriate approach is to
### use non-parametric approach from Chapter 14.
```

sample estimates:
mean of the differences

> t.test(before,after,paired=TRUE)

0.8

```
Paired t-test

data: before and after

t = 4, df = 4, p-value = 0.01613

alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to

95 percent confidence interval:

0.244711 1.355289
```

```
> d <- c(1,1,0,1,1)
> t.test(d)
One Sample t-test
data: d
t = 4, df = 4, p-value = 0.01613
alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.244711 1.355289
sample estimates:
mean of x
      0.8
```