# AMS 572 Data Analysis I Nonparametric Statistical Methods

Pei-Fen Kuan

Applied Math and Stats, Stony Brook University

# Nonparametric inference for two independent samples

# Wilcoxon (Mann-Whitney) Rank Sum Test

1. Assume:

$$Y_{11}, \dots, Y_{1n_1} \text{ iid } \sim F_1(y)$$
  
 $Y_{21}, \dots, Y_{2n_2} \text{ iid } \sim F_2(y)$   
 $H_0: F_1(y) = F_2(y)$ 

$$H_a: F_1(y) = F_2(y + \Delta)$$

where  $\Delta$  is a constant

- 2. Pool the two samples
- 3. Rank them from smallest to largest
- 4. Compute the sum of the ranks,  $W_1$ , in group 1

#### Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test

- ▶ There are  $N = n_1 + n_2$  subjects in our study
- ▶ Thus there are  $\binom{N}{n_1}$  possible outcomes
- ▶ Under  $H_0$ , each is equally likely
- $\triangleright$  We compute the distribution of  $W_1$  by enumeration

- ► A new drug is being test in humans for the first time to assess effect on CD4+ T cells in patients with HIV
- ▶ 7 individuals are randomized to 2 groups: control  $(n_1 = 3)$  or drug  $(n_2 = 4)$
- ▶ Endpoint is percent change in CD4+ count from baseline
- ▶ Null hypothesis is the drug has no effect

$$H_0: \Delta = 0; H_a: \Delta \neq 0$$

- ▶ Data: control (65, 73, 69); drug (89, 70, 92, 88)
- ▶ There are  $\binom{7}{3} = 35$  possible outcomes

#### Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test: $n_1 = 3, n_2 = 4$

Ranks	$W_1$	Ranks	$W_1$	Ranks	$W_1$
1,2,3	6	1,5,6	12	2,6,7	15
1,2,4	7	1,5,7	13	3,4,5	12
1,2,5	8	1,6,7	14	3,4,6	13
1,2,6	9	2,3,4	9	3,4,7	14
1,2,7	10	2,3,5	10	3,5,6	14
1,3,4	8	2,3,6	11	3,5,7	15
1,3,5	9	2,3,7	12	3,6,7	16
1,3,6	10	2,4,5	11	$4,\!5,\!6$	15
1,3,7	11	2,4,6	12	$4,\!5,\!7$	16
1,4,5	10	2,4,7	13	$4,\!6,\!7$	17
1,4,6	11	2,5,6	13	5,6,7	18
1,4,7	12	2,5,7	14		

### Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test: $n_1 = 3, n_2 = 4$

$\mathbf{W}$	$\operatorname{Freq}$	F(w)	w	$\operatorname{Freq}$	F(w)
6	1	0.0286	13	5	0.6857
7	1	0.0571	14	4	0.8000
8	2	0.1143	15	3	0.8857
9	3	0.2000	16	2	0.9429
10	4	0.3142	17	1	0.9714
11	4	0.4286	18	1	1
12	4	0.5714			

#### Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test: $n_1 = 3, n_2 = 4$

- Note it is impossible to reject  $H_0$  for a two-sided alternative when  $\alpha = 0.05$ .
- ▶ Observed  $W_1 = 1 + 2 + 4 = 7$ ; do not reject  $H_0$

$$p = 2(0.05714) = 0.1143$$

#### Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test

▶ It can be shown that

$$E(W_1) = \frac{n_1}{N} \frac{N(N+1)}{2} = \frac{n_1(N+1)}{2}$$

► Similarly

$$Var(W_1) = \frac{n_1 n_2 (N+1)}{12}$$

# Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test: Large Sample Approx

▶ If  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are large

$$Z = \frac{W_1 - E(W_1) - 0.5}{\sqrt{Var(W_1)}}$$

will be approx N(0,1).

- ▶ Approximation is good for  $n_1, n_2 \ge 12$
- ▶ If there are ties

$$Var(W_1) = \frac{n_1 n_2 (N+1)}{12} - \frac{n_1 n_2}{12N(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i (t_i - 1)(t_i + 1)$$

where q equals the number of sets of ties and  $t_i$  is the number of observations in the ith set

4□ > 4₫ > 4 Ē > 4 Ē > Ē 9 Q €

# Mann-Whitney Test

ightharpoonup Consider all  $n_1n_2$  possible pairs

$$(Y_{1i}, Y_{2j}); i = 1, 2, \dots, n_1; j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2$$

- Let  $U_1$  equal the number of pairs with  $Y_{1i} > Y_{2j}$  and  $U_2$  equal the number of pairs with  $Y_{1i} < Y_{2j}$
- ▶ It can be shown that

$$U_1 = W_1 - \frac{n_1(n_1+1)}{2}$$
  $U_2 = W_2 - \frac{n_2(n_2+1)}{2}$ 

► Mann Whitney test and Wilcoxon rank sum test are equivalent

Test whether drug group has higher median than placebo group

Drug Rank | Placebo Rank

Drug	1 COIII	1 lacebo	1 (01111)
6.9	18	6.4	11
7.6	<b>25.5</b>	6.7	13
7.3	23.5	5.4	3
7.6	25.5	8.2	28.5
6.8	15	5.3	2
7.2	22	6.6	12
8.0	27	5.8	8.5
5.5	4	5.7	6.5
5.8	8.5	6.2	10
7.3	23.5	7.1	21
8.2	28.5	7.0	20
6.9	18	6.9	18
6.8	15	5.6	5
5.7	6.5	4.2	1
8.6	30	6.8	<b>-15</b> -∌→
		©PF.Kuar	ı

- $ightharpoonup H_0: \Delta = 0; H_a: \Delta > 0$
- $ightharpoonup C_{.05} = \{z : z > 1.645\}$
- $E(W_1) = \frac{15(31)}{2} = 232.5$

AMS 572 ©PF.Kuan 1

► Tie correction:

$$q = 7; t_1 = t_2 = 2; t_3 = t_4 = 3; t_5 = t_6 = t_7 = 2$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} t_i(t_i - 1)(t_i + 1) = 78$$

$$78(15)^2$$

$$Var(W_1) = 581.25 - \frac{78(15)^2}{12(30)(29)} = 579.57$$

$$w_1 = 290.5$$

$$z = \frac{290.5 - 232.5 - 0.5}{\sqrt{579.57}} = 2.388;$$

- ightharpoonup Reject  $H_0$
- $p = 1 \Phi(2.388) = 0.00846$

run;

```
data drug;
input trt $ bp @@;
datalines;
drug 6.9 drug 7.6 drug 7.3 drug 7.6 drug 6.8 drug 7.2 drug 8 dru
;
run;

proc npar1way wilcoxon correct=yes data=drug;
class trt;
var bp;
```

# SAS Output

The NPAR1WAY Procedure

#### Wilcoxon Scores (Rank Sums) for Variable bp Classified by Variable trt

trt	N	Sum of Scores	Expected Under HO	Std Dev Under HO	Mean Score
drug	15	290.50	232.50	24.074239	19.366667
placebo	15	174.50	232.50	24.074239	11.633333

Average scores were used for ties.

#### Wilcoxon Two-Sample Test

Statistic	290.5000
Normal Approximation	
Z	2.3884
One-Sided Pr > Z	0.0085
Two-Sided Pr >  Z	0.0169
t Approximation	
One-Sided Pr > 7	0.0118

 $\label{eq:Two-Sided Pr > |Z| 0.0237}$  Z includes a continuity correction of 0.5.

#### R Code and Output

```
> drug <- c(6.9,7.6,7.3,7.6,6.8,7.2,8.0,5.5,5.8,
7.3,8.2,6.9,6.8,5.7,8.6)
> placebo <- c(6.4,6.7,5.4,8.2,5.3,6.6,5.8,5.7,6.2,
7.1,7.0,6.9,5.6,4.2,6.8)
>
> wilcox.test(drug,placebo,exact=FALSE,correct=TRUE,
alternative='greater')
Wilcoxon rank sum test with continuity correction
data: drug and placebo
W = 170.5, p-value = 0.00846
alternative hypothesis: true location shift is greater than 0
```