

Mini Project

S17403

2023-05-26

Factor Analysis

Explanatory Factor Analysis

Load dataset

```
#https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/mssmartypants/water-quality  
library(readr)  
waterQuality1 <- read_csv("waterQuality1.csv")
```

```
## Warning: One or more parsing issues, see 'problems()' for details  
  
## Rows: 7999 Columns: 21  
## -- Column specification -----  
## Delimiter: ","  
## dbl (21): aluminium, ammonia, arsenic, barium, cadmium, chloramine, chromium...  
##  
## i Use 'spec()' to retrieve the full column specification for this data.  
## i Specify the column types or set 'show_col_types = FALSE' to quiet this message.
```

```
#View(waterQuality1)  
str(waterQuality1)
```

```
## spec_tbl_df [7,999 x 21] (S3: spec_tbl_df/tbl_df/tbl/data.frame)  
## $ aluminium : num [1:7999] 1.65 2.32 1.01 1.36 0.92 0.94 2.36 3.93 0.6 0.22 ...  
## $ ammonia : num [1:7999] 9.08 21.16 14.02 11.33 24.33 ...  
## $ arsenic : num [1:7999] 0.04 0.01 0.04 0.04 0.03 0.03 0.01 0.04 0.01 0.02 ...  
## $ barium : num [1:7999] 2.85 3.31 0.58 2.96 0.2 2.88 1.35 0.66 0.71 1.37 ...  
## $ cadmium : num [1:7999] 0.007 0.002 0.008 0.001 0.006 0.003 0.004 0.001 0.005 0.007 ...  
## $ chloramine : num [1:7999] 0.35 5.28 4.24 7.23 2.67 0.8 1.28 6.22 3.14 6.4 ...  
## $ chromium : num [1:7999] 0.83 0.68 0.53 0.03 0.69 0.43 0.62 0.1 0.77 0.49 ...  
## $ copper : num [1:7999] 0.17 0.66 0.02 1.66 0.57 1.38 1.88 1.86 1.45 0.82 ...  
## $ fluoride : num [1:7999] 0.05 0.9 0.99 1.08 0.61 0.11 0.33 0.86 0.98 1.24 ...  
## $ bacteria : num [1:7999] 0.2 0.65 0.05 0.71 0.13 0.67 0.13 0.16 0.35 0.83 ...  
## $ viruses : num [1:7999] 0 0.65 0.003 0.71 0.001 0.67 0.007 0.005 0.002 0.83 ...  
## $ lead : num [1:7999] 0.054 0.1 0.078 0.016 0.117 0.135 0.021 0.197 0.167 0.109 ...  
## $ nitrates : num [1:7999] 16.08 2.01 14.16 1.41 6.74 ...  
## $ nitrites : num [1:7999] 1.13 1.93 1.11 1.29 1.11 1.89 1.78 1.81 1.84 1.46 ...  
## $ mercury : num [1:7999] 0.007 0.003 0.006 0.004 0.003 0.006 0.007 0.001 0.004 0.01 ...  
## $ perchlorate: num [1:7999] 37.75 32.26 50.28 9.12 16.9 ...
```

```
## $ radium      : num [1:7999] 6.78 3.21 7.07 1.72 2.41 5.42 2.84 7.24 4.99 0.08 ...
## $ selenium    : num [1:7999] 0.08 0.08 0.07 0.02 0.02 0.08 0.1 0.08 0.08 0.03 ...
## $ silver      : num [1:7999] 0.34 0.27 0.44 0.45 0.06 0.19 0.24 0.08 0.25 0.31 ...
## $ uranium     : num [1:7999] 0.02 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.02 0.02 0.08 0.07 0.08 0.01 ...
## $ is_safe     : num [1:7999] 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 ...
## - attr(*, "spec")=
## .. cols(
## ..   aluminium = col_double(),
## ..   ammonia = col_double(),
## ..   arsenic = col_double(),
## ..   barium = col_double(),
## ..   cadmium = col_double(),
## ..   chloramine = col_double(),
## ..   chromium = col_double(),
## ..   copper = col_double(),
## ..   fluoride = col_double(),
## ..   bacteria = col_double(),
## ..   viruses = col_double(),
## ..   lead = col_double(),
## ..   nitrates = col_double(),
## ..   nitrites = col_double(),
## ..   mercury = col_double(),
## ..   perchlorate = col_double(),
## ..   radium = col_double(),
## ..   selenium = col_double(),
## ..   silver = col_double(),
## ..   uranium = col_double(),
## ..   is_safe = col_double()
## .. )
## - attr(*, "problems")=<externalptr>
```

```
fadata<-waterQuality1[, -21]
```

```
#remove null values
fadata <- na.omit(fadata) # Remove NA
colSums(is.na(fadata))
```

```
##   aluminium   ammonia   arsenic   barium   cadmium   chloramine
##         0         0         0         0         0         0
##   chromium   copper   fluoride   bacteria   viruses     lead
##         0         0         0         0         0         0
##   nitrates   nitrites   mercury   perchlorate   radium   selenium
##         0         0         0         0         0         0
##   silver     uranium
##         0         0
```

In factor analysis, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure is used to assess the sampling adequacy for factor analysis. The KMO values range between 0 and 1. A higher KMO value (close to 1) indicates a better suitability of the dataset for factor analysis. Generally, a KMO value above 0.7 is considered acceptable. Additionally, we can examine the KMO values per variable to identify variables with low individual KMO values. Variables with KMO values below 0.5 may indicate poor sampling adequacy and may need to be excluded from the factor analysis.

```
library(psych)
```

```
## Warning: package 'psych' was built under R version 4.2.3
```

```
df_corr <- cor(fadata) # Create a correlation matrix
KMO(df_corr) # Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin factor adequacy
```

```
## Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin factor adequacy
## Call: KMO(r = df_corr)
## Overall MSA = 0.8
## MSA for each item =
##   aluminium    ammonia    arsenic    barium    cadmium    chloramine
##         0.86         0.70         0.75         0.93         0.50         0.90
##   chromium     copper     flouride    bacteria    viruses     lead
##         0.91         0.65         0.42         0.48         0.43         0.58
##   nitrates     nitrites    mercury    perchlorate    radium     selenium
##         0.53         0.77         0.42         0.91         0.93         0.42
##   silver       uranium
##         0.92         0.64
```


```
fa.var<-c('aluminium', 'ammonia' , 'arsenic', 'barium', 'cadmium', 'chloramine', 'chromium', 'cop  
fadata<-fadata[,fa.var]
```

Correlation Matrix for the data

```
library(corrplot)
```

```
## corrplot 0.92 loaded
```

```
df1_corr <- cor(fadata)
corrplot(round(df1_corr, 2),
  type="upper", order="hclust",
  tl.col="black", tl.srt=45, #Text label color and rotation
  diag=FALSE) # hide correlation coefficient on the principal diagonal
```



```
round(df1_corr, 2)
```

```
##          aluminium ammonia arsenic barium cadmium chloramine chromium copper
## aluminium      1.00    0.07    0.23    0.29   -0.10      0.37    0.35    0.17
## ammonia        0.07    1.00    0.05    0.07   -0.01      0.10    0.12    0.02
## arsenic         0.23    0.05    1.00    0.36    0.33      0.36    0.31   -0.04
## barium          0.29    0.07    0.36    1.00   -0.04      0.45    0.42    0.07
## cadmium        -0.10   -0.01    0.33   -0.04    1.00     -0.14   -0.16   -0.11
## chloramine      0.37    0.10    0.36    0.45   -0.14      1.00    0.56    0.12
## chromium        0.35    0.12    0.31    0.42   -0.16      0.56    1.00    0.11
## copper          0.17    0.02   -0.04    0.07   -0.11      0.12    0.11    1.00
## lead           0.02   -0.04   -0.09   -0.04   -0.04     -0.03   -0.05    0.12
## nitrites        0.24   -0.06    0.31    0.31   -0.02      0.38    0.34    0.16
## perchlorate     0.36    0.09    0.33    0.46   -0.15      0.59    0.52    0.10
## radium          0.24    0.05    0.22    0.29   -0.10      0.39    0.32    0.03
## silver          0.33    0.08    0.31    0.43   -0.16      0.52    0.51    0.09
##
##          lead nitrites perchlorate radium silver
## aluminium  0.02    0.24      0.36    0.24    0.33
## ammonia   -0.04   -0.06      0.09    0.05    0.08
## arsenic   -0.09    0.31      0.33    0.22    0.31
## barium    -0.04    0.31      0.46    0.29    0.43
## cadmium   -0.04   -0.02     -0.15   -0.10   -0.16
## chloramine -0.03    0.38      0.59    0.39    0.52
## chromium  -0.05    0.34      0.52    0.32    0.51
## copper     0.12    0.16      0.10    0.03    0.09
```

```
## lead      1.00    -0.05    -0.03  -0.05  -0.06
## nitrites  -0.05    1.00     0.35   0.27   0.33
## perchlorate -0.03    0.35     1.00   0.37   0.50
## radium    -0.05    0.27     0.37   1.00   0.35
## silver    -0.06    0.33     0.50   0.35   1.00
```

Standardizing each variable

```
fadata <- apply(fadata, 2, scale)
head(fadata)
```

```
##      aluminium  ammonia  arsenic  barium  cadmium  chloramine
## [1,] 0.7773543 -0.58545472 -0.4808447 1.0541387 -0.9931786 -0.7118970
## [2,] 1.3068633 0.77506955 -0.5995943 1.4323575 -1.1318775 1.2084760
## [3,] 0.2715546 -0.02908139 -0.4808447 -0.8122887 -0.9654388 0.8033669
## [4,] 0.5481638 -0.33204581 -0.4808447 1.1445823 -1.1596173 1.9680556
## [5,] 0.2004265 1.13209454 -0.5204279 -1.1247303 -1.0209184 0.1918079
## [6,] 0.2162328 0.02160039 -0.5204279 1.0788051 -1.1041378 -0.5366094
##      chromium  copper      lead  nitrites  perchlorate  radium
## [1,] 2.1528583 -0.9729890 -0.781021271 -0.34860691 1.20328692 1.6617381
## [2,] 1.5986646 -0.2232889 0.009784669 1.04689407 0.89292150 0.1248033
## [3,] 1.0444708 -1.2024891 -0.368426868 -0.38349444 1.91164368 1.7865871
## [4,] -0.8028416 1.3067112 -1.434295744 -0.06950672 -0.41524893 -0.5166625
## [5,] 1.6356108 -0.3609890 0.302039038 -0.38349444 0.02457674 -0.2196079
## [6,] 0.6750083 0.8783112 0.611484841 0.97711902 0.60516923 1.0762391
##      silver
## [1,] 1.3386525
## [2,] 0.8510810
## [3,] 2.0351832
## [4,] 2.1048363
## [5,] -0.6116334
## [6,] 0.2938565
```

General methods used in determining the number of factors

- Cumulative proportion of at least 0.80
- Eigen Values of at least one
- Based on Scree Plot

Calculate Eigen Values

```
#Evaluate the correlation matrix
fa.cor<-cor(fadata)
# get eigenvalues
ev <- eigen(round(fa.cor,3))
ev$values
```

```
## [1] 4.0769234 1.4082442 1.1068062 1.0194023 0.8874730 0.7447430 0.7246353
## [8] 0.6255079 0.5912847 0.5089649 0.4645246 0.4406545 0.4008359
```

```
round(ev$values,5)
```

```
## [1] 4.07692 1.40824 1.10681 1.01940 0.88747 0.74474 0.72464 0.62551 0.59128  
## [10] 0.50896 0.46452 0.44065 0.40084
```

```
sum(ev$values)
```

```
## [1] 13
```

```
cumsum(ev$values)
```

```
## [1] 4.076923 5.485168 6.591974 7.611376 8.498849 9.243592 9.968227  
## [8] 10.593735 11.185020 11.693985 12.158510 12.599164 13.000000
```

```
cumsum(ev$values)/13
```

```
## [1] 0.3136095 0.4219360 0.5070749 0.5854905 0.6537576 0.7110455 0.7667867  
## [8] 0.8149027 0.8603862 0.8995373 0.9352700 0.9691665 1.0000000
```

```
ev$values
```

```
## [1] 4.0769234 1.4082442 1.1068062 1.0194023 0.8874730 0.7447430 0.7246353  
## [8] 0.6255079 0.5912847 0.5089649 0.4645246 0.4406545 0.4008359
```

Scree Plot

```
library(nFactors)
```

```
## Warning: package 'nFactors' was built under R version 4.2.3
```

```
## Loading required package: lattice
```

```
##
```

```
## Attaching package: 'nFactors'
```


```
## The following object is masked from 'package:lattice':
```

```
##
```


```
## parallel
```

```
plot(nScree(x=fa.cor,model="factors"))
```

Non Graphical Solutions to Scree Test



```
plot(ev$values, type='b', ylab='Eigenvalues', xlab='Factor')
```



Factor Analysis with No Rotation

```
fa.res<-factanal(x=fadata, factors=4, rotation='none')
fa.res
```

```
##
## Call:
## factanal(x = fadata, factors = 4, rotation = "none")
##
## Uniquenesses:
##   aluminium   ammonia   arsenic   barium   cadmium   chloramine
##      0.728      0.962      0.329      0.623      0.570      0.406
##   chromium    copper    lead    nitrites perchlorate   radium
##      0.491      0.659      0.936      0.005      0.441      0.750
##   silver
##      0.515
##
## Loadings:
##           Factor1 Factor2 Factor3 Factor4
## aluminium   0.420   0.244           0.166
## ammonia      0.184
## arsenic      0.458   0.313   0.601
## barium       0.522   0.321
## cadmium     -0.107           0.641
## chloramine   0.655   0.391  -0.109
## chromium     0.611   0.346  -0.128
```



```
## copper          0.163 -0.227  0.510
## lead           0.221
## nitrites       0.997
## perchlorate    0.646  0.357 -0.118
## radium         0.396  0.279 -0.102
## silver         0.592  0.343 -0.116
##
##               Factor1 Factor2 Factor3 Factor4
## SS loadings    2.435   1.884   0.904   0.361
## Proportion Var  0.187   0.145   0.070   0.028
## Cumulative Var  0.187   0.332   0.402   0.430
##
## Test of the hypothesis that 4 factors are sufficient.
## The chi square statistic is 160.7 on 32 degrees of freedom.
## The p-value is 4.48e-19
```

```
# There are 13 variables and 4 factors
round(fa.res$loadings[ 1:13,], 3)
```

```
##               Factor1 Factor2 Factor3 Factor4
## aluminium      0.420   0.244 -0.092   0.166
## ammonia        0.184 -0.061 -0.014   0.013
## arsenic        0.458   0.313   0.601   0.049
## barium         0.522   0.321   0.039 -0.011
## cadmium       -0.107 -0.017   0.641   0.087
## chloramine     0.655   0.391 -0.109 -0.007
## chromium       0.611   0.346 -0.128 -0.008
## copper         0.052   0.163 -0.227   0.510
## lead          -0.044 -0.053 -0.099   0.221
## nitrites      -0.015   0.997   0.000 -0.001
## perchlorate    0.646   0.357 -0.118 -0.021
## radium         0.396   0.279 -0.072 -0.102
## silver         0.592   0.343 -0.116 -0.058
```

Communalities

```
#communality
```

```
#fa.res$uniquenesses
```

```
apply(fa.res$loadings^2,1,sum) # communality
```

```
##   aluminium   ammonia   arsenic   barium   cadmium   chloramine
## 0.27219086 0.03794645 0.67086494 0.37703347 0.43034224 0.59390013
##   chromium   copper   lead   nitrites perchlorate   radium
## 0.50947341 0.34089639 0.06356752 0.99500002 0.55865640 0.25031351
##   silver
## 0.48459249
```

```
sum(apply(fa.res$loadings^2,1,sum))/13
```

```
## [1] 0.4295983
```

Residual Matrix

```
#residuals
Lambda <- fa.res$loadings
Psi <- diag(fa.res$uniquenesses)
S <- fa.res$correlation
Sigma <- Lambda %*% t(Lambda) + Psi

# residual matrix
round(S - Sigma, 5)
```

```
##      aluminium ammonia arsenic barium cadmium chloramine chromium
## aluminium    0.00000  0.00134  0.00380  0.00166 -0.00612  -0.01044  0.00143
## ammonia      0.00134  0.00001 -0.01066 -0.00571  0.02017   0.00645  0.03161
## arsenic       0.00380 -0.01066  0.00000  0.00066 -0.00013   0.00031  0.00167
## barium        0.00166 -0.00571  0.00066  0.00000 -0.00044  -0.01629 -0.00910
## cadmium       -0.00612  0.02017 -0.00013 -0.00044  0.00001   0.00336 -0.00347
## chloramine    -0.01044  0.00645  0.00031 -0.01629  0.00336   0.00000  0.00631
## chromium      0.00143  0.03161  0.00167 -0.00910 -0.00347   0.00631  0.00000
## copper        0.00148  0.00626 -0.00034 -0.00016  0.00042  -0.00010 -0.00013
## lead          0.00694 -0.03649 -0.00238  0.00368  0.00297   0.01053 -0.01542
## nitrites      -0.00001 -0.00001 -0.00001  0.00000  0.00002   0.00001  0.00004
## perchlorate   -0.00297 -0.00765 -0.00349  0.01499  0.00331   0.01314 -0.00884
## radium        0.01897 -0.00514 -0.00204 -0.00768  0.00335   0.01199 -0.03312
## silver        0.00130 -0.01348  0.00240  0.01660 -0.00638  -0.01242  0.01514
##      copper      lead nitrites perchlorate radium silver
## aluminium    0.00148  0.00694  -1e-05  -0.00297  0.01897  0.00130
## ammonia      0.00626 -0.03649  -1e-05  -0.00765 -0.00514 -0.01348
## arsenic      -0.00034 -0.00238  -1e-05  -0.00349 -0.00204  0.00240
## barium       -0.00016  0.00368   0e+00   0.01499 -0.00768  0.01660
## cadmium      0.00042  0.00297   2e-05   0.00331  0.00335 -0.00638
## chloramine   -0.00010  0.01053   1e-05   0.01314  0.01199 -0.01242
## chromium     -0.00013 -0.01542   4e-05  -0.00884 -0.03312  0.01514
## copper       0.00000 -0.00248   1e-05  -0.00347 -0.00426  0.00538
## lead        -0.00248  0.00000  -3e-05   0.01334 -0.00071 -0.01136
## nitrites     0.00001 -0.00003   0e+00  -0.00001  0.00000 -0.00002
## perchlorate -0.00347  0.01334  -1e-05   0.00000  0.00730 -0.01486
## radium      -0.00426 -0.00071   0e+00   0.00730  0.00000  0.01000
## silver      0.00538 -0.01136  -2e-05  -0.01486  0.01000  0.00000
```

Numbers close to 0 indicate that our factor model is a good representation of the underlying concept.

Factor Rotations Factor rotations in factor analysis are used to achieve a more interpretable and meaningful solution. The primary goal of factor rotation is to simplify and clarify the factor structure by creating more distinct and easily interpretable factors.

```
fa.varimax <- factanal(fadata, factors = 4, rotation = "varimax")
fa.varimax
```

```
##
## Call:
## factanal(x = fadata, factors = 4, rotation = "varimax")
```

```
##
## Uniquenesses:
##   aluminium    ammonia    arsenic    barium    cadmium    chloramine
##       0.728      0.962      0.329      0.623      0.570      0.406
##   chromium      copper      lead      nitrites perchlorate    radium
##       0.491      0.659      0.936      0.005      0.441      0.750
##   silver
##       0.515
##
## Loadings:
##           Factor1 Factor2 Factor3 Factor4
## aluminium    0.488             0.181
## ammonia      0.138          -0.138
## arsenic       0.459    0.664          -0.124
## barium        0.599    0.122
## cadmium      -0.194    0.619
## chloramine    0.768
## chromium      0.712
## copper        0.135             0.557
## lead          0.239
## nitrites     0.429          0.895
## perchlorate  0.747
## radium       0.487
## silver       0.694
##
##           Factor1 Factor2 Factor3 Factor4
## SS loadings    3.443    0.856    0.851    0.435
## Proportion Var 0.265    0.066    0.065    0.033
## Cumulative Var 0.265    0.331    0.396    0.430
##
## Test of the hypothesis that 4 factors are sufficient.
## The chi square statistic is 160.7 on 32 degrees of freedom.
## The p-value is 4.48e-19
```

```
# There are 13 variables and 4 factors
round(fa.varimax$loadings[ 1:13,], 3)
```

```
##           Factor1 Factor2 Factor3 Factor4
## aluminium    0.488    0.029    0.020    0.181
## ammonia      0.138    0.005   -0.138    0.008
## arsenic       0.459    0.664    0.058   -0.124
## barium        0.599    0.122    0.051   -0.025
## cadmium      -0.194    0.619    0.016   -0.095
## chloramine    0.768    0.004    0.055    0.019
## chromium      0.712   -0.023    0.035    0.023
## copper        0.135   -0.052    0.097    0.557
## lead         -0.056   -0.042   -0.039    0.239
## nitrites     0.429    0.092    0.895    0.041
## perchlorate  0.747   -0.012    0.030    0.007
## radium       0.487   -0.026    0.078   -0.078
## silver       0.694   -0.028    0.044   -0.027
```

- using varimax factor rotations we can explain factor one as the **metal factor**. Because heavy metals get the low factor loadings(cadmium,Lead) compare to other factor loadings.

- we can explain second factor as the **health factor**. Because values of arsenic, cadmium and bariums are high compared to other factor loadings. These elements and compounds are naturally occurring with health risks.
- In third factor loadings, Nitrites got the highest value compared to others. Other all factor loadings are lower than 0.1. Nitrites are used in food preservatives. Most of the other variables are used in industries. Therefore factor three can be named as **Industry Factor**.
- Factor loadings of arsenic, barium, cadmium, radium and silver are lower than other factor loadings. These lower factors have many negative environmental implications. Therefore this factor can be considered as **environmental factor**.

Estimation of Factor Scores

```
factor_scores <- factor.scores(fadata, fa.varimax$loadings)
#factor_scores
```

Confirmatory Factor Analysis

difference between EFA and CFA?

CFA and EFA are both methods of factor analysis. It is said that EFA extracts a factor structure from the data whereas CFA is used to test if a factor structure fits the data (or in other words to test a hypothesis)

```
library(lavaan)
```

```
## This is lavaan 0.6-12
```

```
## lavaan is FREE software! Please report any bugs.
```

```
##
```

```
## Attaching package: 'lavaan'
```

```
## The following object is masked from 'package:psych':
```

```
##
```

```
##      cor2cov
```

```
factor_loadings <- fa.varimax$loadings
```

```
factor_scores <- factor.scores(fadata, fa.varimax$loadings)
```

```
model = "
```

```
  Metals =~ arsenic + barium + cadmium + chromium + copper + lead + radium + silver
```

```
  Chemicals =~ nitrites + perchlorate
```

```
  Industry_chem =~ chloramine
```

```
  Elements_Compounds =~ aluminium + ammonia
```

```
"
```

```
cfa.est <- cfa(model, data=fadata, std.lv=TRUE)
```

```
## Warning in lav_object_post_check(object): lavaan WARNING: covariance matrix of latent variables
```

```
##           is not positive definite;
```

```
##           use lavInspect(fit, "cov.lv") to investigate.
```

```
summary(cfa.est,fit=TRUE)
```

```
## lavaan 0.6-12 ended normally after 37 iterations
##
##   Estimator                      ML
##   Optimization method          NLMINB
##   Number of model parameters    31
##
##   Number of observations        7996
##
## Model Test User Model:
##
##   Test statistic                 3148.988
##   Degrees of freedom             60
##   P-value (Chi-square)           0.000
##
## Model Test Baseline Model:
##
##   Test statistic                 24290.625
##   Degrees of freedom             78
##   P-value                        0.000
##
## User Model versus Baseline Model:
##
##   Comparative Fit Index (CFI)    0.872
##   Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI)      0.834
##
## Loglikelihood and Information Criteria:
##
##   Loglikelihood user model (H0)   -136918.504
##   Loglikelihood unrestricted model (H1) -135344.010
##
##   Akaike (AIC)                   273899.007
##   Bayesian (BIC)                  274115.595
##   Sample-size adjusted Bayesian (BIC) 274017.083
##
## Root Mean Square Error of Approximation:
##
##   RMSEA                          0.080
##   90 Percent confidence interval - lower 0.078
##   90 Percent confidence interval - upper 0.083
##   P-value RMSEA <= 0.05            0.000
##
## Standardized Root Mean Square Residual:
##
##   SRMR                           0.055
##
## Parameter Estimates:
##
##   Standard errors                 Standard
##   Information                     Expected
##   Information saturated (h1) model Structured
##
```

```

## Latent Variables:
##
##      Estimate   Std.Err   z-value   P(>|z|)
##  Metals =~
##    arsenic      0.461     0.011    40.741    0.000
##    barium       0.608     0.011    56.293    0.000
##    cadmium     -0.146     0.012   -12.217    0.000
##    chromium     0.708     0.010    68.278    0.000
##    copper       0.151     0.012    12.623    0.000
##    lead        -0.057     0.012    -4.786    0.000
##    radium       0.492     0.011    43.854    0.000
##    silver       0.691     0.010    66.102    0.000
##  Chemicals =~
##    nitrites     0.481     0.012    41.238    0.000
##    perchlorate  0.719     0.012    58.721    0.000
##  Industry_chem =~
##    chloramine   1.000     0.008   126.459    0.000
##  Elements_Componds =~
##    aluminium   0.528     0.041    12.942    0.000
##    ammonia     0.128     0.015     8.706    0.000
##
## Covariances:
##
##      Estimate   Std.Err   z-value   P(>|z|)
##  Metals ~~
##    Chemicals    1.038     0.012    88.539    0.000
##    Industry_chem 0.768     0.007   118.019    0.000
##    Elemnts_Cmpnds 0.943     0.071    13.316    0.000
##  Chemicals ~~
##    Industry_chem 0.812     0.011    76.649    0.000
##    Elemnts_Cmpnds 0.935     0.072    13.025    0.000
##  Industry_chem ~~
##    Elemnts_Cmpnds 0.705     0.054    13.071    0.000
##
## Variances:
##
##      Estimate   Std.Err   z-value   P(>|z|)
##    .arsenic     0.787     0.013    60.568    0.000
##    .barium      0.630     0.011    57.262    0.000
##    .cadmium     0.979     0.016    63.021    0.000
##    .chromium    0.498     0.009    52.498    0.000
##    .copper      0.977     0.016    63.006    0.000
##    .lead        0.997     0.016    63.198    0.000
##    .radium      0.758     0.013    60.064    0.000
##    .silver      0.523     0.010    53.605    0.000
##    .nitrites    0.768     0.013    58.668    0.000
##    .perchlorate 0.482     0.013    36.233    0.000
##    .chloramine  0.000
##    .aluminium   0.721     0.043    16.721    0.000
##    .ammonia     0.984     0.016    62.469    0.000
##    Metals       1.000
##    Chemicals    1.000
##    Industry_chem 1.000
##    Elemnts_Cmpnds 1.000

```

Using these criteria, we can evaluate whether the confirmatory factor model identified

- The chi-square statistic is 3148 with the degrees of freedom 60 and a p-value close to 0. Therefore,

one would reject the hypothesis that the model fits the data simply based on it.

- Comparative Fit Index (CFI) is 0.872, which is smaller than the cut-off value 0.95. It also suggests a bad fit.
- The RMSEA = 0.085, which lies the range of a reasonable fit model.