

Machine Learning Recitation 5

Sumeet Maan

12 February, 2019

1 Problem

To show $P(a, b, c|d, e) = P(a, b|c, d, e) P(c|d, e)$

Solution: We can write the LHS of the equation by product rule as:

$$P(a, b, c|d, e) = \frac{P(a, b, c, d, e)}{P(d, e)} \text{ — equation 1 by product rule}$$

Further, the joint probability distribution can be expressed by chain rule

$$P(a, b, c, d, e) = P(a, b|c, d, e)P(c, d, e) \text{ —equation 2}$$

Put equation 2 in 1.

$$P(a, b, c|d, e) = \frac{P(a, b|c, d, e)P(c, d, e)}{P(d, e)}$$

$$P(c, d, e) = P(c|d, e)P(d, e)$$

$$P(a, b, c|d, e) = \frac{P(a, b|c, d, e)P(c|d, e)P(d, e)}{P(d, e)}$$

On cancelling $P(d, e)$ common terms we get,

$$P(a, b, c|d, e) = P(a, b|c, d, e) P(c|d, e) \text{ Hence, proved.}$$

2 Problem

To show, $P(a|b, c) = \frac{P(b|a, c)P(a|c)}{P(b|c)}$

Solution: LHS of the equation can be written as

$$P(a|b, c) = \frac{P(a, b, c)}{P(b, c)} \text{ — by product rule}$$

$$P(a|b, c) = \frac{P(b|a, c)P(a, c)}{P(b, c)} \text{ — equation 1 by chain rule}$$

$$P(a, c) = P(a|c)P(c)$$

$$\text{Similarly, } P(b, c) = P(b|c)P(c)$$

Put both the above equations in equation 1, we get

$$P(a|b, c) = \frac{P(b|a, c)P(a|c)P(c)}{P(b|c)P(c)}$$

On cancelling $P(c)$ common term from numerator and denominator, we get,

$$P(a|b, c) = \frac{P(b|a, c)P(a|c)}{P(b|c)}$$

3 Problem

Solution:

$$P(\text{cavity}|\neg\text{catch}) = \frac{P(\text{cavity}\wedge\neg\text{catch})}{P(\neg\text{catch})}$$

$$P(\text{cavity} \wedge \neg\text{catch}) = \frac{0.012+0.008}{0.012+0.008+0.064+0.576} - \text{from the table given}$$
$$P(\text{cavity} \wedge \neg\text{catch}) = \frac{0.02}{0.66}$$
$$P(\text{cavity} \wedge \neg\text{catch}) = 0.03 \text{ is the answer}$$

4 Problem

To show, $P(Y|X, Z) = P(Y|X)$

Solution:

Applying product rule on the LHS of the equation.

To show, $P(Y|X, Z) = \frac{P(X,Y,Z)}{P(X,Z)}$ —equation 1

$P(X,Y,Z)$ can be written as :

$P(X,Y,Z) = P(X)P(Y|X)P(Z|X)$ —equation 2 by chain rule and looking at tail to tail connection in Bayesian network.

$P(X,Z) = P(Z|X)P(X)$ — equation 3 by chain rule Substitute equation 2 and 3 in 1.

$$P(Y|X, Z) = \frac{P(X)P(Y|X)P(Z|X)}{P(Z|X)P(X)}$$

Cancelling the common terms $P(X)$ and $P(Z|X)$

We get, $P(Y|X, Z) = P(Y|X)$

Hence, proved

5 Problem

To find $P(W|R)$ given the bayesian network

Solution:

We need to marginalize over S given R.

$P(W|R) = \sum_s (P(W, S|R))$ —equation 1

$(P(W, S|R) = \frac{P(W,S,R)}{P(R)})$ —equation 2 by product rule

$P(W,R,S) = P(W|R, S)P(R)P(S)$ —equation 3 by chain rule

Substitute equation 3 in 2 and 2 in 1, we get

$$P(W|R) = \sum_s \frac{P(W|R,S)P(R)P(S)}{P(R)}$$

Cancelling common terms, $P(R)$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
P(W|R) &= \sum_s P(W|S, R)P(S) \\
P(W|R) &= P(W|S, R)P(S) + P(W|\neg S, R)P(\neg S) \\
P(W|R) &= 0.95 \times 0.2 + 0.90 \times 0.8 \\
P(W|R) &= 0.19 + 0.72 \\
P(W|R) &= 0.91 \text{ is the answer}
\end{aligned}$$

6 Problem

Solution a.

$$P(W|\neg C, R) = \sum_s (P(W, S|\neg C, R) \text{— equation 1})$$

Applying product rule to the RHS of equation 1

$$P(W|\neg C, R) = \sum_s \frac{P(W, S, \neg C, R)}{P(\neg C, R)}$$

Applying chain rule on the RHS.

$$P(W|\neg C, R) = \sum_s \frac{P(W|S, \neg C, R)P(S|R, \neg C)P(R|\neg C)P(\neg C)}{P(R|\neg C)P(\neg C)}$$

Cancelling $P(R|\neg C)P(\neg C)$, we get

$$P(W|\neg C, R) = \sum_s P(W|S, \neg C, R)P(S|R, \neg C) \text{—equation 2}$$

Considering the first term in the RHS

The below equation can be written without $\neg C$ considering the bayesian network as S and R block the path from W to C,

$$P(W|S, \neg C, R) = P(W|S, R)$$

And the second term can be written as,

$P(S|R, \neg C) = P(S|\neg C)$ as we don't need R to compute the probability of S given R and $\neg C$ as S and R are in tail to tail connection

Re-writing equation 2, we have

$$P(W|\neg C, R) = \sum_s P(W|S, R)P(S|\neg C)$$

$$P(W|\neg C, R) = P(W|S, R)P(S|\neg C) + P(W|\neg S, R)P(\neg S|\neg C)$$

$$P(W|\neg C, R) = 0.95 \times 0.5 + 0.9 \times 0.5$$

$$P(W|\neg C, R) = 0.475 + 0.45$$

$$P(W|\neg C, R) = 0.925 \text{ is the answer}$$

Solution b.

$$P(W|C, R) = \sum_s (P(W, S|C, R) \text{— equation 1})$$

Applying product rule to the RHS of equation 1

$$P(W|C, R) = \sum_s \frac{P(W, S, C, R)}{P(C, R)}$$

Applying chain rule on the RHS.

$$P(W|C, R) = \sum_s \frac{P(W|S, C, R)P(S|R, C)P(R|C)P(C)}{P(R|C)P(C)}$$

Cancelling $P(R|C)P(C)$, we get

$$P(W|C, R) = \sum_s P(W|S, C, R)P(S|R, C) \text{—equation 2}$$

Considering the first term in the RHS

The below equation can be written without C considering the bayesian network as S and R block the path from W to C,

$$P(W|S, C, R) = P(W|S, R)$$

And the second term can be written as,

$P(S|R, C) = P(S|C)$ as we don't need R to compute the probability of S given R and C as S and R are in tail to tail connection

Re-writing equation 2, we have

$$P(W|C, R) = \sum_s P(W|S, R)P(S|C)$$

$$P(W|C, R) = P(W|S, R)P(S|C) + P(W|\neg S, R)P(\neg S|C)$$

$$P(W|C, R) = 0.95 \times 0.1 + 0.9 \times 0.9$$

$$P(W|C, R) = 0.095 + 0.91$$

$$P(W|C, R) = 0.905 \text{ is the answer}$$

7 Problem

Using d-separation algorithm to answer the questions asked

1. P and Q are conditionally dependant given S because P and Q are in head to head connection. S does not block the path between P and Q. They are connected by R.
2. P and Q are conditionally dependant given V because P and Q are in head to head connection by R. V does not block the path between P and Q. They are connected by R.
3. P and W are conditionally independant given R because P and W are in chain of head to tail connection by RSV. And R blocks the path between P and W.
4. S and T are conditionally dependant given P because S and T are in tail to tail connection. P does not block the path between S and T. They are connected by R.
5. U and W are conditionally independant given V because U and W are in chain of tail to tail connection by SV. And V blocks the path between U and W.