HW11

Sumeet Mishra

4/12/2019

1. (5 points) Trosset Section 13.4 Exercise 2

Null hypothesis is M&M milk chocolate is mixed in proportions as claimed by Mars company that is {0.13, 0.14, 0.13, 0.24, 0.20, 0.16} Alternate hypothesis is M&M milk chocolate is not mixed in proportions as claimed by the Mars company.

Assume, significance level, $\alpha = 0.05$

```
observed=c(121, 84, 118, 226, 226, 123)
obs_sum=sum(observed)
prop=c(0.13, 0.14, 0.13, 0.24, 0.20, 0.16)
expected=rep(prop*obs_sum)
```

The pvalue in likelyhood ratio test is-

```
G2 = 2 * sum(observed * log(observed/expected))
pvalue= 1 - pchisq(G2, df=5)
pvalue
```

[1] 1.141029e-05

The pvalue in Pearson's test is-

```
X2 = sum((observed - expected)^2 / expected)
pvalue= 1 - pchisq(X2, df=5)
pvalue
```

```
## [1] 1.860203e-05
```

As the pvalue of both the tests is $< \alpha$, we reject null hypothesis and conclude that the M&M milk chocolates are not mixed in proportions as claimed by the Mars company.

2. (7 points) Trosset Section 13.4 Exercise 5

```
(a)
```

```
fx=c()
for (x in 1:9) {
fx[x]=log10(1+(1/x)) }
sum=sum(fx)
sum
```

```
## [1] 1
```

fx

```
## [1] 0.30103000 0.17609126 0.12493874 0.09691001 0.07918125 0.06694679 ## [7] 0.05799195 0.05115252 0.04575749
```

Since sum of all the probabilities is 1 and each probability is in between 0 and 1, we can say that the PMF is valid.

(b)

Null hypothesis is The leading digits of the town populations follow Benford's law. Alternate hypothesis is The leading digits of the town populations does not follow Benford's law.

Assume, significance level, $\alpha = 0.05$

```
observed=c(107, 55, 39, 22, 13, 18, 13, 23, 15)
obs_sum=sum(observed)
expected=rep(fx*obs_sum)
```

The pvalue in likelyhood ratio test is-

```
G2 = 2 * sum(observed * log(observed/expected))
pvalue= 1 - pchisq(G2, df=8)
pvalue
```

[1] 0.04919622

As the pvalue of the Likelihood ratio test is $< \alpha = 0.05$, we reject null hypothesis and conclude that the leading digits of the town populations does not follow Benford's law.

The pvalue in Pearson's test is-

```
X2 = sum((observed - expected)^2 / expected)
pvalue= 1 - pchisq(X2, df=8)
pvalue
```

[1] 0.06399094

As the pvalue of the Pearson test is $> \alpha = 0.05$, we fail to reject null hypothesis and conclude that the leading digits of the town populations follow Benford's law.

3. (5 points) Trosset Section 13.4 Exercise 11

Null hypothesis is The patient's response to treatment for Hodgkin's disease is independent of histological type. Alternate hypothesis is The patient's response to treatment for Hodgkin's disease is varies by histological type. Assume, significance level, $\alpha = 0.05$

```
observed1=c(74, 18, 12, 68, 16, 12, 154, 54, 58, 18, 10, 44)
N=sum(observed1)
LP=(74+18+12)/N
NS=(68+16+12)/N
MC=(154+54+58)/N
LD=(18+10+44)/N
positive=c(74+68+154+18)/N
partial=c(18+16+54+10)/N
none=c(12+12+58+44)/N
expected1=c(LP*positive*N,LP*partial*N,LP*none*N,NS*positive*N,NS*partial*N, NS*none*N, MC*positive*N, data.frame(observed1, expected1=round(expected1, 1))
```

```
##
      observed1 expected1
## 1
              74
                       60.7
## 2
              18
                       18.9
## 3
              12
                       24.4
              68
                       56.0
## 4
## 5
              16
                       17.5
## 6
              12
                       22.5
## 7
             154
                      155.2
## 8
              54
                       48.5
## 9
              58
                       62.3
                       42.0
## 10
              18
              10
                       13.1
## 11
## 12
              44
                       16.9
```

The pvalue in likelyhood ratio test is-

```
df=(4-1)*(3-1)
G2 = 2 * sum(observed1 * log(observed1/expected1))
pvalue= 1 - pchisq(G2, df=df)
pvalue
## [1] 9.139356e-13
```

The pvalue in Pearson's test is-

```
X2 = sum((observed1 - expected1)^2 / expected1)
pvalue= 1 - pchisq(X2, df=df)
pvalue
```

```
## [1] 2.520206e-14
```

As the pvalue of both the tests is $< \alpha = 0.05$, we reject null hypothesis and conclude that the patient's response to treatment for Hodgkin's disease varies by histological type.

4.

```
EPL201415 = read.csv("http://www.football-data.co.uk/mmz4281/1415/E0.csv")
```

(a) Home Goals

Null hypothesis is the home team goals follows a poisson distribution. Alternate hypothesis is the home team goals does not follow a poisson distribution. Assume, significance level, $\alpha = 0.05$

```
homegoals = EPL201415$FTHG[1:380]
data.frame(table(homegoals))
```

```
##
    homegoals Freq
## 1
## 2
             1 119
## 3
             2 102
             3 46
## 4
## 5
             4
               12
## 6
             5
                 5
## 7
             6
                  3
## 8
             8
                  1
```

```
games=length(homegoals)
goals=sum(homegoals)
ave=goals/games
expected = games * dpois(0:20,ave)
data.frame(goals=0:20,expected=round(expected, 1))
```

```
goals expected
##
## 1
          0
                87.1
## 2
          1
               128.3
## 3
          2
                94.5
                46.4
## 4
          3
                17.1
## 5
          4
## 6
          5
                 5.0
## 7
          6
                 1.2
## 8
          7
                 0.3
## 9
          8
                 0.0
## 10
          9
                 0.0
## 11
         10
                 0.0
```

```
0.0
## 12
          11
## 13
          12
                   0.0
## 14
          13
                   0.0
          14
                   0.0
## 15
## 16
          15
                   0.0
## 17
          16
                   0.0
## 18
          17
                   0.0
                   0.0
## 19
          18
## 20
          19
                   0.0
          20
                   0.0
## 21
```

As the expected values is less than 5 for goals 6 and above, we combine 5 or more goals.

```
observed=c(92,119,102,46,12,9)
expected=rep(NA, 6)
expected[1:5]=games * dpois(0:4,ave)
expected[6]=games * (1 - ppois(4,ave))
data.frame(observed, expected=round(expected, 1))
```

```
##
     observed expected
## 1
            92
                   87.1
## 2
           119
                   128.3
## 3
           102
                    94.5
## 4
            46
                    46.4
## 5
            12
                    17.1
## 6
             9
                     6.6
```

The pvalue in likelyhood ratio test is-

```
df=4
G2 = 2 * sum(observed * log(observed/expected))
pvalue= 1 - pchisq(G2, df=df)
pvalue
```

[1] 0.4015313

The pvalue in Pearson's test is-

```
X2 = sum((observed - expected)^2 / expected)
pvalue= 1 - pchisq(X2, df=df)
pvalue
```

[1] 0.4131955

As the pvalue of both the tests is $> \alpha = 0.05$, we fail to reject null hypothesis and conclude that the home team goals follows a poisson distribution.

(b) Away Team goals

Null hypothesis is the away team goals follows a poisson distribution. Alternate hypothesis is the away team goals does not follow a poisson distribution. Assume, significance level, $\alpha = 0.05$

```
awaygoals = EPL201415$FTAG[1:380]
data.frame(table(awaygoals))
```

```
## 1 awaygoals Freq
## 1 0 132
## 2 1 134
## 3 2 73
## 4 3 32
```

```
7
## 5
              4
## 6
             5
                   1
## 7
games=length(awaygoals)
goals=sum(awaygoals)
ave=goals/games
expected = games * dpois(0:20, ave)
data.frame(goals=0:20, expected=round(expected, 1))
##
      goals expected
## 1
          0
                127.5
## 2
          1
                139.2
## 3
          2
                 76.0
## 4
          3
                 27.7
## 5
          4
                  7.6
## 6
          5
                  1.7
## 7
          6
                  0.3
## 8
          7
                  0.0
## 9
          8
                  0.0
## 10
          9
                  0.0
## 11
         10
                  0.0
## 12
         11
                  0.0
## 13
         12
                  0.0
         13
                  0.0
## 14
                  0.0
## 15
         14
## 16
         15
                  0.0
## 17
         16
                  0.0
## 18
         17
                  0.0
## 19
         18
                  0.0
## 20
         19
                  0.0
## 21
         20
                  0.0
As the expected values is less than 5 for goals 5 and above , we combine 4 or more goals.
observed=c(132, 134, 73, 32, 9)
expected=rep(NA, 5)
expected[1:4] = games * dpois(0:3, ave)
expected[5]=games * (1 - ppois(3, ave))
data.frame(observed, expected=round(expected, 1))
##
     observed expected
## 1
          132
                  127.5
## 2
           134
                  139.2
## 3
           73
                   76.0
## 4
           32
                   27.7
            9
## 5
                    9.6
The pvalue in likelyhood ratio test is-
df=3
G2 = 2 * sum(observed * log(observed/expected))
pvalue= 1 - pchisq(G2, df=df)
pvalue
```

[1] 0.7637317

The pvalue in Pearson's test is-

```
X2 = sum((observed - expected)^2 / expected)
pvalue= 1 - pchisq(X2, df=df)
pvalue
```

[1] 0.7566041

As the pvalue of both the tests is $> \alpha = 0.05$, we fail to reject null hypothesis and conclude that the away team goals follows a poisson distribution.

(c) Total Goals

Null hypothesis is the total team goals follows a poisson distribution. Alternate hypothesis is the total team goals does not follow a poisson distribution. Assume, significance level, $\alpha = 0.05$

```
totalgoals = EPL201415$FTAG + EPL201415$FTHG
totalgoals = totalgoals[1:380]
data.frame(table(totalgoals))
```

```
##
      totalgoals Freq
## 1
## 2
                     77
                 1
## 3
                 2
                     88
## 4
                 3
                     85
## 5
                 4
                     56
                5
                     27
## 6
                6
                      9
## 7
                7
                      3
## 8
## 9
                8
                      3
## 10
                      1
```

```
games=length(totalgoals)
goals=sum(totalgoals)
ave=goals/games
expected = games * dpois(0:20, ave)
data.frame(goals=0:20, expected=round(expected, 1))
```

```
goals expected
## 1
                  29.2
           0
## 2
                  74.9
           1
                  96.1
## 3
           2
## 4
           3
                  82.2
                  52.7
## 5
           4
## 6
           5
                  27.1
## 7
           6
                  11.6
           7
## 8
                   4.2
## 9
           8
                   1.4
## 10
           9
                   0.4
          10
## 11
                   0.1
## 12
          11
                   0.0
                   0.0
## 13
          12
## 14
          13
                   0.0
## 15
          14
                   0.0
## 16
                   0.0
          15
                   0.0
## 17
          16
          17
                   0.0
## 18
## 19
          18
                   0.0
## 20
          19
                   0.0
```

##

```
## 21 20 0.0
```

As the expected values is less than 5 for goals 7 and above, we combine 7 or more goals.

```
observed=c(31,77,88,85,56,27,9,7)
expected=rep(NA, 8)
expected[1:7]=games * dpois(0:6,ave)
expected[8]=games * (1 - ppois(6,ave))
data.frame(observed,expected=round(expected, 1))
```

```
observed expected
##
## 1
           31
                   29.2
## 2
           77
                   74.9
                   96.1
## 3
           88
           85
                   82.2
## 4
## 5
           56
                   52.7
## 6
           27
                   27.1
## 7
            9
                   11.6
             7
## 8
                    6.1
```

The pvalue in likelyhood ratio test is-

```
df=6
G2 = 2 * sum(observed * log(observed/expected))
pvalue= 1 - pchisq(G2, df=df)
pvalue
```

[1] 0.9282545

The pvalue in Pearson's test is-

```
X2 = sum((observed - expected)^2 / expected)
pvalue= 1 - pchisq(X2, df=df)
pvalue
```

[1] 0.9329698

As the pvalue of both the tests is $> \alpha = 0.05$, we fail to reject null hypothesis and conclude that the total goals follows a poisson distribution.

5.

(a)

Null hypothesis is anger is not associated with heart disease. Alternate hypothesis is anger is associated with heart disease. Assume, significance level, $\alpha = 0.05$

```
observed=c(53, 3110-53, 110, 4731-110, 27, 633-27)
n=sum(observed)
la=3110/n
ma=4731/n
ha=633/n
hd=(53+110+27)/n
no_hd=c(3057+4621+606)/n
expected=c(la*hd*n, la*no_hd*n,ma*hd*n, ma*no_hd*n,ha*hd*n, ha*no_hd*n)
data.frame(observed, expected=round(expected, 1))
```

```
## observed expected
## 1 53 69.7
## 2 3057 3040.3
```

```
## 3 110 106.1
## 4 4621 4624.9
## 5 27 14.2
## 6 606 618.8
```

The pvalue in likelyhood ratio test is-

```
df=(3-1)*(2-1)
G2 = 2 * sum(observed * log(observed/expected))
G2
```

```
pvalue= 1 - pchisq(G2, df=df)
pvalue
```

```
## [1] 0.0009122731
```

[1] 13.99914

The pvalue in Pearson's test is-

```
X2 = sum((observed - expected)^2 / expected)
X2
```

```
## [1] 16.07676
```

```
pvalue= 1 - pchisq(X2, df=df)
pvalue
```

[1] 0.0003228312

As the pvalue of both the tests is $< \alpha = 0.05$, we reject null hypothesis and conclude that anger is associated with heart disease.

(b) This analysis alone does not prove that anger affects the chance of getting heart disease because from the data we see that 2% of the people with low and moderate anger got heart disease whereas 4% of people with high anger got heart disease which shows samples are not generated uniformly. Moreover, the chi-squared test does not consider factors such as gender, age, patients health history, genetic factors which can heavily influence the chance of developing heart disease. We are not sure if these factors are considered when generating the samples.

6.

```
library(webshot)
webshot('GTC.png')
```

GUESS THE CORRELATION

DET COME TWO PLAYERS SCORE BOARD ABOUT **SETTINGS**





SUMISH

