

ccps using cnn4

by Aditya Prakash

Submission date: 18-Sep-2020 10:42AM (UTC+0530)

Submission ID: 1389589793

File name: Control_Chart_Patterns_Recognition_Using_CNN_Final_Report.pdf (1.68M)

Word count: 4883

Character count: 25603

Control Chart Patterns Recognition Using Convolutional Neural Network

Summer Internship

Report by

Sumit Gaurav

Sarthak Taunk

1. Introduction

In the world of market competition of enterprises, product quality is always seen as a crucial key factor. Significant improvements have been brought to the production quality of a number of enterprises by evolving use of Statistical process control (SPC). Including industries of machining, this remarkable boost in production quality has been introduced to chemical industries, electronic industries etc. The basic idea behind SPC is to monitor diverse stages of the production process by using mathematical statistics methods. Through the use of SPC, production anomalies, deviations can be detected on time and according to it further, necessary measures can be implemented to eliminate potential hazards. Although, recognition of unnatural patterns is a critical task in statistical process control (SPC).

Process quality control, its principal objective is to achieve and maintain an acceptable level of the desired process quality characteristic steadily and consistently. In reference to its objective, accurate monitoring and effective control over the manufacturing system is tremendously important. Manufacturing of products with the desired quality needs sincere monitoring of production processes for distinguishing any unnatural deviation in the state of the process. And for determining whether a process is running in its intended mode or in presence of unnatural patterns, a control chart is used, which is an important statistical process control tool. The patterns exhibited on the control charts can provide essential information about the process. To point out quality failures and to detect root abnormal causes in time, recognition and analyzation of CCPs is thus considered. Control charts which are chiefly in the form of X chart, are widely used to recognize circumstances when manufacturing systems need control actions.

The 8 most patterns formed on control chart are, normal pattern(NOR), cyclic pattern (CYC), systematic pattern (SYS), stratification pattern (STA), uptrend pattern (UT), downtrend pattern(DT), upward shift pattern (US), and downward shift pattern (DS).

1. **Normal Pattern(NOR):** stipulates the production process in control.

2. Systematics Pattern(SYS): SYS predicts points to point fluctuations. Its pattern emerges as a high point always following a low point and likewise.

3. Stratification Pattern(STR): This shows that the data is more intensive and variance of data becomes nugatory.

4. Cyclic pattern: Appearance of peaks and troughs can be found in cyclic pattern, periodically.

5. Trend pattern: In trend patterns, a continuous rise (i.e. upward trend) or fall (i.e. downward trend) is shown by data.

6. Shift pattern: Unlike trend patterns, in shift patterns data results in sudden rise (i.e. upward shift) or sudden fall (i.e. downward shift) in the mean of data.

These patterns are broadly classified as natural/normal and unnatural/abnormal. A process under control is indicated by a natural pattern while in contrast to it an unnatural pattern indicates out of control process. Conventionally in the production process, abnormal CCPs correspond to some abnormal causes. Hence, the recognition of abnormal patterns is helpful to identify the problems timely and the extent of abnormal causes can be narrowed as well.

Earlier in control chart applications, individuals' experience was indispensable to deduce whether the production process is abnormal or not and if it is found abnormal, then to find the corresponding cause. By the evolution of industrial automation, the role of manual observation is partially replaced by the rule-based discriminant system, where the discriminant rules of control charts are based on minor probabilistic events, which can be easily carried out. Anyway, covering all abnormal patterns with rules is quite difficult due to intricacy in the production process. To compensate for this, a coherent automated pattern (CCP) recognition system can be implemented, which ensures consistent, neutral interpretation of CCPs resulting in a marginal number of false alarms and easy execution of control charts.

The advantage neural networks provide is provision of blunt rules or templates is not required here. Somewhat, it learns to recognize patterns straightly through typical example patterns during the training phase and has the potential to recognize an inconsistent pattern not previously encountered.

2. Literature Review

There are two major methods used to recognize control chart patterns: (I) directly feed the raw CCPs data into the model and (II) uses the statistical properties of data like standard deviation, mean, distribution etc to extract the feature from data and fed into the recognition model.

Pham et al. (1997) propose the approach that uses control chart patterns for feature extraction instead of its numerical data and statistical properties for recognizing its patterns. It has two major steps: (I) feature extraction from CCP and (II) pattern recognition. This paper also uses techniques like heuristics and deep neural networks. Gauri et al. (2007) proposed the application of CART to select the subset of features. Addeh et al. (2018) used a method for pattern recognition which uses optimized RBFNN. This method is divided into four parts: feature extraction, feature selection, classification, and learning algorithm. After testing on 1600 datasets having 200 datasets for each pattern this method gave very good accuracy.

A fuzzy method for recognition of unnatural CCPs is proposed by Gulbay et al. (2007). Zaman et al. (2018) used an efficient hybrid recognition method for CCP. This method is divided into two parts: (I) feature selection and extraction part and (II) recognizer part. In the first part, a representation of each pattern using statistical features is proposed and in the second part ANFIS along with FCM is proposed. Ebrahimzadeh et al. (2011) proposed the SVM method due to its generalization performance for recognition of CCP. Though, appropriate parameters selection for SVM is a bit difficult. Therefore, to optimize the parameters of SVM models automatically. Cheng et al. (1997) described two methods for pattern recognition: (I) multilayer perceptron trained by back propagation and (II) modular neural network and its performance is evaluated by monte carlo simulation in which modular neural network shown better accuracy than back propagation. (Zhao et al., 2017) used improved supervised locally linear embedding and SVM for recognition in which it extract 12 dimensional statistical features and shape feature of control chart where as (Ghomie et al., 2017) used ANN to identify unnatural patterns formed on shewhart's control chart to identify the out of control process. Spiking neural network(SNN) to the CCPs recognition is applied by Awadalla et al. (2012), which considered the continuity of control chart data over time. Existing researches say that feature extraction

based CCPs recognition method usually has better performance. But to select the feature subset which is best, feature screening methods are essentially needed, since the construction of features depends on human experience.

Deep learning is known for its outstanding performance and has been extensively studied consequently. An effective mapping from inputs to outputs by a network structure (Zhang et al., 2018) is established by Deep Learning. The deep learning model is simple but non-linear modules which transform lower level representation into higher level representation and also extract features directly from raw data. There are various deep neural networks such as artificial neural networks, convolutional neural networks, recurrent neural networks which have shown great significance in computer vision. Kiranyaz et al. (2016) implemented one dimensional CNN for ECG classification which achieved a very good accuracy on NIT-BIH arrhythmia data due to its speed and computational efficiency.. To analyze the chemo-metric data based on 1D-CNN, a new method is proposed by Malek et al. (2017). The literature here, shows that through CNN, features from raw data can be extracted and it will benefit in the processing of complex classification.

3. Objective

The objective of our project is to recognize the Unnatural Control Chart Patterns that occur on statistical quality Control charts to detect the unnatural deviation in state of process as well as to identify quality failure and root abnormal cause in time.

4. Methodology

We have divided the methodology in two parts i.e; data preparation using data simulation and Feature extraction using Deep neural networks.

1) Data Preparation using Monte carlo simulation

Data simulation is one of the widely used techniques for control chart pattern recognitions. It is the process to generate thousands of random samples following a particular distribution using original data. In this project we have used raw eye tracking datasets and obtained its distribution, mean and variances to generate data of various patterns.

22

➤ Monte Carlo Simulation

In this project We have used Monte Carlo simulation of Data simulation on eye tracking datasets and its obtained the mean and variances and generate data of various patterns by changing various parameters as shown in the Table1 :

1

Table1: Parameters and formulas of Data simulation

class	Description	equations	Remarks
0	Normal, NOR	$y_t = \mu + r(t) \times \delta$	$\mu = 0, \sigma = 1$
1	Cyclic, CYC	$y_t = \mu + r(t) \times \delta + a \times \sin(2\pi t/T)$	$r(t) \sim N(0, 1)$
2	Systematic, SYS	$y_t = \mu + r(t) \times \delta + d \times (-1)^t$	$\delta = 1\sigma$
3	Stratification, STR	$y_t = \mu + r(t) \times \delta'$	$\delta' \in (0.2\sigma, 0.4\sigma)$
4	Upward Trend, UT	$y_t = \mu + r(t) \times \delta + t \times g$	$d \in (1\sigma, 3\sigma)$
5	Downward Trend, DT	$y_t = \mu + r(t) \times \delta - t \times g$	$a \in (1.5\sigma, 2.5\sigma)$
6	Upward shift, US	$y_t = \mu + r(t) \times \delta + k \times s$ $k = 1 \text{ if } t \geq P, \text{ else } = 0$	$T = 16$ $g \in (0.005\sigma, 0.25\sigma)$
7	Downward Shift, DS	$y_t = \mu + r(t) \times \delta - k \times s$ $k = 1 \text{ if } t \geq P, \text{ else } = 0$	$P \in (10, 20)$ $s \in (1\sigma, 3\sigma)$ $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots, L$

21

- μ and σ = mean and standard deviation estimate of the in-control production process.
- $r(t)$ represents the inevitable accidental fluctuation which is subject to gaussian distribution $N(0, 1)$.
- d = Degree of system state departure.
- a =Amplitude of cyclic pattern.
- T = Period of the cycle.
- g = Gradient of a data trend.
- P = Time point when the shift anomaly occurs.
- s = Amplitude of the shift pattern.

1

The sequence (window width) length of data simulation 'L' should be small, because

longer width of window causes larger lag of anomaly detection. In General length of the window is set to "16-64" sampling points. The flowchart monte carlo simulation is shown in figure1 below:





Figure1: Flowchart for Monte carlo simulation

1. For the given sample of eye tracking gaze point datasets shown in the table2, we have done Data Simulation using monte carlo simulation on approx 10,944 raw eye gaze points data and prepared 8 different control chart pattern datasets with window length of 32 sampling points i.e; we make 342 datasets from $10944(342*32)$ data having 32 sampling points each.
2. For that first dataset we took the first 32 sampling points and generated 8 different patterns datasets using monte carlo simulation of the same window length of 32 sampling points.
3. Similarly we have done for next dataset having 32 sample length, and like that from 10944 ($342*32$) eye tracking data we have prepared 342 pieces for each 8 control chart patterns having sample length of 32.
4. We have written code in python using pandas and numpy for monte carlo


simulation.

Visualization of raw eye tracking datasets

I. Gaze point X VS Recording timestamp



II. Gaze point Y VS Recording timestamp




Visualization of Generated data of control chart patterns

I. Normal Patterns



Normal Control Chart Pattern for Y




Normal control chart pattern for x




II. Cyclic patterns





III. Systematic patterns






IV. Stratification patterns




V. Upshift patterns

Upshift control chart Pattern for X




Upshift Control chart pattern for Y




VI. Downshift patterns

Downshift control chart pattern for X




Downshift control chart pattern for Y




VII. Uptrend patterns


Uptrend control chart pattern for X



Uptrend control chart pattern for Y



VIII. Downtrend patterns



2) Features extraction using Deep neural Networks

Feature extraction is the second step after data generation for different patterns using monte carlo simulation. We have used various neural networks and 1 dimensional convolutional neural networks to extract the feature from the generated data for different patterns.

How Convolutional neural networks work ?

The structure of convolutional neural networks is divided into two parts, The first part is convolutional layers and pooling layers to extract features and generate feature maps, and the second part is fully connected(dense) layers for final

output.

- The convolutional layers: Extract features from the input data and generate feature maps.
- The fully connected(dense) layers: Uses feature maps from convolutional layer to generate output

2

There are two important processes involved during the training of deep neural network:

- I. **Forward propagation:** Receive input data, process the information, and generate output
- II. **Backward propagation:** Calculate error(cost function) and update the parameters of the neural network.

Forward Propagation

A. Forward Propagation: Convolutional layer

12

Each Convolutional neural network has filters to extract the local features from input data, these local extracted features are then fed into activation units to generate the output feature maps . There are various types of activation functions, few of them are sigmoid function, Tanh function, ReLU function, Leaky ReLU, Softmax.

I have used mainly ReLU activation functions on hidden layers and Softmax activation function of output layer.

12

The advantage of using ReLU activation function over others is that it does not activate all the neurons at the same time. After applying ReLU functions the neurons will be deactivated if the output value of the linear transformation is less than zero.

$$f(x) = \max(0, x)$$

To find each unit generated feature map the weight of filters used are the same known as weight sharing. And the number of features used in the network determines the depth of the feature maps and thus the number of features plays an important role in the performance of any CNN. After convolution, it is then connected to the pooling layer.

1

The pooling layer is used to reduce the size of extracted feature maps and usually set after the convolutional layer. Max pooling and average pooling are two most widely

¹⁴
used pooling methods. Max pooling used to reduce the size of data by picking the maximum value from the elements in the window whereas average pooling takes the average value of all the elements in the window.

The computation graph of forward propagation is shown the figure below:




Figure2: Computation Graph for Forward Propagation

Step-1 If X is our generated input data and f is the filter then our generated data is convolved with the filter and expression would be:

$$Z_1 = X * f$$

Step-2 Applying ReLU activation function on extracted local feature maps matrix (Z_1).

$$A1 = \text{ReLU}(Z_1)$$

Step-3 Set Pooling Layer (we have used Max pooling layer) after convolutional layer.

B. Forward Propagation: Fully connected layer

²
Convolutional layer has extracted some valuable features from the input data. After step-3, Now these extracted features are sent to the fully connected layer that generates the final output. The output from the convolutional layer is a 2D matrix then the generated feature maps from the convolutional layer are first converted into a 1 dimensional array, once the generated data is converted into 1D array, it is sent to the fully connected layer. All of these individual values are treated as separated features.

²
Fully connected layer performs two operations on the incoming data- first is linear

transformation and second is non-linear transformation.




Figure3: Linear transformation and nonlinear transformation in fully connected layer

2

Step-4 defines (randomly initialize) weight and bias matrix and applies linear transformation on the values.

$$A1 = \begin{bmatrix} a1 \\ a2 \\ a3 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ an \end{bmatrix} \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} W11 & W12 & \dots & W1m \\ W21 & W22 & \dots & W2m \\ W31 & W32 & \dots & W3m \\ W41 & W42 & \dots & W4m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Wn1 & Wn2 & \dots & Wnm \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} b1 \\ b2 \\ b3 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ bm \end{bmatrix}$$

The equation for linear transformation is:

$$Z2 = W^T \cdot A1 + b$$

Here, A1 is the extracted local feature map obtained from step-3, W is a weight matrix, and b is a bias matrix which is constant.

Step5-Apply softmax activation function on Z2

Now the final step in the forward propagation - the non linear transformation.

The linear transformation individually cannot capture all the complex relationships and thus to capture those relationships we introduced activation function in the network which adds non-linearity to the data. We have used the **Softmax activation** function in the output layer used for multiclass classification problems which return probability of a dataset belonging to each class.

$$\text{softmax} = \sigma(z)_j = \frac{e^{z_j}}{\sum_{k=1}^K e^{z_k}} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, K$$

Applying softmax activation function, This will be our final output

$$O = \text{softmax}(z_2)$$

Backward Propagation

During the forward propagation process, the parameters of convolutional neural networks are randomly initialized weight, bias and filters. In the backward propagation process, the model tries to update all these parameters to decrease the overall loss and make the model more accurate. We have used the concept of gradient descent techniques for updating the parameters which find the value of parameters at which loss is minimum. The general equation for updating the parameters is:

$$\text{New parameter} = \text{old parameter} - (\text{learning rate} * \text{gradient of parameter})$$

The learning rate is a constant value which determines the amount of change needed to the old value of parameter and slope or the gradient to determine whether the values

2

should increase or decrease. In order to update the old value of parameters we need to find the gradient of parameters that is change in error with respect to parameters. The computational graph of backward propagation is shown in the figure below:




Figure4: Computation graph for Backward Propagation

Backward propagation: Fully Connected Layer

There are two parameters in a fully connected layer - weight matrix and bias matrix.

$$E/W = E/O \cdot O/Z2 \cdot Z2/W$$

Where

E/O = change in error with respect to output

$O/Z2$ = change in output with respect to $Z2$

$Z2/W$ = change in $Z2$ with respect to W (weights)

The shape of E/W and the weight matrix W will be the same. Now we update the old weight matrix W_{old} using equation given below:

$$W_{new} = W_{old} - lr * E/W$$

Similarly we will update bias using following equation:

$$b_{new} = b_{old} - lr * E/b$$

Backward Propagation: Convolution layer

The parameters for the convolution layer is a filter matrix which we had randomly initialized during the forward propagation process. Now we are going to update these values using the following equation.

New parameter = Old parameter-(learning rate*gradient of parameter)

To update the filter matrix, we need to find the gradient of the parameter dE/df .

$$E/f = E/O.O/Z2.Z2/A1 .A1/Z1.Z1/f$$

Where

$Z_2/A1$ = change in Z_2 with respect to $A1$

$A_1/Z1$ = change in A_1 with respect to $Z1$

$Z1/f$ = change in $Z1$ with respect to f

Now after finding the value of E/f , we are going to use this new value to update the original(older) filter value:²

$$f_{new} = f_{old} - lr * (E/f)$$

In this project we have generated 342 pieces for each pattern using Data Simulation techniques, 300 of which were used for training the 5 different neural network models and 42 for testing the models.

- Training Data- (300*32*2), label-(nor,cyc,sys,str,us,ds,ut,dt)
- Test Data- (42*32*2), label-(nor,cyc,sys,str,us,ds,ut,dt)

➤ label-(nor,cyc,sys,str,us,ds,ut,dt)-encode-(0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7)

We have used Five different neural networks for recognition of our control chart patterns and applied a keras tuner to find the optimized number of units and filter size and trained each model for around 250 epochs.

1. Artificial neural network
2. 1 layer 1-D CNN
3. 2 layer 1-D CNN
4. 3 layer 1-D CNN
5. Improved 1-D CNN (having inception layer)

The architecture and structure of each neural network used for control chart patterns recognition is shown in the table2 below :

Layer	ANN	1L 5 CNN	2L 1-D CNN	3L 1-D CNN	Improved 1-D CNN
Input Layer	32*2	32*2	32*2	32*2	32*2
layer1	Flatten	Conv1D(1* 3,112)	Conv1D((1* 10,128))	Conv1D((1* 3,80))	conv1D (1*10,16),(1*10,32), (1*10,64)
layer2	Dense(448)	Max Pooling (pool_size= 2)	Max Pooling (pool_size= 2)	Max Pooling pool_size=2	Concatenate
layer3	Dense(448)	flatten	(1*10,128)	(1*3,112)	(1*10,32)
layer4	-----	Dense(80)	Max Pooling (pool_size= 2)	Max Pooling (pool_size= 2)	Max Pooling pool_size=2
layer5	-----	Dense(8)	flatten	(1*3,64)	(1*10,112)

layer5	-----	-----	Dense(80)	Max Pooling (pool_size=2)	Max Pooling pool_size=2
layer6	-----	-----	Dense(8)	flatten	flatten
layer7	-----	-----	----- --	Dense(48)	Dense(80)
layer8	----- --	----- -	-----	Dense(8)	Dense(8)

In this project along with ANN and single or multi layer 1 dimensional ANN, we have also used a special type of CNN model called **Improved 1 dimensional CNN** which has a special layer called Inception layer as a layer1 which is a parallel combination of three layers of filter size (1*10) and 16, 32, 62 filters. The main advantages of having an inception layer is It allows the internal layers to pick and choose which filter size will be relevant to learn the required information. The architecture of Improved one dimensional CNN is shown in the figure5 below.





Figure5: Architecture of Improved one dimensional convolutional neural network

5. Results


After training the model for around 250 epochs, we have tested the test data on the trained model and compare the recognition accuracy, loss, plots and other factors.

1) Graph between training and Validation loss of models per epoch


The following graph shows how the loss is decreasing with the increase in the number of epochs.




(I) ANN




(II) 1layer 1D



(III) 2 layer 1D CNN




(IV) 3 layer 1D CNN




(V) Improved 1D CNN

2) Graph between training and validation accuracy of models per epoch


The following graphs show how the accuracy of the model increases per epoch during the training process.



(I) ANN




(II) 1 layer 1D CNN



3) Confusion matrix


A confusion matrix is used to check the performance of a classification model on a set of test data. Calculating a confusion matrix can give you an idea of where the model is right and what types of errors it is making.

1) ANN




The confusion matrix heatmap for ANN shown in the above figure gives the recognition accuracy of 77.38% which is lowest among all models and misclassification of 22.62% which is highest among all models.

2) 1 layer 1D CNN




The confusion matrix heatmap for 1 layer 1D CNN shown in the above figure gives the accuracy of 83.9% and misclassification of 16.9%.

3) 2 layer 1D CNN




The confusion matrix heatmap shown in the above figure gives the recognition accuracy of 85.7% and has misclassification of 14.3%.

4) 3 layer 1D CNN



The confusion matrix heatmap shown in the above figure gives the recognition accuracy of 78.6% which is lowest after artificial neural networks and also has misclassification of 21.4% which is highest after ANN.

5) Improved 1D CNN



Among all CCPs models, Improved 1D CNN has the highest accuracy. Confusion matrix heatmap shown in the above figure gives the recognition accuracy of 88.09% which is highest and has lowest misclassification value of 11.91%.

4) Accuracy

Model	ANN	1 layer 1D CNN	2 layer 1D CNN	3 layer 1D CNN	Improved 1-D CNN
Accuracy on train data	0.8062	0.84	0.889	0.835	0.90625
Accuracy on test data	0.786	0.839	0.857	0.7738	0.881

5) Loss

Model	ANN	1 layer 1D CNN	2 layer 1D CNN	3 layer 1D CNN	Improved 1-D CNN
Loss on train data	0.388	0.30	0.2955	0.33	0.2466
Loss on test data	0.6682	0.336	0.3122	0.69	0.2761

6.Discussion

We have compared the accuracy and loss of five different models for recognition of control charts patterns to detect or identify the unnatural patterns and deviations occurring on control charts during the production process. These five different types of model are Artificial neural network, 1 layer 1D convolutional neural network, 2 layer 1D convolutional neural network, 3 layer 1D convolutional neural network and Improved 1D convolutional neural network. The model which has only one convolutional layer is 1 layer convolutional neural network and if the model has 2 layer of convolutional layer is 2 layer convolutional layer similarly for 3 layer convolutional layer. Improved 1D convolutional neural network has a special type of layer called Inceptional layer. We have trained these models on 300 training datasets for each pattern that are normal pattern, cyclic pattern, systematic pattern, stratification pattern, upshift pattern, downshift pattern, uptrend pattern, downtrend pattern and test the model on 42 test datasets for each pattern. These datasets are generated by applying monte carlo simulation on raw eye tracking datasets. While training the model the model undergoes forward and backward propagation to give the final output. After training each model for around 250 epochs, we fed the validation data and got accuracy and loss for each model. After analyzing the results like accuracy , loss confusion matrix and all the plots of loss and accuracy for training and validation datasets, Improved 1D-CNN has highest recognition accuracy of 90% on train data and 88% on Test data as well as lowest loss of 0.2466 on train datasets and 0.27 on test datasets where as Artificial neural network has minimum recognition accuracy of 80.62% on train data and 77.38% on test data as well as maximum loss 0.388 on train data and 0.69 on test datasets. This is due to the presence of

the inception layer in Improved 1-D CNN allows the inner layer to pick the optimized filter size to learn the patterns in the data.


All these feature-based extraction methods help to develop automated recognition systems for control chart patterns recognition and thus when we fed the datasets, the model helps to identify or detect abnormal patterns, deviations and as well as abnormal abruptness in the production process. Abnormal patterns formed in control charts are related with various assignable causes which greatly affect the stability of the production process and thus the recognition of these patterns can help us to find those causes and eliminate the potential hazards caused by these factors to make our production process smooth.

7. Conclusion

Feature-based extraction methods like convolutional neural networks are very powerful techniques for the recognition of control chart patterns. The results indicate that feature-based Feature extraction methods like 1D convolutional neural network having inception layer gives more consistent recognition performance and dominant over layer by layer neural network as well as some classical methods like fuzzy inference systems as well as support vector machines. After analyzing the confusion matrix heatmap for all proposed neural network models in our thesis, there is a tendency for stratification patterns to be mostly confused with normal pattern and stratification patterns and similarly shift patterns with trend patterns. But out of all five models used in this thesis Improved 1D convolutional neural network gives highest accuracy and reduces the misclassification between stratification-normal patterns and trend-shift patterns. This indicates that the performance of our recognition model can be improved further by identification of new features that will helpful in discriminating Normal pattern with stratification pattern as well as shift pattern with trend pattern. And thus these efficient automated CCP recognition systems can help to identify eight most common control chart patterns that are normal pattern, stratification pattern, cyclic pattern, systematic pattern, upward shift pattern, downward shift pattern, Utrend pattern and downtrend pattern. After the recognition of pattern , it informs the users about various root assignable causes associated with pattern along with the necessary preemptive actions also reduce the complexity of the production process and help in judging whether the process is normal or abnormal and the recognition of unnatural patterns in control charts provides clue to reveal the potential quality problem in manufacturing process.

8. References

- 1) Pham, D. T., and Wani, M. A., (1997). Feature-based control chart pattern recognition. International Journal of Production Research, 35(7), 1875-1890
- 2) Gauri, S. K., and Chakraborty, S. (2007). A study on the various features for effective control chart pattern recognition. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 34(3-4), 385-398.
- 3) Addeh, A., Khormali, A., and Gorillaz, N. A. (2018). Control chart pattern recognition using RBF neural networks with new training algorithms and practical features. ISA Transactions, 79, 202-216
- 4) Gulbay, M., and Kahraman, C. (2007). Development of fuzzy process control charts and fuzzy unnatural pattern analyses. Comp
- 5) Zaman, M., and Hassan, A., (2018). Improved statistical features-based control chart patterns recognition using anfis with fuzzy clustering. Neural Computing and Applications, (4), 1-15.
- 6) Ebrahimzadeh, A., and Ranaee, V. (2011). High efficient method for control chart patterns recognition. Acta technica ČSAV, 56(1), 89-101.
- 7) Zhao, C., Wang, C., Hua, L., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., and Hu, H. (2017). Recognition of control chart pattern using improved supervised locally linear embedding and support vector machine. Procedia Engineering, 174, 281-288.
- 8) Cheng, and C.-S. (1997). A neural network approach for the analysis of control chart patterns. International Journal of Production Research, 35(3), 667-697
- 9) Ghomi, S. M. T. F., Lesany, S. A., and Koochakzadeh, A. (2011). Recognition of unnatural patterns in process control charts through combining two types of neural networks. Applied Soft Computing, 11(8), 5444-5456
- 10) Awadalla, M. H., and Sadek, M. A. (2012). Spiking neural network-based control chart pattern recognition. Alexandria Engineering Journal, 51(1), 27-35
- 11) Kiranyaz, S., Ince, T., and Gabbouj, M. (2016). Real-time patient-specific ECG classification by 1-d convolutional neural networks. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 63(3), 664-675
- 12) Malek, S., Melgani, F., and Bazi, Y. (2017). One - dimensional convolutional neural networks for spectroscopic signal regression. Journal of Chemometrics, 32(5), e2977



ccps using cnn4

ORIGINALITY REPORT

26%	10%	19%	3%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** Jie Xu, Huichun Lv, Zilong Zhuang, Zhiyao Lu, Dewei Zou, Wei Qin. "Control Chart Pattern Recognition Method Based on Improved One-dimensional Convolutional Neural Network", IFAC-PapersOnLine, 2019
Publication **8%**
- 2** www.analyticsvidhya.com Internet Source **7%**
- 3** Gauri, S.K.. "Feature-based recognition of control chart patterns", Computers & Industrial Engineering, 200612
Publication **2%**
- 4** Meliboev Azizjon, Alikhanov Jumabek, Wooseong Kim. "1D CNN based network intrusion detection with normalization on imbalanced data", 2020 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Information and Communication (ICAIIC), 2020
Publication **1%**
- 5** Roberta Avanzato, Francesco Beritelli.

"Automatic ECG Diagnosis Using Convolutional Neural Network", Electronics, 2020

1 %

Publication

6 Ranaee, V.. "Application of the PSO-SVM model for recognition of control chart patterns", ISA Transactions, 201010

<1 %

Publication

7 Tao Zan, Zhihao Liu, Hui Wang, Min Wang, Xiangsheng Gao. "Control chart pattern recognition using the convolutional neural network", Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, 2019

<1 %

Publication

8 C.-S. CHENG. "A neural network approach for the analysis of control chart patterns", International Journal of Production Research, 3/1/1997

<1 %

Publication

9 link.springer.com

<1 %

Internet Source

10 Chunhua Zhao, Chengkang Wang, Lu Hu, Xiao Liu, Yina Zhang, Hengxing Hu.

<1 %

"Recognition of Control Chart Pattern Using Improved Supervised Locally Linear Embedding and Support Vector Machine", Procedia Engineering, 2017

Publication

- | | | |
|----|---|------|
| 11 | www.mdpi.com
Internet Source | <1 % |
| 12 | "Communications, Signal Processing, and Systems", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2020
Publication | <1 % |
| 13 | Monark Bag, Susanta Kumar Gauri, Shankar Chakraborty. "An expert system for control chart pattern recognition", The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 2011
Publication | <1 % |
| 14 | Submitted to Higher Education Commission Pakistan
Student Paper | <1 % |
| 15 | Submitted to Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
Student Paper | <1 % |
| 16 | Fatemi Ghomi, S.M.T.. "Recognition of unnatural patterns in process control charts through combining two types of neural networks", Applied Soft Computing Journal, 201112
Publication | <1 % |
| 17 | Submitted to The Hong Kong Polytechnic University
Student Paper | <1 % |
| 18 | Somayeh Mirzaei, Abdolhakim Nikpey, Payam Zarbakhsh. "Recognition of Control Chart | <1 % |

Patterns Using Imperialist Competitive Algorithm and Fuzzy Rules Approach", International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications, 2014

Publication

- 19 Ramazan Ünlü. "chapter 13 An Assessment of Imbalanced Control Chart Pattern Recognition by Artificial Neural Networks", IGI Global, 2020 <1 %
- 20 R.-S. GUH, J. D. T. TANNOCK. "Recognition of control chart concurrent patterns using a neural network approach", International Journal of Production Research, 5/20/1999 <1 %
- 21 "Availability and Maintainability in Engineering Design", Handbook of Reliability Availability Maintainability and Safety in Engineering Design, 2009 <1 %
- 22 www.jm-warmte.nl <1 %
- 23 Chih-Hsuan Wang. "A hybrid approach for identification of concurrent control chart patterns", Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, 05/29/2008 <1 %

"Proceedings of the Third International Scientific

- 24 Conference "Intelligent Information Technologies for Industry" (IITI'18)", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019 **<1 %**
Publication
-
- 25 arxiv.org **<1 %**
Internet Source
-
- 26 www.simplilearn.com **<1 %**
Internet Source
-
- 27 www.iieom.org **<1 %**
Internet Source
-
- 28 D. T. PHAM and M. A. WANI. "Feature-based control chart pattern recognition", International Journal of Production Research, 7/1/1997 **<1 %**
Publication
-
- 29 pdfs.semanticscholar.org **<1 %**
Internet Source
-
- 30 Yousef Al-Assaf. "Multi-Resolution Wavelets Analysis Approach for the Recognition of Concurrent Control Chart Patterns", Quality Engineering, 2004 **<1 %**
Publication
-
- 31 Tulasi K. Paradarami, Nathaniel D. Bastian, Jennifer L. Wightman. "A hybrid recommender system using artificial neural networks", Expert Systems with Applications, 2017 **<1 %**
Publication
-

- 32 "Intelligent Computing Theories and Application", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017 $<1\%$
Publication
-
- 33 Prasun Das, Indranil Banerjee. "An hybrid detection system of control chart patterns using cascaded SVM and neural network-based detector", Neural Computing and Applications, 2010 $<1\%$
Publication
-
- 34 Zhou, Xueliang, Pingyu Jiang, and Xianxiang Wang. "Recognition of control chart patterns using fuzzy SVM with a hybrid kernel function", Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, 2015. $<1\%$
Publication
-
- 35 open.library.ubc.ca $<1\%$
Internet Source
-
- 36 digitalcommons.wayne.edu $<1\%$
Internet Source
-
- 37 Sepp Hochreiter, Jürgen Schmidhuber. "Long Short-Term Memory", Neural Computation, 1997 $<1\%$
Publication
-
- 38 Susanta Kumar Gauri, Shankar Chakraborty. "Feature-Based Recognition of Control Chart Patterns: A Generalized Approach", Quality $<1\%$

Technology & Quantitative Management, 2016

Publication

39

"Artificial Intelligence Applications and Innovations", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2020

<1 %

Publication

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography

On