# Exchange economy (with indivisible goods)

Sumit Goel

Econschool seminar

December 8, 2024

Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024 1

• Economics: how to distribute scarce resources?

2/21

Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024

- Economics: how to distribute scarce resources?
- A key model is exchange economies: agents are endowed with some goods, have preferences over consumption bundles, and can only redistribute goods amongst themselves (no production)

- Economics: how to distribute scarce resources?
- A key model is exchange economies: agents are endowed with some goods, have preferences over consumption bundles, and can only redistribute goods amongst themselves (no production)
- Divisible goods: markets provide a nice mechanism (FWT)

- Economics: how to distribute scarce resources?
- A key model is exchange economies: agents are endowed with some goods, have preferences over consumption bundles, and can only redistribute goods amongst themselves (no production)
- Divisible goods: markets provide a nice mechanism (FWT)
- This presentation: indivisible goods (one per agent)
  - Airplane seats, dining table, dorm rooms
  - Widney exchange (Al Roth)
  - Ontext: Housing economy of Shapley-Scarf [1974]
- Results: Markets work well, and there is a nice algorithm to find good allocations

- Economics: how to distribute scarce resources?
- A key model is exchange economies: agents are endowed with some goods, have preferences over consumption bundles, and can only redistribute goods amongst themselves (no production)
- Divisible goods: markets provide a nice mechanism (FWT)
- This presentation: indivisible goods (one per agent)
  - Airplane seats, dining table, dorm rooms
  - Widney exchange (Al Roth)
  - Ontext: Housing economy of Shapley-Scarf [1974]
- Results: Markets work well, and there is a nice algorithm to find good allocations

Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024 3 /

• Consider a housing economy with three agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3
$h_2$	h <sub>3</sub>	$h_1$
$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_3$
$h_3$	$h_1$	$h_2$

3/21

 Consider a housing economy with three agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3
$h_2$	h <sub>3</sub>	$h_1$
$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_3$
$h_3$	$h_1$	$h_2$

• What allocations are desirable in this economy?

 Consider a housing economy with three agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3
$h_2$	h <sub>3</sub>	$h_1$
$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_3$
$h_3$	$h_1$	$h_2$

• What allocations are desirable in this economy?

• Consider a housing economy with three agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3
$h_2$	h <sub>3</sub>	$h_1$
$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_3$
$h_3$	$h_1$	$h_2$

- What allocations are desirable in this economy?

  - $x = (h_2, h_3, h_1)?$

• Consider a housing economy with three agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3
$h_2$	h <sub>3</sub>	$h_1$
$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_3$
$h_3$	$h_1$	$h_2$

• What allocations are desirable in this economy?

$$x = (h_2, h_3, h_1)?$$

What allocation would result if houses were traded via markets (prices)?

3/21

 Consider a housing economy with three agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3
$h_2$	h <sub>3</sub>	$h_1$
$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_3$
$h_3$	$h_1$	$h_2$

• What allocations are desirable in this economy?

$$x = (h_2, h_3, h_1)?$$

 What allocation would result if houses were traded via markets (prices)?

$$p = (1,2,3)$$
?

• Consider a housing economy with three agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3
$h_2$	h <sub>3</sub>	$h_1$
$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_3$
$h_3$	$h_1$	$h_2$

• What allocations are desirable in this economy?

$$x = (h_2, h_3, h_1)?$$

What allocation would result if houses were traded via markets (prices)?

**1** 
$$p = (1,2,3)$$
?

$$p = (2,2,2)$$
?



Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024

• Consider a housing economy with three agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3
$h_1$	$h_1$	$h_1$
$h_2$	$h_2$	$h_2$
$h_3$	$h_3$	$h_3$

 Consider a housing economy with three agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3
$h_1$	$h_1$	$h_1$
$h_2$	$h_2$	$h_2$
$h_3$	h <sub>3</sub>	$h_3$

• What allocations are desirable in this economy?

• Consider a housing economy with three agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3
$h_1$	$h_1$	$h_1$
$h_2$	$h_2$	$h_2$
$h_3$	$h_3$	$h_3$

• What allocations are desirable in this economy?

$$x = (h_2, h_3, h_1)?$$

• Consider a housing economy with three agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3
$h_1$	$h_1$	$h_1$
$h_2$	$h_2$	$h_2$
$h_3$	$h_3$	$h_3$

• What allocations are desirable in this economy?

$$x = (h_2, h_3, h_1)?$$

What allocation would result if houses were traded via markets (prices)?

$$p = (2,2,2)$$
?

$$x = (1, 2, 3)$$
?



4 / 21



Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024 5

• There are n agents,  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024 5

- There are n agents,  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .
- There are n houses,  $X = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n\}$ .

Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024 5/21

- There are n agents,  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .
- There are *n* houses,  $X = \{h_1, h_2, ..., h_n\}$ .
- Each agent  $i \in N$  has its own preference  $\succeq_i$  over the set of houses X.

Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024 5/21

- There are n agents,  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .
- There are *n* houses,  $X = \{h_1, h_2, ..., h_n\}$ .
- Each agent  $i \in N$  has its own preference  $\succeq_i$  over the set of houses X.
- Each agent  $i \in N$  is endowed with its own house  $\omega_i \in X$ , where  $i \neq j$  implies  $\omega_i \neq \omega_j$ .

- There are *n* agents,  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .
- There are *n* houses,  $X = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n\}$ .
- Each agent  $i \in N$  has its own preference  $\succeq_i$  over the set of houses X.
- Each agent  $i \in N$  is endowed with its own house  $\omega_i \in X$ , where  $i \neq j$ implies  $\omega_i \neq \omega_i$ .
- We will assume, WLOG, that  $\omega_i = h_i$ .



Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024 6,

• An allocation in the housing economy is a function  $x : N \to X$  such that

$$i \neq j \implies x_i \neq x_j$$
.



• An allocation in the housing economy is a function  $x : N \to X$  such that

$$i \neq j \implies x_i \neq x_j$$
.

In other words, x must be a bijection.

 Given any economy, one would want to find/implement allocations that are (at least)



• An allocation in the housing economy is a function  $x: N \to X$  such that

$$i \neq j \implies x_i \neq x_j$$
.

- Given any economy, one would want to find/implement allocations that are (at least)
  - individually rational (agents are not worse off)

• An allocation in the housing economy is a function  $x : N \to X$  such that

$$i \neq j \implies x_i \neq x_j$$
.

- Given any economy, one would want to find/implement allocations that are (at least)
  - individually rational (agents are not worse off)
  - efficient (make best use of available resources)

• An allocation in the housing economy is a function  $x: N \to X$  such that

$$i \neq j \implies x_i \neq x_j$$
.

- Given any economy, one would want to find/implement allocations that are (at least)
  - individually rational (agents are not worse off)
  - efficient (make best use of available resources)
- We will now formalize these ideas.

# 1. Individually rational

• An allocation  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is *individually rational* if for all  $i \in N$ ,

$$x_i \succcurlyeq_i \omega_i$$
.



Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024 7

# 1. Individually rational

• An allocation  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$  is *individually rational* if for all  $i \in N$ ,

$$x_i \succcurlyeq_i \omega_i$$
.

• In words, x is individually rational if all agents weakly prefer their house under x than under the endowment  $\omega$ .

Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024 7/

#### 2. Pareto efficient

Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024 8

#### 2. Pareto efficient

• An allocation  $y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$  Pareto dominates allocation  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$  if for all  $i \in N$ ,

$$y_i \succcurlyeq_i x_i$$

and for at least one  $j \in N$ ,

$$y_j \succ_j x_j$$

#### 2. Pareto efficient

• An allocation  $y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$  Pareto dominates allocation  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$  if for all  $i \in N$ ,

$$y_i \succcurlyeq_i x_i$$

and for at least one  $j \in N$ ,

$$y_j \succ_j x_j$$

- In words, y Pareto dominates x if all agents weakly prefer their house under y than under x, and at least some agent strictly prefers its house under y than x.
- An allocation  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$  is Pareto efficient if there does not exist another allocation y such that y Pareto dominates x.

Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024 8/21

- An allocation  $y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$  blocks allocation  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$  if there is some coalition  $S \subset N$  such that
  - lacktriangledown for all  $i \in S$ ,

$$y_i \succcurlyeq_i x_i$$

and for at least one  $j \in S$ ,

$$y_j \succ x_j$$



- An allocation  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  blocks allocation  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  if there is some coalition  $S \subset N$  such that
  - $\bullet$  for all  $i \in S$ ,

$$y_i \succcurlyeq_i x_i$$

and for at least one  $j \in S$ ,

$$y_j \succ x_j$$

• In words, x is blocked by coalition S if it is possible for agents in S to just trade their endowment in a way such that all agents in S weakly prefer this trade to x and at least some agent in S strictly prefers this trade to x.

- An allocation  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  blocks allocation  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  if there is some coalition  $S \subset N$  such that
  - $\bullet$  for all  $i \in S$ ,

$$y_i \succcurlyeq_i x_i$$

and for at least one  $j \in S$ ,

$$y_j \succ x_j$$

- In words, x is blocked by coalition S if it is possible for agents in S to
  just trade their endowment in a way such that all agents in S weakly
  prefer this trade to x and at least some agent in S strictly prefers this
  trade to x.
- An allocation  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is in the *core* if there does not exist another allocation y that blocks x.



• Consider a housing economy with four agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3	Agent 4
h <sub>3</sub>	$h_4$	$h_1$	$h_1$
$h_2$	$h_1$	$h_3$	$h_4$
$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_4$	$h_2$
$h_4$	$h_3$	$h_2$	$h_3$

• Consider a housing economy with four agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

	Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3	Agent 4
•	h <sub>3</sub>	h <sub>4</sub>	$h_1$	$h_1$
	$h_2$	$h_1$	$h_3$	$h_4$
	$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_4$	$h_2$
	$h_4$	$h_3$	$h_2$	$h_3$

Allocations and their properties:

Allocation	 PΕ	Core
$(h_4, h_3, h_1, h_2)$		
$(h_3, h_4, h_1, h_2)$		
$(h_3, h_2, h_1, h_4)$		

• Consider a housing economy with four agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3	Agent 4
h <sub>3</sub>	$h_4$	$h_1$	$h_1$
$h_2$	$h_1$	$h_3$	$h_4$
$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_4$	$h_2$
$h_4$	$h_3$	$h_2$	$h_3$

Allocations and their properties:

Allocation	 PΕ	Core
$(h_4, h_3, h_1, h_2)$		
$(h_3, h_4, h_1, h_2)$		
$(h_3, h_2, h_1, h_4)$		

Market outcome?



**①** Consider a housing economy with four agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3	Agent 4
h <sub>3</sub>	$h_4$	$h_1$	$h_1$
$h_2$	$h_1$	$h_3$	$h_4$
$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_4$	$h_2$
$h_4$	$h_3$	$h_2$	$h_3$

Allocations and their properties:

Allocation	 PE	Core
$(h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4)$		
$(h_4, h_3, h_1, h_2)$		
$(h_3, h_4, h_1, h_2)$		
$ \begin{array}{c} (h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4) \\ (h_4, h_3, h_1, h_2) \\ (h_3, h_4, h_1, h_2) \\ (h_3, h_2, h_1, h_4) \end{array} $		

**3** Market outcome? p = (5, 2, 5, 3)?

• Markets redistribute goods through prices.

11 / 21

Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024

- Markets redistribute goods through prices.
- Let  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$  denote the market prices for the *n* houses.

- Markets redistribute goods through prices.
- Let  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$  denote the market prices for the n houses.
- Given market prices p, agent i (who is endowed with  $\omega_i = h_i$ ) has a budget set

$$B_i(p) = \{h_j : p_j \leq p_i\}.$$

- Markets redistribute goods through prices.
- Let  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$  denote the market prices for the *n* houses.
- Given market prices p, agent i (who is endowed with  $\omega_i = h_i$ ) has a budget set

$$B_i(p) = \{h_j : p_j \leq p_i\}.$$

• Each agent  $i \in N$  would demand its favorite house (according to  $\succ_i$ ) among those in its budget set. Let  $x_i(p) \in B_i(p)$  denote this demand.

- Markets redistribute goods through prices.
- Let  $p = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)$  denote the market prices for the n houses.
- Given market prices p, agent i (who is endowed with  $\omega_i = h_i$ ) has a budget set

$$B_i(p) = \{h_j : p_j \leq p_i\}.$$

- Each agent  $i \in N$  would demand its favorite house (according to  $\succ_i$ ) among those in its budget set. Let  $x_i(p) \in B_i(p)$  denote this demand.
- A market equilibrium occurs when market prices are such that the demand for houses equals supply of houses.

- Markets redistribute goods through prices.
- Let  $p = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)$  denote the market prices for the n houses.
- Given market prices p, agent i (who is endowed with  $\omega_i = h_i$ ) has a budget set

$$B_i(p) = \{h_j : p_j \leq p_i\}.$$

- Each agent  $i \in N$  would demand its favorite house (according to  $\succ_i$ ) among those in its budget set. Let  $x_i(p) \in B_i(p)$  denote this demand.
- A market equilibrium occurs when market prices are such that the demand for houses equals supply of houses.
- A market equilibrium is a pair (p, x) where  $p : H \to \mathbb{R}$  is a price function and  $x : N \to H$  is a function such that for all  $i \in N$ ,

  - 2 x is an allocation

### Questions

- 1 Does a market equilibrium always exist?
- ② Can there be multiple market equilibrium allocations?
- Which of the three properties do equilibrium allocations satisfy?

• Suppose all agents have the same preference:  $h_1 \succ_i h_2 \succ_i \cdots \succ_i h_n$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, (p, x) is a market equilibrium if and only if  $p_1 > p_2 > \cdots > p_n$  and  $x_i = h_i$ .

- Suppose all agents have the same preference:  $h_1 \succ_i h_2 \succ_i \cdots \succ_i h_n$  for all  $i \in N$ . Then, (p, x) is a market equilibrium if and only if  $p_1 > p_2 > \cdots > p_n$  and  $x_i = h_i$ .
- Suppose each agent has a different most-preferred house. Then, (p, x) where  $p_1 = p_2 = \cdots = p_n$  and each agents gets its favorite house under x is a market equilibrium.

- Suppose all agents have the same preference:  $h_1 \succ_i h_2 \succ_i \cdots \succ_i h_n$  for all  $i \in N$ . Then, (p, x) is a market equilibrium if and only if  $p_1 > p_2 > \cdots > p_n$  and  $x_i = h_i$ .
- Suppose each agent has a different most-preferred house. Then, (p,x) where  $p_1=p_2=\cdots=p_n$  and each agents gets its favorite house under x is a market equilibrium.
- In these two very special cases,
  - market equilibrium exists,
  - 2 the equilibrium allocation is unique,
  - and the equilibrium allocation is IR and PE.

- Suppose all agents have the same preference:  $h_1 \succ_i h_2 \succ_i \cdots \succ_i h_n$ for all  $i \in N$ . Then, (p, x) is a market equilibrium if and only if  $p_1 > p_2 > \cdots > p_n$  and  $x_i = h_i$ .
- Suppose each agent has a different most-preferred house. Then, (p,x) where  $p_1=p_2=\cdots=p_n$  and each agents gets its favorite house under x is a market equilibrium.
- In these two very special cases,
  - market equilibrium exists.
  - 2 the equilibrium allocation is unique.
  - 3 and the equilibrium allocation is IR and PE.
- But what about more general cases, when agents may have other preferences?

### First welfare theorem: Markets lead to efficient allocations

#### Theorem 1.

For any housing economy (with strict preferences),

- market equilibrium exists,
- the equilibrium allocation is unique,
- and the equilibrium allocation is Pareto efficient.

• Take any housing economy with n agents, n houses, and arbitrary (strict) preferences  $\succ_i$  over  $X = \{h_1, \ldots, h_n\}$  for  $i \in N$ .

- Take any housing economy with n agents, n houses, and arbitrary (strict) preferences  $\succ_i$  over  $X = \{h_1, \ldots, h_n\}$  for  $i \in N$ .
- Suppose (p, x) is a market equilibrium in this economy

- Take any housing economy with n agents, n houses, and arbitrary (strict) preferences  $\succ_i$  over  $X = \{h_1, \ldots, h_n\}$  for  $i \in N$ .
- Suppose (p, x) is a market equilibrium in this economy
- Let  $P = \sum_i p_i$  and suppose towards a contradiction that allocation y Pareto dominates x.

- Take any housing economy with n agents, n houses, and arbitrary (strict) preferences  $\succ_i$  over  $X = \{h_1, \ldots, h_n\}$  for  $i \in N$ .
- Suppose (p, x) is a market equilibrium in this economy
- Let  $P = \sum_i p_i$  and suppose towards a contradiction that allocation y Pareto dominates x.
- But then, it must be that  $p(y_i) \ge p_i \ge p(x_i)$  for all i. And for some j,  $p(y_j) > p_j \ge p(x_j)$ .

- Take any housing economy with n agents, n houses, and arbitrary (strict) preferences  $\succ_i$  over  $X = \{h_1, \ldots, h_n\}$  for  $i \in N$ .
- Suppose (p, x) is a market equilibrium in this economy
- Let  $P = \sum_i p_i$  and suppose towards a contradiction that allocation y Pareto dominates x.
- But then, it must be that  $p(y_i) \ge p_i \ge p(x_i)$  for all i. And for some j,  $p(y_j) > p_j \ge p(x_j)$ .
- But this implies  $\sum_i p(y_i) > P$  which is a contradiction.

- A proof of existence of market equilibrium is through the Top Trading Cycles (TTC) algorithm (due to David Gale)
- The algorithm works as follows:

- A proof of existence of market equilibrium is through the Top Trading Cycles (TTC) algorithm (due to David Gale)
- The algorithm works as follows:
  - 4 All agents point to the owner of their favorite house.

- A proof of existence of market equilibrium is through the Top Trading Cycles (TTC) algorithm (due to David Gale)
- The algorithm works as follows:
  - **1** All agents point to the owner of their favorite house.
  - ② Find a trading cycle  $C = \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_k\}$  which has the property that

16/21

Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024

- A proof of existence of market equilibrium is through the Top Trading Cycles (TTC) algorithm (due to David Gale)
- The algorithm works as follows:
  - All agents point to the owner of their favorite house.
  - 2 Find a trading cycle  $C = \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_k\}$  which has the property that
    - **1**  $i_i$  points to  $i_{i+1}$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k-1\}$ ,

- A proof of existence of market equilibrium is through the Top Trading Cycles (TTC) algorithm (due to David Gale)
- The algorithm works as follows:
  - All agents point to the owner of their favorite house.
  - 2 Find a trading cycle  $C = \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_k\}$  which has the property that
    - **1**  $i_i$  points to  $i_{i+1}$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k-1\}$ ,
    - $\mathbf{0} \quad i_1 = i_k.$

- A proof of existence of market equilibrium is through the Top Trading Cycles (TTC) algorithm (due to David Gale)
- The algorithm works as follows:
  - 4 All agents point to the owner of their favorite house.
  - ② Find a trading cycle  $C = \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_k\}$  which has the property that
    - **1**  $i_j$  points to  $i_{j+1}$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ ,
    - $0 i_1 = i_k.$
  - Secute the trade suggested by the trading cycle and remove these agents and houses.
  - If agents and houses remain, go back to step 1. Otherwise, terminate.

### Proof of existence: TTC

- A proof of existence of market equilibrium is through the Top Trading Cycles (TTC) algorithm (due to David Gale)
- The algorithm works as follows:
  - 4 All agents point to the owner of their favorite house.
  - ② Find a trading cycle  $C = \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_k\}$  which has the property that
    - **1**  $i_j$  points to  $i_{j+1}$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, ..., k-1\}$ ,
    - $0 i_1 = i_k$ .
  - 3 Execute the trade suggested by the trading cycle and remove these agents and houses.
  - If agents and houses remain, go back to step 1. Otherwise, terminate.
- The algorithm terminates and always results in a unique allocation  $x^{TTC}$  for any arbitrary preferences.

• Consider a housing economy with five agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent	1 Agent 2	Agent 3	Agent 4	Agent 5
h <sub>4</sub>	$h_1$	$h_1$	$h_2$	h <sub>2</sub>
$h_3$	$h_4$	$h_3$	$h_3$	$h_4$
$h_1$	$h_3$	$h_5$	$h_4$	$h_3$
$h_2$	$h_2$	$h_2$	$h_1$	$h_1$
$h_5$	$h_5$	$h_3$	$h_5$	$h_5$

• Consider a housing economy with five agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3	Agent 4	Agent 5
$h_4$	$h_1$	$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_2$
$h_3$	$h_4$	$h_3$	$h_3$	$h_4$
$h_1$	$h_3$	$h_5$	$h_4$	$h_3$
$h_2$	$h_2$	$h_2$	$h_1$	$h_1$
$h_5$	$h_5$	$h_3$	$h_5$	$h_5$

• Step 1: Agents 1, 2, 4 trade

• Consider a housing economy with five agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3	Agent 4	Agent 5
$h_4$	$h_1$	$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_2$
$h_3$	$h_4$	$h_3$	$h_3$	$h_4$
$h_1$	$h_3$	$h_5$	$h_4$	$h_3$
$h_2$	$h_2$	$h_2$	$h_1$	$h_1$
$h_5$	$h_5$	$h_3$	$h_5$	$h_5$

- Step 1: Agents 1, 2, 4 trade
- Step 2: Agent 3 trades

• Consider a housing economy with five agents in which each agent i is endowed with a house  $h_i$  and has the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3	Agent 4	Agent 5
$h_4$	$h_1$	$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_2$
$h_3$	$h_4$	$h_3$	$h_3$	$h_4$
$h_1$	$h_3$	$h_5$	$h_4$	$h_3$
$h_2$	$h_2$	$h_2$	$h_1$	$h_1$
$h_5$	$h_5$	$h_3$	$h_5$	$h_5$

- Step 1: Agents 1, 2, 4 trade
- Step 2: Agent 3 trades
- Step 3: Agent 5 trades



Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024 18

• If the algorithm terminates after m steps, it generates a partition of the agents as  $N = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_m$ .



Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024 18 / 21

- If the algorithm terminates after m steps, it generates a partition of the agents as  $N = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_m$ .
- We can assign prices  $p_1 > p_2 \cdots > p_m$  to the houses belonging to the respective cycles.

Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024 18 / 21

- If the algorithm terminates after m steps, it generates a partition of the agents as  $N = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_m$ .
- We can assign prices  $p_1 > p_2 \cdots > p_m$  to the houses belonging to the respective cycles.
- Then agent  $i \in C_j$  can sell its house for  $p_j$ . It cannot afford a house owned by agents in  $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_{j-1}$ . Thus, its utility is maximized from buying the house of its cyclic successor in  $C_j$ , which costs exactly  $p_j$ .

Sumit Goel Exchange economy December 8, 2024 18 / 21

- If the algorithm terminates after m steps, it generates a partition of the agents as  $N = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_m$ .
- We can assign prices  $p_1 > p_2 \cdots > p_m$  to the houses belonging to the respective cycles.
- Then agent  $i \in C_i$  can sell its house for  $p_i$ . It cannot afford a house owned by agents in  $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_{i-1}$ . Thus, its utility is maximized from buying the house of its cyclic successor in  $C_i$ , which costs exactly  $p_i$ .
- Thus, the allocation  $x^{TTC}$  with the above prices constitute a market equilibrium of the housing economy.
- The allocation  $x^{TTC}$  is not just Pareto efficient, it is also in the core.

Sumit Goel Exchange economy

# Second welfare theorem: Any efficient allocation can be supported in a market equilibrium

#### Theorem 2.

Consider a housing economy in which the endowment  $\omega$  is Pareto optimal. Then, (p, x) is a market equilibrium only if  $x = \omega$ .

## Multiple indivisible goods?

### Example 3.

Suppose there are three agents endowed with a house and a parking spot  $\omega_i = (h_i, p_i)$  and have the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3
$(h_1, p_2)^1$	$(h_2, p_3)^2$	$(h_1, p_3)^3$
$(h_3, p_1)^3$	$(h_2, p_1)^1$	$(h_3, p_2)^2$
$(h_1, p_1)^2$	$(h_2, p_2)^3$	$(h_3, p_3)^1$

20 / 21

## Multiple indivisible goods?

### Example 3.

Suppose there are three agents endowed with a house and a parking spot  $\omega_i = (h_i, p_i)$  and have the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3
$(h_1, p_2)^1$	$(h_2, p_3)^2$	$(h_1, p_3)^3$
$(h_3, p_1)^3$	$(h_2, p_1)^1$	$(h_3, p_2)^2$
$(h_1, p_1)^2$	$(h_2, p_2)^3$	$(h_3, p_3)^1$

• The economy in this example has an empty core.

20 / 21

## Multiple indivisible goods?

### Example 3.

Suppose there are three agents endowed with a house and a parking spot  $\omega_i = (h_i, p_i)$  and have the following preferences:

Agent 1	Agent 2	Agent 3
$(h_1, p_2)^1$	$(h_2, p_3)^2$	$(h_1, p_3)^3$
$(h_3, p_1)^3$	$(h_2, p_1)^1$	$(h_3, p_2)^2$
$(h_1, p_1)^2$	$(h_2, p_2)^3$	$(h_3, p_3)^1$

- The economy in this example has an empty core.
- Significant research in studying existence under natural preference domains.

## Summary

- In economies with indivisible goods (one per agent), markets lead to efficient allocations.
- The TTC is a useful algorithm for finding desirable allocation in such economies.
- With multiple indivisible goods, challenges arise.