# Multi-agent contract design with independent trials: Winners-take-all based on weight and priority

Sumit Goel<sup>1</sup> Wade Hann-Caruthers<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NYU Abu Dhabi

<sup>2</sup>Technion - Israel Institute of Technology

December 16, 2023

#### Introduction

- Principal delegates individual tasks to multiple agents
- Each agent may succeed or fail depending upon the effort they exert
- Principal can only observe final outcomes and not effort
- How should a budget-constrained principal design contracts so as to encourage agents to exert costly effort?
- Potential applications: crowdsourcing contests, sales contests

## Outline

- Model
- Main results
- Application
- Proofs

#### Model

- $A = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ : set of n risk-neutral agents, each attempts an independent task
- ullet  $O = \{0,1\}$ : possible outcomes for each agent, success or failure
- $p_i \in [0,1]$ : agent *i*'s choice of probability of success
- $c_i(p_i)$ : cost incurred by agent i for its choice of  $p_i$
- Assume  $c_i$  is strictly convex, with  $c_i(0) = 0, c'_i(0) = 0, c'_i(1) > 1$

# Principal

- Principal's payoff:  $V(p_1, \ldots, p_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i p_i$
- Assume the principal
  - cannot observe p<sub>i</sub>
  - can observe the outcome for each agent
  - ▶ is budget constrained (B = 1)
- A contract is a function  $f: \mathcal{P}(A) \to \mathbb{R}^n_+$  such that for each  $S \subseteq A$ ,

$$\sum_{i\in A}f_i(S)\leq 1.$$

# Timing and payoffs

- Timing:
  - ▶ Principal commits to a contract  $f: \mathcal{P}(A) \to \mathbb{R}^n_+$
  - Agents simultaneously choose  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$
  - ► Each agent succeeds or fails, and is rewarded according to f
- Agent i's payoff under profile  $p=(p_1,\ldots,p_n)$  equals

$$u_i(p) = \sum_{S \subset A} f_i(S) \operatorname{Pr}_p^A(S) - c_i(p_i)$$

where

$$\Pr_{\rho}^{A}(S) = \prod_{i \in S} p_{i} \prod_{j \in A \setminus S} (1 - p_{j}).$$

## Equilibrium

- ullet Concave payoffs  $\Longrightarrow$  pure-strategy NE exists (Rosen [1965])
- If p is an equilibrium, then for all  $i \in A$ , either  $p_i = 0$  or

$$\frac{\partial u_i(p)}{\partial p_i} = \sum_{S \subset A_{-i}} (f_i(S \cup \{i\}) - f_i(S)) \operatorname{Pr}_{p_{-i}}^{A_{-i}}(S) - c_i'(p_i) = 0$$

- Notation:
  - $ightharpoonup \mathcal{F}$ : set of all contracts
  - ► E(f): NE under f
  - $\mathcal{E} := \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} E(f)$
  - $ightharpoonup \mathcal{P} := \mathsf{Pareto} \ \mathsf{frontier} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathcal{E}$
- ullet Goal: characterize  ${\mathcal P}$  and solve for some natural objectives V(p)

#### Some natural classes of contracts

• f is a failures-get-nothing (FGN) contract if  $\forall i \in A$  and  $\forall S$ ,

$$i \notin S \implies f_i(S) = 0.$$

• f is a successful-get-everything (SGE) contract if  $\forall S$ ,

$$\sum_{i\in S} f_i(S) = 1.$$

• f is a priority-based weighted (PW) contract if there exists an ordered partition  $(X_1, \ldots, X_l)$  of A and weights  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$  s.t.  $\forall S$ ,

$$f_i(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_i}{\sum_{j \in S \cap X_k} \lambda_j}, & \text{if } S \cap X_m = \emptyset \text{ for } m < k \text{ and } i \in S \cap X_k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## Some examples

- Constant:  $f_i(S) = \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $i \in A$
- Piece-rate  $(\sum_{i \in A} \lambda_i \leq 1)$ :

$$f_i(S) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i, & \text{if } i \in S \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Weighted split among winners:

$$f_i(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_i}{\sum_{j \in S} \lambda_j}, & \text{if } i \in S \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Priority-based:

$$f_i(S) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = \min\{j : j \in S\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Result 1:  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{E}(SGE)$ 

#### Theorem 1.

Suppose  $p \in E(f)$ . Then,  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  if and only if f is SGE.

# Complexity reduction

#### Theorem 2.

For any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , there exists a unique PW contract f such that  $p \in E(f)$ .

## Corollary 3.

Suppose the principal's objective  $V(p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)$  is increasing in  $p_i$ . Then,

$$\max_{f \in \mathcal{F}} V(p) = \max_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{PW}} V(p).$$

# **Application**

- n=2 agents,  $c_i(p_i)=\frac{1}{2}c_ip_i^2$  with  $c_i>1$
- $V(p_1, p_2) = wp_1 + p_2$
- Optimal contest design problem is just to find  $\lambda = f_1(\{1,2\})$ .
- Unique pure-strategy Nash:

$$p_1(\lambda) = \frac{c_2 - (1 - \lambda)}{c_1 c_2 - \lambda (1 - \lambda)} \quad p_2(\lambda) = \frac{c_1 - \lambda}{c_1 c_2 - \lambda (1 - \lambda)}.$$

## Optimal contract

#### Theorem 4.

 $\lambda^*(w)$  is increasing in w. In particular,

$$\lambda^*(w) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } w \le \frac{c_1 c_2 - c_1}{c_1 c_2 + c_2 - 1} \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } w = 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } w \ge \frac{c_1 c_2 + c_1 - 1}{c_1 c_2 - c_2} \end{cases}$$

# SGE $\implies$ Pareto optimality

#### Claim 5.

If f is a SGE contract and  $p \in E(f)$ , then  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ .

Since p must satisfy the foc, we have

$$c'_i(p_i) = \sum_{S \subset A_{-i}} f_i(S \cup \{i\}) \Pr_{p_{-i}}^{A_{-i}}(S)$$

② Multiplying by  $p_i$  and adding for all i gives

$$\sum_{i\in A}p_i\cdot c_i'(p_i)=1-\mathsf{Pr}_p^n(\phi).$$

which implies

$$\sum_{i \in A} p_i \cdot c_i'(p_i) + \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - p_i) = 1.$$

**③** Differentiating the lhs by  $p_i$ , we get

$$p_i c_i''(p_i) + c_i'(p_i) - \prod_{j \neq i} (1 - p_j) > 0$$

14 / 20

## Pareto optimality $\implies$ SGE

#### Lemma 6.

If p is Pareto optimal and  $p \in E(f)$ , then f must be a SGE contract.

Let

$$\mathcal{K}_p := \{S \subseteq A \,:\, \sum_{i \in S} f_i(S) < 1 \text{ for some } f \in E^{-1}(p) \cap \mathcal{F}_{FGN}\},$$

- $\textbf{ 1 Suppose } S \in \mathcal{K}_p. \text{ For any } T \subset S, \ T \in \mathcal{K}_p.$
- ② Suppose  $S, T \in K_p$ . Then,  $S \cup T \in K_p$ . It follows that  $K_p = 2^{\kappa_p}$ .
- **③** Suppose  $f ∈ E^{-1}(p) ∩ \mathcal{F}_{FGN}$ . Then, for all S ⊂ A such that  $\kappa_p^C ∩ S \neq \phi$ ,  $f_i(S) = 0$  for all  $i ∈ \kappa_p$ .
- **1** Suppose  $\kappa_p \neq \phi$ . Then there is a p' that Pareto dominates p.

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{E}[F_{PW}]$$

Given a SGE contract f and any profile p, define,

$$Z_p(f) := \max_{i \in A} c'_i(\Psi_i(p_{-i}, f)) - c'_i(p_i).$$

#### Lemma 7.

If  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then

$$\inf_{f\in\mathcal{F}_{PW}}Z_p(f)=0.$$

• Let  $z = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{PW}} Z_p(f) > 0$  and let  $Z_p(f) = z$ . Further, let i be the lowest priority agent such that

$$c_i'(\Psi_i(p_{-i},f)) - c_i'(p_i) = z$$

• Group agents that have i's priority and those that succeed i.

(□) (□) (□) (□) (□) (□)

#### Lit review

- Multi-agent contract design: Holmstrom [1982], Lazear and Rosen [1981], Green and Stokey [1983], Nalebuff and Stiglitz [1983], Malcomson [1986], Imhof and Kräkel [2014], Mookherjee [1984], Baiman and Rajan [1995], Castiglioni et al. [2023], Dütting et al. [2023]
- Crowdsourcing contests: Segev [2020], Taylor [1995], Halac et al. [2017], Gross [2020], Haggiag et al. [2022]

## Summary

- Study a contract design problem between a principal and multiple agents with budget constraint
- Characterize the Pareto frontier of success probabilities that can be sustained in equilibrium as equilibrium of SGE contracts
- Identify a small class of PW contracts that can implement the Pareto frontier which provides a significant reduction in dimensionality of the optimal contract design problem
- Application to two agents suggests that the structure of optimal contract depends more on principal's bias than agents heterogeneity

# Thank you!