

# SUBJECTIVE QUESTIONS

1. What is the optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression? What will be the changes in the model if you choose double the value of alpha for both ridge and lasso? What will be the most important predictor variables after the change is implemented?

**Answer:** - With an optimal value of alpha equal to 2 for Ridge and 0.0001 for Lasso, the model achieved an R2 score of approximately 0.82.

After doubling the alpha values in the Ridge and Lasso models, the prediction accuracy remains around 0.82, but there is a small change in the coefficient values. The new model has been created and demonstrated in the Jupyter notebook.

The changes in the coefficients are as follows:

| Ridge Co-Efficient   |          | Ridge Doubled Alpha Co-Efficient |          |
|----------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Total_sqr_footage    | 0.176041 | Total_sqr_footage                | 0.155621 |
| GarageArea           | 0.105032 | GarageArea                       | 0.095279 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd         | 0.068524 | TotRmsAbvGrd                     | 0.069923 |
| LotArea              | 0.051735 | LotArea                          | 0.044952 |
| OverallCond          | 0.048864 | OverallCond                      | 0.044792 |
| CentralAir_Y         | 0.032595 | CentralAir_Y                     | 0.032255 |
| LotFrontage          | 0.031254 | Neighborhood_StoneBr             | 0.027367 |
| Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.029776 | LotFrontage                      | 0.027234 |
| HouseStyle_2.5Unf    | 0.029395 | HouseStyle_2.5Unf                | 0.025527 |
| Alley_Pave           | 0.026168 | Alley_Pave                       | 0.023545 |
| RoofMatl_WdShngl     | 0.024940 | MSSubClass_70                    | 0.021947 |
| Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.024193 | Neighborhood_Veenker             | 0.021862 |
| MSSubClass_70        | 0.022818 | BsmtQual_Ex                      | 0.021273 |
| Condition1_PosN      | 0.021967 | RoofMatl_WdShngl                 | 0.020224 |
| Condition2_PosA      | 0.021145 | Condition1_PosN                  | 0.019441 |
| PavedDrive_P         | 0.020700 | KitchenQual_Ex                   | 0.019310 |
| SaleType_Con         | 0.020446 | MasVnrType_Stone                 | 0.018827 |
| ExterCond_Ex         | 0.019837 | PavedDrive_P                     | 0.018461 |
| BsmtQual_Ex          | 0.019191 | PavedDrive_Y                     | 0.015740 |
| KitchenQual_Ex       | 0.018763 | Condition1_Norm                  | 0.015182 |

| Lasso Co-Efficient   |          | Lasso Doubled Alpha Co-Efficient |          |
|----------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Total_sqr_footage    | 0.209067 | Total_sqr_footage                | 0.211765 |
| GarageArea           | 0.114571 | GarageArea                       | 0.106625 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd         | 0.064219 | TotRmsAbvGrd                     | 0.066123 |
| LotArea              | 0.056531 | OverallCond                      | 0.044459 |
| OverallCond          | 0.048484 | LotArea                          | 0.038191 |
| CentralAir_Y         | 0.033568 | CentralAir_Y                     | 0.033482 |
| Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.024157 | BsmtQual_Ex                      | 0.019367 |
| Alley_Pave           | 0.022826 | Alley_Pave                       | 0.018900 |
| HouseStyle_2.5Unf    | 0.020672 | Neighborhood_StoneBr             | 0.018490 |
| MSSubClass_70        | 0.018613 | KitchenQual_Ex                   | 0.015456 |
| BsmtQual_Ex          | 0.018150 | MSSubClass_70                    | 0.014084 |
| KitchenQual_Ex       | 0.015777 | MasVnrType_Stone                 | 0.013712 |
| Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.015695 | Condition1_Norm                  | 0.012867 |
| LandContour_HLS      | 0.015332 | LandContour_HLS                  | 0.012794 |
| Condition1_PosN      | 0.015328 | BsmtCond_TA                      | 0.012041 |
| Condition1_Norm      | 0.014940 | SaleCondition_Partial            | 0.010618 |
| MasVnrType_Stone     | 0.014891 | LotConfig_CulDSac                | 0.009422 |
| PavedDrive_P         | 0.013511 | PavedDrive_Y                     | 0.007679 |
| BsmtCond_TA          | 0.011741 | ExterQual_Ex                     | 0.007250 |
| PavedDrive_Y         | 0.011409 | MasVnrType_BrkFace               | 0.007204 |

Overall, since the alpha values are small, we do not observe significant changes in the model after doubling the alpha value.

2. You have determined the optimal value of lambda for ridge and lasso regression during the assignment. Now, which one will you choose to apply and why?

Answer: -

- The optimal lambda values for Ridge and Lasso are as follows:
  - ★ Ridge – 2
  - ★ Lasso – 0.0001
- The Mean Squared Error in case of Ridge and Lasso are as follows:
  - ★ Ridge – 0.0018536041068455062
  - ★ Lasso – 0.001877775334030228
- The Mean Squared Error of both the models are almost same.
- Since Lasso helps in feature reduction, Lasso has a better edge over Ridge and should be used as the final model.

3. After building the model, you realised that the five most important predictor variables in the lasso model are not available in the incoming data. You will now have to create another model excluding the five most important predictor variables. Which are the five most important predictor variables now?

Answer: - The five most important predictor variables in the current Lasso model are listed below: -

- Total\_sqr\_footage
- GarageArea
- TotRmsAbvGrd

- d. LotArea
- e. OverallCond

The New Top 5 predictors are: -

|                      | Lasso Co-Efficient |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| LotFrontage          | 0.151172           |
| HouseStyle_2.5Unf    | 0.084901           |
| HouseStyle_2.5Fin    | 0.065238           |
| Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.050660           |
| Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.046351           |

**4. How can you make sure that a model is robust and generalisable? What are the implications of the same for the accuracy of the model and why?**

**Answer: -**

As per, Occam's Razor – given two models that show similar 'performance' in the finite training or test dataset, we should pick the one that makes fewer on the test dataset due to the following reasons: -

- Simpler models are typically more "generic" and have broader applicability.
- Simpler models require fewer training samples for effective training compared to more complex models, making them easier to train.
- Simpler models tend to be more robust.
  - Complex models may exhibit significant changes with variations in the training dataset.
  - Simple models have lower variance and higher bias, whereas complex models have lower bias and higher variance.
  - Simpler models may make more errors on the training set, but complex models are prone to overfitting – they work very well for the training samples, fail miserably when applied to other test samples.

Therefore, to ensure that the model is both robust and generalizable, it's important to strike a balance and make the model simple enough to avoid overfitting but not so simple that it becomes ineffective.

Regularization can indeed be used to simplify the model. It helps in striking a delicate balance between keeping the model simple and avoiding making it too naive to be useful. In regression, regularization involves adding a regularization term to the cost function that penalizes the absolute values or squares of the parameters of the model.

Also, Making a model simple lead to Bias-Variance Trade-off:

- A complex model is highly sensitive to changes in the dataset and tends to be unstable, requiring adjustments for even minor variations in the training data.
- A simpler model, which abstracts some patterns followed by the data points, is less likely to change drastically even when more points are added or removed.

Bias quantifies the accuracy of the model on test data. A complex model can accurately predict outcomes given sufficient training data. Models that are too naïve, for e.g., one that gives same answer to all test inputs and makes no discrimination whatever has a very large bias as its expected error across all test inputs are very high.

Variance refers to the extent of changes in the model itself in response to changes in the training data.

Thus, maintaining a balance between bias and variance is crucial for ensuring the accuracy of the model. This balance minimizes the total error, as illustrated in the graph below:

