

Cheatsheet

Lists

Implementation	Append	Concat	Prepend	Random Access	Insert/remove After Ptr	Insert/remove Before Ptr
<code>std::vector</code> (dyn array)	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
<code>std::list</code> (dbl-LL)	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$
<code>std::forward_list</code> (LL)	$O(n)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$
<code>std::deque</code> (ring buffer)	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$

Considerations

- **Memory Overhead**
 - `std::vector` has the smallest memory overhead since the only accounting required is the capacity and the length of the vector.
 - `std::list` has the most memory overhead since two pointers must be stored alongside each piece of data.
 - `std::deque` has only slightly larger memory overhead to `std::vector`, but the computations required to calculate an index are more involved.
- **Locality**
 - `std::vector` and `std::deque` have the best cache locality since they store the data contiguously.
 - `std::list` and `std::forward_list` have the worst cache locality since pointer traversals are required for every element.

Dictionaries (Maps) and Sets

Implementation	Get	Set	Remove	Contains
<code>std::map</code> (tree*)	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$
<code>std::unordered_map</code> (hashmap)**	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$

* normally a Red-black tree

** complexities for `std::unordered_map` are on average, and assume a sufficiently random hash function

Considerations

- Worst-case complexities for all operations on hashmaps is $O(n)$
- **Memory Overhead:** `std::map` requires more accounting due to needing to maintain left/right pointers from each tree node.

- All of the dictionary data structures have corresponding set data structures with equivalent properties.

Sorting

Function	Complexity	Stable	Implementation
C++ <code>std::sort</code> *	$O(n \log n)$	No	Likely Introsort .
C++ <code>std::stable_sort</code> *	$O(n (\log n)^2)$, if additional memory available, then $O(n \log n)$	Yes	Likely mergesort.
C++ <code>std::qsort</code> *	No guarantee	No guarantee	Likely quicksort
Python <code>list.sort</code> and <code>sorted</code>	$O(n \log n)$	Yes	Timsort
Rust <code>Vec::sort</code>	$O(n \log n)$	Yes	A variant of Timsort
Rust <code>Vec::sort</code>	$O(n \log n)$	No	A pattern-defeating quicksort

* The C++ specification does not require a specific sorting algorithm to be used to implement the sort functions.