

Strong maximal function revisit on Heisenberg group

Abstract

We prove the \mathbf{L}^p -boundedness of the strong maximal operator defined on a Heisenberg group *w.r.t* an absolutely continuous measure satisfying the product \mathbf{A}_∞ -property.

1 Introduction

The study of certain operators that commute with a multi-parameter family of dilations, dates back to the time of Jessen, Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund. A number of pioneering results have been accomplished, for example by Córdoba and Fefferman [1], Fefferman and Stein [7], Fefferman [5], Müller, Ricci and Stein [10], Journé [11] and Pipher [12].

In this paper, we consider the strong maximal function operator defined on a Heisenberg group with a multiplication law:

$$(u, v, t) \odot (\xi, \eta, \tau) = [u + \xi, v + \eta, t + \tau + \mu(u \cdot \eta - v \cdot \xi)], \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R} \quad (1. 1)$$

for every $(u, v, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $(\xi, \eta, \tau)^{-1} = (-\xi, -\eta, -\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

Denote \mathbf{R} to be a rectangle in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} parallel to the coordinates. Moreover,

$$\mathbf{R} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^m \mathbf{Q}_i \times I \subset \bigotimes_{i=1}^m \mathbb{R}^{N_i} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_m = 2n \quad (1. 2)$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{N_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are cubes and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval.

A strong maximal operator \mathbf{M} is initially defined on a Heisenberg group as

$$\mathbf{M}f(u, v, t) = \sup_{\mathbf{R} \ni (0, 0, 0)} \text{vol}\{\mathbf{R}\}^{-1} \iiint_{\mathbf{R}} |f[(u, v, t) \odot (\xi, \eta, \tau)^{-1}]| d\xi d\eta d\tau. \quad (1. 3)$$

Let $\xi \rightarrow u - \xi, \eta \rightarrow v - \eta$ and $\tau \rightarrow t - \tau$, \mathbf{M} can be equivalently defined as

$$\mathbf{M}f(u, v, t) = \sup_{\mathbf{R} \ni (u, v, t)} \text{vol}\{\mathbf{R}\}^{-1} \iiint_{\mathbf{R}} |f(\xi, \eta, \tau + \mu(u \cdot \eta - v \cdot \xi))| d\xi d\eta d\tau. \quad (1. 4)$$

◊ Throughout, $\mathfrak{B} > 0$ is regarded as a generic constant depending on its sub-indices.

Theorem A: Christ, 1992 *Let \mathbf{M} be defined in (1. 3). We have*

$$\|\mathbf{M}f\|_{\mathbf{L}^q(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})} \leq \mathfrak{B}_p \|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})}, \quad 1 < p < \infty. \quad (1. 5)$$

The \mathbf{L}^p -boundedness of \mathbf{M} defined on more general Nilpotent Lie groups can be found in the paper of Michael Christ [4], in which the elegant argument is carried out using a number of 'ingredients' developed previously by Ricci and Stein [9] and Christ [2]-[3].

Our aim is to give a generalization of **Theorem A** by defining \mathbf{M} w.r.t some appropriate absolutely continuous measure: $\omega(u, v) dudvdt$. Given any subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, we write

$$\mathbf{vol}_\omega\{E\} = \iiint_E \omega(u, v) dudvdt.$$

Define

$$\mathbf{M}_\omega f(u, v, t) = \sup_{\mathbf{R} \ni (u, v, t)} \mathbf{vol}_\omega\{\mathbf{R}\}^{-1} \iiint_{\mathbf{R}} |f(\xi, \eta, \tau + \mu(u \cdot \xi - v \cdot \eta))| \omega(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta d\tau. \quad (1.6)$$

Let $(x_i, x_i^\dagger) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{N}_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-\mathbf{N}_i}$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ where $\mathbf{N}_1 + \mathbf{N}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{N}_m = 2n$. We say

$$\omega \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}), \quad (1.7)$$

if $\omega(\cdot, x_i^\dagger)$ satisfies the \mathbf{A}_∞ -property in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{N}_i}$ for every $x_i^\dagger \in \mathbb{R}^{2n-\mathbf{N}_i}$.

◊ For brevity, we abbreviate $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \omega)}^p = \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} |f(u, v, t)|^p \omega(u, v) dudvdt$, $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem A* Let \mathbf{M}_ω be defined in (1.6). Suppose $\omega \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. We have

$$\|\mathbf{M}_\omega f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \omega)} \leq \mathfrak{B}_p \omega \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \omega)}, \quad 1 < p < \infty. \quad (1.8)$$

The proof of **Theorem A*** is an application of a multi-parameter covering lemma due to Córdoba and Fefferman [1]: Unlike the Vitali-type covering lemma for cubes, there is no mutually disjointness between rectangles. Instead, this is replaced by the L^p -norm of a summation of indicator functions supported on a sequence of selected rectangles. We observe that Córdoba-Fefferman covering lemma is particularly useful to handle \mathbf{M}_ω defined on a Heisenberg group.

We prove **Theorem A*** in Section 2. For the sake of self-containedness, we give a proof of the covering lemma within the required setting in Section 3.

2 Proof of Theorem A*

Consider $(u, v, t) = (x_i, x_i^\dagger) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{N}_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_i}$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. We define

$$\mathbf{w} \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_i^\dagger)$ satisfies the \mathbf{A}_∞ -property in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{N}_i}$ for every $x_i^\dagger \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

For every subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, we write

$$\mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}}(E) = \iiint_E \mathbf{w}(u, v, t) dudvdt. \quad (2.2)$$

Especially, if $\mathbf{w} = 1$, then the measure becomes the Lebesgue measure, and

$$\mathbf{vol}(E) = \mathbf{vol}_1(E) = \iiint_E \mathbf{1} dudvdt. \quad (2. 3)$$

Abbreviate

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \mathbf{w})}^p = \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} |f(u, v, t)|^p \mathbf{w}(u, v, t) dudvdt, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

C  rdoba-Fefferman covering lemma Let $\{\mathbf{R}_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a collection of rectangles in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} parallel to the coordinates. Suppose $\mathbf{w} \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$. There is a subsequence $\{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\mathbf{vol}_\mathbf{w}\left\{\bigcup_j \mathbf{R}_j\right\} \lesssim \mathbf{vol}_\mathbf{w}\left\{\bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k\right\} \quad (2. 4)$$

and

$$\left\|\sum_k \chi_{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k}\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \mathbf{w})}^p \lesssim \mathbf{vol}_\mathbf{w}\left\{\bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k\right\}, \quad 1 < p < \infty \quad (2. 5)$$

where χ is an indicator function.

We take $\mathbf{w}(u, v, t) = \omega(u, v) \cdot \mathbf{1}$, where $\omega \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Then (2. 4)-(2. 5) become

$$\mathbf{vol}_\omega\left\{\bigcup_j \mathbf{R}_j\right\} \lesssim \mathbf{vol}_\omega\left\{\bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k\right\} \quad (2. 6)$$

and

$$\left\|\sum_k \chi_{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k}\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \omega)}^p \lesssim \mathbf{vol}_\omega\left\{\bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k\right\}, \quad 1 < p < \infty. \quad (2. 7)$$

Let

$$\mathbf{U}_\lambda = \left\{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : \mathbf{M}_\omega f(u, v, t) > \lambda\right\}. \quad (2. 8)$$

Given any $(u, v, t) \in \mathbf{U}_\lambda$, there is a rectangle $\mathbf{R}_j \ni (u, v, t)$ such that

$$\mathbf{vol}_\omega\{\mathbf{R}_j\}^{-1} \iiint_{\mathbf{R}_j} |f(\xi, \eta, \tau + \mu(u \cdot \eta - v \cdot \xi))| \omega(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta d\tau > \frac{1}{2} \lambda. \quad (2. 9)$$

Let (u, v, t) run through the set \mathbf{U}_λ . We have

$$\mathbf{U}_\lambda \subset \bigcup_j \mathbf{R}_j.$$

By applying the covering lemma, we select a subsequence $\{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ from the union above and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{vol}_\omega \left\{ \mathbf{U}_\lambda \right\} &\lesssim \mathbf{vol}_\omega \left\{ \bigcup_j \mathbf{R}_j \right\} \lesssim \mathbf{vol}_\omega \left\{ \bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k \right\} \quad \text{by (2. 6)} \\
&\leq \sum_k \mathbf{vol}_\omega \left\{ \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k \right\} \\
&\leq \sum_k \frac{2}{\lambda} \iiint_{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k} |f(\xi, \eta, \tau + \mu(u \cdot \eta - v \cdot \xi))| \omega(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta d\tau \quad \text{by (2. 9).}
\end{aligned} \tag{2. 10}$$

Furthermore, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{vol}_\omega \left\{ \bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k \right\} &\lesssim \lambda^{-1} \sum_k \iiint_{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k} |f(\xi, \eta, \tau + \mu(u \cdot \eta - v \cdot \xi))| \omega(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta d\tau \\
&= \lambda^{-1} \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} \left| f(\xi, \eta, \tau + \mu(u \cdot \eta - v \cdot \xi)) \sum_k \chi_{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k}(\xi, \eta, \tau) \right| \omega(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta d\tau \\
&\leq \lambda^{-1} \left\{ \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} |f(\xi, \eta, \tau + \mu(u \cdot \eta - v \cdot \xi))|^p \omega(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\| \sum_k \chi_{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \omega)} \\
&\quad \text{by Hölder inequality} \\
&= \lambda^{-1} \left\{ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \|f(\xi, \eta, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p \omega(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\| \sum_k \chi_{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \omega)} \\
&\leq \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \omega)} \mathbf{vol}_\omega \left\{ \bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k \right\}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \quad \text{by (2. 7).}
\end{aligned} \tag{2. 11}$$

This implies

$$\mathbf{vol}_\omega \left\{ \bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \omega)}. \tag{2. 12}$$

Let \mathbf{U}_λ defined in (2. 8). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{vol}_\omega \left\{ (x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : \mathbf{M}_\omega f(u, v, t) > \lambda \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \mathbf{vol}_\omega \left\{ \mathbf{U}_\lambda \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\lesssim \mathbf{vol}_\omega \left\{ \bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{by (2. 10)} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \omega)} \quad \text{by (2. 12).}
\end{aligned} \tag{2. 13}$$

By using this weak type (p, p) -estimate and applying Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem, we conclude that \mathbf{M}_ω is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \omega)$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

3 Proof of the covering lemma

First, we need the following lemma proved by Fefferman [6]:

Lemma 3.1. *If $\mathbf{w} \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$, then \mathbf{w} satisfies the following: If $\mathbf{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ is any rectangle with its sides parallel to the axes and $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ is such that $\mathbf{vol}(E) > \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{vol}(\mathbf{R})$, then $\mathbf{vol}_\mathbf{w}(E) > \eta \mathbf{vol}_\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{R})$, for some $\eta > 0$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on k . Assume the result is true for $k-1$. Consider a rectangle \mathbf{R} as above, $\mathbf{R} = I \times J$ where I is a rectangle in $\mathbb{R}^{N_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{N_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{N_{k-1}}$, and J is a cube in \mathbb{R}^{N_k} , $N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_k = 2n + 1$.

Let $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\frac{\mathbf{vol}(E)}{\mathbf{vol}(\mathbf{R})} > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3. 1)$$

For each $x_k^+ \in I$, let $J_{x_k^+} = \{(x_k^+, x_k) : x_k \in J\}$. We claim that there exists $I' \subset I$ satisfying $\mathbf{vol}(I') \geq \varepsilon \mathbf{vol}(I)$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, such that for $x_k^+ \in I'$,

$$\mathbf{vol}(E \cap J_{x_k^+}) > \varepsilon \mathbf{vol}(J_{x_k^+}) = \varepsilon \mathbf{vol}(J). \quad (3. 2)$$

If not, we must have $\mathbf{vol}\left(\left\{x_k^+ \in I : \mathbf{vol}(E \cap J_{x_k^+}) > \varepsilon \mathbf{vol}(J)\right\}\right) \leq \varepsilon \mathbf{vol}(I)$.

Divide I into two parts:

$$G = \left\{x_k^+ \in I : \mathbf{vol}(E \cap J_{x_k^+}) > \varepsilon \mathbf{vol}(J)\right\}, \quad B = \left\{x_k^+ \in I : \mathbf{vol}(E \cap J_{x_k^+}) \leq \varepsilon \mathbf{vol}(J)\right\}. \quad (3. 3)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{vol}(E) &= \int_B \mathbf{vol}(E \cap J_{x_k^+}) dx_k^+ + \int_G \mathbf{vol}(E \cap J_{x_k^+}) dx_k^+ \\ &\leq \mathbf{vol}(B) \cdot \varepsilon \mathbf{vol}(J) + \mathbf{vol}(G) \cdot \mathbf{vol}(J) \\ &= [\mathbf{vol}(I) - \mathbf{vol}(G)] \cdot \varepsilon \mathbf{vol}(J) + \mathbf{vol}(G) \cdot \mathbf{vol}(J) \\ &= \varepsilon \mathbf{vol}(I) \cdot \mathbf{vol}(J) + (1 - \varepsilon) \mathbf{vol}(G) \cdot \mathbf{vol}(J) \end{aligned} \quad (3. 4)$$

Suppose $\mathbf{vol}(G) \leq \varepsilon \mathbf{vol}(I)$, then we further have

$$\mathbf{vol}(E) \leq \varepsilon \mathbf{vol}(I) \cdot \mathbf{vol}(J) + (1 - \varepsilon) \cdot \varepsilon \mathbf{vol}(I) \cdot \mathbf{vol}(J) \leq (2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2) \mathbf{vol}(\mathbf{R}). \quad (3. 5)$$

We can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that $0 < 2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2 < \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\mathbf{vol}(E) < \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{vol}(\mathbf{R}), \quad (3. 6)$$

which is contradicted to $\frac{\mathbf{vol}(E)}{\mathbf{vol}(\mathbf{R})} > \frac{1}{2}$.

Now, from (3. 2) and since $\mathbf{w}(x_k^\dagger, \cdot)$ is \mathbf{A}^∞ in the x_k variable for every $x_k^\dagger \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k}$, we have

$$\int_{E \cap J_{x_k^\dagger}} \mathbf{w}(x_k^\dagger, x_k) dx_k \geq \eta \int_{J_{x_k^\dagger}} \mathbf{w}(x_k^\dagger, x_k) dx_k, \quad x_k^\dagger \in I'. \quad (3. 7)$$

But also if we fix any $x_k \in J$, then

$$\int_{I'} \mathbf{w}(x_k^\dagger, x_k) dx_k^\dagger \geq \eta' \int_I \mathbf{w}(x_k^\dagger, x_k) dx_k^\dagger, \quad (3. 8)$$

by induction. It follows by integrating (3. 7) in $x_k^\dagger \in I'$ that

$$\int_E \mathbf{w}(x) dx \geq \eta \int_{I' \times J} \mathbf{w}(x) dx, \quad (3. 9)$$

and integrating (3. 8) in $x_k \in J$ gives

$$\int_{I' \times J} \mathbf{w}(x) dx \geq \eta' \int_{I \times J} \mathbf{w}(x) dx = \int_R \mathbf{w}(x) dx. \quad (3. 10)$$

By combining (3. 9)-(3. 10), we have

$$\int_E \mathbf{w}(x) dx \geq \eta \eta' \int_R \mathbf{w}(x) dx. \quad (3. 11)$$

Now we finish the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Then we continue to prove the covering lemma. We re-arrange the order of $\{\mathbf{R}_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ if necessary so that the cross-section volume of \mathbf{R}_j in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{N}_k}$ is decreasing as $j \rightarrow \infty$. For brevity, we call it x_k -cross section. Denote $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_j^*$ to be the rectangle co-centered with \mathbf{R}_j having its x_k -cross section tripled and keeping the others same. We select $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k$ from $\{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ as follows.

Let $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_1 = \mathbf{R}_1$. Having chosen $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_1, \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_2, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N-1}$, we pick $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_N$ as the first rectangle \mathbf{R} on the list of \mathbf{R}_j 's after $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N-1}$ so that

$$\text{vol} \left\{ \mathbf{R} \cap \left[\bigcup_{\substack{k=1 \\ \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k^* \cap \mathbf{R} \neq \emptyset}}^{N-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k^* \right] \right\} < \frac{1}{2} \text{vol} \{\mathbf{R}\}. \quad (3. 12)$$

Suppose \mathbf{R} is an unselected rectangle. There is a positive number M such that \mathbf{R} is on the list of \mathbf{R}_j 's after $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_M$ and

$$\text{vol} \left\{ \mathbf{R} \cap \left[\bigcup_{\substack{k=1 \\ \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k^* \cap \mathbf{R} \neq \emptyset}}^M \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k^* \right] \right\} \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{vol} \{\mathbf{R}\}. \quad (3. 13)$$

Recall $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k^*$ whose x_k -cross section is tripled. Moreover, the t -side length of $\{\mathbf{R}_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is decreasing as $j \rightarrow \infty$. On the x_k -cross section, the projection of \mathbf{R} is covered by the projection of the union inside (3. 13).

Let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbf{R}$. Then slice all rectangles with a plane through (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) perpendicular to the x_k -cross section. Denote \mathbf{S} , $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k^*$ to be the slices regarding to \mathbf{R} , $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k^*$. Consequently, (3. 13) implies

$$\mathbf{vol} \left\{ \mathbf{S} \cap \left[\bigcup_{k=1}^M \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k^* \right] \right\} \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{vol} \{ \mathbf{S} \}. \quad (3. 14)$$

Since $\mathbf{w} \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$, then $\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_i^\dagger)$ satisfies the \mathbf{A}_∞ -property in \mathbb{R}^{N_i} for every $x_i^\dagger \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1-N_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. This implies $\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k) \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1-N_k})$ for any fixed $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^{N_k}$.

For every subset $F \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1-N_k}$, we define

$$\mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-N_k}(F) = \int_F \mathbf{w}(x_k^\dagger, x_k) dx_k^\dagger, \quad \text{for every } x_k \in \mathbb{R}^{N_k}. \quad (3. 15)$$

Then by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-N_k} \left\{ \mathbf{S} \cap \left[\bigcup_{k=1}^M \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k^* \right] \right\} \geq \eta \mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-N_k} \{ \mathbf{S} \}, \quad \eta > 0. \quad (3. 16)$$

Let $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-N_k}$ be the strong maximal operator defined in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1-N_k} . Observe that (3. 16) further implies

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-N_k}(\chi_{\bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k^*})(x_k^\dagger) > \eta, \quad x_k^\dagger \in \bigcup_j \mathbf{S}_j. \quad (3. 17)$$

It is well-known that $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_1^\dagger)}^{N_1}$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator bounded on $\mathbf{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^{N_1}, \mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_1^\dagger))$, for $1 < p < \infty$. By induction, we may assume that $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-N_k}$ is bounded on all $\mathbf{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1-N_k}, \mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k))$, $1 < p < \infty$. From (3. 16)-(3. 17), by applying the \mathbf{L}^p -boundedness of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-N_k}$, we find

$$\mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-N_k} \left\{ \bigcup_j \mathbf{S}_j \right\} \lesssim \mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-N_k} \left\{ \bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k^* \right\}. \quad (3. 18)$$

By using (3. 18) and integrating in the x_k -coordinate, we have

$$\mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}} \left\{ \bigcup_j \mathbf{R}_j \right\} \lesssim \mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}} \left\{ \bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k^* \right\} \lesssim \mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}} \left\{ \bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_k \right\} \quad (3. 19)$$

which is (2. 4).

On the other hand, (3. 12) implies

$$\mathbf{vol} \left\{ \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_N \cap \left[\bigcup_{k=1}^{N-1} \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k^* \right] \right\} < \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{vol} \{ \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_N \}. \quad (3. 20)$$

We are given that the measure $\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)$ as above on the hyperplane (if the hyperplane in $x_k = \mathbf{c}$, then $d\mathbf{w}(\cdot, \mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{w}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}, \mathbf{c}) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{k-1}$) belongs to $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1-N_k})$ for every $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^{N_k}$, so that for some $\eta > 0$,

$$\mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-N_k} \left\{ \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_N \cap \left[\bigcup_{k=1}^{N-1} \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k^* \right] \right\} < (1 - \eta) \mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-N_k} \{ \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_N \}. \quad (3. 21)$$

Denote $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_N = \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_N \setminus \bigcup_{k < N} \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k$. From (3. 21), we find

$$\mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k} \{ \widehat{\mathbf{E}}_N \} \geq \eta \mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k} \{ \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_N \}. \quad (3. 22)$$

Let $\phi \in \mathbf{L}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k}, \mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k))$ and $\|\phi\|_{\mathbf{L}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k}, \mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k))} = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k}} \phi \cdot \sum_k \chi_{\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k} d\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k) &= \sum_k \int_{\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k} \phi d\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k) \\ &= \sum_k \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k} \{ \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k \}} \int_{\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k} \phi d\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k) \right\} \mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k} \{ \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k \} \\ &\leq \sum_k \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k} \{ \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k \}} \int_{\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k} \phi d\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k) \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k} \{ \widehat{\mathbf{E}}_k \} \quad \text{by (3. 22)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_k \int_{\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_k} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k}(\phi) d\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k) \\ &= \int_{\bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k}(\phi) d\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k). \end{aligned} \quad (3. 23)$$

By applying Hölder inequality and the \mathbf{L}^p -boundedness of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k}(\phi) d\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k) &\leq \left\| \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k}(\phi) \right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k}, \mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k))} \mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k} \left\{ \bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \mathfrak{B}_p \mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k} \left\{ \bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3. 24)$$

By substituting (3. 24) to (3. 23) and taking the supremum of ϕ , we arrive at

$$\left\| \sum_k \chi_{\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k} \right\|_{\mathbf{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k}, \mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k))} \leq \mathfrak{B}_p \mathbf{vol}_{\mathbf{w}(\cdot, x_k)}^{2n+1-\mathbf{N}_k} \left\{ \bigcup_k \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_k \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (3. 25)$$

Raising both sides of (3. 25) to the p^{th} power and integrating over x_k give us (2. 5).

References

- [1] A. Córdoba and R. Fefferman, *A geometric proof of the strong maximal theorem*, Annals of Mathematics **102**: 95-100, 1975.
- [2] M. Christ, *Hilbert transforms along curves. I. Nilpotent groups*, Annals of Mathematics **122**: no.3, 575-596, 1985.

- [3] M. Christ, *Hilbert transforms along curves, III. Rotational curvature*, preprint, 1984.
- [4] M. Christ, *The strong maximal function on a nilpotent group*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **331**: no.1, 1-13, 1992.
- [5] R. Fefferman, *Harmonic Analysis on Product Spaces*, Annals of Mathematics **126**: no.1, 109-130, 1987.
- [6] R. Fefferman, *Multiparameter Fourier Analysis* in Beijing Lectures in Harmonic Analysis, ed. by E. M. Stein, Princeton University Press, 1986.
- [7] R. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals on Product Spaces*, Advances in Mathematics **45**: no.2, 117-143, 1982.
- [8] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [9] F. Ricci and E. M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis on nilpotent groups and singular integrals. II: Singular kernels supported on submanifolds*, Journal of Functional Analysis **78**: 56-84, 1988.
- [10] D. Müller, F. Ricci, E. M. Stein, *Marcinkiewicz Multipliers and Multi-parameter structures on Heisenberg (-type) group, I*, Inventiones Mathematicae **119**: no.2, 199-233, 1995.
- [11] J. L. Journé, *Calderón-Zygmund Operators on Product Spaces*, Revista Mathematica Iberoamericana **1**: no.3, 55-91, 1985.
- [12] J. Pipher, *Journé's Covering Lemma and Its Extension to Higher Dimensions*, Duke Mathematics Journal **53**: no.3, 683-690, 1986.