

Figure 1: Starting from a convex set Θ , $Reach(\Theta, t)$ is not convex

ECE/CS 584: Embedded and cyberphysical system verification

Fall 2019

Dawei Sun, daweis2

Homework 2: Modeling physics and cyberphysical systems

Due October 3rd

Typeset your solutions using L^AT_EX zip your writeup (.pdf) and code (.py) in a single file called nedid-584-F19.zip and upload this file through Compass.

Problem 1. Convexity of reach sets (20 points) (a) For a linear autonomous system $\dot{x} = Ax$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, prove that if the set of initial states Θ is a polytope with a set of vertices $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then the set of reachable states at any time $t > 0$ is also a convex set. That is, $Reach(\Theta, t)$ is the convex hull of the points reached from the vertices of Θ at time t .

(b) Give an example of a nonlinear system for which $Reach(\Theta, t)$ is not convex, even if Θ is convex. You can give an analytical proof or a numerical example. You may consider a discrete time model $x(t+1) = f(x(t))$ as well.

Solution

(a) $\forall x^1, x^2 \in Reach(\Theta, t)$, denote x_0^1, x_0^2 as the corresponding initial state, then we have $x^1 = e^{At}x_0^1, x^2 = e^{At}x_0^2$, and thus $\forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$ we have $\lambda x^1 + (1-\lambda)x^2 = e^{At}(\lambda x_0^1 + (1-\lambda)x_0^2)$. Because Θ is convex and $x_0^1, x_0^2 \in \Theta$, we have $(\lambda x_0^1 + (1-\lambda)x_0^2) \in \Theta$, and thus $e^{At}(\lambda x_0^1 + (1-\lambda)x_0^2) \in Reach(\Theta, t)$. Because x^1, x^2 are chosen arbitrarily, we proved that $Reach(\Theta, t)$ is convex.

(a) Consider a two-dimensional non-linear discrete time system.

$$x(t+1) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x(t)^1 > 0, x(t)^2 > 0 \\ x(t), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

As shown in Fig. ?? starting from a convex set Θ , $Reach(\Theta, t)$ is not convex.

Problem 2. (20 points) Show that a set of piece-wise continuous functions is closed under composition and linear combinations. That is, consider any two piece-wise continuous functions of time $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ with points of discontinuity DC_f and DC_g . Show that

(a) $f \circ g$ is also piece-wise continuous.

(b) For any $a_1, a_2 > 0$, $a_1f + a_2g$ is also piece-wise continuous.

Solution

- (a) There is a counter-example. Let $g(x) = x \sin \frac{1}{x}$ and $\mathbf{DC}_f = \{0\}$. Then we have $\forall x_i = \frac{1}{n\pi}, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, g(x_i) = 0$, and thus $f(g(x))$ is not continuous at x_i . Therefore in $[-1, 1]$, there exists infinitely many x_i such that $f(g(x))$ is not continuous at x_i , which means $f \circ g$ is not a piecewise continuous function.
- (a) $\forall x \notin \mathbf{DC}_f \cup \mathbf{DC}_g, h = a_1 f + a_2 g$ is continuous at x . $\forall x \in \mathbf{DC}_f \cup \mathbf{DC}_g$ and $x \notin \mathbf{DC}_f \cap \mathbf{DC}_g, h$ is not continuous at x . $\forall x \in \mathbf{DC}_f \cap \mathbf{DC}_g, h$ may be continuous or discontinuous at x . Therefore, $\mathbf{DC}_h \subset \mathbf{DC}_f \cup \mathbf{DC}_g$, which means h has a finite number of points of discontinuity and is also piece-wise continuous.

Problem 3. Billiards model (20 points) (a) Consider an idealized billiard table of length a and width b . The table has no pockets; its surface has no friction; and its boundary bounces the balls perfectly. Write a hybrid automaton model of the position of two balls of equal mass on this table. The balls have some initial velocities. A ball bounces off a wall when its position is at the boundary. Balls collide whenever $|x_1 - x_2| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|y_1 - y_2| \leq \varepsilon$ and their velocity vectors are pointing towards each other. Here ε is some constant. Whenever a bounce occurs, the appropriate velocity changes sign. Whenever a collision occurs, the balls exchange their velocity vectors. Make all the variables internal. Wall bounces are modeled by an output action called bounce, and each collision is modeled by an output action collision.

(b) State and prove conservation of speed along each axis as an invariant property of the automaton.

Solution

- (a) _____
- ```

automaton Billiards(a,b)
 type
 actions
 bounce; collision;
 variables
 $v_{1x}:\text{Real}; v_{1y}:\text{Real}; v_{2x}:\text{Real}; v_{2y}:\text{Real}; x_1:\text{Real}; y_1:\text{Real}; x_2:\text{Real}; y_2:\text{Real};$
 transitions
 bounce
 pre $x_1 = 0 \vee x_1 = a$
 eff $v_{1x} := -v_{1x};$
 bounce
 pre $x_2 = 0 \vee x_2 = a$
 eff $v_{2x} := -v_{2x};$
 bounce
 pre $y_1 = 0 \vee y_1 = b$
 eff $v_{1y} := -v_{1y};$
 bounce
 pre $y_2 = 0 \vee y_2 = b$

```

```

eff $v_{2y} := -v_{2y};$
collision
pre $|x_1 - x_2| \leq \varepsilon \wedge |y_1 - y_2| \leq \varepsilon$
eff $v_{1x} := v_{2x}; v_{2x} := v_{1x}; v_{1y} := v_{2y}; v_{2y} := v_{1y};$
trajectories
 running
 evolve $dx_1 = v_{1x}; dy_1 = v_{1y}; dx_2 = v_{2x}; dy_2 = v_{2y}$
 invariant $(0 < x_1 < a) \wedge (0 < y_1 < b) \wedge (0 < x_2 < a) \wedge (0 < y_2 < b) \wedge (|x_1 - x_2| >$
 $\varepsilon \vee |y_1 - y_2| > \varepsilon)$

```

---

(a)

**Problem 4. Hysteresis model (coding 40 points).** (a) Model the following hysteresis-based switching system as a hybrid automaton. The automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  has (at least)  $n$  continuous variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and a discrete variable called  $m$  that takes the values in the set  $m_1, \dots, m_n$ . For a state of the automaton, we say that the system is in *mode*  $m_i$ , if  $m = m_i$ . There are  $n \times (n - 1)$  actions  $switch(i, j)$ , where  $i, j \in [n]$  and  $j \neq i$ . When the system is in mode  $m_i$ ,

$$\dot{x}_i = a_i x_i,$$

where  $a_i > 0$  is a positive constant and  $\dot{x}_j = 0$  for all  $j \neq i$ . Further, when the system is in mode  $m_i$ , for any  $j \neq i$ , if  $x_i$  becomes greater than  $(1 + h)x_j$ , then the automaton switches to mode  $m_j$ ; otherwise, it continues in mode  $m_i$ . Here,  $h > 0$  is a parameter of the model. Is your model deterministic?

(b) Write a simulator for this model and plot two executions starting from two different initial states: one where all the  $x_i$ 's are same, and another where they are different. For each execution, plot the duration that  $\mathcal{A}$  stays in each mode. Can you conjecture something interesting about how long  $\mathcal{A}$  stays in each mode?

## Solution

(a)

---

```

automaton Switching(h)
 type Mode:enumeration [m_1, \dots, m_n]
 actions
 switch(i, j), for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}, i \neq j$
 variables
 m :Mode; x_i :Real; for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$
 transitions
 switch(i, j)
 pre $(m = m_i) \wedge (x_i > (1 + h)x_j)$
 eff $m := m_j;$
 trajectories
 $Mode_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$

```

**evolve**  $dx_i = a_i x_i$ ;

**invariant**  $(m = m_i) \wedge (x_i \leq (1 + h)x_j)$  for  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}, j \neq i$

---

This model is nondeterministic. **pre**  $(m = m_i) \wedge (x_i > (1 + h)x_j)$  may be satisfied for more than one  $j$  at the same time.

(a)  $h = 10, n = 5$ , execution are plot in form of (m, duration).

Execution 1: from  $x_i = 1.0$

$(0, 2.398) \rightarrow (1, 2.398) \rightarrow (2, 2.398) \rightarrow (3, 2.398) \rightarrow (4, 4.796) \rightarrow (0, 2.398) \rightarrow (1, 2.398) \rightarrow (2, 2.398) \rightarrow (3, 4.796) \rightarrow \dots$

Execution 2: from  $x = [1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0]$

$(0, 3.091) \rightarrow (1, 2.803) \rightarrow (2, 2.686) \rightarrow (3, 2.621) \rightarrow (4, 3.879) \rightarrow (0, 2.803) \rightarrow (1, 2.686) \rightarrow (2, 2.621) \rightarrow (3, 3.879) \rightarrow \dots$

When starting from states where all  $x_i$  have the same value, then the possible duration values are  $\{\ln(1 + h), 2 \ln(1 + h)\}$ .

### Class contribution and project ideas

- Develop a simulator for the billiards example with multiple balls. Make it usable for others by paying attention to how the board, the initial positions and velocities of the balls are specified. Explicitly document how the collisions are detected in cases where multiple collisions may occur at the same time.
- Develop a model and a simulator for Newton's cradle (search online).
- Make your own hybrid modeling problems and solutions. Lots of options here as almost everything can be modeled as a hybrid automaton: cars, drones, mixed-signal circuits, oscillators. Your problem should be (a) compact—describable in a couple of short paragraphs (e.g., like the billiards problem), (b) complete—fully specify the states, trajectories and transitions, and it has to be (c) interesting—the model should do something cool or showcase some property. You also need to provide the solution, of course.