

B9AI109_2022_TMD3

CA-1 Part 1

Sunil Gauda

ID: 10595858

Exercise 2

Assessment

Context

Clinical Data comprises keywords that define the various aspects of the domain. A keyword can be the name of the disease, medication, treatment, symptom, and many other things. These keywords usually connect to define a diagnosis of a specific illness and treatment for it. Using these keywords, Natural Language Processing and programming techniques Author Veena G, Hemanth R, and Jatin Hareesh, developed a method of creating relationships between the keywords, which will help identify and simplify the various processes of the healthcare domain.

The paper is based on the previous work of Veena G et al., who have derived work from A. Makowiecka, M. Marciniak, and A. Kupsc in regards to the rule-based data retrieval system using clinical records and mammogram reports with an understanding of grammatical composition in medical documents. They improved upon it with the techniques of concept extraction by X. Fu and S. Ananiadou which uses machine learning for entity identification in the records, which was mainly discharged summaries and progressive notes of the patient in the hospital with pre/post-operating procedures, similar work was also done by W. T. Abdel-moneim, M. H. Abdel-Aziz, and M. M. Hassan on patient narratives using clinical texts. Further modifying the techniques of information extraction D. Demner-Fushman and J. Lin used to question and answer techniques to derive information for relationship management in the domain of proof-based medicine, The last work to which this paper refers is B. Rink, S. Harabagiu, and K. Roberts who have used a supervised machine learning system for similar relationship extraction task on medical records.

Gap

The key part of this research is data, which in other papers which was referred to were not easy to acquire, fetching data from hospitals is difficult unless one works in the hospital, In this paper, the author has acquired the data using python based web scraping on websites related to medicine, and Word-Net Lexical Database which provides the definition and meaning of the words extracted. Also reproducing the process is difficult due to a lack of Code and Mathematics.



Question

The Paper Aims to solve the issue of relationship understanding between clinical text, as doctors defer by experience and so the treatment or diagnosis of a particular disease can be differently applied to a particular patient, even diseases with different origin and symptoms can connect, this paper provides a simple pipeline to solve these problems.

How did they do the work?

Authors have used python and machine learning to derive meaning from clinical texts and set up a pipeline that uses various techniques to extract, process, Tag, Label, and derive mathematical similarities of data. The process uses various techniques at each stage to provide an appropriate process of relationship definition and extraction between nouns, which can be singular or plural in nature, as this data is easily available on the internet authors did not face much difficulty in applying the known techniques.

What did they find?

Authors find out that the different parts of the body and symptoms and treatments can be related to each other and could be traced back and forth to find out new ways of working on some problems of treatment, this further reduces the complexity of diagnostic methods and provide a wide overview of the field itself.

What is the answer?

The data suggest that the relationship exists between various nouns that are singular or plural used in the field of health science which can be outside the scope of a single method of diagnosis, in academics, it can help in research and learning of new and old healthcare challenges, and in practice, it can pace up the process of diagnostics.

Significance

Author Veena G et al., have derived the relationships using clinical data scraped from websites, and have provided an example of working hierarchy and relationship identification of the data which can help identify and diagnose illness faster and help reduce health crises. Still, the definition of the infrastructure of the process is not completely added to the paper. The paper lacks a mathematical explanation of POS and PS procedures used in the process.



References

1. Mykowiecka, A., Marciniak, M. and KupśĆ, A. (2009). Rule-based information extraction from patients' clinical data. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 42(5), pp.923–936. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2009.07.007.
2. Fu, X. and Ananiadou, S. (n.d.). Improving the Extraction of Clinical Concepts from Clinical Records. [online] Available at: <http://www.nactem.ac.uk/biotxtm2014/papers/FuandAnaniadou.pdf> [Accessed 22 Jun. 2022].
3. Tawfik, W., Hashem, M., Aziz, A. and Hassan, M. (2013). Clinical Relationships Extraction Techniques from Patient Narratives. *IJCSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues*, [online] 10(1). Available at: <https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1306/1306.5170.pdf> [Accessed 22 Jun. 2022].
4. Demner-Fushman, D. and Lin, J. (n.d.). Knowledge Extraction for Clinical Question Answering: Preliminary Results. [online] Available at: <https://www.aaai.org/Papers/Workshops/2005/WS-05-10/WS05-10-002.pdf> [Accessed 22 Jun. 2022].
5. Rink, B., Harabagiu, S. and Roberts, K. (2011). Automatic extraction of relations between medical concepts in clinical texts. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, [online] 18(5), pp.594–600. doi:10.1136/amiajnl-2011-000153.

Exercise 3

Business Understanding

Gender identification is majorly used to check the dynamics of the text which can be used to classify the text as per gender. The classification can form a basis for identifying needs regarding gender and can help us analyze the behavior of the user.

Data Understanding

	BLOG	GENDER
0	Beyond Getting There: What Travel Days Show U...	F
1	I remember so much about the island; the large...	F
2	I have had asthma and allergies my entire life...	M
3	The last few days have been an emotional rolle...	M
4	If you lined up all the teachers and staff in ...	F

fig 1 Data

The Data above comprises Two Columns, BLOG, and GENDER, the data contains the necessary information for developing a classification system. By Processing the data using tokenization, lemmatization, and vectorization we can create a model to predict gender from the text.

Data Preparation

Numerical Output

As the classification is just binary, The column Gender can be converted to just 1, 0 for M and F representing Male and Female. This will help us computation time and prevent errors on model training as the output data is numerical.

Conversion of data of GENDER column to 1 and 0 as it is a binary classification

```
for gen in df['GENDER']:
    if gen=='M':
        df['GENDER'].replace({'M': '1'}, inplace=True)
    elif gen=='F':
        df['GENDER'].replace({'F': '0'}, inplace=True)
```

✓ 0.1s

fig 2 Data Modification

Null Management

```
df.dropna(inplace=True)
```

✓ 0.1s

fig 3 Null Value Management

Dropping null columns to prevent errors due to invalid data, the data was already blanched and cleared, but as a caution, the null columns need to be dropped, as the data comprises text as input params we cannot generate or augment the data in any way, hence we need to drop the columns of the data.

Tokenize The Data

The entire Sentence does not produce any viable meaning to a machine learning algorithm, so we need to split the data to each word and create smaller units that we can work with.

```
df["BLOG_Token"] = [word_tokenize(post) for post in df['BLOG']]
✓ 21.7s
```

```
df.head()
```

```
✓ 0.1s
```

	BLOG	GENDER	BLOG_Token
0	Beyond Getting There: What Travel Days Show U...	0	[Beyond, Getting, There, :, What, Travel, Days...
1	I remember so much about the island; the large...	0	[I, remember, so, much, about, the, island, ;, ...
2	I have had asthma and allergies my entire life...	1	[I, have, had, asthma, and, allergies, my, ent...
3	The last few days have been an emotional rolle...	1	[The, last, few, days, have, been, an, emotion...
4	If you lined up all the teachers and staff in ...	0	[If, you, lined, up, all, the, teachers, and, ...

fig 4 Tokenizing

Lemmatization

The problem in text data is the repetition of the words, Which creates ambiguity in the meaning of the word, using lemmatization we can reduce the ambiguity by grouping similar words together, the challenge is to get reference data for the lemmatizer to work, WordNetLemmatizer is one such library that we can use to compare and group the words in a sentence.

```
desc_new_lem = []
lem = WordNetLemmatizer()
for each_row in post_new_alpha:
    desc_new_lem.append([lem.lemmatize(word) for word in each_row])

df["BLOG_Token_cleaned"] = desc_new_lem
df["BLOG_Token_cleaned"] = [" ".join(desc) for desc in df['BLOG_Token'].values]
✓ 6.7s
```

fig 5 Lemmatization using WordNetLemmatizer

Vectorising

As a computer does not understand textual data, we use vectorization to convert textual processed data to numerical data, we have used TFIDFVectoriser as we need to only focus on the frequency of the occurrence of the words in the textual data.




```
tfidf = TfidfVectorizer(max_features=1000)
x = tfidf.fit_transform(df["BLOG_Token_cleaned"]).toarray()
X = pd.DataFrame(x)
```

2] ✓ 2.6s

fig 6 TFIDF Vectorising

Modeling

Modeling comprises the selection of appropriate machine learning algorithms to train on our data. LinearSVC Performs better on small datasets.



```
clf = LinearSVC()
clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_pred = clf.predict(X_test)
```

✓ 0.2s

fig 7 LinerSVC Modelling

Evaluation

We need to Evaluate the model to check its accuracy, in classification we have different means of validating the results of which, Classification Report and Confusion Matrix is used.

Classification Report

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.66	0.65	0.65	252
1	0.67	0.68	0.68	268
accuracy			0.67	520
macro avg	0.67	0.66	0.66	520
weighted avg	0.67	0.67	0.67	520

fig 8 Classification Report

The model gives an accuracy of 67%, and a precision of 66% with a recall of 65%.

Confusion Matrix




fig 9 Confusion Matrix

Deployment

Using Pickle

We can use a Built-In module called pickle from python to store and load the model to predict the outcome, but the data processing is needed before the input is provided to the pickled model.

```
pk1.dump(clf, open("clfModel.pkl", 'wb'))  
✓ 0.2s
```

fig 10 Saving the Model

RapidMiner Automodel Process

Rapid Miner is a platform that does all the processes above with ease and simplicity, it applies the models and provides us the results, and has an entire pipeline to do rapid machine learning development.

Uploading Data




fig 11 Importing Data

We have to select the File from our system to upload it to rapid-miner.

Selecting the Column and the Task we need to perform

We select, predict, and select the column GENDER as it is our target variable.




fig 12 Selecting Task and Output Column

Overview

Following is the overview of the data for the output variable




fig 13 Overview

Input Selection

We will select the only input displayed as its a binary classification




fig 14 Input Selection

Model Selection

Select what machine learning models we need to apply to our data, the models are implemented by a rapid miner, and parameters are adjusted by a rapid miner.




fig 15 Model Selection

Results

As we can see the results of each model trained and evaluated by Rapid-Miner, we can further export and deploy the models using rapid miner tools.




fig 16 Result Window

SVM gave the best results, as we can see below.

Model	Classification Error	Standard Deviation	Gains	Total Time
Gradient Boosted Trees	48.6%	± 3.8%	16	10 min 30 s
Support Vector Machine	32.7%	± 1.3%	256	16 (over best F)

fig 17 SVM Performance

SVM Classification Report Specifies what is the performance of the model in rapid-miner

	NB		GLM		FLM		DT		RF		GBT		SVM	
Criterion	Val	SD	Val	SD	Val	SD	Val	SD	Val	SD	Val	SD	Val	SD
Accuracy	54.0 %	±1.8 %	63.8 %	±1.4 %	66.1 %	±1.1 %	50.3 %	±2.8 %	54.5 %	±2.0 %	51.4 %	±3.8 %	67.3 %	±1.3 %
Classification_error	46.0 %	±1.8 %	36.2 %	±1.4 %	33.9 %	±1.1 %	49.7 %	±2.8 %	45.5 %	±2.0 %	48.6 %	±3.8 %	32.7 %	±1.3 %

AUC	61.7 %	±3.6 %	70.9 %	±1.9	72.9 %	±1.8 %	54.6 %	±3.0 %	66.7 %	±4.8 %	69.1 %	±3.0 %	73.3 %	±2.0 %
Precision	52.3 %	±2.1 %	60.2 %	±3.9	66.6 %	±5.2 %	50.3 %	±2.8 %	52.6 %	±2.4 %	50.9 %	±3.3 %	69.4 %	±4.6 %
Recall	96.3 %	±1.9 %	82.1 %	±3.3	65.1 %	±3.8 %	100 %	±0.0 %	97.4 %	±2.2 %	99.7 %	±0.6 %	62.2 %	±3.9 %
f_measure	67.8 %	±1.8 %	69.3 %	±1.7	65.6 %	±1.7 %	66.9 %	±2.5 %	68.3 %	±2.0 %	67.4 %	±3.0 %	65.4 %	±1.7%
Sensitivity	96.3 %	±1.9 %	82.1 5	±3.3	65.1 %	±3.8 %	100 %	±0.0 %	97.4 %	±2.2 %	99.7 %	±0.6 %	62.2 %	±3.9 %
Specificity	11.0 %	±4.3 %	45.8 %	±3.6	67.4 %	±4.7 %	0.0 %	±0.0 %	11.1%	±2.7 %	2.5 %	±2.1 %	72.8 %	±3.4 %

fig 18 Classification Report SVM

The Confusion Matrix of the model shows a similar result

Confusion Matrix

	true M	true F	class precision
pred. M	270	141	65.69%
pred. F	102	230	69.28%
class recall	72.58%	61.99%	

fig 19 Confusion matrix Rapid-Miner

Note

Please find the artifacts of the programmes in the zip folder submitted.

