

ALLOCATE TO

Lay justices

WHEN

Cases have a low risk of serious harm to child and when there is no contested hearing where the ELH is in excess of 3 days without the same having been approved from time to time by the Justices' Clerk (or his nominated deputy) in consultation with the Designated Family Judge.

District Judge level

Risk assessment issues

Allegations or risk of

- a) serious physical or sexual abuse causing or likely to cause significant injury to the relevant children, and/or
- b) serious sexual abuse of the relevant children

Allegations of serious domestic violence eg. causing significant injury particularly if witnessed by the child.

Significant disputed issues relating to psychiatric illness of a parent and/or a child.

Significant disputed medical issues relating to the relevant child.

Non-subject child as a party (particularly if under 16)

Where a child may be required to give evidence.

Capacity issues

Where there is a need for the Official Solicitor or another litigation friend to represent the interests of an incapacitated adult.

Real possibility of conflict of expert evidence or difficulty in resolving conflict in the evidence of witnesses

Where there is an identified need for no more than two expert witnesses to report on the same key issue(s)

Existing proceedings relating to the child or a sibling which are proceeding before another court or have been recently completed before another court

Consideration must be given to listing the current proceedings before the judge who heard or is hearing the proceedings relating to the child or sibling in order to provide continuity.

International Proceedings

Cases to which Brussels II revised applies.

Cases in which placement is limited to temporary removal to a Hague Convention country.

Other case management issues

Where a "split hearing" or finding of fact hearing is necessary and judicial continuity cannot otherwise be ensured.



ALLOCATE TO

Circuit Judge level

WHEN

Risk assessment issues

Allegations of physical or sexual abuse which involve any of the following features:

- Exceptional gravity in relation to the acts alleged or the nature of the harm suffered.
- Where there is, or is likely to be, conflicting expert opinion from more than two expert witnesses on any key issue.
- Shaking injuries involving retinal haemorrhage, brain injury or fractures.
- Complex medical questions involving novel issues or the determination of causation.

Allegations of extremely serious domestic violence or rape, particularly if witnessed by the child.

Risk of serious physical or emotional harm arising from:

- death of another child in family, a parent or other significant person.
- a parent or other significant person who may have committed a grave crime, for example murder, manslaughter or rape.

History of suspicious death of a child in the family.

Complex medical issues, including medical causation issues and medical treatment issues including where any of the parties suffer from psychiatric illness or psychological issues or any significant disability such as profound deafness, blindness or learning disability, or which will require specialist knowledge and services in respect of parenting capacity or the needs of the children.

Unusual/Complex issues relating to ethnicity or religion

Significant contested issues in respect of religion, culture or ethnicity or involving medical treatment relating to the same.

Non-subject child as a party (particularly if under 16)

Where children (including parents who are under the age of 18) are, or may be, required to give evidence and be joined as a party.

Capacity issues

Where there is a need for the Official Solicitor or another litigation friend to represent the interests of more than one incapacitated party.

Real possibility of conflict of expert evidence or difficulty in resolving conflict in the evidence of witnesses

Where there is an identified need for more than two expert witnesses to report on the same key issues.



ALLOCATE TO

Circuit Judge level

WHEN

Novel or difficult point of law

Where the case involves a difficult point of law, issues of public policy or unusually complex or sensitive issues.

Allegations of serious abuse where there are, or are likely to be, criminal proceedings and consideration of issues regarding disclosure of information or public interest immunity.

Complex issues as to disclosure – where a party seeks leave to withhold information from another party, or where there is an issue about the release of confidential information involving a difficult point of law, or where disclosure of documentation involves a difficult or sensitive exercise of discretion or public policy issues.

Where there are concurrent criminal proceedings in the Crown Court relevant to the issues between the parties and joint directions hearings may be required.

Cases that don't need to be heard by a High Court judge but have significant immigration or status issues.

Existing proceedings relating to the child or a sibling which are proceeding before another court or have been recently completed before another court.

Consideration must be given to listing the current proceedings before the judge who heard or is hearing the proceedings relating to the child or sibling in order to provide continuity.

Other case management issues

Where a "split hearing" or finding of fact hearing is necessary and judicial continuity before a District Judge cannot be ensured.

Where possible local authority failures to progress plans to protect the child(ren) in the case are likely to be addressed critically by the court because it is alleged that there has been systemic failure in the proceedings and other proceedings.



ALLOCATE TO

High court Judge level

WHEN

International issues

There is an issue concerning placement for adoption of the child outside the jurisdiction.

Proceedings with an international element relating to recognition or enforcement of orders, conflict or comity of laws or which have exceptional immigration or asylum status issues.

Cases in which an application is made for (a) permanent placement or (b) temporary removal from the jurisdiction to a non-Hague convention country.

Cases in which a child has been brought to this jurisdiction in circumstances which might constitute a wrongful removal or retention either from a EC Member State, a Hague Convention country (a contracting State to the 1980 Hague Child Abduction Convention and/or a contracting State to the 1996 Hague Child Protection Convention) or a non-Convention country.

Cases in which a child is alleged to have been abducted overseas and applications have been made in this jurisdiction such as for a declaration that the child was habitually resident in this country prior to the abduction or for an order that the child be returned with a request for assistance etc.

Cases in which Tipstaff Orders are applied for.

Inherent jurisdiction

Injunctions invoking the inherent jurisdiction of the court.

Interim or substantive relief which requires the inherent jurisdiction of the High Court to be invoked.

Other

Applications for Declaratory Relief.

Applications which require the jurisdiction of the Administrative Court to be invoked.

Issues as to publicity (identification of a child or restriction on publication or injunctions seeking to restrict the freedom of the media)

Applications in medical treatment cases, for example for novel medical treatment or life saving procedures)