

Hard copy of Mass.

Pm

Lorillard

}

agree well with Nic

Pm = 40

Differ in SS Tar - we use spectrophotometric

Pm

Lorillard

used nicotine

We may be slightly inflating the chimney tar
others making a different assumption of
ratio of Nic

$\rightarrow \mu 69.2 \text{ M}$.

Convergence down \sim the 310 or 325

Ask Art if he has absorbance data back to $\sim 220 \text{ nm}$

Should be declared - Maybe in the principle

of measurement

SS smoke collection is artificial

We are really comparing ~~meas~~ collection conditions
and not product differences.

220
240
300

} compare with absorbance
we would get with Nic.

Nic determination of chimney
extract

total volume of extract

52298 0810