

AI Regulatory Horizon Scanner

Type: Monitoring Framework | Audience: Compliance, Legal, Policy Teams

1. Key Jurisdictions to Monitor

Tier	Jurisdiction	Key Regulation	Status
Tier 1	■■ European Union	EU AI Act	In Force
Tier 1	■■ United States	Federal + State laws	Evolving
Tier 1	■■ United Kingdom	Sector-based approach	Developing
Tier 1	■■ China	Algorithm registration	In Force
Tier 2	■■ Canada	AIDA	Proposed
Tier 2	■■ Singapore	Model AI Governance	Voluntary
Tier 2	■■ Japan	AI Principles	Voluntary

2. Impact Assessment Scoring

Dimension	Question	Score (0-5)
Applicability	Does this regulation apply to our AI systems?	[]
Compliance Effort	How much work to become compliant?	[]
Penalty Risk	How severe are penalties for non-compliance?	[]
Timeline Urgency	How soon must we comply?	[]

Impact Score = Applicability × (Effort + Penalty + Urgency) = _____

Score	Priority	Action
75-100	Critical	Immediate action required
50-74	High	Action plan within 1 month
25-49	Medium	Plan within 3 months
0-24	Low	Awareness, revisit quarterly

3. Early Warning Signals

Legislative Signals:

- Parliamentary/Congressional hearings on AI
- Consultation papers or Requests for Comment issued
- White papers from government agencies
- International policy recommendations (OECD, UN)

Incident-Driven Signals:

- High-profile AI failures or harms in media
- Class action lawsuits related to AI
- Regulatory enforcement actions

Industry Signals:

- Trade associations releasing guidelines
- Major companies adopting voluntary standards
- Insurance industry requiring AI certifications

4. Monitoring Workflow

Frequency	Tasks
Monthly	Scan government websites; review trade newsletters; check legal databases; monitor news alerts
Quarterly	Update regulatory inventory; re-assess impact scores; stakeholder briefing; adjust compliance roadmap
Annual	Comprehensive landscape review; benchmark vs peers; strategic planning; external counsel review

Organization: _____ Review Date: _____

Compliance Lead: _____ Next Review: _____