

Foundation Model Due Diligence Toolkit

Type: Selection Checklist

Target Audience: AI Product Managers, Enterprise Architects, Procurement

This toolkit provides a structured approach for evaluating and selecting Large Language Models (LLMs) or Vision models for enterprise use. Complete this assessment before vendor selection.

Model Information

Field	Details
Model Name/Version	
Provider	
Model Type	<input type="checkbox"/> LLM <input type="checkbox"/> Vision <input type="checkbox"/> Multimodal <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
Deployment Option	<input type="checkbox"/> API <input type="checkbox"/> Self-hosted <input type="checkbox"/> Cloud Instance
Evaluation Date	
Evaluator	

1. Capability Testing

Evaluate performance on specific, representative organizational tasks.

- ☐ Define 10+ representative test cases from actual business scenarios
- ☐ Test accuracy on domain-specific terminology and concepts
- ☐ Evaluate instruction-following capability
- ☐ Test multi-turn conversation coherence (if applicable)
- ☐ Benchmark response latency under expected load
- ☐ Compare results against at least 2 alternative models

2. Limitation Mapping

Document known failure modes and hallucination tendencies.

- ☐ Review provider's model card for documented limitations
- ☐ Test for hallucination on factual questions relevant to your domain
- ☐ Identify topics where model refuses to respond

- ☐ Document context window limitations and their impact
- ☐ Test edge cases and adversarial inputs
- ☐ Assess multilingual capabilities if required

3. Safety Review

Review the provider's red-teaming and safety testing reports.

- ☐ Obtain and review provider's safety/system card
- ☐ Review third-party safety evaluations (if available)
- ☐ Test for harmful content generation
- ☐ Evaluate bias in outputs across demographic groups
- ☐ Assess jailbreak resistance
- ☐ Review content filtering/moderation capabilities

4. Cost Modeling

Project per-token or infrastructure costs for expected production volume.

Cost Factor	Estimate	Notes
Input tokens (per 1M)	\$	
Output tokens (per 1M)	\$	
Estimated monthly volume		tokens
Monthly API cost	\$	
Fine-tuning cost (if applicable)	\$	
Infrastructure cost (self-hosted)	\$	
Total Year 1 Cost	\$	

- ☐ Model costs at 2x and 5x expected volume
- ☐ Compare cost-per-task across candidate models
- ☐ Factor in prompt engineering/optimization costs

5. Exit Strategy

Plan for model migration if provider changes terms or discontinues service.

- ☐ Review contract termination clauses and notice periods
- ☐ Assess data portability (can you export fine-tuning data?)
- ☐ Identify alternative models with similar capabilities
- ☐ Estimate migration effort and cost
- ☐ Design prompts to be model-agnostic where possible
- ☐ Document API abstraction layer requirements

6. Vendor Assessment

- ☐ Verify data handling policy (is customer data used for training?)
- ☐ Review security certifications (SOC 2, ISO 27001)
- ☐ Assess SLA guarantees (uptime, latency)
- ☐ Review IP indemnification terms
- ☐ Evaluate vendor financial stability and market position
- ☐ Review model versioning and deprecation policy

Decision Summary

Recommendation: ☐ Approve ☐ Approve with Conditions ☐ Reject

Conditions/Notes: _____

Evaluator Signature: _____ **Date:** _____