

< Return to Classroom

DISCUSS ON STUDENT HUB

# DNN Speech Recognizer

REVIEW

CODE REVIEW 6

HISTORY

# **Meets Specifications**

# **Answers**

1. Bidirectional understanding is correct. I think you just need to look at sample code and model structure I will attach the same for you

## Code

```
def final model(input dim, filters, kernel size, conv stride,conv border mode, units, output dim=29, recur layers = 2):
    """ Build a deep network for speech
   0.00
   # Main acoustic input
   input data = Input(name='the input', shape=(None, input dim))
   # TODO: Specify the layers in your network
   #Adding Conv Layer with Batch Norm
   conv 1d = Conv1D(filters, kernel size,
                     strides=conv stride,
                     padding=conv border mode,
                     activation='relu',
                     name='conv1d final')(input data)
   bn cnn = BatchNormalization(name='bn cnn')(conv 1d)
   #Adding recurrent layer with batch norm
   simp rnn = Bidirectional(GRU(units, activation='relu',
       return sequences=True, implementation=2, dropout=0.1, name='bi gru'))(bn cnn)
   #BatchNorm
   bn rnn = BatchNormalization(name="bn bigru rnn")(simp rnn)
   for i in range(recur layers-1):
       simp rnn = Bidirectional(GRU(units, activation='relu',
       return sequences=True, implementation=2, dropout=0.1, name='bi gru' + str(i)))(bn rnn)
       bn rnn = BatchNormalization(name='bn bigru rnn' + str(i))(simp rnn)
   time dense = TimeDistributed(Dense(output dim))(bn rnn)
   # TODO: Add softmax activation layer
   y pred = Activation('softmax', name='softmax')(time dense)
   # Specify the model
   model = Model(inputs=input data, outputs=y pred)
   # TODO: Specify model.output length
   model.output length = lambda x: cnn output length(x, kernel size, conv border mode, conv stride)
   print(model.summary())
   return model
```

Layer (type)	Output	Shape		Param #
the_input (InputLayer)	(None,	None,	13)	0
conv1d_final (Conv1D)	(None,	None,	100)	14400
bn_cnn (BatchNormalization)	(None,	None,	100)	400
bidirectional_1 (Bidirection	(None,	None,	200)	120600
bn_bigru_rnn (BatchNormaliza	(None,	None,	200)	800
bidirectional_2 (Bidirection	(None,	None,	200)	180600

20.00

I think you should have a look at these amazing blogs here

- https://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs/
- https://jasdeep06.github.io/posts/Understanding-LSTM-in-Tensorflow-MNIST/

For your third question, I think a classification network should help if your outputs are limited and known. Try extracting features from model and then feed it to a classification network.

#### **FURTHER READING IN ASR**

- Guide to ASR
- ASR is devices
- Research Paper which covers different ASR models

# **Further Learning in NLP**

I would highly recommend you to follow the current research of Transformer networks which will help you in future. To enable you, I recommend you start with this blog. It is very detailed and should be helpful. Don't get overwhelmed if you don't understand anything just keep the enthusiasm and things will fall in place.

## **OVERALL COMMENTS**

Congratulations on finishing the project 🕭

This was a brilliant submission. You did a great job and should be proud of yourself. After reviewing this submission, I am impressed and satisfied with the effort and understanding put in to make this project a success. All the requirements have been met successfully 29 %

I have tried to provide you a detailed review by adding:-

- 1. Few Suggestions which you can try and improve your model.
- 2. Appreciation where you did great
- 3. Some learning opportunities for knowledge beyond coursework

I hope you find the complete review informative 📄:smiley: 👍

Keep doing the great work and all the best for future project.

# STEP 2: Model 0: RNN

The submission trained the model for at least 20 epochs, and none of the loss values in model\_0.pickle are undefined. The trained weights for the model specified in simple\_rnn\_model are stored in model\_0.h5.

## Simple Model works as expected.

The simple model is very naive and is not sufficient for modelling this and hence the high loss value which is perfectly fine

# STEP 2: Model 1: RNN + TimeDistributed Dense

The submission includes a sample\_models.py file with a completed rnn\_model module containing the correct architecture.

#### **Correct Implementation**

- Good Job on implementing the correct architecture.
- You can also experiment with variants of RNN here like LSTM and also with activation functions like tanh/relu.
- Detailed variable names are provided.
- Constant naming format is followed. model.summary() is printed.

• Try providing inline comments as well. Good work done

The submission trained the model for at least 20 epochs, and none of the loss values in model\_1.pickle are undefined. The trained weights for the model specified in rnn\_model are stored in model\_1.h5.

## Performance improvement over simple\_model

- The model performance improves by ~5x due to BatchNorm and TimeDistributed.
- Time distributed helps to apply dense layer to each timestep rather than just the final state and
- Batch normalization decreases the variance between training samples within a batch

#### STEP 2: Model 2: CNN + RNN + TimeDistributed Dense

The submission includes a sample\_models.py file with a completed cnn\_rnn\_model module containing the correct architecture.

#### **Model Overfitting:)**

- You should note here that on using CNN, your training loss decreases drastically but your validation loss is not.
- A typical example of over-fitting. Had we had ran this for more epochs, the over fit would have increased. Dropouts are a way to combat it.
- Try using MFCC as a feature, it would avoid overfitting of model.
- MFCC contains the most important features whereas spectogram contains the full breadth of features . MFCC turns out to be better when we have a small data to train as in this case else spectogram is better for large scale fine grained training.

The main idea behind MFCC features is the same as spectrogram features: at each time window, the MFCC feature yields a feature vector that characterizes the sound within the window. Note that the MFCC feature is much lower-dimensional than the spectrogram feature, which could help an acoustic model to avoid overfitting to the training dataset.

The submission trained the model for at least 20 epochs, and none of the loss values in model\_2.pickle are undefined. The trained weights for the model specified in cnn\_rnn\_model are stored in model\_2.hs .

The submission trained the model for 20 epochs, and all of the loss values are defined.

# STEP 2: Model 3: Deeper RNN + TimeDistributed Dense

The submission includes a sample\_models.py file with a completed deep\_rnn\_model module containing the correct architecture.

Well done on using recur\_layers to make your model more robust as now by a single parameter you can make you architecture as deep as you want

The submission trained the model for at least 20 epochs, and none of the loss values in model\_3.pickle are undefined. The trained weights for the model specified in deep\_rnn\_model are stored in model\_3.h5.

The submission trained the model for 20 epochs, and all of the loss values are defined.

# STEP 2: Model 4: Bidirectional RNN + TimeDistributed Dense

The submission includes a sample\_models.py file with a completed bidirectional\_rnn\_model module containing the correct architecture.

- Bidirectional model is implemented which helps in capturing the context on both forward and backward direction.
- Correct architecture is implemented. Good Job!!
- For how can we improve performance using Bidirectional you should read this paper

The submission trained the model for at least 20 epochs, and none of the loss values in model\_4.pickle are undefined. The trained weights for the model specified in bidirectional\_rnn\_model are stored in model\_4.h5.

The submission trained the model for 20 epochs, and all of the loss values are defined.

# **STEP 2: Compare the Models**

The submission includes a detailed analysis of why different models might perform better than others.

- Explanation captures the essence of learning which was expected.
- Overfitting of models have been pointed out.
- You could have avoided | model\_0 | from graph so that the remaining graph would not have become so compressed.
- Indeed Deeper network performs better but they also have tendency to over-fit since they have huge number of params, in such scenario we should use dropout.
- All in all great work done explanation justifies the implementation.

# Few tips for going above and beyond

- 1. You can also compare the # of parameters in each model v/s training time
- 2. You can also compare the # of parameters in each model v/s accuracy

# STEP 2: Final Model

The submission trained the model for at least 20 epochs, and none of the loss values in model\_end.pickle are undefined. The trained weights for the model specified in final\_model are stored in model\_end.h5.

The submission trained the model for 20 epochs, and all of the loss values are defined

The submission includes a sample\_models.py file with a completed final\_model module containing a final architecture that is not identical to any of the previous architectures. • Final model incorporates all the understanding from above experiments and the student seems to have understood them really well. Interesting model. • It performs pretty well. Nice usage of dropout here . The submission includes a detailed description of how the final model architecture was designed. • You can refer to this paper. Its simple to read and helps you grasp a deeper understanding for ASR and provides you opportunity to learn better. **| ↓ J** DOWNLOAD PROJECT

CODE REVIEW COMMENTS