

**$^{35}\text{K}$   $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay (175 ms) 1980Ew02**

Parent:  $^{35}\text{K}$ :  $E=0$ ;  $J^\pi=3/2^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=175$  ms 2;  $Q(\varepsilon)=11874.4$  9;  $\% \varepsilon+\% \beta^+$  decay=100

$^{35}\text{K}$ - $J^\pi$ ,  $T_{1/2}$ : From the Adopted Levels of  $^{35}\text{K}$ .

$^{35}\text{K}$ - $T_{1/2}$ : Weighted average of 175 ms 2 (2018Sa54), 178 ms 8 (1998Sc19), and 190 ms 30 (1980Ew02).

$^{35}\text{K}$ - $Q(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ : From 2021Wa16.

$^{35}\text{K}$ - $\%(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ :  $p=0.37$  15 for  $E(p)>0.9$  MeV (1980Ew02).  $E(p)<0.9$  MeV has also been observed (2018Sa54, 2019ChZU).

1980Ew02, 1979Ca15: A 600-MeV proton beam was produced from the synchrocyclotron at CERN-ISOLDE and bombard a  $\text{ScC}_2$  target. The  $^{45}\text{Sc}(p, 8n3p)$  spallation reaction products diffused out of the target and reached a tungsten surface ionization source where potassium isotopes were selectively ionized. The beam was extracted from the ion source, separated by the ISOLDE analyzing magnet, and collected by a mylar foil for  $\gamma$ -ray measurements and then a carbon foil for proton measurements.  $\gamma$  rays were detected using a Ge(Li) detector. Time for positron activities were determined using a 700- $\mu\text{m}$  thick silicon detector. Protons were detected using a 20- $\mu\text{m}$ -700- $\mu\text{m}$  thick  $\Delta E$ -E telescope of silicon surface barrier detectors with FWHM=50 keV. Measured  $E_\gamma(<5$  MeV),  $I_\gamma$ ,  $E_p(>0.9$  MeV),  $I_p$ . Deduced levels,  $J$ ,  $\pi$ , decay branching ratios,  $\log ft$ , parent  $^{35}\text{K}$   $T_{1/2}$ , and coefficients of the isobaric multiplet mass equation for  $A=36$ ,  $T=2$  quartets. Comparisons with shell-model calculations and the mirror nucleus  $^{35}\text{Cl}$ . Also see abstracts 1978HaYH, 1979HaZY, 1979HaZT, and 1979AnZZ.

2018Sa54: A 36-MeV/nucleon  $^{36}\text{Ar}$  primary beam was produced from the K500 cyclotron at Texas A&M University. The secondary  $^{35}\text{K}$  beam was produced via the  $^1\text{H}(^{36}\text{Ar}, ^{35}\text{K})2n$  reaction of  $^{36}\text{Ar}$  bombarding a  $\text{LN}_2$ -cooled hydrogen gas target, separated by MARS, and implanted into a 45- $\mu\text{m}$  DSSD sandwiched between a 140- $\mu\text{m}$  SSSD and a 1-mm Si-pad detector in a pulsed-beam mode.  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ -delayed protons were detected by the implantation detector.  $\gamma$  rays were detected by two HPGe detectors. Measured  $E_p(>300$  keV),  $I_p$ ,  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ ,  $p\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin. Deduced parent  $^{35}\text{K}$   $T_{1/2}$ .

2019ChZU: Same beam production as 2018Sa54.  $^{35}\text{K}$  was implanted into the AstroBox2 detector filled with 800-Torr P5 gas.  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ -delayed protons were detected by the implantation detector.  $\gamma$  rays were detected by 4 Clover Ge detectors. Measured  $E_p(>100$  keV),  $I_p$ ,  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ ,  $p\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin.

1998Sc19: A polarized  $^{35}\text{K}$  beam was produced via the fragmentation of 500-MeV/nucleon  $^{40}\text{Ca}$  impinging on a  $^9\text{Be}$  target at GSI, separated using  $\Delta E$ -tof by FRS, momentum-selected by slits, and implanted into a KBr single crystal placed in the central region of a magnet. Positrons were detected using plastic scintillators.  $\gamma$  rays were detected using a Ge detector. Measured  $\beta$ -decay asymmetry and  $\beta\gamma$ -coin. Deduced polarization and  $g$ -factor of  $^{35}\text{K}$  ground state from  $\beta$ -NMR and  $^{35}\text{K}$   $T_{1/2}$  from  $\beta\gamma$ -decay time spectra.

2006Me04: A polarized  $^{35}\text{K}$  beam was produced via the proton-pickup reaction  $^{36}\text{Ar}(^9\text{Be}, ^{10}\text{Li})^{35}\text{K}$ , separated by NSCL-A1900, and implanted into a KBr crystal. Positrons were detected using plastic scintillators. Deduced the magnetic dipole moment and  $g$ -factor of  $^{35}\text{K}$  ground state from  $\beta$ -NMR.

Theoretical studies involving  $^{35}\text{K}$  decay: shell model (1985Br29, 2003Sm02).

 $^{35}\text{Ar}$  Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi^\ddagger$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	$3/2^+$	1.7756 s 14	
1184.01 25	$1/2^+$		
1750.72 25	$(5/2)^+$		
2637.99 26	$3/2^+$		
2982.79 12	$5/2^+$		
4065.0? 4	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+)$		
4528.2 4	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+)$		
4725.9 6	$1/2^+$		
4785.8 11	$1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+$		
5572.66 15	$3/2^+$		$T=3/2$
6348 11	$(1/2, 3/2, 5/2)$		$E(p0)_{\text{c.m.}}=452$ keV 11 (2019ChZU).
7053 11	$3/2^+, 5/2^+$		$E(p0)_{\text{c.m.}}=1157$ keV 11 (2019ChZU).
7255 11			$E(p3)_{\text{c.m.}}=693$ keV 11 (2019ChZU).
7283 11			$E(p0)_{\text{c.m.}}=1387$ keV 11 (2019ChZU).
7431 11			$E(p3)_{\text{c.m.}}=869$ keV 11 (2019ChZU).
7518 11	$1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+$		$E(\text{level})$ : weighted average of $E(\text{level})$ of 7497 20, 7510 20, and 7527 11. The former two $E(\text{level})$ are deduced from $E(p0)_{\text{c.m.}}=1601$ 20 (1980Ew02) and $E(p1)_{\text{c.m.}}=1467$ 20 (1980Ew02), respectively, with the corresponding $E(\text{level})(^{34}\text{Cl})$ (2012Ni10) and $S(p)(^{35}\text{Ar})=5896.2$ 7 (2021Wa16). The 7527 11

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{35}\text{K}$   $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay (175 ms) **1980Ew02** (continued) $^{35}\text{Ar}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
8393? 20	1/2 <sup>+</sup> , 3/2 <sup>+</sup> , 5/2 <sup>+</sup>	is from <b>2019ChZU</b> with E(p3) <sub>c.m.</sub> =965 11. E(level): weighted average of E(level) of 8392 20, 8392 20, and 8395 20, deduced from E(p0) <sub>c.m.</sub> =2496 20 ( <b>1980Ew02</b> ), E(p1) <sub>c.m.</sub> =2349 20 ( <b>1980Ew02</b> ), and E(p2) <sub>c.m.</sub> =2038 20 ( <b>1980Ew02</b> ), respectively, with the corresponding E(level)( <sup>34</sup> Cl) ( <b>2012Ni10</b> ) and S(p)( <sup>35</sup> Ar)=5896.2 7 ( <b>2021Wa16</b> ).

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies in **1980Ew02** for levels connected with  $\gamma$  transitions.

<sup>‡</sup> From the Adopted Levels.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\beta^+$ <sup>†</sup>	I $\varepsilon$ <sup>†</sup>	Log <i>ft</i>	I( $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ ) <sup>†</sup>	Comments
(3481 20)	8393?	0.062 26	4.3×10 <sup>-4</sup> 18	4.6 +3-2	0.062 26	av E $\beta$ =1083.0 94; $\varepsilon$ K=0.00619 22; $\varepsilon$ L=6.57×10 <sup>-4</sup> 24; $\varepsilon$ M=8.67×10 <sup>-5</sup> 32
(4356 11)	7518	>0.090	>3×10 <sup>-4</sup>	5.0	>0.09	av E $\beta$ =1497.6 53; $\varepsilon$ K=0.002510 52; $\varepsilon$ L=2.664×10 <sup>-4</sup> 57; $\varepsilon$ M=3.515×10 <sup>-5</sup> 84 I( $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ ): 0.15 6 I(p0+p1) ( <b>1980Ew02</b> ). Evaluators adopted a lower limit due to unreported I(p3) ( <b>2019ChZU</b> ).
(5526 11)	6348	0.0025 5	2.9×10 <sup>-6</sup> 6	7.2 1	2.5×10 <sup>-3</sup> 5	av E $\beta$ =2060.3 53; $\varepsilon$ K=0.001037 19; $\varepsilon$ L=1.100×10 <sup>-4</sup> 21; $\varepsilon$ M=1.451×10 <sup>-5</sup> 31
(6301.7 14)	5572.66	36.3 24	0.0265 18	3.31 4	36.3 24	av E $\beta$ =2436.61 44; $\varepsilon$ K=6.519×10 <sup>-4</sup> 74; $\varepsilon$ L=6.918×10 <sup>-5</sup> 87; $\varepsilon$ M=9.13×10 <sup>-6</sup> 15
(7088.6 18)	4785.8	1.0 4	5×10 <sup>-4</sup> 2	5.2 2	1.0 4	av E $\beta$ =2819.96 68; $\varepsilon$ K=4.354×10 <sup>-4</sup> 50; $\varepsilon$ L=4.620×10 <sup>-5</sup> 59; $\varepsilon$ M=6.09×10 <sup>-6</sup> 10
(7148.5 15)	4725.9	2.1 4	0.0010 2	4.9 1	2.1 4	av E $\beta$ =2849.20 54; $\varepsilon$ K=4.232×10 <sup>-4</sup> 48; $\varepsilon$ L=4.490×10 <sup>-5</sup> 57; $\varepsilon$ M=5.924×10 <sup>-6</sup> 97
(7346.2 14)	4528.2	0.7 4	3×10 <sup>-4</sup> 2	5.4 +4-2	0.7 4	av E $\beta$ =2945.75 48; $\varepsilon$ K=3.860×10 <sup>-4</sup> 44; $\varepsilon$ L=4.095×10 <sup>-5</sup> 52; $\varepsilon$ M=5.403×10 <sup>-6</sup> 89
(7809.4 14)	4065.0?	0.56 33	2.0×10 <sup>-4</sup> 12	5.6 +4-2	0.56 33	av E $\beta$ =3172.24 48; $\varepsilon$ K=3.147×10 <sup>-4</sup> 35; $\varepsilon$ L=3.339×10 <sup>-5</sup> 42; $\varepsilon$ M=4.405×10 <sup>-6</sup> 72
(8891.6 14)	2982.79	26.0 22	0.0060 5	4.27 4	26.0 22	av E $\beta$ =3702.63 45; $\varepsilon$ K=2.057×10 <sup>-4</sup> 23; $\varepsilon$ L=2.182×10 <sup>-5</sup> 27; $\varepsilon$ M=2.879×10 <sup>-6</sup> 47
(9236.4 14)	2637.99	≤0.4		≥6.2	≤0.4	av E $\beta$ =3871.90 46; $\varepsilon$ K=1.819×10 <sup>-4</sup> 20; $\varepsilon$ L=1.930×10 <sup>-5</sup> 24; $\varepsilon$ M=2.546×10 <sup>-6</sup> 42
(10123.7 14)	1750.72	11.9 9	0.00181 14	4.91 4	11.9 9	av E $\beta$ =4308.03 46; $\varepsilon$ K=1.358×10 <sup>-4</sup> 15; $\varepsilon$ L=1.441×10 <sup>-5</sup> 18; $\varepsilon$ M=1.901×10 <sup>-6</sup> 31
(10690.4 14)	1184.01	2.2 7	2.8×10 <sup>-4</sup> 9	5.8 +2-1	2.2 7	av E $\beta$ =4586.92 46; $\varepsilon$ K=1.145×10 <sup>-4</sup> 13; $\varepsilon$ L=1.215×10 <sup>-5</sup> 15; $\varepsilon$ M=1.602×10 <sup>-6</sup> 26
(11874.4 17)	0	19 4	0.0018 4	5.1 1	19 4	av E $\beta$ =5170.29 44; $\varepsilon$ K=8.275×10 <sup>-5</sup> 92; $\varepsilon$ L=8.78×10 <sup>-6</sup> 11; $\varepsilon$ M=1.158×10 <sup>-6</sup> 19 I( $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ ): from <b>1980Ew02</b> assuming mirror log <i>ft</i> with a small asymmetry correction.

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

$^{35}\text{K}$   $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay (175 ms) **1980Ew02** (continued) $\gamma(^{35}\text{Ar})$ 

$I_\gamma$  normalization: From  $\Sigma\%I_\gamma(\gamma \text{ to g.s.})=80.6 \pm 4.0$ , deduced from  $100-\Sigma\%I_\beta-\%I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)(\text{g.s.})$ , where  $\Sigma\%I_\beta=0.37 \pm 1.5$  (**1980Ew02**)

and  $\%I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)(\text{g.s.})=19.4$  (**1980Ew02**), corresponding to  $\log ft=5.07 \pm 0.5$ , which was deduced from the  $^{35}\text{S}(\text{g.s.}) \rightarrow ^{35}\text{Cl}(\text{g.s.})$  mirror  $\log ft=5.01 \pm 0.2$  with a small asymmetry correction.

$\varepsilon+\beta^+$  feeding is obtained from  $\gamma$  intensity balance at each level. **1980Ew02** states that in complex decay schemes of heavy nuclides this method is known to be suspect since there is significant  $\gamma$  intensity that is unobserved because it lies in a multitude of very weak  $\gamma$ -ray peaks. In a nucleus as light as  $^{35}\text{K}$  the problem is less acute. They have generated a pandemonium test in the same spirit as in **1977Ha51** and find that less than one percent of the  $\gamma$  intensity from  $^{35}\text{K}$  decay should be missed for that reason.

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\ddagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
886.8 5	0.9 3	2637.99	$3/2^+$	1750.72	$(5/2)^+$	$\%I_\gamma=0.46 \pm 19-17$
1044.4 4	1.3 4	5572.66	$3/2^+$	4528.2	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	$\%I_\gamma=0.66 \pm 25-23$
1184.0 3	14.3 7	1184.01	$1/2^+$	0	$3/2^+$	$\%I_\gamma=7.2 \pm 5$
1426.8 4	3.0 5	4065.0?	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	2637.99	$3/2^+$	$\%I_\gamma=1.5 \pm 4-3$
1507.4 5	1.9 4	5572.66	$3/2^+$	4065.0?	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	$\%I_\gamma=0.96 \pm 27-25$
1750.5 3	28 1	1750.72	$(5/2)^+$	0	$3/2^+$	$\%I_\gamma=14.1 \pm 9$
1798.9 5	3.5 6	2982.79	$5/2^+$	1184.01	$1/2^+$	$\%I_\gamma=1.8 \pm 4$
2589.8 1	52 2	5572.66	$3/2^+$	2982.79	$5/2^+$	$\%I_\gamma=26.3 \pm 18$
2638.0 4	5.5 7	2637.99	$3/2^+$	0	$3/2^+$	$\%I_\gamma=2.8 \pm 5$
<sup>x</sup> 2697.7 6						Unplaced $\gamma$ ray, accounting for no more than 1.2% $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ -feeding ( <b>1980Ew02</b> ). No $^{35}\text{Ar}$ $\gamma$ rays at this energy were observed in other reaction studies.
2934.5 5	3.5 6	5572.66	$3/2^+$	2637.99	$3/2^+$	$\%I_\gamma=1.8 \pm 4$
2982.68 13	100 4	2982.79	$5/2^+$	0	$3/2^+$	$\%I_\gamma=50.5 \pm 27$
3542.0 6	2.9 6	4725.9	$1/2^+$	1184.01	$1/2^+$	$\%I_\gamma=1.5 \pm 4$
3821.7 7	3.5 7	5572.66	$3/2^+$	1750.72	$(5/2)^+$	$\%I_\gamma=1.8 \pm 5$
4387.2 9	3.5 8	5572.66	$3/2^+$	1184.01	$1/2^+$	$\%I_\gamma=1.8 \pm 5$
4527.9 7	2.6 7	4528.2	$(1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	0	$3/2^+$	$\%I_\gamma=1.3 \pm 4$
4724.5 11	1.2 5	4725.9	$1/2^+$	0	$3/2^+$	$\%I_\gamma=0.61 \pm 30-27$
4785.4 11	1.9 7	4785.8	$1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+$	0	$3/2^+$	$\%I_\gamma=1.0 \pm 4$
5572.3 10	6.1 16	5572.66	$3/2^+$	0	$3/2^+$	$\%I_\gamma=3.1 \pm 10-9$
<b>1980Ew02</b> observed the double escape peak at 4550 keV of this $\gamma$ ray. <b>2018Sa54</b> observed the photopeak at 5572 keV.						

<sup>†</sup> From **1980Ew02**.

<sup>‡</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.505  $\pm$  2.9.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{35}\text{K}$   $\varepsilon + \beta^+$  decay (175 ms) 1980Ew02


## Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities:  $I_\gamma$  per 100 parent decays

- $\longrightarrow$   $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$   
 $\longrightarrow$   $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$   
 $\longrightarrow$   $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$

$\swarrow$   $\frac{3/2^+}{0}$  175 ms 2  
 $Q_\varepsilon = 11874.4$  9  
 $^{35}_{19}\text{K}_{16}$



REFERENCES FOR A=35

- 1977Ha51 J.C.Hardy, L.C.Carraz, B.Jonson, P.G.Hansen - Phys.Lett. B 71, 307 (1977).  
*The essential decay of pandemonium: A demonstration of errors in complex beta-decay schemes.*  
 REPT AECL-6366,p29,Hardy.
- 1978HaYH G.Andersson, E.Hagberg, B.Jonson, S.Mattsson, G.Nyman - NEANDC(OR)-152L, p.7 (1979).  
 1979AnZZ *On-Line Studies of Very Unstable Nuclei.*
- 1979Ca15 L.C.Carraz, G.T.Ewan, E.Hagberg, J.C.Hardy, B.Jonson, S.Mattsson, H.L.Ravn, P.Tidemand-Petersson, for the ISOLDE  
 Collaboration - Phys.Lett. B 85, 212 (1979).  
*A precise determination of the excitation energy of the lowest  $T=3/2$  state in  $^{35}\text{Ar}$ .*
- 1979HaZT E.Hagberg, J.C.Hardy, L.C.Carraz, P.G.Hansen, B.Jonson, S.Mattsson, H.L.Ravn, P.Tidemand-Petersson, G.T.Ewan -  
 Bull.Am.Phys.Soc. 24, No.4, 613, DM11 (1979).  
*A Precise Determination of the Excitation Energy of the Lowest  $T = 3/2$  State in  $^{35}\text{Ar}$ .*
- 1979HaZY E.Hagberg, J.C.Hardy - AECL-6452, p.14 (1979).  
*The decays of  $^{35}\text{K}$  and  $^{36}\text{K}$ .*
- 1980Ew02 G.T.Ewan, E.Hagberg, J.C.Hardy, B.Jonson, S.Mattsson, P.Tidemand-Petersson, I.S.Towner - Nucl.Phys. A343, 109  
 (1980).  
*The Decay of  $^{35}\text{K}$ .*
- 1985Br29 B.A.Brown, B.H.Wildenthal - At.Data Nucl.Data Tables 33, 347 (1985).  
*Experimental and Theoretical Gamow-Teller Beta-Decay Observables for the sd-Shell Nuclei.*
- 1998Sc19 M.Schafer, W.-D.Schmidt-Ott, T.Dorfler, T.Hild, T.Pfeiffer, R.Collatz,H.Geissel, M.Hellstrom, Z.Hu, H.Irnich, N.Iwasa,  
 M.Pfutzner, E.Roeckl, M.Shibata, B.Pfeiffer, K.Asahi, H.Izumi, H.Ogawa, H.Sato, H.Ueno, H.Okuno - Phys.Rev. C57,  
 2205 (1998).  
*Polarization in Fragmentation, g Factor of  $^{35}\text{K}$ .*
- 2003Sm02 N.A.Smirnova, C.Volpe - Nucl.Phys. A714, 441 (2003).  
*On the asymmetry of Gamow-Teller  $\beta$ -decay rates in mirror nuclei in relation with second-class currents.*
- 2006Me04 T.J.Mertzimekis, P.F.Mantica, A.D.Davies, S.N.Liddick, B.E.Tomlin - Phys.Rev. C 73, 024318 (2006).  
*Ground state magnetic dipole moment of  $^{35}\text{K}$ .*
- 2012Ni10 N.Nica, B.Singh - Nucl.Data Sheets 113, 1563 (2012).  
*Nuclear Data Sheets for A = 34.*
- 2018Sa54 A.Saastamoinen, G.J.Lotay, A.Kankainen, B.T.Roeder, R.Chyzh, M.Dag, E.McCleskey, A.Spiridon, R.E.Tribble -  
 J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 940, 012004 (2018).  
*Study of excited states of  $^{35}\text{Ar}$  through  $\beta$ -decay of  $^{35}\text{K}$  for nucleosynthesis in novae and X-ray bursts.*
- 2019ChZU R.Chyzh - Thesis, Texas A and M University (2019).  
*Measurement of  $\beta$ -delayed Protons from  $^{35}\text{K}$  Relevant to the  $^{34}\text{Cl}^{\text{g.m}}(p,\gamma)^{35}\text{Ar}$  Reaction.*
- 2021Wa16 M.Wang, W.J.Huang, F.G.Kondev, G.Audi, S.Naimi - Chin.Phys.C 45, 030003 (2021).  
*The AME 2020 atomic mass evaluation (II). Tables, graphs and references.*