

^{35}Si β^- decay (0.78 s) 1988DuZS,1986Du07,1988DuZT

Parent: ^{35}Si : $E=0$; $J^\pi=7/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=0.78$ s 12; $Q(\beta^-)=10470$ 40; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100

^{35}Si - J^π , $T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels of ^{35}Si .

^{35}Si - $Q(\beta^-)$: From 2021Wa16.

1988DuZS, 1986Du07, 1988DuZT: ^{35}Si produced by fragmentation of ^{40}Ar beam of 2×10^{11} particles/s at 60 MeV/nucleon on a 190 mg/cm² Be target at GANIL. Decay observed with a 1 mm thick plastic scintillator and a 174 cm³ intrinsic Ge detector (1.2% absolute efficiency at 1.33 MeV). Measured $\beta\gamma(t)$, $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$. Deduced levels, J , π , parent $T_{1/2}$.

1987Wa10: shell-model calculations for ^{35}Si β^- decay scheme, ^{35}P levels, decay branching ratios, $\log ft$, and Gamow-Teller transition strengths.

2007Ne14: measured ^{35}Si ground state g-factor using the β -NMR method.

The decay scheme is considered incomplete due to a large gap of about 4.9 MeV between the highest observed level at $E=5561$ and $Q(\beta^-)$ value=10470 40 (2021Wa16). There may be missing transitions from unobserved levels in the gap.

 ^{35}P Levels

<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>J^π[‡]</u>	<u>$T_{1/2}$[‡]</u>
0	1/2 ⁺	47.3 s 8
2386.5 5	3/2 ⁺	
3859.7 5	5/2 ⁺	
4101.2 5	(7/2 ⁻)	
4381.3? 8		
4493.5 6	(7/2 ⁻)	
4869.4 6		
4962.4? 7	(9/2 ⁻)	
5560.7 7		

$J\pi$, $T_{1/2}$, MUL from adopted

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

<u>E(decay)</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u>$I\beta^-$^{†‡}</u>	<u>$\log ft$[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
(4.91×10 ³ 4)	5560.7	12.7	4.6	$I\beta=12.4(18)$ and $\log ft=4.6$ from 1988DuZS.
(5.51×10 ³ 4)	4962.4?	5.1	5.2	Placed based on the 4959→4493 transition observed in $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{36}\text{S},X\gamma)$ (2008Wi09) and adopted 468.9 $I\gamma$ from 1988DuZS to deduce its $I\beta$.
(5.60×10 ³ 4)	4869.4	10.8	4.9	$I\beta=10.8(16)$ and $\log ft=4.9$ from 1988DuZS.
(5.98×10 ³ 4)	4493.5	16.6	4.9	$I\beta=21.4(11)$ and $\log ft=4.8$ from 1988DuZS. 468.9 $I\gamma$ feeding this level is deducted from its $I\beta$.
(6.09×10 ³ 4)	4381.3?	9.7	5.1	$I\beta=9.4(13)$ and $\log ft=5.1$ from 1988DuZS.
(6.37×10 ³ 4)	4101.2	46.1	4.6	$I\beta=45.9(31)$ and $\log ft=4.5$ from 1988DuZS.
(8.08×10 ³ 4)	2386.5	1.9	8.6 ^{1u}	

[†] β -feeding from γ -ray intensity balance at each level. Quoted $I\beta^-$ values are considered upper limits due to the incomplete decay scheme, and the associated $\log ft$ values are considered lower limits.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{35}\text{P})$

$I\gamma$ normalization: From $\Sigma\%I(\gamma \text{ to g.s.})=100$. The deduced normalization factor of 0.27 should be considered an upper limit due to potential missing γ transitions from unobserved levels in the gap to the ground state.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{35}\text{Si} \beta^-$ decay (0.78 s) [1988DuZS](#), [1986Du07](#), [1988DuZT](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{35}\text{P})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{†#}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
241.4 3	100 4	4101.2	(7/2 ⁻)	3859.7	5/2 ⁺	%I γ =27
392.3 3	58.2 28	4493.5	(7/2 ⁻)	4101.2	(7/2 ⁻)	%I γ =16
468.9 @ 4	18.7 25	4962.4?	(9/2 ⁻)	4493.5	(7/2 ⁻)	%I γ =5.0 Unplaced γ ray in 1988DuZS . The placement is based on a 466 γ observed in $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{36}\text{S}, \text{X}\gamma)$ (2008Wi09).
633.7 5	21.9 28	4493.5	(7/2 ⁻)	3859.7	5/2 ⁺	%I γ =5.9
768.0 4	15.9 29	4869.4		4101.2	(7/2 ⁻)	%I γ =4.3
1009.9 5	24 5	4869.4		3859.7	5/2 ⁺	%I γ =6.5
1459.7 5	12 4	5560.7		4101.2	(7/2 ⁻)	%I γ =3.2
1473.4 5	17 4	3859.7	5/2 ⁺	2386.5	3/2 ⁺	%I γ =4.6
1714.7 6	22 5	4101.2	(7/2 ⁻)	2386.5	3/2 ⁺	%I γ =5.9
1994.8 @ 6	36 6	4381.3?		2386.5	3/2 ⁺	%I γ =9.7 Placement from 1988DuZS , consistent with the 1995 γ 4381 \rightarrow 2386 transition observed in $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{36}\text{S}, \text{X}\gamma)$ (2008Wi09) and the 1995 γ 4382 \rightarrow 2386 transition observed in $^9\text{Be}(^{36}\text{S}, ^{35}\text{P}\gamma)$ (2016Mu03). 1988DuZT and 1987Wa10 placed this γ as the 6096 \rightarrow 4101 transition. 1988Or01 placed this γ as the 6488 \rightarrow 4493 transition.
2386.4 6	117 7	2386.5	3/2 ⁺	0	1/2 ⁺	%I γ =32
3173.5 10	35 6	5560.7		2386.5	3/2 ⁺	%I γ =9.5 1988Or01 suggested that this γ ray cannot be placed into the decay scheme of ^{35}Si , and it could be a transition to the 4101 or 4493 levels based on intensity balances.
^x 3349.1 [‡] 10	46 [‡] 6					%I γ =12
^x 3590.0 [‡] 11	60 [‡] 7					%I γ =16
3859.5 10	121 8	3859.7	5/2 ⁺	0	1/2 ⁺	%I γ =33
4100.8 10	135 8	4101.2	(7/2 ⁻)	0	1/2 ⁺	%I γ =36

[†] From [1988DuZS](#), unless otherwise noted.[‡] From [1986Du07](#). [1988Or01](#) tentatively suggested that these γ rays de-excite a level at 7450, but this suggestion has not been experimentally confirmed.

For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.27.

@ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{35}Si β^- decay (0.78 s) 1988DuZS,1986Du07,1988DuZT

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- \longrightarrow $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 \longrightarrow $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 \longrightarrow $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 \cdots γ Decay (Uncertain)

