

**$^{35}\text{Si}$   $\beta^-$  decay (0.78 s) 1988DuZS,1986Du07,1988DuZT**

Parent:  $^{35}\text{Si}$ :  $E=0$ ;  $J^\pi=7/2^-$ ;  $T_{1/2}=0.78$  s 12;  $Q(\beta^-)=10470$  40;  $\% \beta^-$  decay=100

$^{35}\text{Si}$ - $J^\pi$ ,  $T_{1/2}$ : From the Adopted Levels of  $^{35}\text{Si}$ .

$^{35}\text{Si}$ - $Q(\beta^-)$ : From 2021Wa16.

1988DuZS, 1986Du07, 1988DuZT:  $^{35}\text{Si}$  produced by fragmentation of  $^{40}\text{Ar}$  beam of  $2 \times 10^{11}$  particles/s at 60 MeV/nucleon on a 190 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Be target at GANIL. Decay observed with a 1 mm thick plastic scintillator and a 174 cm<sup>3</sup> intrinsic Ge detector (1.2% absolute efficiency at 1.33 MeV). Measured  $\beta\gamma(t)$ ,  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ . Deduced levels,  $J$ ,  $\pi$ , parent  $T_{1/2}$ .

1987Wa10: shell-model calculations for  $^{35}\text{Si}$   $\beta^-$  decay scheme,  $^{35}\text{P}$  levels, decay branching ratios,  $\log ft$ , and Gamow-Teller transition strengths.

2007Ne14: measured  $^{35}\text{Si}$  ground state g-factor using the  $\beta$ -NMR method.

The decay scheme is considered incomplete due to a large gap of about 4.9 MeV between the highest observed level at  $E=5561$  and  $Q(\beta^-)$  value=10470 40 (2021Wa16). There may be missing transitions from unobserved levels in the gap.

 $^{35}\text{P}$  Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi^\ddagger$	$T_{1/2}^\ddagger$
0	$1/2^+$	47.3 s 8
2386.5 5	$3/2^+$	<0.69 ps
3859.7 5	$5/2^+$	<0.69 ps
4101.2 5	$(7/2^-)$	>69 ps
4381.3? 8	$(5/2^-)$	
4493.5 6	$(7/2^-)$	2.29 ps 49
4869.4 6	$(5/2^-, 7/2^-)$	
4962.4? 7	$(9/2^-)$	
5560.7 7	$(5/2^-)$	

$^\dagger$  From a least-squares fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies.

$^\ddagger$  From the Adopted Levels.

 $\beta^-$  radiations

$E(\text{decay})$	$E(\text{level})$	$I\beta^-^\dagger^\ddagger$	$\log ft^\dagger$	Comments
$(4.91 \times 10^3)$ 4	5560.7	12.7	4.6	$I\beta=12.4$ 18 and $\log ft=4.6$ from 1988DuZS.
$(5.51 \times 10^3)$ 4	4962.4?	5.1	5.2	Placed based on the $4959 \rightarrow 4493$ transition observed in $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{36}\text{S}, X\gamma)$ (2008Wi09) and adopted 468.9 I $\gamma$ from 1988DuZS to deduce its $I\beta$ .
$(5.60 \times 10^3)$ 4	4869.4	10.8	4.9	$I\beta=10.8$ 16 and $\log ft=4.9$ from 1988DuZS.
$(5.98 \times 10^3)$ 4	4493.5	16.6	4.9	$I\beta=21.4$ 11 and $\log ft=4.8$ from 1988DuZS. 468.9 I $\gamma$ feeding this level is deducted from its $I\beta$ .
$(6.09 \times 10^3)$ 4	4381.3?	9.7	5.1	$I\beta=9.4$ 13 and $\log ft=5.1$ from 1988DuZS.
$(6.37 \times 10^3)$ 4	4101.2	46.1	4.6	$I\beta=45.9$ 31 and $\log ft=4.5$ from 1988DuZS.
$(8.08 \times 10^3)$ 4	2386.5	1.9	8.6 <sup>1u</sup>	

$^\dagger$   $\beta$ -feeding from  $\gamma$ -ray intensity balance at each level. Quoted  $I\beta^-$  values are considered upper limits due to the incomplete decay scheme, and the associated  $\log ft$  values are considered lower limits.

$^\ddagger$  Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{35}\text{P})$ 

$I\gamma$  normalization: From  $\Sigma I(\gamma \text{ to g.s.})=100$ . The deduced normalization factor of 0.27 should be considered an upper limit due to potential missing  $\gamma$  transitions from unobserved levels in the gap to the ground state.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{35}\text{Si} \beta^-$  decay (0.78 s) **1988DuZS,1986Du07,1988DuZT** (continued) $\gamma(^{35}\text{P})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$ ‡	$I_\gamma$ ‡@	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. †	Comments
241.4 3	100 4	4101.2	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3859.7	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]	%I $\gamma$ =27
392.3 3	58.2 28	4493.5	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4101.2	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	[M1+E2]	%I $\gamma$ =16
468.9& 4	18.7 25	4962.4?	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4493.5	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )		%I $\gamma$ =5.0 Unplaced $\gamma$ ray in <b>1988DuZS</b> . The placement is based on a 466 $\gamma$ observed in $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{36}\text{S},\text{X}\gamma)$ ( <b>2008Wi09</b> ).
633.7 5	21.9 28	4493.5	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3859.7	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]	%I $\gamma$ =5.9
768.0 4	15.9 29	4869.4	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4101.2	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )		%I $\gamma$ =4.3
1009.9 5	24 5	4869.4	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3859.7	5/2 <sup>+</sup>		%I $\gamma$ =6.5
1459.7 5	12 4	5560.7	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4101.2	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )		%I $\gamma$ =3.2
1473.4 5	17 4	3859.7	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	2386.5	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	[M1,E2]	%I $\gamma$ =4.6
1714.7 6	22 5	4101.2	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2386.5	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	[M2]	%I $\gamma$ =5.9
1994.8& 6	36 6	4381.3?	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2386.5	3/2 <sup>+</sup>		%I $\gamma$ =9.7 Placement from <b>1988DuZS</b> , consistent with the 1995 $\gamma$ 4381 $\rightarrow$ 2386 transition observed in $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{36}\text{S},\text{X}\gamma)$ ( <b>2008Wi09</b> ) and the 1995 $\gamma$ 4382 $\rightarrow$ 2386 transition observed in $^9\text{Be}(^{36}\text{S},^{35}\text{P}\gamma)$ ( <b>2016Mu03</b> ). <b>1988DuZT</b> and <b>1987Wa10</b> placed this $\gamma$ as the 6096 $\rightarrow$ 4101 transition. <b>1988Or01</b> placed this $\gamma$ as the 6488 $\rightarrow$ 4493 transition.
2386.4 6	117 7	2386.5	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	[M1,E2]	%I $\gamma$ =32
3173.5 10	35 6	5560.7	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2386.5	3/2 <sup>+</sup>		%I $\gamma$ =9.5 <b>1988Or01</b> suggested that this $\gamma$ ray cannot be placed into the decay scheme of $^{35}\text{Si}$ , and it could be a transition to the 4101 or 4493 levels based on intensity balances.
<sup>x</sup> 3349.1# 10	46# 6						%I $\gamma$ =12
<sup>x</sup> 3590.0# 11	60# 7						%I $\gamma$ =16
3859.5 10	121 8	3859.7	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]	%I $\gamma$ =33
4100.8 10	135 8	4101.2	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E3]	%I $\gamma$ =36

† From the Adopted Levels.

‡ From **1988DuZS**, unless otherwise noted.# From **1986Du07**. **1988Or01** tentatively suggested that these  $\gamma$  rays de-excite a level at 7450, but this suggestion has not been experimentally confirmed.

@ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.27.

&amp; Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{35}\text{Si}$   $\beta^-$  decay (0.78 s) 1988DuZS,1986Du07,1988DuZT

## Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_\gamma$  per 100 parent decays

## Legend

- $\longrightarrow$   $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$   
 $\longrightarrow$   $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$   
 $\longrightarrow$   $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$   
 $\cdots$   $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)

