Rubric for Assignment 1 - 5212

Indicating the level the student is work at*:	0 column	(N) Fail	(P) Pass	(C) Credit	(D) Distinction	(HD) High Distinction
Classification task and presentation of results in notebook	No attempt	Incomplete modelling.	Simple choices for configurations from tutorials.	Well chosen configurations from tutorials.	Well chosen configurations using advanced method from tutorials.	Thoughtful configurations able to demonstrate key insights about text classification.
10 Marks	0	1.0-4.5	5.0-6.0	6.0-7.0	7.0-8.0	8.0-10
Discussion on the classification task	No attempt	Incomplete modelling.	Basic discussion of results.	Discussion and analysis addresses the purposes given in the description.	Good discussion and analysis.	In depth and insightful discussion and analysis.
10 Marks	0	1.0-4.5	5.0-6.0	6.0-7.0	7.0-8.0	8.0-10
Topic modelling and its presentation in the notebook	No attempt	Incomplete modelling.	Simple modelling and preprocessing from tutorials.	Well chosen modelling and preprocessing from tutorials.	Well chosen modelling and preprocessing using advanced method from tutorials.	Innovative modelling and preprocessing able to demonstrate key insights about topic modelling.
10 Marks	0	1.0-4.5	5.0-6.0	6.0-7.0	7.0-8.0	8.0-10
Discussion of topic modelling	No attempt	Little analysis.	Some discussion and analysis of topic model output.	Discussion and analysis addresses the purposes given in the description.	Good discussion and analysis.	In depth and insightful discussion and analysis.
10 Marks	0	1.0-4.5	5.0-6.0	6.0-7.0	7.0-8.0	8.0-10
Code structure and readability	No attempt	Incomplete code, poor commenting.	Basic code structure and minimalist	Reasonable code structure and	Good code structure and comments.	Excellent code structure and

Rubric for Assignment 1 - 5212

			comments.	comments.		comments.
5 Marks	0	1.0-2.0	2.5-3.0	3.0-3.5	3.5-4.0	4.0-5.0
Report readability and presentation	No attempt	There is no clear structure in the report and the readability of the report is poor.	The report has some structure, but the readability of the report is poor.	The report is well structured, and the readability of the report is reasonable.	The report is well structured and the readability of the report is good.	The report is well structured and the readability of the report is excellent.
5 Marks	0	1.0-2.0	2.5-3.0	3.0-3.5	3.5-4.0	4.0-5.0