

FIT5212: Marking Rubric for Assessment 2

	Modules	Weight	HD	D	C	P	F
Task 1 (70%) 35 Marks	Recommender System Performance (Leaderboard)	25 marks	<p>Achieve 0.75 or lower MAE score in the whole test dataset.</p> <p>The student with the best score will get full marks.</p> <p>Please include your name used in Kaggle in the final report submitted in Moodle.</p>	Achieve 0.8 or lower MAE score in the whole test dataset.	Achieve 0.85 or lower MAE score in the whole test dataset.	Achieve 1.3 or lower MAE score in the whole test dataset.	Achieve more than 1.5 MAE score in the whole test dataset.
	Methodology and result analysis in the report	10 marks	<p>Different methods for recommender systems are well compared and analyzed.</p> <p>The report has demonstrated a very good solution in a proper way. No errors or only minor errors are found in the methodology. The methodology produces output with HD scores. The final solution to this challenge is well justified.</p> <p>The report has proper sections and subsections (e.g. introduction, methodology, conclusion,...). The methodology is explained properly and the code is well-commented.</p>	<p>Different methods for recommender systems are reasonably compared and analyzed.</p> <p>The report has demonstrated a reasonable solution and justification.</p> <p>The report has proper sections and subsections (e.g. introduction, methodology, conclusion,...). The methodology is explained fairly and the code is fairly commented on. The report can be improved.</p>	<p>Different methods for recommender systems are fairly compared and analyzed.</p> <p>The report has provided a fair solution and justification for the solution.</p> <p>The report has some sectioning but it is not well organized. The explanation of the report is limited and the code is well-commented.</p>	<p>Different methods for recommender systems are poorly compared and analyzed.</p> <p>The report has provided a poor solution and justification for the solution.</p> <p>The report has some sectioning but it is not well organized. The explanation of the report is poor and the code is poorly commented on.</p>	<p>Different methods for recommender systems are badly analyzed.</p> <p>The report has provided a bad solution and justification for the solution.</p> <p>The report has poor/no sectioning and it is not well organized. The explanation of the report is poor and the code is poorly commented on.</p>
Task 2 (30%) 15 Marks	ChatGPT	15 marks	Questions are all nontrivial/creative, nonrepetitive, and the analysis per question are scientific and grounded in the optional readings (with citations)	Questions are all nontrivial/creative, nonrepetitive, and the analysis per question are reasonable but not grounded in any prior research work	Questions mostly exhibit similar patterns of design, and the analysis only summarises the outputs (no actual analysis)	Missing questions, repetitive patterns, and the analysis only summarises the outputs	Missing most of the questions, repetitive patterns, and no analysis