

Topological Trait Estimation for Phylogenetic Reconstruction of Fossil Evolution

Kyla Andersen

08/30/2024

1 Introduction

Topological methods have emerged as powerful tools in data analysis, particularly for complex, high-dimensional datasets such as those found in paleontology. The study of dinosaur evolution, traditionally reliant on morphological analysis of fossilized bones, is an area ripe for innovation through these topological techniques. Figure 1 show how a dinosaur fossil can be scanned and turned into a 3D image. This allows us to map certain traits belonging to each of the fossils collected. Estimating morphological traits from 3D scans of bones can provide insights into the evolutionary relationships between different species and offer a clearer picture of their lineage and adaptations over time.

Current approaches to this problem involve manually entering and comparing the morphological data, a process that is not only time-consuming but also prone to human error and subjectivity [1]. One computational approach, Topological Data Analysis (TDA), offers a mathematical framework that captures the intrinsic geometric and topological properties of shapes, allowing for a more robust and efficient method of trait estimation. Unlike traditional methods, TDA can handle variations in shape, scale, and orientation, which enables more accurate comparisons of complex structures [6].

In analyzing the traits of dinosaur bones, TDA allows researchers to quantify differences and similarities by representing the shapes as high-dimensional data points. By treating

these shapes as topological spaces, it becomes possible to construct a phylogenetic tree that reflects the true evolutionary history of the given species with greater precision and less manual intervention [5]. This approach represents the area I wish to further study, particularly in its potential to enhance our understanding of evolutionary relationships. This method provides a more scalable and automated approach to understanding evolutionary patterns, bypassing the constraints of traditional techniques that require detailed manual input.

2 Background

Recent studies have demonstrated the power of TDA in fields such as neuroscience and anatomy. Notably, Bendich et al. [2] utilized TDA to analyze brain artery structures, revealing correlations between age, sex, and the bending of arteries that were not present in previous studies. In Figure 2, we can see how an artery is constructed and can then be studied using various techniques. TDA methods provide new insights into complex data by capturing changes in the connectedness of structures and enabling the analysis of high-dimensional characteristics, such as shape and form, often overlooked by traditional metrics.

With advancements in 3D imaging and data acquisition technologies, applying TDA to 3D data feature simplification has gained significant traction in recent years. A study produced by Ou Li [4] introduced a method that leverages TDA for segmenting and simplifying 3D point cloud data, a representation commonly only obtained through low-cost 3D sensors [4]. The study demonstrated that TDA can effectively partition complex, disorganized 3D data into subsets with consistent characteristics,



Figure 1: A 3D scan of the left maxilla of an Edmontosaurus.

using various techniques that simplify the data structure while retaining critical geometric information [4].

Dornbusch et al. [3], developed a method that utilizes 3D point clouds to extract and parameterize morphological traits of plant organs; which are then used to create a comprehensive architectural model of the plant. Fitting these 3D point clouds into a structural model, the method enables a precise portrayal of the leaf and stem shape and their spatial orientations [3]. By applying this technique to 3D scans of fossils, one can extract and parameterize various morphological traits, such as bone structure and dimensions, with high accuracy.




Figure 2: Image of an artery tree from [2]

This detailed characterization allows for reconstructing more exact evolutionary trees and a better understanding of the anatomical variations among different species. Integrating this approach will significantly enhance the ability to trace evolutionary relationships and track the development of specific traits over time.

While computational methods for analyzing 3D scans have advanced significantly in fields like medical imaging and industrial design, paleontology has not yet fully leveraged these tools. Current research in paleontology often relies on traditional techniques for examining fossils. There is a noticeable lack of specialized algorithms and methods tailored for interpreting the complex, irregular shapes of fossilized remains, such as dinosaur bones. The gap lies in the adaptation and development of these advanced computational methods to suit the specific challenges of paleontological data.

Building on existing research in 3D scan analysis and computational methods, my goal is to advance these techniques more specifically for the field of paleontology. By applying and adapting algorithms such as the one used by Dornbusch et al. [3], I aim to develop new methods for analyzing and characterizing dinosaur bones from 3D scans. This work seeks to fill the current gap in applying these advanced techniques to paleontological data, ultimately

improving the accuracy of evolutionary trees and our understanding of prehistoric life.

I look forward to expanding my research experience by taking on the challenge of an independent project for the first time. With a solid background in coding with Python, Java, and C++, I have the skills that will be instrumental in developing and implementing the new algorithms necessary for this research. This project will allow me to deepen my knowledge of computational topology and enhance my skills in algorithm development and data analysis. I am eager to contribute to the field of paleontology with a tool that not only advances our capability to analyze fossil data but also has the potential to influence broader applications in scientific research.

3 Methods

To begin this project, I will preprocess 3D scans of dinosaur bones to clean and normalize the data, ensuring consistency in scale, orientation, and resolution by removing noise, filling holes, and aligning scans using tools from Python, such as trimesh and pygltf. Next, I will adapt existing algorithms, such as point cloud registration and surface fitting to identify key morphological features of the bones. This will involve implementing and customizing these algorithms in Python, utilizing libraries like NumPy, SciPy, and PyTorch. Following this, I will develop new algorithms specifically tailored to paleontology, using techniques like principal component analysis (PCA) and singular value decomposition (SVD) to analyze and compare features across specimens. Certain machine learning models, such as convolutional neural networks (CNN) may also be utilized to enhance automated characterization. Experiments will validate the effectiveness of these methods by comparing them to known data, using metrics like shape similarity scores and classification accuracy to ensure robustness across different types of bones. The final stage will involve documenting the findings in an abstract or research paper which will aim to highlight the potential applications of these methods in paleontology and beyond.

4 Timeline

An outline of the estimated timeline for this project is listed below.

1. Clean, normalize, and align 3D scans using `trimesh` and `pygltflib`: 2 weeks
2. Implement and adapt existing algorithms to identify key morphological features of the bones: 3 weeks
3. Develop and customize new algorithms tailored to paleontology using PCA, SVD and CNNs to enhance automated characterization: 5 weeks
4. Conduct experiments to validate methods, comparing them to known data: 3 weeks
5. Analyze the results, refine methods as needed, and compile findings for documentation: 3 weeks

5 Collaboration with Faculty Sponsor

My primary faculty sponsor for this project is Dr. Brittany Fasy, whose ongoing research in 3D shape analysis aligns with the methodological aspects of this project, although with a different focus area. I plan to meet with Dr. Fasy regularly as her expertise in computational geometry and 3D data analysis will be invaluable in guiding the development and refinement of algorithms for this project. Additionally, I will be collaborating with Dr. Christopher Organ, whose work in evolutionary biology and paleontology will provide crucial insights into the biological relevance of the morphological features I am going to analyze. I am eager to engage in this research project, which not only supports the work of my mentors but also aims to make a meaningful contribution to the fields of computational topology and paleontology.

References

- [1] Karl T. Bates, Phillip L. Manning, David Hodgetts, and William I. Sellers. Estimating mass properties of dinosaurs using laser imaging and 3d computer modelling. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2639725/>, February 2009. Accessed August 29, 2024.
- [2] Paul Bendich, J S. Marron, Ezra Miller, Alex Pieloch, and Susan Skwerer. Persistent homology analysis of brain artery trees. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5026243/>, September 2016. Accessed August 29, 2024.
- [3] Tino Dornbusch, Peter Wernecke, and Wulf Diepenbrock. A method to extract morphological traits of plant organs from 3d point clouds as a database for an architectural plant model. *Ecological Modelling*, 200(1):119–129, 2007. Accessed August 29, 2024.
- [4] Ou Li. Tda 3d model and feature simplification analysis. <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/1883/1/012023/pdf>, March 2021. Accessed August 29, 2024.
- [5] Ziheng Yang and Bruce Rannala. Molecular phylogenetics: Principles and practice. [https://https://core.ac.uk/reader/79498069?utm_source=linkout](https://core.ac.uk/reader/79498069?utm_source=linkout), May 2012. Accessed August 29, 2024.
- [6] Afra Zomorodian. Computational topology. <https://www.ams.org/meetings/shortcourse/zomorodian-notes.pdf>, November 2009. Accessed August, 29, 2024.